VU Research Portal

On a flexible construction of a negative binomial model

Leisen, Fabrizio; Mena, Ramsés H.; Palma, Freddy; Rossini, Luca

published in

Statistics and Probability Letters
2019

DOI (link to publisher)

[10.1016/j.spl.2019.04.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spl.2019.04.004)

document version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

document license

Article 25fa Dutch Copyright Act

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

citation for published version (APA)

Leisen, F., Mena, R. H., Palma, F., & Rossini, L. (2019). On a flexible construction of a negative binomial model. *Statistics and Probability Letters*, 152, 1-8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spl.2019.04.004>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

E-mail address:

vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl

On a flexible construction of a negative binomial model

Fabrizio Leisen^a, Ramsés H. Mena^b, Freddy Palma^b, Luca Rossini^{c,*}

^a University of Kent, UK

^b IIMAS, UNAM, Mexico

^c Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, The Netherlands

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 17 January 2019

Received in revised form 3 April 2019

Accepted 8 April 2019

Available online 17 April 2019

Keywords:

Birth and death process

Integer-valued time series model

Negative-binomial distribution

Stationary model

ABSTRACT

This work presents a construction of stationary Markov models with negative-binomial marginal distributions. A simple closed form expression for the corresponding transition probabilities is given, linking the proposal to well-known classes of birth and death processes and thus revealing interesting characterizations. The advantage of having such closed form expressions is tested on simulated and real data.

© 2019 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Stationary models and their properties are important in theoretical and applied problems in econometrics and in time series modeling. In particular, integer-valued Markov processes have dragged the attention of many scientists. Part of the motivation for their study is to have practical models for time-evolving counts, i.e. without the need to rely on misspecified continuous state space models such as ARMA time series models or diffusion processes.

There are, at least, two seemingly different approaches to build integer-valued Markov models for observations evolving in discrete or continuous time, namely the Markov chain approach (e.g. Karlin and Taylor, 1975) and the stochastic thinning or contraction technique. The latter is largely based on the concept of self-decomposability (e.g. Steutel and van Harn, 2003) or generalizations of it (e.g. Joe, 1996; Zhu and Joe, 2010a). Indeed, for count data time series, contributions such as McKenzie (1986, 1988), Al-Osh and Alzaid (1987), Alzaid and Al-osh (1990), Du and Li (1991) and Al-Osh and Aly (1992) used the thinning operator to construct AR-type models with Poisson, negative-binomial and geometric stationary distributions. A more general approach, i.e. for a wider choice of marginal distributions, is presented in the groundbreaking contribution by Joe (1996), see also Jørgensen and Song (1998). For up to date accounts on the topic we refer to McKenzie (2003) and Davis et al. (2016).

Much of the theory of stationary models, with arbitrary but given marginal distributions, has been developed for discrete-time Markov models, with the notable exception of the work set forth by Barndorff-Nielsen and Shephard (2001), where the idea of self-decomposability is used to represent Ornstein–Uhlenbeck Lévy-driven stochastic differential equations. For continuous time and discrete state-space models, clearly the theory of Markov chains offers an excellent and general modeling alternative. However, within such framework, closed form expressions for the transition probabilities are not always at hand, thus complicating estimation and simulation procedures. From a statistical perspective, the availability

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: luca.rossini87@gmail.com (L. Rossini).

of computable transition probabilities is always a desirable feature. Indeed, having stochastic equations, intensity matrices and/or expressions for Markov generators, frequently leads to overparametrized situations or numerical complications.

Here, we present a construction of stationary Markov chains with negative-binomial marginal distributions. The construction is valid in discrete and continuous time, and has the appealing feature of having a simple and closed form expression for the corresponding transition probabilities. Our construction is based on the idea introduced by Pitt et al. (2002) and subsequently generalized to the continuous time case by Mena and Walker (2009). Their approach is based on the distributional symmetry of reversibility and is general enough to encompass other approaches based on thinning, such as the models in Joe (1996). The idea can be recast as follows: given a desired marginal distribution, P_X , one creates the dependence in the model by augmenting via another – arbitrary – latent variable with conditional distribution $P_{Y|X}$. With these probabilities at hand, one computes the compatible conditional distribution $P_{X|Y}$ via Bayes' theorem. The one-step transition probability characterizing the targeted Markov process $P(x, A) = \mathbb{P}(X_1 \in A \mid X_0 = x)$ is constructed as

$$P(x, A) = \mathbb{E}_{Y|X}[P_{X|Y}(A)], \quad (1)$$

where the expectation is taken with respect to $Y \mapsto P_{Y|X}$ conditioned on $X = x$. This approach resembles the Gibbs sampler method used to construct reversible Markov chains and has a clear Bayesian flavor, i.e. one could speak of the prior P_X , the likelihood model $P_{Y|X}$, the posterior $P_{X|Y}$, and the predictive (1). Generally speaking, any single-observation based predictive distribution, from an exchangeable sequence, constitutes a well-defined Markov kernel. As such, the method is very general, and for this reason it has been widely used to construct time series models of the AR or ARCH-type, see e.g. Pitt and Walker (2005), Mena and Walker (2005) and Contreras-Cristán et al. (2009).

