

VU Research Portal

Payments for Forest Ecosystem Services: Global and Local Assessments of Costs and Benefits

Phan Dang, T.H.

2016

document version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

citation for published version (APA)

Phan Dang, T. H. (2016). *Payments for Forest Ecosystem Services: Global and Local Assessments of Costs and Benefits*. [PhD-Thesis - Research and graduation internal, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam].

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

E-mail address:

vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl

References

- Adhikari, B. and J. C. Lovett, 2006, Transaction costs and community-based natural resource management in Nepal, *Journal of Environmental Management* 78: 5-15.
- Allen, D.W., 1991, 'What are transaction costs?' *Research in Law and Economics* 14: 1-18.
- Alston, L.J., and K. Andersson, 2011, 'Reducing greenhouse gas emissions by forest protection: The transaction costs of implementing REDD', *Climate Law* 2: 281-289.
- Andam KS, Ferraro PJ, Sims KRE, Healy A, Holland MB, 2010. Protected areas reduced poverty in Costa Rica and Thailand. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 107: 9996–10001.
- Antinori, C. and J. Sathaye, 2007, 'Assessing transaction costs of project-based greenhouse gas emissions trading', Report: Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.
- Asia Regional Biodiversity Conservation Program (ARBCP), 2010. Socio-economic survey for assessing the Vietnam government pilot policy on payment for environmental services for Lam Dong province. Working paper. (ARBCP. Ho Chi Minh City).
- Asquith, N.M., Vargas, M. T., Wunder, S., 2008. Selling two environmental services: in-kind payments for bird habitat and watershed protection in Los Negros, Bolivia. *Ecological Economics* 65, 676–685.
- Atmadja S, Verchot L, 2012. A review of the state of research, policies and strategies in addressing leakage from reducing emissions from deforestation and forest degradation (REDD+). *Mitig Adapt Strat Glob Change*, 17: 311-336.
- Bellassen, V., Gitz, V., 2008. Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation in Cameroon - Assessing costs and benefits. *Ecological Economics* 68: 336-344.
- Bellù LG, Liberati P, 2006. Inequality Analysis The Gini Index, prepared for the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO, Rome).
- Benecke, G., L. Friberg, M. Lederer, and M. Schröder, 2008. 'From public-private partnership to market. the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) as a new form of governance in climate protection', SFB-Governance Working Paper Series, No. 10, Research Center (SFB) 700, Berlin.
- Bennett K., 2010. Additionality: the next step for ecosystem service markets. *Duke Environ Law Pol Forum* 20: 417–38.
- Bergh, J.C.J.M. van den, K.J. Button, P. Nijkamp, and G.C. Pepping, 1997. *Meta-Analysis in Environmental Economics*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

- Blaser, J., Robledo, C., 2007. Initial analysis on the mitigation potential in the forestry sector. Prepared for the UNFCCC Secretariat, at https://unfccc.int/files/cooperation_and_support/financial_mechanism/application/pdf/blaser.pdf (accessed 10.04.2012)
- Boer, R., 2001. Economic Assessment of Mitigation Options for Enhancing and Maintaining Carbon Sink Capacity in Indonesia. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* 6: 257-290.
- Boerner CS, Macher JT, 2002. Transaction cost economics: an assessment of empirical research in the social sciences. Walter A. Haas School of Business working paper: Berkeley, CA.
- Börner, J., Wunder, S., 2008. Paying for Avoided Deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon: from Cost Assessment to Scheme Design. *International Forestry Review* 10: 496-511.
- Boucher, D., 2008. Out of the Woods: A Realistic Role for Tropical Forests in Curbing Global Warming. Union of Concerned Scientists (UCS), UCS Publications, Cambridge MA, at <http://www.eanth.org/Policy/UCS-REDD-Boucherreport.pdf> (accessed 10.04.2012)
- Bouma, J., Bulte, E. and van Soest, D., 2008. Trust and cooperation: Social capital and community resource management. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 56: 155-166.
- Brander, L.M., Florax, R.J.G.M., Vermaat, J.E., 2006. The Empirics of Wetland Valuation: A Comprehensive Summary and a Meta-Analysis of the Literature. *Environmental and Resource Economics* 33: 223-250.
- Brouwer, R., I. H. Langford, I. J. Bateman, T. C. Crowards and R. K. Turner, 1999. A Meta-Analysis of Wetland Contingent Valuation Studies. *Regional Environmental Change* 1: 47-57.
- Brouwer, R., A. Tesfaye, P. Pauw, 2011. Meta-analysis of institutional-economic factors explaining the environmental performance of payments for watershed services. *Environmental Conservation* 38: 380–392.
- Brown, D., Seymour, F., Peskett, L., 2008. Chapter 11 How do we achieve REDD co-benefits and avoid doing harm? In Angelsen, A. (Ed.), *Moving Ahead with REDD: Issues, Options and Implications*. CIFOR Bogor, Indonesia.
- Bryant D, Nielsen D, Tanglely L, 1997. *The Last Frontier Forests: Ecosystems and Economies on the Edge* (World Resources Institute, Washington, DC).
- Buitelaar, E, 2008. *Institutions and Transaction costs. The Cost of Land Use Decisions*, Blackwell Publishing Ltd: i-viii.
- Bumpus A.G. and D. M. Liverman, 2008, 'Accumulation by decarbonisation and the governance of carbon offsets', *Economic Geography* 84: 127-156.

