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Introduction
Depression is considered to be one of the most prevalent psychiatric disorders among older adults (Blazer, 1994). This has led to a growing number of studies in which the impact of potential risk factors on both the onset and the course of depression in older adults is evaluated. The aim of the study was to examine mode effects of face-to-face interviews versus mail questionnaires on depression scores and test potential interactions between mode of data collection and sex and age of the respondents. In the study sample, which at the outset consisted of 327 depressed and 325 non-depressed older adults (55–85 years) drawn from a larger random community based sample in the Netherlands, depression was measured in successive waves (cycles), using the Center for Epidemiologic Studies of Depression scale (CES-D). With mode of data collection and sex and age of the respondents as independent variables, differences in CES-D scores were analyzed. The CES-D scores were higher when collected by mail questionnaires than when face-to-face interviews were used. No systematic interactions between sex and age of the respondents with mode of data collection were found. For the scores based on mail questionnaires, a transformation is proposed, resulting in scores that are comparable to those obtained by interviews. In studying depression in older adults, more cost-effective mail questionnaires may be used in addition to face-to-face interviews, provided that a transformation is performed before embarking on the analysis.
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those obtained from the face-to-face interviews is proposed, resulting in scores comparable to procedure of the answers obtained from the mail questionnaires. However, it is generally assumed that questions on depression are difficult to answer, and in face-to-face interviews the response to mail questionnaires in explaining procedures, solving misunderstandings and reducing (items) non-response (De Leon, 1992). Those advantages of face-to-face interviews over mail questionnaires have led to the opinion that the former mode may be superior in terms of validity in depression research.

Studies on depression comparing face-to-face interviews with mail questionnaires have led to controversial results. In some studies, mail questionnaires yielded significantly higher depression scores (Buus and Oliver, 1983; Aquilino, 1998), whereas in other studies no such mode effect was found (Kings and Backlund, 1982; Bryson and Pylon, 1984). It has also been suggested that there is an interaction between the mode of data collection and the sex of the respondents. In face-to-face interviews males might be less willing to reveal depression because of the fear of receiving a negative evaluation from the interviewer (Buus and Oliver, 1981). Mode effects on sensitive topics such as depression may also be related to the age of the respondents. Although empirical studies on mode effects among older adults are scarce, there are indications that especially older respondents' answer behavior differs in mail and face-to-face data collection procedures (Herting and Kolka, 1989).

In the present study, data on the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression scale (CES-D) (Radloff, 1977) were collected at eight successive waves over a period of three years. In the first and the last wave, data were collected using face-to-face interviews, and in the intermediate period mail questionnaires were used. The study was designed to evaluate the effect of the mode of data collection and the sex of the respondents on depressive symptoms. In the intermediate period mail questionnaires were used. This procedure enables the study of a mode effect, a transformation procedure of the answers- obtained from the mail questionnaires is proposed, resulting in scores comparable to those obtained from the face-to-face interviews.

Methods

Sampling and procedures

The present study is part of the Longitudinal Aging Study Amsterdam (LASA), which is a 10-year interdisciplinary study on predictors and consequences of changes in autonomy and well-being in the aging population (Doeg and Westendorp de Suriaé, 1994). Full details on sampling and response have been described elsewhere (Bekman, Doeg, Van Limbeek, Braam, De Vries, Van Tilburg, 1995). At baseline (t0), 306 interviews were available. On the CES-D (Radloff, 1977), 454 respondents (14.9%) had a score of at least 16, which is the generally accepted cut-off score for a clinically relevant depressive syndrome (Berkman, Berkman, Kalb, Freeman, Leo, Crotty-Huntly and Brody, 1986; Berkman et al., 1997). All depressed subjects, and a random sample of non-depressed subjects were asked to participate in a side study concerning late life depression, which involved a diagnostic interview at the beginning and a series of follow-up measurements by means of mail questionnaires. The sample participating in this side study consisted of a depressed cohort (n = 327) and a non-depressed cohort (n = 325). Non-response was associated with age (p < 0.001), but not with sex. Follow-up data on depressive symptoms were collected at five-monthly intervals in seven successive waves (t1 to t7), covering a period of three years. At t1 to t7, data on depressive symptoms were collected by mail questionnaires, and in the final wave (t7), the same face-to-face mode of interviewing was used as at t0. For the present study, we used CES-D data from the first and the last wave (t0 and t7, both interview data), and those from the second and the penultimate wave (t1 and t6, both mail questionnaire data). Response at t0, at t1, and at t7 were 74.5%, 66.6% and 72.1%, respectively. Attrition after t0 was associated with age (p < 0.001) and depression (p < 0.001) but not with sex.