There are various ideas to generalize the above construction to the continuous-time case, e.g. by computing the n -step ahead transition corresponding to (1) and embedding it as the skeleton of a continuous time process, or by finding the conditions such that the Chapman–Kolmogorov equations are also satisfied in continuous time. This latter approach is followed by Mena and Walker (2009) to represent well-known continuous time models such as various diffusion processes and Markov chain models. Thus, in order to preserve the reversibility of the model, the idea is to allow those parameters in $P_{Y|X}$ – and not in P_X –, to vary on time in such a way that the Chapman–Kolmogorov equations are satisfied. While some appealing families of diffusion processes have been found to have representation (1), under the above extension to continuous time (see, e.g. Anzarut et al., 2018), in general it is not always easy to find analytical conditions that meet Chapman–Kolmogorov equations. Here, we unveil the conditions to apply this construction to negative-binomial marginal distributions, which, as we will see, represent a rich class of continuous-time Markov chains.

The model we find has a neat expression for the transition probabilities and corresponds to a well-known class of birth and death processes (Feller, 1950; Karlin and Taylor, 1975) for which a closed form expression for transition probabilities is not available elsewhere. This constitutes an appealing addition to the applied literature on such classes of models.

The choice of negative-binomial marginals is very appealing due to its generality, i.e. it includes the geometric distribution as a particular case and the Poisson distribution as a limiting case. Furthermore, as proven by Wolpert and Brown (2011), all integer-valued stationary time reversible Markov processes, whose invariant distributions are infinitely divisible, are branching process with Poisson or negative-binomial marginal univariate distributions. Not surprisingly, discrete and continuous time models with negative-binomial marginals have been widely studied in the literature, e.g. Latour (1998), Joe (1996) and Zhu and Joe (2003, 2010a,b). However, except for the work by Zhu and Joe (2010b), where a numerical inversion technique is used to approximate the continuous time transition probability of a negative-binomial model, no closed form expression for these probabilities has been found. In particular, we give a closed expression for the transition probability corresponding to Zhu and Joe (2010b) model. We further illustrate the convenience of having a simple expression for transition probabilities via a simulation study and a real data analysis based on a crime reports dataset studied by Gorgi (2018). The performance of our approach is compared, in terms of computational time, with the method of Zhu and Joe (2010b) when the transition is evaluated with numerical methods.

The remaining part of this document is organized as follows: In Section 2, we present the construction of stationary Markov chains with negative-binomial distributed marginals. Section 3 is devoted to test our approach using simulated datasets. Section 4 tackles a real data example, in particular we use the negative-binomial process to model real-time series of crime reports in the city of Blacktown in Australia. Final points and conclusions are deferred to Section 5.

2. Negative binomial stationary Markov chain

Following the construction in Mena and Walker (2009) described above, we build a set of transition probabilities that characterize a class of reversible Markov chains with negative-binomial invariant distribution. Hence, given the choice of stationary negative-binomial distribution, i.e. $X \sim \text{NB}(r, q)$, with mass probabilities given by

$$f_X(x) = \binom{x+r-1}{x} q^x (1-q)^r \times \mathbf{I}_{\{0,1,2,\dots\}}(x), \quad \text{with } q \in (0, 1).$$

The dependence in the model is introduced by assuming $Y \mid X \sim \text{Bin}(X, \Theta)$. Marginalizing X , it follows that

$$Y \sim \text{NB}\left(r, \frac{q\Theta}{1-q(1-\Theta)}\right),$$

which then leads to the “posterior”, distribution given by the shifted negative-binomial distribution $X | Y \stackrel{d}{=} Y + \text{NB}(Y + r, q(1 - \Theta))$, with corresponding conditional distribution

$$f_{X|Y}(x|y) = \binom{x+r-1}{x-y} [q(1-\Theta)]^{x-y} [1-q(1-\Theta)]^{y+r} \mathbf{I}_{\{y, y+1, y+2, \dots\}}(x).$$