- Busch J *et al.*, 2012 Structuring economic incentives to reduce emissions from deforestation within Indonesia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 109: 1062–1067.
- Butler RA, Laurance WF, 2008. New strategies for conserving tropical forests. *Trends Ecol Evol* 23: 469-472.
- Butler, R. A., Koh, L. P., Ghazoul, J., 2009. REDD in the red: palm oil could undermine carbon payment schemes. *Conservation Letters* 2: 67-73.
- Cacho, O. J., L. Lipper and J. Moss, 2013, 'Transaction costs of carbon offset projects: A comparative study', *Ecological Economics* 88: 232-243.
- Chadwick, B. P., 2006, 'Transaction costs and the clean development mechanism', *Natural Resources Forum* 30: 256–271.
- Challen, R., 2000, *Institutions, Transaction Costs, and Environmental Policy. Institutional reform for water resources*, Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar.
- Check, JW, Schutt, RK, 2012. Chapter 8: Survey research. *Research methods in Education*. Sage Publications, pp 440.
- Chen X, Lupi F, He G, Liu J, 2009. Linking social norms to efficient conservation investment in payments for ecosystem services. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 106:11812–11817.
- Chomitz, K. M., Kumari, K., 1998. The Domestic Benefits of Tropical Forests: A Critical Review. *The World Bank Research Observer* 13: 13-35.
- Claassen, R., Cattaneo, R., Johansson, R., 2008. Cost-effective design of agri-environmental payment programs: U.S. experience in theory and practice. *Ecological Economics* 65, 738–753.
- Corbera E, Soberanis González C, Brown K, 2009. Institutional dimensions of payments for ecosystem services: an analysis of Mexico's carbon forestry program. *Ecological Economics* 68: 743–761.
- Coggan, A., S. M. Whitten and J. Bennett, 2010, 'Influences of transaction costs in environmental policy', *Ecological Economics* 69: 1777-1784.
- Coggan, A., E. Buitelaar, S. Whitten and J. Bennett, 2013, 'Factors that influence transaction costs in development offsets: Who bears what and why? *Ecological Economics* 88: 222-231.
- Commune People's Committee of Lam Dong Province (Lam Dong CPC), 2014, *Evaluating report on the 3 year implementation of Payment for Environmental Services in Lam Dong Province from 2010 to 2013 (Lam Dong)*.
- Coomes, O., Grimardb, F., Potvinc, C., Simad, P., 2008. The fate of the tropical forest: Carbon or cattle? *Ecological Economics* 65: 207-212.

