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The $3\rho\pi D^{1}\Pi_{u}$ state of the $H_{2}$ molecule was reinvestigated with different techniques at two synchrotron installations. The Fourier transform spectrometer in the vacuum ultraviolet wavelength range of the DESIRS beamline at the SOLEIL synchrotron was used for recording absorption spectra of the $D^{1}\Pi_{u}$ state at high resolution and high absolute accuracy, limited only by the Doppler contribution at 100 K. From these measurements, line positions were extracted, in particular, for the narrow resonances involving $1\Pi_{u}^{+}$ states, with an accuracy estimated at 0.06 cm$^{-1}$. The new data also closely match multichannel quantum defect calculations performed for the $\Pi$-components observed via the narrow Q-lines. The $\Lambda$-doubling in the $D^{1}\Pi_{u}$ state was determined up to $v=17$. The 10 m normal incidence scanning monochromator at the beamline U125/2 of the BESSY II synchrotron, combined with a home-built target chamber and equipped with a variety of detectors, was used to unravel information on ionization, dissociation, and intramolecular fluorescence decay for the $D^{1}\Pi_{u}$ vibrational series. The combined results yield accurate information on the characteristic Beutler–Fano profiles associated with the strongly predissociated $\Pi_{u}^{+}$ parity components of the $D^{1}\Pi_{u}$ levels. Values for the parameters describing the predissociation width as well as the Fano-$q$ line shape parameters for the $J=1$ and $J=2$ rotational states were determined for the sequence of vibrational quantum numbers up to $v=17$. © 2010 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3502471]

I. INTRODUCTION

The energy region from 110 000 to 134 000 cm$^{-1}$ in the absorption spectrum of molecular hydrogen is dense and complex, featuring a multiline spectrum. In particular, in this energy range, the electronic and vibrational energy separations become of similar magnitude, while rotational splittings remain large as well. The $D^{1}\Pi_{u}$-X $^{1}\Sigma_{+}^{+}$ system gives rise to a well-marked sequence of intense resonances in this region. Hopfield observed the $D^{1}\Pi_{u}$ state for the first time, but failed to find the correct sequence of vibrational levels. This was established in the early work by Beutler and co-workers and by Richardson. From an extrapolation of the vibrational sequence, they deduced that below the lowest predissociated level, there exist three lower vibrational levels $v=0–2$, with weaker apparent intensity. These assignments of the vibrational sequence in the $D^{1}\Pi_{u}$ state were later confirmed in subsequent higher resolution studies by Namioka, Monfils, Takezawa, and Herzberg and Jungen. A potential energy curve of the $D^{1}\Pi_{u}$ state, calculated by Dressler and Wolniewicz, along with the other relevant potential curves of singlet-$u$ symmetry for interpreting the results of the present study, is displayed in Fig. 1.

The unpredissociated states, giving rise to sharp lines in the spectrum, have been studied to a high degree of accuracy. Analysis of classical spectrograph emission spectra by Abgrall et al. yielded accurate level energies up to high rotational quantum numbers for both parity components of $D^{1}\Pi_{u}$ ($v=0–2$). Since the $\Pi$-parity components of the higher lying vibrational levels $v>2$ of the $D^{1}\Pi_{u}$ state undergo only very weak predissociation, the Q lines probing $D^{1}\Pi_{u}^{-}$ levels are observed as sharp. That made it possible for Abgrall et al. to also determine the accurate line positions from emission studies for lines probing up to $v$...
= 14. Extreme ultraviolet laser-based absorption was used by Reinhold et al.\textsuperscript{12} to record high resolution spectra of the $D-X(1,0)$ band at an even better accuracy. The most accurate level energies of the unpredissociated levels were obtained in the work combining Doppler-free laser excitation and visible and near-infrared Fourier transform emission spectroscopy\textsuperscript{13,14} yielding accuracies as good as 0.005 cm$^{-1}$ for $D \nu = 0\text{–}2$ levels.