Then, one can build a reversible stochastic process $X = \{X_t\}$ whose one-step transition probabilities, $p(x_{t-1}, x_t) := \mathbb{P}[X_t = x_t | X_{t-1} = x_{t-1}]$, take the form

$$p(x_{t-1}, x_t) = \sum_{y=0}^{x_{t-1} \wedge x_t} \text{NB}(x_t - y; r + y, q(1 - \Theta)) \text{Bin}(y; x_{t-1}, \Theta), \quad (2)$$

for $x_{t-1}, x_t \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. As such, the resulting model is a well defined discrete-time, integer-valued, autoregressive-type reversible model, for which the transition (2) leaves $\text{NB}(r, q)$ invariant over time. A stochastic equation (SE) for this model is given by

$$X_{n+1} = Y_n + Z_n, \quad (3)$$

where $(Z_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence of random variables with common $\text{NB}(r + Y_n, q(1 - \Theta))$ distribution, and Y_n has $\text{Bin}(X_n, \Theta)$ distribution. A similar expression to the SE (3) was derived in [Zhu and Joe \(2003\)](#) to characterize a reversible Markov process with negative-binomial invariant distribution.

In order to generalize the above model to the continuous-time case we allow the dependence parameter Θ to depend on time, namely through a function $t \mapsto \Theta_t$, and thus find the conditions on such a function such that the corresponding transition density p_t satisfies the Chapman–Kolmogorov equation. Such task is simplified via the Laplace transform, $\mathcal{L}_X(u) := \mathbb{E}[e^{uX}]$, associated to the corresponding transition probability function (2), which reduces to

$$\mathcal{L}_{X_t|X_0=x}(u) = \mathbb{E}[e^{uX_t} | X_0 = x] = ([1 - \xi_t(u)]e^{-u})^r [1 - \Theta_t \xi_t(u)]^x, \quad (4)$$

where $\xi_t(u) = (1 - e^{-u})/[1 - q(1 - \Theta_t)e^{-u}]$. Notice that, in this latter case, we have already made explicit the dependence on the time parameter.

Proposition 1. *The transition probabilities p_t satisfy the Chapman–Kolmogorov equations if and only if*

$$\Theta_t = \frac{1 - q}{e^{ct} - q},$$

for $t, c > 0$. In such case, $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ turns out to be a reversible Markov process with negative-binomial stationary distribution.

Proof. First notice that in terms of the Laplace transform, the Chapman–Kolmogorov equations corresponding to p_t are satisfied if and only if

$$\mathcal{L}_{X_{t+s}|X_0=x}(u) = \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}_{X_{t+s}|X_s}(u) | X_0 = x], \quad (5)$$

where the time-effect in the law of $\{X_{t+s} | X_s\}$ enters non-homogeneously, i.e. through a function Θ_t . Hence, using (4), the right-hand side of (5) takes the form

$$\left[\frac{[1 - \xi_t(u)][1 - \xi_s(\log[1 - \Theta_t \xi_t(u)])]}{e^u [1 - \Theta_t \xi_t(u)]} \right]^r [1 - \Theta_s \xi_s(\log[1 - \Theta_t \xi_t(u)])]^x. \quad (6)$$

On the other hand, the left-hand side of (5) is given by

$$([1 - \xi_{t+s}(u)]e^{-u})^r [1 - \Theta_{t+s} \xi_{t+s}(u)]^x. \quad (7)$$

Therefore, the Chapman–Kolmogorov equations are fulfilled as long as the following equalities hold,

$$\frac{1 - q(1 - \Theta_s)(1 - \Theta_t)}{[1 - q(1 - \Theta_t)][1 - q(1 - \Theta_s)]} = \frac{1}{1 - q(1 - \Theta_{t+s})}, \quad (8)$$

and

$$\frac{1 - \Theta_s \Theta_t - q(1 - \Theta_t)(1 - \Theta_s)}{[1 - q(1 - \Theta_t)][1 - q(1 - \Theta_s)]} = \frac{1 - \Theta_{t+s}}{1 - q(1 - \Theta_{t+s})}. \quad (9)$$

Those equations are satisfied whenever

$$\psi_t \psi_s := \frac{\Theta_s \Theta_t}{[1 - q(1 - \Theta_t)][1 - q(1 - \Theta_s)]} = \frac{\Theta_{t+s}}{1 - q(1 - \Theta_{t+s})} =: \psi_{t+s},$$

whose solution is given by $\psi_t = e^{-ct}$ for $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, $\Theta_t = (1 - q)/(e^{ct} - q)$ for $c > 0$, given that $\Theta_t : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$. Therefore, for this form of Θ_t , the transition probabilities p_t satisfy the Chapman–Kolmogorov equations. $\square$

Using [Proposition 1](#) a closed form expression for the transition probabilities simplify as

$$p_t(x, x_t) = \sum_{y=0}^{x \wedge x_t} \binom{x_t + r - 1}{x_t - y} \binom{x}{y} [q(1 - \Theta_t)]^{x_t - y} [1 - q(1 - \Theta_t)]^{r+y} \Theta_t^y (1 - \Theta_t)^{x-y}. \quad (10)$$