Measurements

Depressive symptoms were measured using the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression scale (CES-D) (Radloff, 1977). This is a 20-item self-report scale developed to measure depressive symptoms in the community. The 20 symptom items constitute four subscales: depressive affect, positive affect, somatic complaints, and interpersonal problems (Radloff, 1977; Smit, Van den Eeden, Doeg, Berkman, 1995). Subjects were asked how often they experienced each symptom during the previous week. Items were scored...
In the LASA baseline sample (t0) 14.9% of the respondents had a clinically relevant depressive syndrome (Beekman et al., 1996; Beckman et al., 1997). The psychometric properties of the scale were found to be good in older populations (Radloff and Tcci, 1986; Beckman, Van Limbeek, Deeg, Wouters, Van Tilburg, 1994). At t0 and t7, CES-D scores were collected using face-to-face interviews and at t0 to t6, mail questionnaires were used. The face-to-face interviews were administered by trained interviewers at the respondents' residences. The respondents were requested to answer the questions while a card listing the response categories and their codes were presented. The interviewers were trained to restrict their interference with the interview to a minimum, and the interviews were audiotaped in order to perform quality control on interviewer behavior. In the mail questionnaires, the question order, the question wording and the response categories and their codes were identical to those in the face-to-face interviews. In both the face-to-face interviews and the mail questionnaires, the reliability indexed by Cronbach's alpha was high (a = 0.89).

Data-analysis
In the LASA baseline sample (t0) 14.9% of the respondents scored 16 or higher on the CES-D and in the present study sample this was estimated to be 50%. Due to this sampling procedure, inverse sampling probability weights (respondents scoring ≥ 16: respondents scoring < 16 = 51: 1; 14.9% had to be applied in order to achieve a sample which is representative for the original sample. The non-depressed subjects were given a weight of 1.70 and those depressed at baseline were given a weight of 0.30. In all stages of the analysis, CES-D (scale) scores, collected by two different modes, were the dependent variables.

CES-D data were collected by different modes at successive waves with intervals of five months over a period of three years, and thus in analyzing mode effects time-related changes in CES-D scores had to be taken into account. Real changes and artefactual changes can be distinguished. In this study, only scores at two waves the sequence was reversed (t1 mail questionnaire; t0 interview), had to be accommodated for. In order to cope with the above, two separate analyses were performed. In the first analysis, where potential bias due to selective attrition was avoided by using the largest possible number of respondents (n = 519), CES-D scores at t0 were compared with those at t1. For the second analysis, where potential bias due to test effects and sequence effects were ruled out, we averaged CES-D scores at t0 and t1 (both interview data) and at t6 (both mail questionnaire) for respondents with valid observations at all four occasions (n = 369).

Data were analyzed, using multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) for repeated measurements, with mode (face-to-face interview versus mail questionnaire) as within-subjects-factor and sex and age of respondents at t1 as between-subjects factors. Age was dichotomized at the median (a70/>70). As the CES-D scale is highly skewed with a minimum of zero, all analyses were repeated, using a logarithmic transformation of the scale score plus one.

To examine whether a mode effect exists on each of the four subscales of the CES-D (Radloff, 1977), the same analyses were repeated at the subscale level (depressed affect, positive affect, somatic complaints, and interpersonal problems). In preliminary analysis, both in the face-to-face interview data and in the mail questionnaire data, the presence of the four subscales was examined using factor analysis (analysis of principal components with varimax rotation).

In the case of a mode effect (higher depression scores in the mail questionnaires than in the face-to-face interviews), a transformation is proposed in order to make the CES-D data collected by mail questionnaires at t1 to t6 compatible with the data collected by interviewers at t0 to t7. A logarithmic transformation is defined as similarity with respect to important test parameters such as the mean, the standard deviation and the percentage scoring a16 the...
percentage scoring at the cut-off score of 16 or above, thereby preserving interpretable scores and not affecting the original inter-individual differences. These conditions are most likely to be fulfilled when using a generalized T-score transformation (Nunnally, 1978; Kohn, Berkman, Evans, Cornoni-Huntley, 1993). The transformation involves three steps: first, the standardizing (z-score) transformation is applied to each raw score using the formula: $X_{trans} = \frac{(X_i - m_i)}{o_i} \times o_t + m_t$ where $X_{trans}$ = scores on the transformed scale $i$ = wave number of mail questionnaire ($1-6$) $X_i$ = scores on the original scale at $t_i$ $m_i, o_i$ = mean and standard deviation of $X_i$ $m_t, o_t$ = mean and standard deviation of the criterion distribution at $t$. In the presence of differences, adjustments will have to be made. In formula:

$X_{trans} = \frac{(X_i - m_i)}{o_i} \times o_t + m_t$

where $X_{trans}$ = scores on the transformed scale

$i$ = wave number of mail questionnaire ($1-6$)

$X_i$ = scores on the original scale at $t_i$

$m_i, o_i$ = mean and standard deviation of $X_i$

$m_t, o_t$ = mean and standard deviation of the criterion distribution at $t$.