Beutler\textsuperscript{2} reported on the observation of asymmetrically broadened line shapes in the R-branches of the $D-X$ system for excited vibrational levels $\nu > 2$. These shapes were interpreted as Beutler–Fano profiles, resulting from an interference between a bound and a continuum line in an excitation spectrum, as first observed in the autoionization of noble gas atoms by Beutler\textsuperscript{15} and explained by Fano.\textsuperscript{16,17} The first theoretical calculations of the widths were performed\textsuperscript{18–20} in 1971 simultaneously with an experimental study by Comes and Schumpe.\textsuperscript{21} It was settled that the predissociation of the $\Pi^+$ parity component of the $D \, ^1\Pi_u$ state must be attributed mainly to an interaction with the $B' \, ^1\Sigma_u^+$ continuum. A more recent calculation\textsuperscript{22} shows that the $2p\sigma B \, ^1\Sigma_u^+$ and $2p\sigma CT \, ^1\Pi_u$ continua have only an effect on the ratio between $H(2\nu)$ and $H(2p)$ dissociation fragments. Mental and Gentieu\textsuperscript{23} and later Guyon et al.\textsuperscript{10} performed measurements detecting Ly-$\alpha$ radiation emitted by the dissociation product. A pioneering study was conducted by Junger and Atabek\textsuperscript{24} in which a full multichannel quantum defect (MQDT) treatment of the $B$, $B'$, $C$, $B''$, and $D$ systems was conducted. Level energies up to $\nu = 13$ were calculated for the $\Pi^-$ components. The $\Lambda$-doubling was determined for the unpredissociated bands ($0,0$)$–(2,0)$ for levels $J=1$, 3, and 5 as well as for the first predissociated band $(3,0)$ for $J=1$.

The issue of the asymmetry of the line shapes and the Fano-$q$ parameters was studied in detail by Glass-Maujean et al.\textsuperscript{25} and by Dehmer and Chupka.\textsuperscript{26} In addition to absorption with classical light sources, lasers were also used to investigate the predissociation in the $D \, ^1\Pi_u$ state. The studies by Rothschild et al.\textsuperscript{27} used a laser with a superior instrument width of 0.005 cm$^{-1}$ to investigate $H_2$ at room temperature Doppler broadening exceeding 1 cm$^{-1}$ to yield accurate widths and asymmetry parameters for a number of lines, mainly of hydrogen isotopomers. Croman and McCormack\textsuperscript{28} performed a two-step laser excitation investigating the $\nu=12$ and 13 states of $D \, ^1\Pi_u$.

The present spectroscopic study reinvestigating the $D \, ^1\Pi_u-X \, ^1\Sigma_g^+$ system in $H_2$ is based on two different experimental approaches, both connected to a major synchrotron facility. The novel and unique Fourier transform spectrometer at the SOLEIL synchrotron facility (France) on the DESIRS vacuum ultraviolet (VUV) beamline was used to record Fourier transform (FT) absorption spectra in the relevant range of 74–90 nm from a static gas sample of $H_2$ cooled to approximately 100 K, resulting in high resolution spectra, limited by the Doppler contribution of 0.6 cm$^{-1}$, while the FT instrument resolution is 0.35 cm$^{-1}$. Apart from a determination of accurate transition frequencies for the narrow unpredissociated resonances, the asymmetrically broadened resonances have been studied at high resolution to obtain information on the Fano $q$ parameters as well as predissociation widths $\Gamma$ for individual lines. The 10 m normal-incidence monochromator at beamline U125/2 of the BESSY II synchrotron radiation facility in Berlin combined with a home-built target chamber for measuring photodissociation, photoionization, and photodissociation by means of fragment fluorescence\textsuperscript{29,30} was used to compare the different decay channels upon excitation of the same $D \, ^1\Pi_u-X \, ^1\Sigma_g^+$ system. The latter spectra recorded at a slightly lower resolution aided in assigning and disentangling the observed features.

\textbf{II. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP}

The major part of the experimental data in the present study was obtained with the vacuum ultraviolet Fourier transform spectrometer setup, connected to the DESIRS beamline at the SOLEIL synchrotron. Its principle of operation and unique capabilities for the UV and VUV range are described elsewhere.\textsuperscript{31,32} In short, a scanning wave-front division interferometer has been specifically developed in order to extend the FT spectroscopy technique toward the VUV range.\textsuperscript{31} The undulator-based DESIRS beamline provides the 7% bandwidth continuum background, which is analyzed by the FT spectrometer after it has passed an absorption cell. A typical recording of such a full spectrum recorded after passing the absorption cell is displayed in Fig. 2. Recently, the same setup was used to record high resolution spectra of narrow transitions in the Lyman and Werner bands of the hydrogen deuteride molecule in the wavelength range of 87–112 nm.\textsuperscript{33} The undulator profile covering roughly 5000 cm$^{-1}$ is well approximated by a Gaussian function. The spectral range of 115 000–135 000 cm$^{-1}$, covered in the present study, was divided into four overlapping measurement windows.