- Corbera E, Soberanis González C, Brown K, 2009. Institutional dimensions of payments for ecosystem services: an analysis of Mexico's carbon forestry program. *Ecol Econ* 68: 743–761.
- Coria, J and J. Jaraitè, 2015 'Carbon Pricing: Transaction Costs of Emissions Trading vs. Carbon Taxes', Paper presented at the Annual European Association of Environmental and Resource Economists (EAERE) conference in Helsinki, 24-27 June 2015.
- Curtis PS and Wang X, 1998. A meta-analysis of elevated CO₂ effects on woody plant mass, form, and physiology. *Oecologia* 113: 299–313.
- Dahlman, C. J., 1979, 'Problem of externality', *Journal of Law and Economics*, 22: 141–162.
- Daniels AE, Bagstad K, Esposito V, Moulaert A, Rodriguez CM, 2010. Understanding the impacts of Costa Rica's PES: are we asking the right questions? *Ecol Econ* 69: 2116–2126.
- De Alessi, L., 1983, 'Property rights and transaction costs: a new perspective in economic theory', *The Social Science Journal* 20: 59 – 69.
- Diaz, M. D. C., Schwartzman, S., 2005. Carbon offsets and land use in the Brazilian Amazon. In Moutinho, P., Schwartzman, S. (Eds.), *Tropical Deforestation and Climate Change*. IPAM: Belem, Brazil and Environmental Defense: Washington, DC, pp. 13-21.
- Dixon PM, Weiner J, Mitchell-Olds T, Woodley R, 1987. Bootstrapping the Gini Coefficient of Inequality. *Ecology* 68: 1548-1551.
- Douglas, W. A and D. Lueck, 1993. 'Transaction Costs and the Design of Crop share Contracts', *The RAND Journal of Economics* 24: 78-100.
- Dran Forest Management Board (Dran FMB) 2014. Evaluating report on the 3 year implementation of Payment for Environmental Services in Don Duong District from 2010 to 2013 (Lam Dong).
- Ebeling, J., Yasué, M., 2008. Generating carbon finance through avoided deforestation and its potential to create climatic, conservation and human development benefits. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 363, 1917-1924.
- Eliasch, J., 2008. Part I: The challenge of deforestation. *Climate change: financing global forests*. The Eliasch Review. Earthscan, London.
- Engel, S., Pagiola, S., Wunder, S., 2008. Designing payments for environmental services in theory and practice: an overview of the issues. *Ecological Economics* 65, 663–675.
- Falconer, K., M. Whitby, 1999, 'Transactions and administrative costs in countryside stewardship policies: An investigation for eight European member states', Research Report. University of Newcastle, School of Agriculture, Food and Rural Development.

- FAO, 2003. World agriculture: towards 2015/2030 - An FAO perspective. In Bruinsma, J. (Ed.). Rome, FAO and London, Earthscan.
- FAO, 2005. Global Forest Resources Assessment 2005. FAO, Rome.
- FAO, 2007. The State of Food and Agriculture. Part I: Paying farmers for environmental services. FAO, Rome.
- FAO, 2010. Global Forest Resources Assessment 2010. FAO, Rome.
- FAO, 2010. State of the world's forests 2011. FAO, Rome.
- Farley, J., Costanza, R., 2010. Payments for ecosystem services: from local to global. *Ecological Economics* 69: 2060–2068.
- Fearnside, P. M., 2005. Deforestation in Brazilian Amazonia: History, rates, and consequences. *Conservation Biology* 19: 680–688.
- Fisher, B., Edwards, D. P., Giam, X., Wilcove, D. S., 2011. The high costs of conserving Southeast Asia's lowland rainforests. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 9: 329–334.
- Fitzherbert, E.B., Struebig, M.J., Morel, A., Danielson, F., Bruhl, C.A., Donald, P.F. *et al.*, 2008. How will oil palm expansion affect biodiversity? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 23: 538–545.
- Frost, P.G.H., Bond, I., 2008. The CAMPFIRE programme in Zimbabwe: payments for wildlife services. *Ecological Economics* 65, 777–788.
- Fullerton, D., 2001, 'A framework to compare environmental policies', Working Paper, vol. 8420. National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Garrick, D., L. McCann and D. J. Pannell, 2013, 'Transaction costs and environmental policy: Taking stock, looking forward', *Ecological Economics* 88: 182–184.
- Geist HJ, Lambin EF (2002) Proximate causes and underlying driving forces of tropical deforestation. *BioScience* 52: 143–50.
- Glass, G. V., McGaw, B., Smith, M. L., 1981. *Meta-analysis in social research*. Sage Publications, Beverly Hills.
- Grieg-Gran M, Porras I, Wunder S, 2005. How can market mechanisms for forest environmental services help the poor? Preliminary lessons from Latin America. *World Dev* 33: 1511–1527.
- Grieg-Gran, M. and C. Bann, 2003, 'A closer look at payments and markets for environmental services', in Gutman, P. (ed.): *From goodwill to payments of environmental services, a survey of financing options for sustainable natural resource management in developing countries*, WWF MPO.

