ABSTRACT
In this paper we describe a methodological approach for porting cultural repositories to the Semantic Web, focusing on the global picture of the required mappings and alignments.
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1. INTRODUCTION AND APPROACH
This work is done in the context of the MultimediaN E-Culture project [1], the objective of which is to create a large virtual collection of cultural-heritage objects that supports semantic search. In this project we built a demonstrator1 where multiple collections and vocabularies are converted to RDF/OWL and are aligned semantically. These include the leading Dutch art and ethnographic collections and vocabularies such AAT and TGN from Getty2 and the Dutch ethnographic thesaurus SVCN3.

From these conversions we generalized a methodological approach to convert a new collection to RDF/OWL. Typically, a collection consists of two parts: the object descriptions (metadata) and an in-house vocabulary (thesaurus). We propose a four-step process summarized in Fig. 1 which includes the following steps:

1. Thesaurus conversion, metadata schema mapping, metadata mapping and thesaurus alignment.

In this paper we illustrate the process with examples of the Bibliopolis collection4 from the National Library of the Netherlands. The collection consists of 1,645 images related to book-printing accompanied by a thesaurus containing 1,033 terms used as keywords for indexing images. Both the thesaurus and the metadata are bilingual (English and Dutch).

Figure 1: The four activities for converting a collection.

2. THESAURUS CONVERSION
Thesaurus schema mapping and conversion is a relatively well-researched area. In our work we use SKOS5 as the thesaurus schema and the method for thesauri conversion proposed by van Assem [2].

3. METADATA SCHEMA MAPPING
In this activity we map the original record fields to the VRA Core scheme which is a specialization of Dublin Core6 for visual resources (our target type of resources).

Table 1 shows several conversion rules from the XML record fields to a VRA metadata schema with examples. Most of the target properties could be found in VRA, however, several specializations were needed such as vra:subject.geographicPlace which is a specialization of vra:subject as shown in Table 1 for field TWGEO.
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Table 1: Part of the Bibliopolis metadata conversion rules

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Conversion Rule</th>
<th>Source value and target RDF/n3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TITEL</td>
<td>Title in Dutch</td>
<td>Create literal and language tag</td>
<td>source: Delftse Bijbel... target: vra:title &quot;Delftse Bijbel...&quot;@nl ;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TITEL_EN</td>
<td>Title in English</td>
<td>Create literal and language tag</td>
<td>source: Delft Bible... target: vra:title &quot;Delft Bible...&quot;@en ;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAKER</td>
<td>Creator and his marker for role</td>
<td>Extract name and role marker, create URI and label for name and convert marker to role, create role as subproperty of vra:creator</td>
<td>source: Yemantszoon, Mauricius d comment: d stands for 'drukker' meaning 'printer' target: bp:drukker bp:Yemantszoon_Mauricius ; bp:Yemantszoon_Mauricius rdf:type ulan:person ; rdfs:label &quot;Yemantszoon Mauricius&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TWOND</td>
<td>Thesaurus term used as subject</td>
<td>Create mapping to thesaurus</td>
<td>source: typografische vormgeving target: vra:subject bp:typografische_vormgeving ;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TWGEO</td>
<td>Place used for work</td>
<td>Create mapping to TGN where possible or keep literal</td>
<td>source: Drift target: vra:subject.geographicPlace tgn:7006804 ;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Statistics for the Bibliopolis data and other vocabularies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Data</th>
<th>Vocabulary</th>
<th>Terms Mapped Total</th>
<th>Instances Mapped Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thesaurus</td>
<td>AAT</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>1033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metadata technique</td>
<td>AAT</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metadata object type</td>
<td>AAT</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metadata subject place</td>
<td>TGN</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. METADATA VALUE MAPPING

After the schema is created the data values of the fields have to be converted. We have two kinds of fields: those that contain free-text literal values, such as the fields vra:title and vra:description, and those that contain values from (implicit) vocabularies, such as the fields for keywords or geographic places. In the latter case we distinguish between four kinds of vocabularies to which the field value can be mapped:

1. The local vocabulary such as an in-house thesaurus of keywords.
2. A standard external vocabulary such as AAT.
3. A vocabulary that is implicitly present in the field values, e.g. value ‘I: S. Dali’ of field vra:creator, where ‘I’ stands for his role as an illustrator and is part of a vocabulary of roles.
4. Terms that do belong to a vocabulary, which is either unknown or the alignment of the term to the vocabulary cannot be determined.

At this stage we either replace fields values with existing vocabulary terms (options 1 and 2), or we create new RDF resources to represent the terms (options 3 and 4) performing their alignment at the last stage.

5. THESAURUS ALIGNMENT

The local thesaurus and the newly created vocabularies extracted from the data need to be aligned with the standard vocabularies. In the ontology mapping field, virtually all methods rely on the richness of relations between ontological concepts. In contrary, thesauri often use just broader/narrower or related relations. Therefore thesaurus alignment techniques need to rely heavily on label matching. For example, we aligned the Bibliopolis thesaurus to AAT by syntactically matching the Dutch skos:prefLabel to the Dutch translation of AAT preferred terms and mapped 209 concepts out of 1033 as presented in Table 2.

We use the SKOS Mapping Vocabulary specification created for the purpose of linking thesauri to each other with relationships skos:exactMatch, skos:broadMatch, etc. For this alignment the mappings are still based on the lexical match of term labels, that corresponds to the relation skos:exactMatch. Geographical names, however, form a frequent exception. With a few additional simple restrictions, a lexical match gives enough confidence to generate a semantic match as strong as owl:sameAs. As an example, a mapping to “Paris”, known to be a city in France, can be made with owl:sameAs.

6. CONCLUSION

With thesauri being nearly the only way to link multiple repositories on the semantic web, we provide a practical methodology for aligning thesauri and metadata for the cultural heritage domain.
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