The $H_2$ spectra are recorded under quasistatic conditions. Hydrogen gas passes through a needle valve regulator into a T-shaped, windowless free flow cell of 100 mm length and 12 mm inside diameter. This results in an inhomogeneous density distribution along the path of the synchrotron radiation, for which an integrated column density can only be
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\caption{The potential energy curves of the relevant excited electronic states (Ref. 8).}
\end{figure}
estimated. It is set by regulating the pressure just outside the target cell for appropriate absorption conditions, i.e., the unity optical depth for the main features under investigation. Spectra were recorded at three different settings of the pressure at the inlet needle valve.

Once the radiation has passed through the target cell, it enters the spectrometer and an interferogram is generated. For the present study, recordings are taken at 512 ks, which is the number of sampling points taken along the path of the moving arm in the interferometer traveling over ~9 mm. A typical measurement window in the present study took roughly 2 h for accumulating signal over 100 interferograms. The setting of 512 ks sampling recording corresponds to a spectral resolution of 0.35 cm\(^{-1}\) or a resolving power of 350,000.

The target cell was enveloped by a second cell in which liquid nitrogen is allowed to flow, cooling the cell down to roughly 100 K. This was done for two reasons. At lower temperatures, the complexity of the spectrum and the overlap of resonances are reduced; only the lowest rotational states up to \(J=2\) were found to be populated. At this temperature, the Doppler width of the \(H_2\) lines reduces to 0.6 cm\(^{-1}\) up to an accuracy of 0.0012 nm. There are three candidates with the same \(u\) symmetry for the \(D\) state predissociation mechanism: the \(2p\pi C\, ^1\Pi_u\), \(2p\sigma B\, ^1\Sigma_u^+\), and \(3p\sigma B\, ^1\Sigma_u^+\) states. The Coriolis coupling to the \(B^+\) state is the chief cause of the predissociation. Coupling to the \(C\) state is two orders of magnitude weaker and coupling to the \(B\) state is even smaller by at least one order of magnitude. The \(B^+\) and \(D\) states approach the same electronic \(He(3p)\) configuration in the united atom limit so the Coriolis coupling may be very effective. The same interaction between \(D\) and \(B^+\) states responsible for predissociation causes severe level shifts to the \(\Lambda\)-doubling splitting even in the bound region below the \(n=2\) dissociation limit. Predissociation of the \(D\, ^1\Pi_u\) state results in a \(H(n=2)\) excited atomic fragments. In the case of the production of \(H(2p)\), the dissociation product can be observed via Lyman-\(\alpha\) fluorescence and the \(H(2s)\) atoms are observed in most cases via the same fluorescence due to collisions to the \(H(2p)\) state.

The physics of a bound state interacting with a continuum state, where both states are simultaneously excited from a ground level, was described by Fano. If there is oscillator strength in both channels, such interference leads to an asymmetric line shape, also referred to as a Beutler–Fano line shape, which is described by a \(q\)-parameter, indicating the degree of asymmetry

\[
q = \frac{\langle \phi_{D_e} | T_{DX} (R) | \phi_{X_a} \rangle}{\pi V (\phi_{B_e} | T_{B\to X} (R) | \phi_{X_a})}.
\]

In this formula, the numerator stands for the transition dipole matrix element \([T(R) being the R-dependent transition dipole moment] for excitation from the ground state \(X\, ^1\Sigma_u^+\) to the excited state, which is in the present case a certain rovibrational level in the \(D\, ^1\Pi_u\) state. The denominator contains the matrix element for excitation to the continuum channel, which is in the present specific case represented by the \(B\, ^1\Sigma_u^+\) state. \(V\) represents the interaction matrix element between the two excited channels, the discrete and the continuum, with, in this case, the \(D\) and \(B^+\) states signifying the coupling of the bound state with the continuum. The matrix element for the rotational coupling operator, \(H\), yields for the interaction matrix element

\[
V = \langle \phi_{B_e} | H | \phi_{D_s} \rangle \propto \sqrt{J(J+1)}.
\]

Hence, the Fano \(q\) parameter is inversely proportional to rotation. The predissociation widths \(\Gamma\) are related to the square of the interaction matrix element

![FIG. 2. Spectral recording of an absorption spectrum of \(H_2\) for a single setting of the undulator optimum. Some of the \(D-X(\nu, 0)\) resonances are indicated.](image-url)
\[ \Gamma = 2\pi v^2, \]  
also exhibiting a rotational dependence proportional to \( J(J+1) \).