Given the discrete state-space nature of the model we have just constructed, it is natural to think that it should coincide with a continuous-time Markov chain. Indeed, L'Hôpital's rule allows us to compute the infinitesimal rates associated to p_t as follows

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{p_t(i, j)}{t} = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} p_t(i, j).$$

Now, since $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \Theta_t = 1$ then the limit of most of the summands in $(\partial/\partial t)p_t(i, j)$, when $t \downarrow 0$, are zero. Using the equality $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{\partial \Theta_t}{\partial t} = -\frac{c}{1-q}$ we obtain

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{p_t(i, j)}{t} = \begin{cases} \frac{ci}{1-q} & \text{if } j = i - 1, \\ \frac{cq(i+r)}{1-q} & \text{if } j = i + 1, \\ -\frac{ci}{1-q} - \frac{cq(i+r)}{1-q} & \text{if } j = i, \\ 0 & \text{for } j \neq i - 1, i, i + 1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the process $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ reduces to a simple birth, death and immigration process with death rate $\mu = c/(1 - q)$, birth rate $\lambda = cq/(1 - q)$ and immigration rate $\nu = cqr/(1 - q)$, or equivalently, $q = \lambda/\mu$, $r = \nu/\lambda$ and $c = \mu - \lambda$ (cf. [Kelly, 2011](#)). It is worth noticing that, since $c > 0$, we have that $\mu > \lambda$, which is consistent with the model. Alternatively, we can characterize the above process as the birth and death process with rates $\lambda_n = \lambda n + \nu$ and $\mu_n = \mu n$, also known as linear growth process (cf. [Karlin and Taylor, 1975](#)). To the best of our knowledge expression (10) is new in the literature. In particular, if $r = 1$, $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ has a geometric stationary distribution. In such case, the rates are given by $\mu_n = \mu n$ and $\lambda_n = \lambda n + \lambda$, implying that $\lambda = \nu$, which is a variation of the simple queue, $M/M/1$, model characterized as the birth and death process with rates $\mu_n = \mu$ and $\lambda_n = \lambda$.

Furthermore, generalizing the stochastic equation (3), we deduce that the birth, death and immigration process satisfies the following generalized branching operation

$$X_t = \sum_{j=0}^{X_0} I_j(\Theta_t) + Z_t, \quad (11)$$

where $I_0 = 0$, $I_j(\alpha)$ s are i.i.d. random variables with common $NB(1, \alpha)$ distribution and Z_t follows a $NB(r + Y_t, q(1 - \Theta_t))$, with $Y_t = \sum_{j=0}^{X_0} I_j(\Theta_t)$. That is to say, the model enjoys the branching property ([Athreya, 2006](#)). Using a different approach, [Zhu and Joe \(2003\)](#) derived a different stochastic equation representation for which no closed expression for the transition function is available. In [Zhu and Joe \(2010b\)](#), a numerical inversion technique of the corresponding characteristic function is used for an approximation of transition probabilities. Stochastic equation (11), together with closed form expressions for transition probabilities, can be used for the study, estimation and simulation purposes.

Indeed, Eq. (10) completes the availability of transition probabilities for the class of continuous-time reversible Markov processes with non-negative integer values, that can be defined via a thinning operation (see [Wolpert and Brown, 2011](#)). As a twofold observation, such transitions turn out to be finite sums of positive terms, which can simplify some simulation and estimation procedures.

3. Simulation experiment

In order to evaluate the performance of our construction, we test the model with different simulated examples. We consider two different datasets simulated from (11), for the first dataset we assume equally spaced data, i.e. $\tau_n = t = 1$, while the second dataset features data generated at exponential times with intensity parameter $\lambda = 0.5$. We also consider two different simulation scenarios: the first one consists in a single dataset of 1000 observations; the second one consists in 100 different datasets of 1000 observations. In both cases, we run single experiments taking in consideration the first 250 observations, the first 500 and the full dataset. We keep fixed different values of the parameters of interest, in particular, we are interested in the estimation of the parameters of the negative-binomial distribution, (q, r) , namely the probability of success in each experiment, $q \in (0, 1)$, and the number of failures until the experiment is stopped ($r \geq 0$), respectively. In addition to these two parameters, we need to estimate the dependency parameter c .

A Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE) approach is adopted in both scenarios. We set the parameter c equal to 0.5 and 1. Regarding the negative binomial distribution, we set the probability of success parameter in each experiment to $q = 0.3, 0.5$ and 0.7 , and the number of failures parameter, r , to 2 and 5. In [Tables 1](#) and [2](#), we report the results for

Table 1

Maximum Likelihood Estimation for different sample sizes T and for different parameter values (r, q, c) for one experiment and for equally spaced data.