- Grieg-Gran, M., 2009. The Cost of Avoided Deforestation as a Climate Change Mitigation Option. In Palmer, C., Engel, S. (Eds.), *Avoided Deforestation. Prospects for Mitigating Climate Change*. Routledge Explorations in Environmental Economics Series. pp. 11-38.
- Guo S, Fraser MW, 2009. *Propensity score analysis: Statistical methods and applications* (Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage).
- Hansen MC *et al.*, 2003, Global Percent Tree Cover at a Spatial Resolution of 500 Meters: First results of the MODIS Vegetation Continuous Fields Algorithm (Earth Interact).
- Heckman J, Vytlacil E, 1999. Local instrumental variable and latent variable models for identifying and bounding treatment effects. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 96: 4730–4734.
- Hope, C., Castilla-Rubio, J. C., 2008. A first cost benefit analysis of action to reduce deforestation. Judge Business School, University of Cambridge. New work commissioned for the Eliasch Review.
- Hosonuma N, Herold M, De Sy V, De Fries RS, Brockhaus M, Verchot LV, Angelsen A, Romijn JE (2012) An assessment of deforestation and forest degradation drivers in developing countries. *Environmental Research Letters* 7: 1-12.
- Houghton, R. A., 2005. Tropical deforestation as a source of greenhouse gas emissions. In Mountinho, P., Schwartzman, S. (Eds.), *Tropical Deforestation and Climate Change*. IPAM: Belem, Brazil and Environmental Defense: Washington, DC, pp. 13-21.
- Huang C *et al.*, 2009. Dynamics of National Forests Assessed Using the Landsat Record: Case Studies in Eastern United States. *Remote Sense Environ* 113: 1430-1442.
- Ingram, J. C., and D. Wilkie, 2008, 'Governance of the global carbon market: does scale matter?' in K. Redford, and C. Grippio (eds.), *WCS Working Paper 36: Protected Areas, Governance and Scale*.
- IPCC, 2000 - Watson, R. T., Noble, I. R., Bolin, B., Ravindranath, N. H., Verardo, D. J., Dokken, D. J. (Eds.) Cambridge University Press, UK. pp 375.
- Irawan, S., Tacconi, L., Ring, I., 2011. Stakeholders' incentives for land-use change and REDD+: the case of Indonesia. Working Paper #2, Asia Pacific Network for Environmental Governance, The Australian National University.
- Jack BK, Kousky C, Sims KRE. 2008. Designing payments for ecosystem services: Lessons from previous experience with incentive-based mechanisms. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 105:9465–9470
- Jindal R, Kerr JM, Carter S, 2012. Reducing Poverty Through Carbon Forestry? Impacts of the N'hambita Community Carbon Project in Mozambique. *World Dev* 40: 2123–2135.