Various theoretical calculations of the widths of the \( \Pi^+ \) parity components of the \( D^1 \Pi_u \) states surfaced in the early 1970s by Julienne \(^{18}\) and Fiquet-Fayard and Gallais, \(^{19}\) showing that the predissociation in the \( D^1 \Pi_u \) state must indeed be attributed to an interaction with the \( B^1 \Sigma_u^+ \) continuum. Initially, the two calculations were in disagreement with one another until a missing factor of 4 was discovered in the calculation by Julienne. \(^{20}\) The two theoretical studies were still in disagreement with the measured values of Comes and Shumpe \(^{21}\) by roughly 25%. The experimental widths varied in a way not predicted by theory. Further measurements using the \( J \) dependence of \( q \), \( \Gamma \), and the intensities by Glass-Maujean \( et \ al. \)^{22} gave a far better agreement with Julienne's \(^{18}\) and Fiquet-Fayard and Gallais's \(^{19}\) values for \( v = 3-5 \) but still disagreed by roughly 25% for \( v = 7-11 \).

The accuracy of the theoretical modeling of the predissociative widths is determined mainly by the accuracy of the potential curves used. The coupling to the \( B \) and \( C \) states does not have an effect on the predissociative widths but does play a role in the \( H(2s)/(H(2s)+H(2p)) \) branching ratio. The coupling to the \( B \) state was included in the calculations by Borondo \( et \ al. \)^{39} in their calculation of the branching ratio. Beswick and Glass-Maujean \(^{23}\) conducted further studies on this topic, including the predissociation of the \( D \) state. Line shapes were obtained from solving the appropriate coupled Schrödinger equation, yielding values for the predissociative widths and \( q \)-parameters. In a study by Mrugała, \(^{40}\) the shifts between \( \Pi^- \) and \( \Pi^+ \) levels were calculated. Mrugała \(^{41}\) benefited from the accurate \textit{ab initio} calculations of the \( D-B^-, D-B, D-C, \) and \( D-D^+ \) couplings together with improved potential energy curves for the \( B, B', C, D, \) and \( D' \) states. All nonadiabatic interactions between the \( D, B, \) and \( B' \) state were included within the close-coupling approach.

A multichannel quantum defect approach was followed by Gao \( et \ al. \)^{30} In the framework used, the interactions between the \( D, B', \) and \( C \) states were included in a noniterative eigenchannel R-matrix approach. The effect of ionization was thought to be small in comparison to predissociation and was not included in the calculation. Furthermore, the \( B \) state interaction was neglected because of weak coupling to the \( D \) state. The calculation yields widths for the \( J=2 \) level of the \( D \) state that closely match the experimental values observed by Glass-Maujean and co-workers. \(^{25}\)

The \( \Pi^- \) parity components of the \( 3p\pi D^1 \Pi_u \) state, probed in Q-transitions, undergo only very weak predissociation, for which coupling to the lower lying \( 2p\pi C^1 \Pi_u \) is the only symmetry-allowed possibility. The effect of this homogeneous perturbation in terms of predissociation widths of the \( Q(1) \) transitions was calculated by Glass-Maujean \( et \ al. \).^{30} An MQDT analysis produced line positions and intensities for the \( Q(J) (J=1-4) \) absorption transitions. \(^{12}\) In MQDT calculations, all the interactions of the \( np\pi^1 \Pi_u \) states were included and not only the \( C \) and \( D' \) states. The results of these calculations were consistent with the observations of Lyman-\( \alpha \) fluorescence and visible molecular fluorescence. \(^{30,42}\)

**IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The vacuum ultraviolet Fourier transform spectra show the features of the \( D^1 \Pi_u-X^1 \Sigma_u^+ (v, 0) \) bands for \( \text{H}_2 \) up to \( v = 17 \), which is the uppermost bound vibrational level in this potential. Figure 3 displays the detail recordings of some of the regions with pronounced \( D-X \) features. In order to retrieve these spectra, the bell-shaped background continuum of the undulator profiles, as shown in Fig. 2, was transformed into a flat continuum by fitting the background with a Gaussian function. The measurement window was divided through by the Gaussian fit resulting in a flat background. In a second step, the Beer-Lambert absorption depth was linearized, and the scale inverted to arrive at the spectra depicted in Fig. 3. The spectrum in Fig. 3(a) shows the unpredissociated \( D-X(0,0) \) band; hence, these lines represent the limiting resolution of the spectral method. The widths of \( \approx 0.7 \) cm\(^{-1}\) are
a result of Doppler broadening (0.6 cm\(^{-1}\) for \(\text{H}_2\) at 100 K) and the instrumental width of 0.35 cm\(^{-1}\) from the settings of the FT instrument.

