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Abstract—Energy efficiency is a primary concern for the ICT sector. In particular, the widespread adoption of cloud computing technologies has drawn attention to the massive energy consumption of data centers. Although hardware constantly improves with respect to energy efficiency, this should also be a main concern for software. In previous work we analyzed the literature and elicited a set of techniques for addressing energy efficiency in cloud-based software architectures. In this work we codified these techniques in the form of Green Architectural Tactics. These tactics will help architects extend their design reasoning towards energy efficiency and to apply reusable solutions for greener software.

I. INTRODUCTION

The global energy consumption of data centers and Internet infrastructure is predicted to consume approximately 18% of expected world power capacity by 2030 [1]. As the adoption of cloud computing technologies continues to grow, the need for energy-efficient solutions becomes evident. Nonetheless, cloud-based software holds great potential for energy efficiency (EE). A recent study [2] showed that migrating all business applications in the U.S. to the cloud could reduce their energy footprint by 87%. A previous work [3] started to analyze the cost and energy benefits of data migration to the cloud. However, this transition to the cloud is not an easy task. Cloud-based software must be appropriately designed to address EE, which is typically not the case for traditional business applications. If these applications are abruptly migrated, it is highly likely that the resulting energy waste would significantly outweigh the expected benefits. For this reason, it is time for software engineers to take into account the energy implications of their design decisions. Recent efforts of the software engineering community [4] have stressed the responsibility of software in the search for sustainability. One of the challenges that emerged for Green Software Engineering is finding generally reusable solutions for energy-efficient software design. In previous work we performed a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) [5] to discover software architectural solutions for cloud-based software that addressed EE-related issues. The SLR identified a number of recurring techniques that were potentially reusable in other solutions. In this work we codified these techniques in the form of Green Architectural Tactics. These tactics can be adopted by software architects and developers during the design and development of cloud-based software systems or the refactoring of existing business applications for cloud migration. This contribution will support decision-making when dealing with EE aspects of cloud-based software architectures.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. Section II introduces EE as a quality attribute. In Section III the Green Architectural Tactics are presented and described with application examples extracted from the literature. Finally, Section IV discusses the architectural implications of EE and Section V presents some conclusions and anticipates our future research.

II. ENERGY EFFICIENCY AS A QUALITY ATTRIBUTE

According to Bass et al. [6], EE is to be regarded as a “system” quality attribute because it is the result of an indirect action of software. However, Bass et al. also argue that the line between “software” and “system” quality attributes is very thin. In the end, even if energy is ultimately consumed by hardware, it is software that determines hardware behavior. In order to provide a clear representation of EE as a quality attribute, we follow the approach adopted by Bass et al. [6] and characterize EE through quality attribute scenarios. Each scenario is described in terms of six characteristics:

- **Stimulus.** An event that motivates an EE action.
- **Source of Stimulus.** The entity that triggered the event.
- **Environment.** The set of circumstances under which the scenario takes place.
- **Artifact.** The element of the system that is stimulated by the event.
- **Response.** The action that the system should perform in response to the event.
- **Response Measure.** The metric that determines if the response is satisfactory.

We grouped our Green Architectural Tactics in three categories and formulated a scenario for each category (see Table I). In all the scenarios, the response measure is energy consumption values.

III. GREEN ARCHITECTURAL TACTICS

In previous work [5] we identified a set of recurring design solutions, described in the literature, to achieve EE in cloud-based software architectures. In this work, we codified these solutions as tactics – that is, “design decisions that influence..."
TABLE I. QUALITY ATTRIBUTE SCENARIOS FOR ENERGY EFFICIENCY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Energy Monitoring</th>
<th>Self-Adaptation</th>
<th>Cloud Federation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stimulus</td>
<td>Request for energy consumption information</td>
<td>Energy consumption alert</td>
<td>Energy consumption alert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of Stimulus</td>
<td>Administrator</td>
<td>Energy Monitor</td>
<td>Energy Monitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Normal operation</td>
<td>Runtime</td>
<td>Multi-cloud</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artifact</td>
<td>Energy Monitor</td>
<td>Hypervisor</td>
<td>Orchestrator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response</td>
<td>The Energy Monitor presents the detailed energy consumption information for the data center.</td>
<td>The Hypervisor consolidates the VMs on the less-active servers and then shuts down the idle servers.</td>
<td>The Orchestrator swaps the most energy-consuming services for less energy-consuming services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response Measure</td>
<td>Energy consumption values</td>
<td>Energy consumption values</td>
<td>Energy consumption values</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

the achievement of a quality attribute response” [6]. Each tactic is described in terms of:

- **Motivation:** rationale behind the tactic.
- **Description:** components introduced by the tactic and explanation of their roles.
- **Constraints:** necessary conditions for applying the tactic in an existing software architecture.
- **Example:** previous application of the tactic.
- **Dependencies:** whether the tactic requires other tactics to be applied.