- Jindal, R., B. Swallow, and J. Kerr, 2008, 'Forestry-based carbon sequestration projects in Africa: Potential benefits and challenges', *Natural Resources Forum* 32: 116-130.
- Kindermann, G. E., Obersteiner, M., Rametsteiner, E., McCallum, I., 2006. Predicting the deforestation trend under different carbon prices. *Carbon Balance Management* 1, 1–15.
- Kindermann, G. E., Obersteiner, M., Sohngen, B., Sathaye, J., Andrasko, K., Rametsteiner, E., Schlamadinger, B., Wunder, S., Beach, R., 2008. Global cost estimates of reducing carbon emissions through avoided deforestation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 105,10302–10307.
- Kosoy N, Martinez-Tuna M, Muradian R, Martinez-Alier J 2007 Payments for environmental services in watersheds: insights from a comparative study of three cases in Central America. *Ecol Eco* 61: 446–455.
- Kosoy, A. and Ambrosi, P. 2010 *State and Trends of the Carbon Market 2010*. Carbon Finance. World Bank. Washington DC.
- Kremen, C., Niles, J. O., Dalton, M. G., Daily, G. C., Ehrlich, P. R., Fay, J. P., Grewal, D., Guillery, R. P., 2000. Economic Incentives for Rain Forest Conservation Across Scales. *Science* 288: 1828-1832.
- Krey, M. 2005, 'Transaction costs of unilateral CDM Projects in India - results from an empirical survey', *Energy Policy* 33: 2385-2397.
- Kronenberg, J., and K. Hubacek. 2013. Could payments for ecosystem services create an "ecosystem service curse"? *Ecology and Society* 18: 10.
- Krutilla, K, Krause, R, 2011 Transaction costs and environmental policy: an assessment framework and literature review. *International Review of Environmental and Resource Economics* 4, 262–354.
- Kumar, R, 2005. Chapter 9: Selecting a method of data collection. *Research methodology – A step-by-step guide for beginners*. Sage Publications, pp331.
- Lambin EF, Turner BL, Geist H, Agbola S, Angelsen A, et al (2001) The causes of land-use and land-cover change: moving beyond the myths. *Global Environmental Change* 11: 261–69.
- Lam Dong Forest Development and Protection Fund (Lam Dong FPDF) 2014 Annual report on Payment for Forest Environmental Services in Lam Dong (Lam Dong)
- Landell-Mills, N and Porras, TI 2002 Silver bullet or fools' gold? A global review of markets for forest environmental services and their impact on the poor. *Instruments for sustainable private sector forestry series*. International Institute for Environment and Development, London.

- Lasco, R. D., Pulhin, F. B., 2001. Climate Change Mitigation Activities in the Philippine Forestry Sector: Application of the COMAP Model. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* 6: 313-334.
- Lederer, M. 2011, 'From CDM to REDD+ -What do we know for setting up effective and legitimate carbon governance?', *Ecological Economics* 70: 1900-1907.
- Li J, Feldman M, Li S, Daily GC 2011 Rural household income and inequality under payment for ecosystem services: The Sloping Land Conversion Program in Western China. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108: 7721–7726.
- Liu J, Yang W 2013 Integrated Assessments of Payments for Ecosystem Services Programs. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 110: 16297–16298.
- Locatelli B, Rojas V, Salinas Z 2008 Impacts of payments for environmental services on local development in northern Costa Rica: a fuzzy multi-criteria analysis. *Forest Policy Econ* 10: 275–285.
- Loomis, JB and White DS (1996) Economic benefits of rare and endangered species: summary and meta-analysis. *Ecological Economics* 18: 197–206.
- Lubowski, R. N., 2008. Chapter 3 What are the costs and potentials of REDD? In Angelsen, A. (Ed.) *Moving Ahead with REDD Issues, Options and Implications*. CIFOR Bogor, Indonesia.
- Luttrell, C., K. Schreckenberg, and L. Peskett 2007, 'The implications of carbon financing for pro-poor community forestry', Overseas Development Institute (ODI) Forestry Briefing 14. London: ODI.
- Makundi, W. R., Okiting'ati, A., 1995. Carbon flows and economic evaluation of mitigation options in Tanzania's forest sector. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 8: 381-393.
- Mann, C., 1990. Meta-analysis in the breach. *Science* 249: 476–480.
- Matthews, R.C.O 1986, 'The economics of institutions and the sources of growth', *The Economic Journal* 96: 903–918.
- Mburu, J., Birner, R., Zeller, M., 2003. Relative importance and determinants of landowners transaction costs in collaborative wildlife management in Kenya: an empirical analysis. *Ecological Economics* 45, 59–73.
- McCann, L. 2013, 'Transaction costs and environmental policy design', *Ecological Economics* 88: 253-262.
- McCann, L., Colby, B., Easter, K.W., Kasterine, A., Kuperan, K.V., 2005. Transaction cost measurement for evaluating environmental policies. *Ecological Economics* 52: 527-542.
- McElwee, P., 2012. Payments for environmental services as neoliberal market-based forest conservation in Vietnam: panacea or problem? *Geoforum* 43 (3).