The uncertainties in the line positions are governed by the signal to noise ratio, the width of the transition, and the number of points that the transition consists of.\(^{43}\) When all systematic effects\(^{33}\) of the FT spectrometer are taken into account, the accuracy is limited to 0.03 cm\(^{-1}\). The so-called \(p\) parameter,\(^{31}\) connected to an interferometric setup with a HeNe reference laser controlling the path length travel, is adjusted depending on the operational wavelength regime. It was discovered that the changing of this parameter induces small systematic effects on the wavelength calibration.\(^{33}\) In the present study, these effects were not accounted for and so, a conservative estimate of the statistical deviation in the line positions for the unpredissociated lines \(v=0\)–2 and the \(\Pi^-\) components is 0.06 cm\(^{-1}\). For the broad \(\Pi^+\) components, the uncertainty is in the range of 0.3 cm\(^{-1}\), while for the blended bands \(7,0\), \(8,0\), and \(9,0\), the uncertainty increases to 0.6 cm\(^{-1}\).

A. Spectroscopy

The transition energies resulting from the combined set of spectra are listed in Table I. The corresponding level energies are available via the supplementary material data depository of the American Institute of Physics.\(^{44}\) Various line shape fitting methods were employed to derive the line positions; for the asymmetric Fano line shapes, the true transition shape could not have been assigned properly without the aid of the dissociation spectrum. As shown in Fig. 4, this feature could not have been assigned properly without the aid of the dissociation spectrum.

Other lines analyzed in the dissociation spectrum are the R(0) and R(1) lines in the \((16,0)\) band and the R(1) line in the \((17,0)\) band. These transitions were rather weak in the FT absorption spectrum but appeared clearly in the dissociation spectrum. Due to the lower resolution of the spectrometer at BESSY and to the higher temperature of the gas, the uncertainty in the position for these measurements is slightly better than 1 cm\(^{-1}\).

Several additional problems determining accurate line positions and line parameters occurred. The R(1) transition in the \(D^{-}\cdot-X(6,0)\) band is blended by a transition to the \(B^0\) state. This presents a complication in that the lines are blended in both the absorption and dissociation spectra since both states predissociate. In this case, the blending with the R(0) transition in the \(B^0\cdot-X(4,0)\) band is such that no data could be extracted. Similarly the R(1) transition in the \(D^{-}\cdot-X(7,0)\) band is blended by the R(1) transition in the \(B^0\cdot-X(5,0)\) band\(^{35}\) and by the Q(1) transition of the \(D^{-}\cdot-X(4,0)\) band;\(^{8}\) no data on the predissociated widths could be extracted. The positions specified in Table I are those from the deconvoluted fit. Due to the relatively small shift of the line position \(-2.7\) cm\(^{-1}\) (from the interaction with the continuum), we believe that the fit does provide the true line center. The R(0) transition of the \((7,0)\) band is blended by a R(0) transition from the \(5\sigma v=3\) Rydberg series;\(^{7}\) the position and the widths were determined by deconvolving with the overlapping line. The R(0) transition in the \((8,0)\) band is blended with an R(0) transition from the \(6\sigma v=3\) Rydberg series.\(^{45}\) Again, a fit was achieved from which an overlapping line was deconvoluted, this is shown in Fig. 3(d). The \((9,0)\) band was superimposed upon three different Rydberg series \((17\pi v=2, 22\pi v=2, \text{and } 7\pi v=3)\); line positions and widths for R(0) and R(1) were determined by deconvolution.

The transitions of the first three unpredissociated bands \(v=0\)–2 were compared to the measurements of Bailly \textit{et al.},\(^{14}\) which are accurate to 0.005 cm\(^{-1}\) for the \(D\) system. The comparison is good with an average deviation of \(-0.03\) cm\(^{-1}\), thus demonstrating the high absolute accuracy of the FT instrument. The fitted positions of the \(\Pi^-\) states were compared with the measurements of Abgrall \textit{et al.}\(^{9}\) for \(v=3\)–14, which we consider to be accurate to within 0.1 cm\(^{-1}\). The overall agreement between these Q lines is better than 0.1 cm\(^{-1}\) except for \(v=14\).

We compare to the measurements of Glass-Maujean \textit{et al.}\(^{29}\) for the \(\Pi^-\) components probing Q(1) transitions for \(v=15\)–17 in Table I. There appears to be a discrepancy between our measurements and those compiled by Glass-Maujean \textit{et al.}\(^{29}\) for the last three bands, \((15,0)\) \((16,0)\), and \((17,0)\); yet, this is still within the experimental uncertainty of 6 cm\(^{-1}\) for that specific experimental configuration. In a later publication, these measurements were compared to a MQDT calculation.\(^{42}\) and discrepancies in the order of 5 cm\(^{-1}\) were found for these three bands once again within the experimental uncertainty. The present measurements side with the MQDT calculations and the comparison can be found in Table I. The comparison is suggestive of an accuracy better than 1 cm\(^{-1}\), thus confirming the high accuracy of these calculations.