True value	r 2	q 0.30	c 0.50	r 2	q 0.50	c 0.50	r 2	q 0.70	c 0.50
$T = 250$	2.3804	0.2864	0.4721	2.6790	0.4468	0.5667	2.5355	0.6400	0.6073
$T = 500$	2.8200	0.2445	0.5548	2.9365	0.4337	0.5282	2.1239	0.6949	0.5553
$T = 1000$	2.0177	0.3103	0.4854	2.0756	0.4980	0.4939	2.0436	0.6937	0.5072
True value	2	0.30	1	2	0.50	1	2	0.70	1
$T = 250$	2.1469	0.2732	1.1226	1.6836	0.5787	1.0903	1.9114	0.7086	0.7839
$T = 500$	2.0623	0.2894	0.9673	2.0326	0.5291	1.0183	2.0758	0.6979	0.8350
$T = 1000$	2.0100	0.2819	1.0253	2.0574	0.5114	1.0163	2.0578	0.6832	0.9758
True value	5	0.30	0.50	5	0.50	0.50	5	0.70	0.50
$T = 250$	6.9482	0.2568	0.4556	5.4891	0.4695	0.5093	4.4857	0.7421	0.5067
$T = 500$	6.6369	0.2501	0.4672	5.9744	0.4463	0.5571	4.3819	0.7364	0.4583
$T = 1000$	5.0930	0.2985	0.4930	5.0801	0.4773	0.5654	5.0734	0.6938	0.5212
True value	5	0.30	1	5	0.50	1	5	0.70	1
$T = 250$	5.4157	0.3010	0.9969	6.2832	0.4275	1.5045	4.4588	0.7227	0.8783
$T = 500$	5.7240	0.2872	1.0208	4.8124	0.4991	1.1054	4.9064	0.7015	0.8917
$T = 1000$	5.0294	0.3101	0.9307	5.0545	0.4897	0.9837	4.9891	0.7002	0.9844

Table 2

Maximum Likelihood Estimation for different sample sizes, T , and for different parameter values (r, q, c) , over 100 different simulations for equally spaced data.

True value	r 2	q 0.30	c 0.50	r 2	q 0.50	c 0.50	r 2	q 0.70	c 0.50
$T = 250$	5.1924 (18.8061)	0.2831 (0.1119)	0.5298 (0.1158)	2.1449 (0.6582)	0.4924 (0.0763)	0.5257 (0.1046)	2.0586 (0.3654)	0.6953 (0.0427)	0.5112 (0.0897)
$T = 500$	4.1335 (16.5762)	0.2851 (0.0908)	0.5113 (0.0789)	2.0658 (0.4179)	0.4944 (0.0583)	0.6959 (1.8185)	2.0397 (0.2776)	0.6960 (0.0309)	0.5075 (0.0633)
$T = 1000$	2.2101 (0.7434)	0.2909 (0.0583)	0.5064 (0.0527)	2.0330 (0.2559)	0.4963 (0.0369)	0.5085 (0.0529)	2.0543 (0.1811)	0.6949 (0.0208)	0.5077 (0.0442)
True value	2	0.30	1	2	0.50	1	2	0.70	1
$T = 250$	3.9394 (16.5737)	0.2951 (0.0838)	1.0471 (0.1967)	2.0887 (0.5116)	0.4954 (0.0586)	1.0664 (0.1853)	2.0367 (0.3389)	0.6959 (0.0406)	1.0327 (0.1966)
$T = 500$	2.1304 (0.6029)	0.2976 (0.0576)	1.0227 (0.1520)	2.0953 (0.3552)	0.4940 (0.0457)	1.0201 (0.1362)	2.0202 (0.2007)	0.6960 (0.0263)	1.0197 (0.1264)
$T = 1000$	2.0593 (0.3889)	0.2991 (0.0438)	1.0018 (0.0915)	2.0367 (0.2715)	0.4993 (0.0352)	1.0018 (0.0892)	2.0385 (0.1592)	0.6954 (0.0189)	1.0149 (0.0915)
True value	5	0.30	0.50	5	0.50	0.50	5	0.70	0.50
$T = 250$	10.0632 (22.6397)	0.2617 (0.0974)	0.5287 (0.0948)	5.5172 (1.6552)	0.4862 (0.0718)	0.5165 (0.1014)	5.2965 (1.1201)	0.6897 (0.0451)	0.5176 (0.0901)
$T = 500$	5.9747 (2.6223)	0.2823 (0.0692)	0.5134 (0.0634)	5.2108 (0.9452)	0.4947 (0.0477)	0.5069 (0.0638)	5.1213 (0.7501)	0.6968 (0.0307)	0.5091 (0.0640)
$T = 1000$	5.5866 (1.3622)	0.2838 (0.0456)	0.5152 (0.0486)	5.0699 (0.5839)	0.4992 (0.0301)	0.4986 (0.0403)	5.0455 (0.4948)	0.6992 (0.0210)	0.5047 (0.0420)
True value	5	0.30	1	5	0.50	1	5	0.70	1
$T = 250$	6.1472 (3.4029)	0.2842 (0.0736)	1.0795 (0.1740)	5.3822 (1.0049)	0.4847 (0.0486)	1.0574 (0.1976)	5.1757 (0.6896)	0.6949 (0.0284)	1.0442 (0.1577)
$T = 500$	5.6657 (1.9795)	0.2872 (0.0572)	1.0382 (0.1207)	5.2481 (0.7683)	0.4894 (0.0373)	1.0327 (0.1202)	5.0804 (0.5299)	0.6976 (0.0221)	1.0281 (0.1020)
$T = 1000$	5.4636 (1.1945)	0.2864 (0.0412)	1.0259 (0.0848)	5.1530 (0.5224)	0.4933 (0.0286)	1.0156 (0.0965)	5.0521 (0.3779)	0.6985 (0.0157)	1.0214 (0.0790)