In the following, we describe the identified scenarios for each category, as well as an example of a Green Architectural Tactic.

A. Energy Monitoring

A typical scenario for EE that involves Energy Monitoring is the following: the system administrator of a cloud-based system wants to know the energy consumption of its infrastructure during operations. The Energy Monitor gathers the energy consumption information and presents it to the administrator. The tactics in this category are targeted at monitoring the energy consumption of the cloud infrastructure. These tactics are often combined with tactics from other categories; Self-Adaptation in particular because information from monitoring components is typically used to trigger adaptive mechanisms.

**Example Tactic – Energy Modeling:**

**Motivation.** In order to implement self-adaptive mechanisms it is necessary to have near-real-time energy consumption information. This enables the modification of software behavior according to how much energy the system is actually consuming. When metering systems are unavailable, the Energy Modeling tactic is a viable option.

**Description.** The Energy Modeling tactic enables a dynamic estimation of power consumption values through predictive Energy Models. These Models are embedded in Energy Indicators, components that provide the power consumption estimation to the rest of the software architecture, e.g. to enable energy–aware behavior. Typically, Energy Models are built through regression analysis based on software runtime metrics, i.e. resource usage (CPU, disk, memory) [7].

**Constraints.** The limitation of this tactic lies in the accuracy of the software Energy Models. To date, many models and tools are available to estimate software energy consumption but their accuracy varies greatly based on the selected hardware platform. In addition, not all hardware resources are good predictors of energy consumption; identifying the best predictors is still an issue for the researchers in the field [8].

**Example.** A prototype showing the application of this tactic is provided by de Oliveira et al. [9]. The context is a Service-Oriented Architecture (SOA) applied to a cloud infrastructure. As shown in Figure 1, for each service of the SOA, the Operating System of each physical node provides service-related Resource Usage Data (in the case of the example, CPU, memory and disk [9]). A linear Energy Model retrieves this data and estimates the power consumption impact of each service. The estimation is modeled into a Green Performance Indicator (GPI), of type Energy Indicator. Each GPI describes a service in terms of EE.

B. Self-Adaptation

The Self-Adaptation scenario for EE starts from the Energy Monitor that reports an alert of excessive energy consumption while the system is not fully loaded. In response, the Cloud Hypervisor (i.e. the Virtual Machine Monitor [10]) migrates some of the VMs to less-loaded servers so that it can shut down the resulting idle servers.

Tactics in this category implement mechanisms that modify runtime software configurations for the specific purpose of lowering energy consumption. In cloud-based environments Self-Adaptation mostly concerns the configuration, deployment, and workload of Virtual Machines (VMs).
Consolidation must take place at runtime. This constraint is important as server migrations may introduce high network traffic and security risks. On-demand resource provisioning is an important feature of cloud-based environments. For example, in cloud application server provisioning\(^1\) creating new VM instances may provide additional flexibility and help to perform load balancing among servers. This is called horizontal scaling (or scaling out). This operation, however, may easily lead to inefficient usage of physical resources if the density of VMs across the physical servers is not accurately managed in low-request phases. Indeed, the Consolidation tactic concentrates the VM instances on the minimum number of servers needed. Powering down the unused servers will evidently increase the EE of the cloud-based software.

**Example Tactic – Consolidation:**

**Motivation.** On-demand resource provisioning is an important feature of cloud-based environments. For example, in cloud application server provisioning\(^1\) creating new VM instances may provide additional flexibility and help to perform load balancing among servers. This is called horizontal scaling (or scaling out). This operation, however, may easily lead to inefficient usage of physical resources if the density of VMs across the physical servers is not accurately managed in low-request phases. Indeed, the Consolidation tactic concentrates the VM instances on the minimum number of servers needed. Powering down the unused servers will evidently increase the EE of the cloud-based software.

**Description.** The main component of the Consolidation tactic is the VM Allocator, the software component responsible for live VM migration. This component can be (a part of) the Hypervisor, as in the Adaptation Engine in the Scaling tactic. The SLA Violation Checker is needed as well to check the fulfillment of service-level objectives after VM migrations. Dependencies. Consolidation must take place at runtime. This means that VMs must be represented in a format that allows them to be seamlessly migrated from one location to another, along with their context, workload, and metadata. This may introduce high network traffic and security risks.

**Example.**Dupont et al.\(^{[11]}\) provide a sample implementation of the Consolidation tactic, depicted in Figure 2. The Power Calculator, of type Energy Model, provides a power consumption estimation to the CP Engine, of type SLA Violation Checker. The CP Engine formulates a constraint programming problem using the constraints extracted from the SLAs in XML format (SLAConstraintsXML). The CP engine solves the problem and the Optimizer (of type VM Allocator) produces a VM allocation scheme applying the solution to the Virtual Resources.