- McElwee, P.D., T. Nghiem, H. Le, H. Vu, and N. Tran. 2014. "Payments for Environmental Services and Contested Neoliberalisation in Developing Countries: A Case Study from Vietnam." *Journal of Rural Studies*.
- Meshack, C. K., B. Ahdikar, N. Doggart, and J. C. Lovett 2006, 'Transaction costs of community-based forest management: empirical evidence from Tanzania', *African Journal of Ecology* 44: 468-477.
- Mettepenningen, E., A. Verspecht, and G. van Huylbroeck 2009, 'Measuring private transaction costs of European agri-environmental schemes', *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management* 52: 649-667.
- Mettepenningen, E., and G. van Huylbroeck 2009, 'Factors influencing private transaction costs related to Agri-environmental Schemes in Europe', in F. Bruwer, M. van der Heide (eds.), *Multifunctional Rural Land Management: Economics and Policies*, pp. 145-168.
- Milder JC, Scherr SJ, Bracer C 2010 Trends and future potential of payment for ecosystem services to alleviate rural poverty in developing countries. *Ecol Soc* 15 (Art. No. 4).
- Millennium Ecosystem Assessment 2005 *Ecosystems and human well-being: Synthesis* (Island Press, Washington, DC).
- Milne, M. 1999, 'Transaction costs of forest carbon projects', Centre for International Forestry Research (CIFOR) Bogor, Indonesia.
- Mundaca, L., M. Mansoz, L. Neij, and G. R. Timilsina 2013, 'Transaction costs of low-carbon technologies and policies: The diverging literature', Policy Research Working Paper Series, No.6565, World Bank.
- Muradian, R., Corbera, E., Pascual, U., Kosoy, N., May, P.H. 2010 Reconciling theory and practice: an alternative conceptual framework for understanding payments for ecosystem services. *Ecological Economics* 69: 1202–1208.
- Muradian, R. and Rival, L. M., 2012. *Governing the Provision of Ecosystem Services*. New York: Springer.
- Murphy, J.J., P.G. Allen, T.H. Stevens, and D. Weatherhead, 2005. A meta-analysis of hypothetical bias in stated preference valuation, *Environmental and Resource Economics* 30: 313-25.
- Murray, B.C., 2008. Leakage from an avoided deforestation compensation policy: Concepts, empirical evidence, and corrective policy options. Nicholas Institute for Environmental Policy Solutions, Duke University, Durham, NC. 32p.
- Nabuurs, G.J. *et al.* 2007, 'Chapter 9: Forestry', in B. Metz, O.R. Davidson, P.R. Bosch, R. Dave, L.A. Meyer (eds.) *Climate Change 2007: Mitigation. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on*

Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.

- Naeem S et al 2015 Get the science right when paying for nature's services. *Science* 347: 1206-1207.
- Naidoo R *et al.* 2008 Global mapping of ecosystem services and conservation priorities. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 105: 9495–9500.
- Nelson, J., Kennedy, P., 2009. The Use (and Abuse) of Meta-Analysis in Environmental and Natural Resource Economics: An Assessment. *Environmental and Resource Economics* 42, 345-377.
- Nepstad, D., Merry, F., Rodrigues, H. O., Schwartzman, S., Almeida, O. 2007. The Costs and Benefits of Reducing Carbon Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation in the Brazilian Amazon. Woods Hole Research Center, Falmouth, MA.
- Nepstad, D., Soares-Filho, B. S., Merry, F., Lima, A., Moutinho, P., Carter, J., Bowman, M., Cattaneo, A., Rodrigues, H., Schwartzman, S., McGrath, D. G., Stickler, C. M., Lubowski, R., Piris-Cabezas, P., Rivero, S., Alencar, A., Almeida, O., Stella, O., 2009. The End of Deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon. *Science* 326: 1350-1351.
- Nguyen TYL 2013 Evaluating the pilot implementation of Payment for Forest Environmental Services in Lam Dong, Vietnam. Working paper (Economy and Environment Program for Southeast Asia (EEPSEA), Philippines.
- Nguyen, QT, 2008. The household economy and decentralization of forest management in Vietnam. In: Colfer, C.J.P., Dahal, G.R., Capistrano, D. (Eds.), *Lessons from Forest Decentralization: Money, Justice and the Quest for Good Governance in Asia-Pacific*. Earthscan/CIFOR, London, pp. 187–209.
- Nguyen, QT, 2011. Payment for environmental Services in Vietnam: An Analysis of the Pilot Project in Lam Dong Province. Forest Conservation Project. Occasional Paper No. 5 (Institute for Global Environmental Strategies).
- North, D.C., 1990, 'Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance', Cambridge University Press.
- Osafo, Y. B., 2005. Reducing emissions from tropical forest deforestation: applying compensated reduction in Ghana. In Moutinho, P. and Schwartzman, S. (Eds.), *Tropical Deforestation and Climate Change*. IPAM: Belem, Brazil and Environmental Defense: Washington, DC, pp. 13-21.
- Osborne, T., C. Kiker, 2005. Carbon offsets as an economic alternative to large-scale logging: a case study in Guyana. *Ecological Economics* 52: 481-496.
- Pagiola S, Arcenas A, Platais G, 2005. Can payments for environmental services help reduce poverty? An exploration of the issues and evidence to date from Latin America. *World Dev* 33: 237–253.