For the \(\Pi^+\) components, the present measurements were compared to those of Takezawa\(^{6}\) for \(v=3\)–11. Deviations amount to several 0.1 cm\(^{-1}\) due to the lower accuracy in the classical absorption study, where no Fano analysis was conducted and no pressure corrections were made.\(^{6}\) One exception was the R(0) transition in the \((7,0)\) band where a deviation of more than 11 cm\(^{-1}\) was found; we attribute this to either a misassignment or a typo and therefore compare to the data of Herzberg and Jungen.\(^{7}\) Lines in bands \((12,0)\) and \((13,0)\) were compared to the measurements of Coman and McCormack.\(^{28}\) The discrepancies of the order of cm\(^{-1}\) indicate that these laser-based data were not calibrated on an absolute scale.
TABLE I. Transition energies in cm$^{-1}$ and predissociated linewidths $\Gamma$ in cm$^{-1}$ for the measured transitions in the $D^1\Pi - X^1\Sigma^+$ ($v, 0$) system of H$_2$. The widths measured for the unpredissociated levels $v=0$–2 and the Q(1) transitions are limited by the Doppler broadening and therefore not specified in the table. $\Delta$ represents deviations between the present values minus the previously published data. For excited states $v=0$–2, comparison was made with Bailly et al. (Ref. 14). For the $\Pi^+$ components in $v=3$–11, comparison was made with Takezawa (Ref. 6). For $v=12$ and 13, we compare to Croman and McCormack (Ref. 28), and for the remaining bands, the measurements of SOLEIL were compared to those from BESSY. The $\Pi^-$ components of $v=3$–14 are compared with the measurements of Abgrall et al. (Ref. 9) and for $v=15$–17, we compare to Glass-Maujean et al. (Ref. 29). $\Delta^W$ represents a comparison with the MQDT calculations of Glass-Maujean and Jungen (Ref. 42); these calculations are available for the Q(1) transitions only.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transition</th>
<th>Energy</th>
<th>$\Delta$</th>
<th>$\Gamma$</th>
<th>$\Delta^W$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$D-X(0,0)$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q(1)</td>
<td>112 813.14</td>
<td>−0.03</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>−0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(0)</td>
<td>112 955.25</td>
<td>−0.03</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(1)</td>
<td>112 941.20</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D-X(1,0)$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q(1)</td>
<td>115 035.88</td>
<td>−0.04</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>−0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(0)</td>
<td>115 155.80</td>
<td>−0.01</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(1)</td>
<td>115 151.14</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D-X(2,0)$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q(1)</td>
<td>117 129.34</td>
<td>−0.04</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>−0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(0)</td>
<td>117 251.64</td>
<td>−0.07</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(1)</td>
<td>117 244.82</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D-X(3,0)$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q(1)$^a$</td>
<td>119 097.37</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>−0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(0)</td>
<td>119 217.99</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(1)</td>
<td>119 203.60</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>13.72</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D-X(4,0)$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q(1)$^a$</td>
<td>120 942.76</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>−0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(0)</td>
<td>121 063.05</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(1)</td>
<td>121 042.48</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>14.22</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D-X(5,0)$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q(1)$^a$</td>
<td>122 667.75</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>−0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(0)</td>
<td>122 787.94</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(1)</td>
<td>122 760.86</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>14.30</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D-X(6,0)$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q(1)</td>
<td>124 273.90</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>−0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(0)</td>
<td>124 393.75</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(1)$^a$</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D-X(7,0)$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q(1)$^b$</td>
<td>125 759.85</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>−0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(0)$^{ac}$</td>
<td>125 877.03</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(1)$^b$</td>
<td>125 841.35</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D-X(8,0)$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q(1)</td>
<td>127 129.23</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>−0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(0)$^b$</td>
<td>127 246.84</td>
<td>−1.66</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(1)</td>
<td>127 201.15</td>
<td>−1.35</td>
<td>11.63</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D-X(9,0)$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q(1)</td>
<td>128 377.38</td>
<td>−0.07</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>−0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(0)$^b$</td>
<td>128 496.54</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(1)$^b$</td>
<td>128 444.94</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>9.17</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D-X(10,0)$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q(1)</td>
<td>129 502.50</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>−0.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
B. \(\Lambda\)-doubling