Results

In Table 1, mean CES-D scores are presented for the weighted sample. Comparison of mean CES-D scores at $t_0$ (7.81) with those at $t_1$ (9.85; difference: 2.04) and at $t_6$ (10.55) with those at $t_7$ (7.92; difference: 2.63) suggests a mode effect. The averaged scores of $t_0$ and $t_7$ (both interview data) and of $t_1$ and $t_6$ (both mail questionnaire data) were 7.07 and 9.75, resulting in a difference of 2.68 ($t = 10.07, df = 368, p < 0.001$).

Table 2 presents the results of the first MANOVA analysis. Instead of a full factorial model, a model without the interaction term of sex and age is given, as there was no significant interaction between these factors. The demographic variables sex and age at $t_0$ had an effect on CES-D scores. Woman and older people had relatively higher depression scores both at $t_0$ and at $t$.

The mode effect was significant: CES-D scores were higher at $t_1$ than at $t_7$. No interactions between demographic characteristics and mode of data collection were found. In the logarithmic transformation condition, the results were essentially the same as those of the first analysis. In the logarithmic transformation condition, the results were essentially the same as those of the first analysis (data not shown). To check whether differences in variance among subgroups affected the results, stratified analyses assessing mode effect among younger old and older old males and females were performed. In all analyses, the same (highly significant) mode effect was found (data not shown). For all subgroups a significant mode effect was found, the most prominent of which applied to the positive affect subscale (Table 4).

Table 1: Mean CES-D scores at $t_0$ and $t_1$ for sex, age and mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Interview</th>
<th>Mail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>&gt; 70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score</td>
<td>7.55</td>
<td>7.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 70</td>
<td>10.52</td>
<td>13.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>&gt; 70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score</td>
<td>7.55</td>
<td>7.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 70</td>
<td>10.52</td>
<td>13.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significance tests are $F$ ratios from MANOVA: mode effect (see table), sex effect: $F_{sex}=38.36, p < 0.001$; age effect: $F_{age}=70.70, p < 0.001$.
The mail questionnaire data (t1 to t6) were transformed by a T-score transformation. As there were no systematic interactions between mode effect and sex and age of the respondents, one transformation was performed for male and female subjects in all age groups. Thus, CES-D scores were transformed, with no adjustment made for sex and age. The mean and the standard deviation at t0 and at t7 were essentially the same (Table 1), so only those at t0 were used as criterion parameters. Results of the transformation are presented in Table 5. As intended, important characteristics of the CES-D scores, such as the mean and standard deviation and the percentage scoring ≥16 have become very similar.

**Discussion**

The need for prospective longitudinal community-based studies on depression in older adults leads to the question of whether there are economical ways of data collection that may be used in addition to face-to-face interviews. Data collected by different modes were therefore compared. In the present study, mode effects of face-to-face interviews versus self-administered mail questionnaires on CES-D scores were examined. As expected, differences in CES-D scores were observed between the two data collection modes. CES-D scores were significantly higher when they were collected by mail questionnaires than when face-to-face interviews were used. These results replicate the findings of an earlier study using the same scale to assess symptom severity in younger adults (Aquilino, 1998). The differences were demonstrated in each of the four subscales, and they were particularly notable in the positive affect items (such as ‘I felt hopeful about the future’). It might be argued that positive formulations exert emotional or psychosocial pressure on the respondent to choose an answer in the direction in which the question is formulated, more than negative formulations do (Smit et al., 1995). In the context of the CES-D, and in line with our findings, this may mean that respondents in an interview setting will tend to respond relatively more positively (and thus possibly in a more socially desirable way) on the positive affect items than in mail questionnaires, where no such emotional/psychosocial pressure can be exerted. Concerning the impact of sex and age on mode effects, no systematic interactions between these characteristics and mode of data collection were found. Thus, the mode effect was the same for males and females in all age groups.

Considering the results, it is clear that if CES-D data collected by mail questionnaires and by face-to-face interviews are to be used in one study, a transformation is necessary. A mode effect was found on each of the four subscales of the CES-D, and thus the total scale was transformed. A generalized T-score transformation was shown to be satisfactory (Nunnally, 1978; Kohout et al., 1993). As mean CES-D scores at the beginning and at the end of the follow-up were essentially the same, thereby indicating stability over time, the transformation yielded equal mean depression scores at successive waves. However, the transformation did preserve variability at the individual level, which is the matter of interest in most studies. The mail questionnaire data were transformed using the face-to-face interview data as a criterion. Face-to-face interviews are superior over mail questionnaires in explaining procedures, solving misunderstandings and reducing item non-responses (De Leeuw, 1992).