different values of r , q and c for a single chain and over 100 different datasets respectively, when the data are assumed to be equally spaced. In particular, we report the means over the 100 experiments and the standard deviations (in brackets). Clearly considering the full dataset improves the results, opposed to the case with only a portion of it (i.e. 250 or 500 observations). The same analysis has been conducted for data generated at exponential times with intensity parameter $\lambda = 0.5$. In Table 3, we report the means and the standard deviations over 100 different datasets simulated at exponential times. Therefore, the evidence suggests the estimation method works correctly for the negative-binomial Markov chain and we can proceed by applying it to real data experiment, as we do in the following section.

Table 3
Maximum Likelihood Estimation for different sample sizes, T , and for different parameter values, (r, q, c) , over 100 different simulations for exponential times data.

True value	r 2	q 0.30	c 0.50	r 2	q 0.50	c 0.50	r 2	q 0.70	c 0.50
$T = 250$	4.6510 (16.6464)	0.2704 (0.1039)	0.6571 (0.1036)	2.1959 (0.6127)	0.4853 (0.0707)	0.6936 (0.1325)	2.0938 (0.4131)	0.6933 (0.0466)	0.6990 (0.1225)
$T = 500$	2.3150 (0.7978)	0.2853 (0.0660)	0.6468 (0.0820)	2.0589 (0.4692)	0.4977 (0.0521)	1.8029 (4.5160)	2.0238 (0.2782)	0.7005 (0.0290)	0.6789 (0.0898)
$T = 1000$	2.1454 (0.5590)	0.2939 (0.0463)	0.6396 (0.0553)	2.0396 (0.2690)	0.4960 (0.0337)	0.6646 (0.0581)	2.0035 (0.2035)	0.7017 (0.0213)	0.6695 (0.0620)
True value	2	0.30	1	2	0.50	1	2	0.70	1
$T = 250$	5.2547 (20.5733)	0.2882 (0.0962)	1.0930 (0.2365)	2.1036 (0.5095)	0.4928 (0.0609)	1.1165 (0.2205)	2.1026 (0.3163)	0.6900 (0.0374)	1.1219 (0.2281)
$T = 500$	2.3421 (1.1416)	0.2882 (0.0713)	1.0730 (0.1621)	2.0841 (0.3529)	0.4926 (0.0436)	1.0943 (0.1541)	2.0280 (0.2246)	0.6976 (0.0274)	1.0770 (0.1502)
$T = 1000$	2.1014 (0.5394)	0.2976 (0.0488)	1.0640 (0.1107)	2.0347 (0.2313)	0.4958 (0.0279)	1.0844 (0.1052)	1.9933 (0.1611)	0.7004 (0.0190)	1.0767 (0.0975)
True value	5	0.30	0.50	5	0.50	0.50	5	0.70	0.50
$T = 250$	8.4702 (16.8198)	0.2829 (0.0981)	0.6920 (0.1304)	5.5603 (1.8687)	0.4872 (0.0760)	0.6865 (0.1423)	5.1636 (1.0704)	0.6961 (0.0396)	0.7036 (0.1317)
$T = 500$	5.5907 (1.9261)	0.2916 (0.0635)	0.6687 (0.0859)	5.3010 (1.0392)	0.4905 (0.0504)	0.6853 (0.1035)	5.1234 (0.6104)	0.6963 (0.0256)	0.6959 (0.0799)
$T = 1000$	5.4972 (1.3966)	0.2898 (0.0496)	0.6628 (0.0543)	5.1664 (0.8282)	0.4960 (0.0395)	0.6774 (0.0684)	5.0589 (0.4402)	0.6985 (0.0186)	0.6933 (0.0651)
True value	5	0.30	1	5	0.50	1	5	0.70	1
$T = 250$	7.3376 (6.9890)	0.2749 (0.0905)	1.1729 (0.2769)	5.2690 (1.3803)	0.4952 (0.0632)	1.1158 (0.2066)	5.1834 (0.8076)	0.6933 (0.0331)	1.1725 (0.2278)
$T = 500$	5.7321 (1.6732)	0.2837 (0.0565)	1.1232 (0.1638)	5.0035 (0.8465)	0.5035 (0.0412)	1.0971 (0.1548)	5.0477 (0.5084)	0.6984 (0.0224)	1.1411 (0.1550)
$T = 1000$	5.3743 (1.1959)	0.2924 (0.0439)	2.3472 (5.0475)	5.0271 (0.5372)	0.5011 (0.0269)	1.0922 (0.0993)	5.0267 (0.3634)	0.6994 (0.0158)	1.1170 (0.1032)