- Pagiola, S., 2002. Paying for water services in Central America: learning from Costa Rica. In: Pagiola, S., Bishop, J., Landell-Mills, N. (Eds.), *Selling Forest Environmental Services: Market-based Mechanisms for Conservation*. Earthscan, London, pp. 37–61.
- Pagiola, S., Ramírez, E., Gobbi, J., de Haan, C., Ibrahim, M., Murgueitio, E., Ruíz, J.P., 2007. Paying for the environmental services of silvopastoral practices in Nicaragua. *Special Issue on Ecosystem Services and Agriculture, Ecological Economics* 64: 374–385.
- Palm, M., M. Ostwald, G. Berndes, and N. H. Ravindranath, 2009, 'Application of Clean Development Mechanism to forest plantation projects and rural development in India', *Applied Geography* 29: 2-11.
- Pascual, U., *et al.*, 2010. Exploring the links between equity and efficiency in payments for environmental services: A conceptual approach. *Ecological Economics* 69: 1237–1244
- Pattayanka SK, Wunder S, Ferraro PJ, 2010. Show me the money: do payments supply environmental services in developing countries? *Rev Environ Econ Policy* 4: 254–274.
- Pham TT, Moeliono M, Nguyen TH, Nguyen HT, Vu TH, 2012. *The Context of REDD+ in Vietnam: Drivers, Agents, and Institutions*. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research.
- Pham, TT, Bennet K, Vu TP, Brunner J, Le ND, Nguyen DT, 2013. Payments for forest environmental services in Vietnam: From policy to practice. *Occasional Paper 93 (CIFOR, Bogor, Indonesia)*.
- Pham, TT, Campbell BM, Garnett S., Aslin H., Hoang, MH, 2010. Importance and impacts of intermediary boundary organizations in facilitating payment for environmental services in Vietnam. *Environmental Conservation* 37: 64–72.
- Potvin, C., Guay, B., Pedroni, L., 2008. Is reducing emissions from deforestation financially feasible? A Panamanian case study. *Climate Policy* 8: 23-40.
- Ramankutty N, Foley JA (1999) Estimating historical changes in global land cover: Croplands from 1700 to 1992. *Global Biogeochem Cycles* 13:997–1027.
- Ravindranath, N. H., Sudha, P., Rao, S., 2001. Forestry for sustainable biomass production and carbon sequestration in India. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* 6: 233-256.
- Ray, B., and R. N. Bhattacharya, 2011, 'Transaction costs, collective action, and survival of heterogeneous co-management institutions: case study of forest management organizations in West Bengal, India', *Journal of Development Studies* 47: 253-273.
- Richards, K. R., Stokes, C., 2004. A Review of Forest Carbon Sequestration Cost Studies: A Dozen Years of Research. *Climatic Change* 63: 1-48.
- Rindfleisch, A. and J. B. Heide, 1997, 'Transaction cost analysis: past, present, and future applications', *Journal of Marketing* 61: 30-54.

