VU Research Portal

P 053—The use of the ankle strategy to restore balance during perturbed walking

van den Bogaart, M.; Bruijn, S. M.; van Dieën, J. H.; Meyns, P.

published in

Gait and Posture
2018

DOI (link to publisher)

[10.1016/j.gaitpost.2018.06.206](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2018.06.206)

document version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

document license

Article 25fa Dutch Copyright Act

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

citation for published version (APA)

van den Bogaart, M., Bruijn, S. M., van Dieën, J. H., & Meyns, P. (2018). P 053—The use of the ankle strategy to restore balance during perturbed walking. *Gait and Posture*, 65, 320-321.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2018.06.206>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

E-mail address:

vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl

Short communication

P 053—The use of the ankle strategy to restore balance during perturbed walking

M. van den Bogaart^{a,*}, S.M. Bruijn^b, J.H. van Dieën^b, P. Meyns^a^a Hasselt University, REVAL Rehabilitation Research Center, Diepenbeek, Belgium^b VU Amsterdam, Departments of Human Movement Sciences, Amsterdam, Netherlands

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Ankle strategy
Balance control
Gait biomechanics
Perturbed walking

1. Introduction

Stability during walking can be maintained by moving the Center of Pressure (CoP), in such way that the Center of Mass (CoM) remains within the Base of Support (BoS). One possible strategy to do this, is the ankle strategy, i.e. by generating a torque around the ankle [1,2]. The ankle strategy is expected to be employed for unperturbed walking and standing and for slow and low amplitude perturbations [3–5]. It is unclear to what extent perturbation magnitude will affect the ankle strategy (specifically, the three-dimensional peak ankle torques) in healthy adults.

2. Research question

What perturbation and perturbation magnitude will significantly affect the peak ankle torques in healthy adults?

3. Methods

Ten healthy subjects (5 male, 5 female, mean age 25 ± 2.0 years) performed 20 trials of unperturbed and perturbed walking at fixed speed (1.2 m/s), on a Gait Real-time Analysis Interactive Lab (GRAIL; Motek bv). All trials contained 15 perturbations (either a belt acceleration (AC) or deceleration (DC)), of which magnitude (5 possible magnitudes, ranging from 0.1–0.5 m/s²) and number of steps between subsequent perturbations were randomly varied. Perturbations were applied immediately after right heel strike and finished within the right stance phase. Three-dimensional peak ankle joint torques (plantar-flexion, inversion, abduction), normalized to the participants' body

mass and height, were calculated from the full body kinematics (using Vicon camera 3D motion analysis system [Oxford]) and kinetics. A repeated measures ANOVA was performed to determine the effect of perturbation magnitude (none–0.5 m/s²) on peak plantar, inversion and abduction ankle torques. Post-hoc analyses using Bonferroni correction were performed to determine differences between conditions. Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS (v23) at $\alpha < 0.05$.

4. Results

Only for the deceleration perturbation, peak inversion ankle torque decreased significantly from unperturbed walking to perturbed walking with increasing perturbation magnitude ($p = 0.02$, Fig. 1a). Post-hoc analysis revealed no significant differences.

5. Discussion

With increasing perturbation magnitude (of a sudden deceleration of the right belt), peak inversion ankle torque decreased significantly, indicative of altered reliance on the ankle strategy. Nevertheless the post-hoc tests did not reveal significant effect. A possible explanation for the absence of effects in peak ankle torques could be that another balance control strategy was used by participants, e.g. the counter-rotation mechanism [1], or foot placement [2]. In the former strategy, parts of the trunk are rotated with respect to the CoM [5]. The hip strategy is an example of this mechanism. The hip strategy is expected to be employed for fast or larger amplitude perturbations compared to the ankle strategy [6]. However, it could also be that the perturbations intensities were too light to detect peak ankle torque differences

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: maud.vandenbogaart@uhasselt.be (M. van den Bogaart).<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2018.06.206>

Fig. 1. a) Peak inversion, b) peak plantar flexion and c) abduction ankle torques during unperturbed and perturbed walking ^a.

^aAn asterisk (*) means significant main effect of condition, $p < 0.05$.

AC = acceleration, DC = deceleration, INV = inversion, PF = plantar flexion, ABD = abduction.

between the perturbation intensities. Future research should focus on determining the contributions of the different mechanisms to maintain balance during perturbed walking.

References

[1] Hof, J. *Biomech.* 40 (2) (2007) 451–457.

[2] Vlutters, J. *Biomech.* 68 (2008) 93–98.
[3] Horak, J. *Neurophysiol.* 55 (6) (1986) 1369–1381.
[4] Nashner, *Behav. Brain Sci.* 8 (1985) 135–172.
[5] Otten, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 354 (1385) (1999) 869–875.
[6] Blenkinsop, R. *Soc. Open Sci.* 4 (7) (2017) 161018.