### Table 3: Mean of averaged CES-D scores at t1 and t6 for sex, age, and mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interview</td>
<td>mail</td>
<td>mail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7.07</td>
<td>9.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex Male</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>6.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 70</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>8.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex Female</td>
<td>7.91</td>
<td>10.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 70</td>
<td>11.38</td>
<td>13.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significance tests: F ratios from MANOVA: mode effect (see table); sex effect: F1,365=55.85, p<0.001; age effect: F1, 365 = 12.14, p<0.01. The interaction of mode and demographic variables (sex and age) did not reach the level of significance (p=0.05).

Three advantages probably make them more valid and therefore more useful in depression research. There is no external (independent) criterion on the basis of which one can choose between the two modes. Mail
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questionnaires are answered in a more anonymous context, which may result in fewer barriers in revealing sensitive information than in face-to-face interviews. However, using the interview data as a criterion is more in line with clinical practice, and makes the data more comparable with other epidemiological studies in which the measurement of depression is generally incorporated in large-scale interviews. Furthermore, the CES-D was originally designed for use in surveys involving face-to-face interviews; the original norms were obtained within the context of an interview, and the data derived from interviews have generally been used in validation studies (Radloff, 1977; Beekman et al., 1994, 1997). The utility of mail questionnaires is, so far, less well understood. Finally, the proposed transformation is a conservative one (CES-D scores are decreased instead of increased), which precludes overestimations in studies on the onset and the course of depression.

Regarding the present study, some limitations must be acknowledged. First, the results may have been affected by the loss of subjects in the course of the study. It was found that the older subjects and those more depressed at the baseline measurement were more likely to be lost at follow-up. However, the adverse consequences are probably limited, as it was demonstrated that the analyses of the maximum sample lead to essentially the same conclusions as comparable analyses based on the more selective sample. Secondly, the LASA study was not specifically designed to study mode effects in older adults. To reduce confounding and to examine recent effects and sequence effects more thoroughly, an experimental design in which respondents are randomly allocated to

Table 4: CES-D subscale scores for mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subscale</th>
<th>Interview t1 mean</th>
<th>Mail t1 mean</th>
<th>Interview t0/t7 mean</th>
<th>Mail t0/t7 mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I Depressed affect</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II Positive affect</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>4.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III Somatic complaints</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>2.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV Interpersonal problems</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significance tests are F ratios from MANOVA with mode as within-subjects factor.
*** p<0.001.
** p<0.01.
* p<0.05.

Table 5: CES-D scores at t0-t7 in weighted sample after transformation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>mean (stddev)</th>
<th>median</th>
<th>range</th>
<th>%≥16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>t0 interview</td>
<td>7.81(7.82)</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0–48</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t1 mail</td>
<td>7.85(7.91)</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>–1–49</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t2 mail</td>
<td>7.64(7.82)</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>–1–48</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t3 mail</td>
<td>7.71(7.89)</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>–2–45</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t4 mail</td>
<td>7.80(7.89)</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>–2–44</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t5 mail</td>
<td>7.85(7.82)</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>–2–42</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t6 mail</td>
<td>7.90(7.75)</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>–3–37</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t7 interview</td>
<td>7.92(7.65)</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0–46</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The CES-D subscale scores for mode.
The questionnaire or the face-to-face interview format is recommended for future studies. In previous studies on mode differences, effects due to sampling differences have often been confounded with mode effects (Aquilino, 1998). In the present study, in which mode effects were examined within the same respondents, this potential source of bias was completely ruled out. Finally, weighted data were analyzed using the SPSS statistical package, which may affect the computation of standard errors and might lead to erroneously significant findings (Hooyman and Liu, 1998). However, in this study, the adverse consequences of the use of SPSS with weighted data are probably limited. With depression data on the first and the second wave, the mode effect was also studied using Stata, a program that incorporates weighting effects in the estimation of standard errors. In the absence of the option of using multiple analysis of variance (MANOVA) for repeated measurements, a linear regression was applied. The results did not affect the outcome of this study.

In conclusion, this study adds new information to research on the measurement of depression. Until now, most studies on depression rely on data obtained by cross-section, whereas some have measured depressors at two successive waves. However, in order to obtain a reliable picture of the development of depression over time, more frequent measurements are required. To limit costs, it is advisable to use a less costly mode, such as mail questionnaires, in addition to the more commonly used face-to-face interviews. However, in using both modes in one study, a mode effect occurs. Mail questionnaires yield systematically higher depression scores than face-to-face interviews in both younger-old and older-old males and females. It has been shown in this study that a 'sandwich formula' in which mail questionnaires are preceded and followed by a face-to-face interview can be fruitfully used in a longitudinal study, provided that a transformation is performed in order to deal with the mode effect.
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