Fig. 1. Monthly number of offensive conduct reports in Blacktown from January 1995 to December 2014 (left panel) and sample autocorrelation functions of the series (right panel).

4. Application to crime data

This section is devoted to the study of the monthly number of offensive conduct reported in the city of Blacktown, Australia, from January 1995 to December 2014. Following Gorgi (2018), we employ our time series approach to the New South Wales (NSW) dataset of police reports provided by the NSW Bureau of Crime Statistics and Research and currently available at <http://www.bocsar.nsw.gov.au/>.

Fig. 1 shows the plot of the time series and the presence of two peaks, related to high level of criminal activities in 2002 and 2010. Looking at the data, the sample mean and variance are 9.2625 and 24.253, respectively. As suggested by Gorgi (2018), this indicates over-dispersion, and thus a negative binomial distribution for the error term is a suitable assumption for the data.

In Table 4, we compare the estimation values of (r, q, c) and the computational times of our exact transition probability (Exact), Joe’s transition probability by computing numerically the integral in Matlab (Numerical Inversion 1) and Joe’s transition probability by using an arbitrary precision integral (Numerical Inversion 2). The results confirm that the three methods lead to the same results, but our transition probability is much faster than the other two methods, moving from

Table 4

Comparison of the estimation values and computational timing (in seconds) between our transition probability (Exact) and the Joe's transition probability (Numerical Inversion 1 and Numerical Inversion 2).

Transition probability	(r, q, c)	Time (in s)
Exact	(6.0865, 0.6031, 0.6848)	17.65 s
Numerical Inversion 1	(6.0865, 0.6486, 0.7237)	2575.60 s
Numerical Inversion 2	(6.5110, 0.4128, 0.9002)	17085.02 s

Table 5

Forecast mean square error and log score criterion computed using the last 100 observations for different forecast horizons h .

	$h = 1$	$h = 2$	$h = 3$	$h = 4$
Mean square error	15.461	17.627	19.794	21.138
Log score criterion	−2.731	−2.797	−2.857	−2.873

17 s to 46 min (for the numerical integration) and 5 h (for the arbitrary precision integral). Due to the high time consuming algorithm, in the forecasting approach, we decide to run only our method.

We perform an out-of-sample experiment to compare our results with the forecast performances in Gorgi (2018). We further divide the time series into two subsamples: the first 140 observations are the in-sample analysis and the last 100 observations are the out-of-sample analysis or forecasting evaluation sample. In particular, the in-sample analysis is expanded recursively. The accuracy of the forecasting procedure is measured in terms of both point and density forecasting capabilities. Hence, we evaluate the point forecast accuracy via the mean square error (MSE) given by

$$\text{MSE} = \frac{1}{100} \sum_{i=1}^{100} (\hat{y}_{T+i} - y_{T+i})^2. \quad (12)$$

On the other hand, we measure the density forecasting accuracy by means of the log predictive score criterion given by

$$\text{PL} = \frac{1}{100} \sum_{i=1}^{100} \log \hat{p}_{T+i|T+i-1}(y_{T+i}). \quad (13)$$

Table 5 shows the results in terms of point and density forecasting at different horizons h . We note that our model performs well, when compared to the different models proposed in Gorgi (2018), in particular when compared to the GAS-NBINAR model. Overall, we can conclude that the negative-binomial continuous-time Markov process performs well for estimation and forecasting purposes.

5. Conclusions

We present a closed form expression for the transition density of a negative-binomial continuous time Markov model. This model can be used in both, discrete and continuous time, and it is of great interest when modeling integer-valued time series. Our construction results in simpler simulation and estimation procedures. Furthermore, it links nicely with models coming from the continuous time Markov chains literature, thus opening a gateway for its use also in that area.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Editor, Associate Editor and an anonymous reviewer for the useful comments which significantly improved the presentation and quality of the paper. Fabrizio Leisen was supported by the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme [FP7/2007–2013] under grant agreement no: 630677. Ramsés H. Mena gratefully acknowledges the support of CONTEX, Mexico project 2018-9B. Freddy Palma acknowledge the support of CONACT project 241195. Luca Rossini has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement no 796902.