**Dependencies.** The presence of the Power Calculator indicates a dependency from the Energy Modeling tactic: as shown in Figure 2, the Power Calculator is an instance of an Energy Model.

---

\(^1\)http://www.enterprisenetworkingplanet.com/netos/article.php/3753836/

---

### C. Cloud Federation

The Cloud Federation scenario for EE is the following: the Energy Monitor notifies about an excessive energy consumption arising from a service, which is a composition of multiple cloud services. The Service Orchestrator then tries to swap some services in the service composition by searching in a Green Service Directory for services that consume less energy than those currently being used.

A cloud federation is a multi-cloud environment that can be defined as “[a platform that] comprises services from different providers aggregated in a single pool”\(^{[12]}\). Cloud Federation tactics allow cloud-based software systems to “lease” or “negotiate” cloud services from multiple providers based on energy consumption information.

**Example Tactic – Service-Adaptation:**

**Motivation.** The main benefit of the Cloud Federation paradigm is the possibility to select services among different providers. Through Energy Monitoring tactics, we are able to know the energy consumption of services. This enables cloud-based software systems to discover services that are more energy-efficient than those currently in use. The Service-Adaptation tactic describes how Cloud platforms should switch to these more energy-efficient services.

**Description.** Two components realize the Service-Adaptation tactic. The first component is the Energy Orchestrator that discovers energy-efficient services that fulfill a certain task and eventually performs the registration of those services with the system. This operation has to be authorized by the second component, the SLA Violation Checker, which ensures that the new services meet the service-level objectives required by the system. This component is similar to its analog in the Self-Adaptation tactics, but instead of checking the SLOs that internal services have to fulfill, it checks if external services meet the SLOs required by the system.

**Constraints.** The Service-Adaptation tactic assumes centralized cloud service orchestration. This creates some disadvantages in terms of flexibility because it concentrates all service orchestration logic in a single point.

**Example.** Villegas et al.\(^{[13]}\) illustrate an example of the Service-Adaptation tactic in a federated cloud architecture. In their view, the Service-Adaptation is performed at the Software-as-a-Service (SaaS) layer: whenever a service request to the federated cloud cannot be fulfilled with the required service level or is too costly in terms of energy, it is forwarded to another federated cloud provider. As shown in Figure 3, the SaaS Broker, of type Energy Orchestrator, negotiates the usage of a Green Service with other cloud providers. The Reputation of the cloud provider (of type SLA Violation Checker) determines if the provider meets the required service-level objectives. The Reputation is based on the SLA violation rate of the provider.

**Dependencies.** As implied by the tactic description, Service-Adaptation depends on Energy Monitoring tactics in order to retrieve the energy information of services.

### IV. Discussion

The Green Architectural Tactics presented in this work were explicitly formulated with reusability in mind. For this reason, we kept to a minimum the constraints that a tactic may impose on the general software architecture. When necessary,
we made them explicit. For example, the Service-Adaptation tactic assumes the presence of a service orchestration mechanism; most of the Energy Monitoring tactics introduce a centralized Energy Database; Self-Adaptation tactics assume a high degree of decoupling between the virtual and the physical infrastructure. If these tactics are meant to be applied to an existing cloud-based system, software architects should consider whether these assumptions are compatible with the current architecture. Sometimes, Green Architectural Tactics cannot be adopted in isolation: when introducing them in a software architecture, they might require other tactics to be adopted as well. In the previous section, we made such dependencies explicit. It is relevant to point out that the occurrence of a combination of tactics does not always imply a dependency. This suggests a deeper relationship between the tactics that we will further explore in our future research. Furthermore, our tactics introduce tradeoffs between EE and other quality attributes, summarized in Table II. Along with the scenarios provided in Section III, this initial trade-off analysis contributes to the identification of EE as a quality attribute. It is still under discussion to what extent EE and other sub-characteristics of environmental sustainability might influence traditional quality requirements. In our ongoing and future work, we are investigating this topic.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In cloud computing, energy efficiency aspects have to be addressed from a software architecture perspective. In this work, we provide a set of reusable design solutions, codified as tactics, to support the design and development of cloud-based energy efficient software. In order to help their understanding and adoption, each of our Green Architectual Tactics is presented with an example of its application extracted from literature. In addition, in this contribution we describe energy efficiency as a software quality attribute and analyze its architectural impact in terms of assumptions and tradeoffs. In the future, we foresee the inclusion of energy efficiency in a comprehensive software quality model. This will be the focus of our future research: we are exploring the impact of energy efficiency on other software quality attributes and we will investigate guidelines and methods for assessing the energy efficiency of existing software systems.
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