In Fig. 5, the splitting between different \(\Pi^+\) and \(\Pi^-\) parity levels for the \(J=1\) level has been plotted as detected from the current measurements. This involves adding the ground state energy difference between rotational levels \(J=0\) and 1 to the Q(1) transitions and subtracting this from the R(0) transitions (the ground state rotational energy splitting of 118.486 cm\(^{-1}\) was taken from the accurate calculations of Wolniewicz\(^{46}\)). Values for this \(\Lambda\)-doubling have been plotted for each vibration up to \(v=17\). The two “accidents,” \(v=13\) and \(v=17\), could be due to local couplings.

The lowest three bound states of the \(D\) system are subject to strong perturbations from the last few bound levels of the \(B'\) system.\(^{45}\) This explains the “accidental” behavior seen for the \(\Lambda\)-doubling in \(v=1\) and 2 in Fig. 5 and the reversal between the R(0) and R(1) lines in Fig. 3(a). The \(\Lambda\)-doubling turns into a smooth decaying function from \(v \approx 3\) toward high \(v\). We postulate that the \(\Lambda\)-doubling is due to the interaction between the discrete \(D\) states and the summed contribution of low-lying discrete levels in the \(B'\) state and the \(B'\) continuum. Hence, the physical origin of the \(\Lambda\)-doubling is connected to that of the origin of the predissociation of the \(D\)-state (discussed below). Both phenomena become weaker toward high vibrational levels due to reduced vibrational wave function overlap between the \(D\) and \(B'\) levels. The present data shows excellent agreement with calculations for the \(\Lambda\)-doubling for vibrational levels\(^{24,40} v =0–6\).

C. Predissociation analysis

Initially, a two component fit of the data in \(q\) and \(\Gamma\) was made with a Fano function. The contribution of the instru-
The determination of the \( q \) parameter is complicated due to two reasons: first, the noise on the spectrum, and second, imparting a resolution condition on two superimposed Fano functions. In Fig. 7, this is illustrated for the specific case of the \( D-X(3,0) \) band, where a rather accurate value of \( q = -5.90 \pm 0.55 \) is derived in a two component fit optimizing for \( q \) and \( \Gamma \). In the figure, we compare the two component fit to a one component fit of \( \Gamma \) only. The fit is insensitive to a variation in \( q \), while there is very little correlation between the two parameters. This is most clear for the \( R(0) \) transition where the \( q \) parameter has doubled yet the width changes by just over 0.1 cm\(^{-1}\). Due to the broad, asymmetric nature, it is difficult to address how far these lines are resolved. This may lead to an erroneous determination of the \( q \) parameter. To compare this to the ideal case, the \( R(1) \) transition for \( v=10 \) is clearly resolved with good signal to noise ratio [see Fig. 3(e)]. The resulting \( q \) parameter determined is \( -8.50 \pm 0.08 \), which compares well to the calculated value expected to be close to \( -9 \). In order to obtain the \( \Gamma \) parameters specified in Table I, the \( q \) parameters were fixed for the \( R(0) \) and \( R(1) \) transitions to the calculated \( v \) dependent values\(^{40} \) for \( v=3-5 \). For the remaining vibrations, \( v=8-17 \) values of \( -19.55 \) for \( R(0) \) transitions and \( -9.3 \) for the \( R(1) \) transitions were used\(^{40} \).

The results for the widths of the \( J=1 \) levels are displayed in Fig. 8. To our knowledge, there is no MQDT calculation for \( J=1 \) levels and the measured values are lacking superimposed Fano functions. In Fig. 7, this is illustrated for the specific case of the \( D-X(3,0) \) band, where a rather accurate value of \( q = -5.90 \pm 0.55 \) is derived in a two component fit optimizing for \( q \) and \( \Gamma \). In the figure, we compare the two component fit to a one component fit of \( \Gamma \) only. The fit is insensitive to a variation in \( q \), while there is very little correlation between the two parameters. This is most clear for the \( R(0) \) transition where the \( q \) parameter has doubled yet the width changes by just over 0.1 cm\(^{-1}\). Due to the broad, asymmetric nature, it is difficult to address how far these lines are resolved. This may lead to an erroneous determination of the \( q \) parameter. To compare this to the ideal case, the \( R(1) \) transition for \( v=10 \) is clearly resolved with good signal to noise ratio [see Fig. 3(e)]. The resulting \( q \) parameter determined is \( -8.50 \pm 0.08 \), which compares well to the calculated value expected to be close to \( -9 \). In order to obtain the \( \Gamma \) parameters specified in Table I, the \( q \) parameters were fixed for the \( R(0) \) and \( R(1) \) transitions to the calculated \( v \) dependent values\(^{40} \) for \( v=3-5 \). For the remaining vibrations, \( v=8-17 \) values of \( -19.55 \) for \( R(0) \) transitions and \( -9.3 \) for the \( R(1) \) transitions were used\(^{40} \).
still. The model of Julienne,\textsuperscript{18} after applying the necessary corrections,\textsuperscript{19} reproduces the overall decrease in the predissociation widths but fails to cover some of the details, although deviations are not significant in all cases. It is the first time that the widths of the $J=1$ level series are determined, except for $v=12$ and 13 determined previously by Croman and McCormack.\textsuperscript{28} The present data are in agreement with the calculations of Julienne,\textsuperscript{18} after multiplication by a factor of 4, for $v=3–5$ and for higher $v$ values in better agreement than those of Croman and McCormack.\textsuperscript{28}