References

- Al-Osh, M.A., Aly, E.-E.A.A., 1992. First order autoregressive time series with negative binomial and geometric marginals. *Comm. Statist. Theory Methods* 21 (9), 2483–2492.
- Al-Osh, M.A., Alzaid, A.A., 1987. First-order integer-valued autoregressive (INAR(1)) process. *J. Time Series Anal.* 8 (3), 261–275.
- Alzaid, A.A., Al-osh, M., 1990. An integer-valued pth-order autoregressive structure (INAR(p)) process. *J. Appl. Probab.* 27 (2), 314–324.
- Anzarut, M., Mena, R.H., Nava, C.R., Prünster, I., 2018. Poisson-driven stationary Markov models. *J. Bus. Econom. Statist.* 36 (4), 684–694.
- Athreya, K.B., 2006. Branching process. In: *Encyclopedia of Environmetrics* 1.
- Barndorff-Nielsen, O.E., Shephard, N., 2001. Non-Gaussian Ornstein–Uhlenbeck-based models and some of their uses in financial economics. *J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B Stat. Methodol.* 63 (2), 167–241.

- Contreras-Cristán, A., Mena, R.H., Walker, S.G., 2009. On the construction of stationary AR(1) models via random distributions. *Statistics* 43 (3), 227–240.
- Davis, R., Holan, S., Lund, R., Ravishanker, R., 2016. *Handbook of Discrete-Valued Time Series*. CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group.
- Du, J.D., Li, Y., 1991. The integer-valued autoregressive (INAR(p)) model. *J. Time Series Anal.* 12 (2), 129–142.
- Feller, W., 1950. *An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications*. Wiley.
- Gorgi, P., 2018. Integer-valued autoregressive models with survival probability driven by a stochastic recurrence equation. *J. Time Series Anal.* 39 (2), 150–171.
- Joe, H., 1996. Time series models with univariate margins in the convolution-closed infinitely divisible class. *J. Appl. Probab.* 664–677.
- Jørgensen, B., Song, P.X.-K., 1998. Stationary time series models with exponential dispersion model margins. *J. Appl. Probab.* 78–92.
- Karlin, S., Taylor, H., 1975. *A First Course in Stochastic Processes*. Academic Press, New York.
- Kelly, F., 2011. *Reversibility and Stochastic Networks*. Cambridge University Press.
- Latour, A., 1998. Existence and stochastic structure of a non-negative integer-valued autoregressive process. *J. Time Series Anal.* 439–455.
- McKenzie, E., 1986. Autoregressive moving-average processes with negative-binomial and geometric marginal distributions. *Adv. Appl. Probab.* 18 (3), 679–705.
- McKenzie, E., 1988. Some ARMA models for dependent sequences of Poisson counts. *Adv. Appl. Probab.* 20 (4), 822–835.
- McKenzie, E., 2003. Discrete Variate Time Series. In: *Handbook of Statistics*, vol. 21, Elsevier, pp. 573–606.
- Mena, R.H., Walker, S.G., 2005. Stationary autoregressive models via a Bayesian nonparametric approach. *J. Time Series Anal.* 26 (6), 789–805.
- Mena, R.H., Walker, S.G., 2009. On a construction of Markov models in continuous time. *Metron* 67 (3), 303–323.
- Pitt, M.K., Chatfield, C., Walker, S.G., 2002. Constructing first order stationary autoregressive models via latent processes. *Scand. J. Stat.* 29 (4), 657–663.
- Pitt, M.K., Walker, S.G., 2005. Constructing stationary time series models using auxiliary variables with applications. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* 100 (470), 554–564.
- Steutel, F., van Harn, K., 2003. Infinite Divisibility of Probability Distributions on the Real Line. In: *Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Chapman and Hall/CRC (Book 259).
- Wolpert, R.L., Brown, L.D., 2011. *Markov Infinitely-Divisible Stationary Time-Reversible Integer-Valued Processes*. Technical report, Duke University.
- Zhu, R., Joe, H., 2003. A new type of discrete self-decomposability and its application to continuous-time Markov processes for modeling count data time series. *Stoch. Models* (19), 235–254.
- Zhu, R., Joe, H., 2010a. Count data time series models based on expectation thinning. *Stoch. Models* (26), 431–462.
- Zhu, R., Joe, H., 2010b. Negative binomial time series models based on expectation thinning operators. *J. Statist. Plann. Inference* (140), 1874–1888.