The present data in the predissociated linewidth parameter $\Gamma$ for $J=2$ are displayed in Fig. 9 and are in good agreement with previous observations, in particular with those of Glass-Maujean \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{25} extending to $v=11$ and Dehmer and Chupka\textsuperscript{26} for $v=8$ and 9. The value at $v=12$ is in agreement with that of Croman and McCormack,\textsuperscript{28} while the value for $v=13$ deviates, similarly as both values for $J=1$ in Fig. 8. Apart from the latter, the present data and the most reliable previous data produce an overall trend of smoothly decreasing predissociating widths $\Gamma$ toward high vibrational levels. This trend is reproduced by calculations in different frameworks,\textsuperscript{18,36} and is also consistent with observations on the $\Lambda$-doubling (see Fig. 5).

The data suggest a slight increase of predissociation at $v=11$, an effect that was hypothesized by Glass-Maujean \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{25} and later by Gao \textit{et al.},\textsuperscript{36} resulting from a contribution by an additional predissociation channel, the $B''B$ double well potential\textsuperscript{38} intersecting the $D$ state near 5.5 a.u., as shown in Fig. 1. It is noted that the $B''B$ state is bound at this energy but predissociates due to the same $B'$ continuum. Interference between the two dissociation paths may affect the rate of predissociation in the $D$ state. Future MQDT calculations may elucidate whether this phenomenon indeed occurs at $v=11$. Also, such calculations may explain the observed values for $\Gamma$ in the range of $v=13–17$.

V. CONCLUSION

The superior resolution of the novel VUV Fourier transform instrument is applied for a reinvestigation of the $D-X$ absorption system in H$_2$. This system is a benchmark system for the study of predissociation in diatomic molecules with the special feature of pronounced Fano-type line shapes. In H$_2$, the smallest neutral molecular system, the widths and $q$-asymmetry parameters can be calculated in various first principles schemes to be compared at a high level of accuracy to experiment. The presently measured transition frequencies are the most accurate and signify an order of magnitude improvement in accuracy over previous studies.\textsuperscript{5,6,21}

The data are extended up to the highest $v=17$ vibrational level in the $D ^1 \Pi_u$ state. The FT spectrometer has a far better resolution than that obtained by scanning monochromators, but with the measurement limited to direct absorption only, it cannot provide all the information of the interaction between light and molecules. In this way, the combination of the two experimental techniques, the FT absorption spectrum from SOLEIL and the scanning monochromator measurements from BESSYII, are complementary. This leads to an almost complete characterization of the molecular state.

The extracted predissociation widths are found to decrease up to $v=10$ as expected from theory, with indication of a possible sudden increase in $\Gamma$ at $v=11$, which may be explained by the opening up of an additional predissociation channel associated with the $B''B$ double well state. The smooth development of a decreasing $\Lambda$-doubling in the $D ^1 \Pi_u$ state toward higher vibrational levels is consistent with the decrease in the predissociation rates and in excellent agreement with calculation\textsuperscript{24,40} for $v=0–6$; both phenomena have their origin in overlap with the $B' ^1 \Sigma_g^+$ state. The positions of the $D ^1 \Pi_u$ levels are measured to an accuracy of 0.06 cm$^{-1}$, which is the most accurate to date. These data compare extremely well to previously calculated positions within the MQDT framework,\textsuperscript{42} suggesting an accuracy better than 1 cm$^{-1}$ and providing further proof for its suitability for the modeling of such complex molecular processes at very high excitation energy in the molecule.
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