- Ring I, Barton DN, 2015. Economic instruments in policy mixes for biodiversity conservation and ecosystem governance. In: Martinez-Alier J, Muradian R. (Eds.): Handbook of Ecological Economics. Edward Elgar.
- Robalino J *et al.*, 2007 Deforestation Impacts of Environmental Services Payments: Costa Rica's PSA Program 2000–2005. Resource for the Future and EFD Working Paper Series DP24 (Washington, DC: Resources for the Future).
- Roe D., 2008 The origins and evolution of the conservation-poverty debate: are view of key literature, events and policy processes. *Oryx* 42: 491–503.
- Rørstad, P. K., A. Vatn, and V. Kvakkestad, 2007, 'Why do transaction costs of agricultural policies vary?', *Agricultural Economics* 36: 1-11.
- Ruslandi, Venter, O., Putz, F. E., 2011. Overestimating conservation costs in Southeast Asia. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 9: 542-544.
- Sánchez-Azofeifa A, Pfaff A, Robalino JA, Boomhaver J, 2007 Costa Rica's payment for environmental services program: Intention, implementation, and impact. *Conserv Biol* 21:1165–1173.
- Sathaye, J., Andrasko, K., Chan., P., 2011. Emissions scenarios, costs, and implementation considerations of REDD-plus programs. *Environment and Development Economics* 16(Special Issue 04), 361-380.
- Sayer J. *et al.*, 2013. Ten principles for a landscape approach to reconciling agriculture, conservation, and other competing land uses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 110: 8349–8356.
- Schomers S, Matzdorf B, 2013. Payments for ecosystem services: A review and comparison of developing and industrialized countries. *Ecosyst Serv* 6:122–132.
- Sedjo, R. A., Wisniewski, J., Sample, A. V., and Kinsman, J. D., 1995. The economics of managing carbon via forestry: Assessment of existing studies. *Environmental and Resource Economics* 6: 139-165.
- Shackleton, C.M., 1996. Potential stimulation of local rural economies by harvesting secondary products: a case study of the central eastern Transvaal lowveld, *Ambio* 25, pp. 33–38.
- Shackleton, C.M., Shackleton, S.E., Buiten, E., Bird, N, 2007. The importance of dry woodlands and forests in rural livelihoods and poverty alleviation in South Africa. *Forest Policy and Economics* 9: 558–577.
- Sierra R, Russman E, 2006. On the efficiency of environmental service payments: a forest conservation assessment in the Osa Peninsula, Costa Rica. *Ecol Econ* 59:131–41.
- Sikor, T.: 1995, 'Decree 327 and the restoration of barren land in the Vietnamese highlands', in A.T. Rambo, R.R. Reed, Le TrongCuc and M.R. Di Gregorio (eds.), *The Challenges*

- of Highland Development in Vietnam, East-West Center, Hawaii; Center for Natural Resources and Environmental Studies, Hanoi University; Center for Southeast Asia Studies, University of California, pp. 143–156.
- Silberston, A., 1972. Economies of Scale in Theory and Practice. *The Economic Journal* 82: 369-391.
- Silva-Chávez, G. A., 2005. Reducing greenhouse gas emissions from tropical deforestation by applying compensated reduction to Bolivia. In Moutinho, P. and Schwartzman, S. (Eds.), *Tropical Deforestation and Climate Change*. IPAM: Belem, Brazil and Environmental Defense: Washington, DC, pp. 13-21.
- Simon, H.A., 1947, *Administrative behavior*, New York, NY: Macmillan.
- Slangen, L. H. G., L. A. Loucks, and A. H. L. Slangen 2008, 'Institutional economics and economic organization theory', Wageningen Academic Publishers.
- Smith, V.K., Kaoru, Y., 1990. Signals or noise? Explaining the variation in recreation benefit estimates. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 72: 419–433.
- Soares-Filho, B.S. *et al.*, 2009. Role Of Brazilian Amazon Protected Areas In Climate Change Mitigation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 107: 10821-10826.
- Sodhi, N.S. *et al.*, 2004. Southeast Asian biodiversity: an impending disaster. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 19: 654–660.
- Sohngen, B., 2009. An analysis of forestry carbon sequestration as a response to climate change. *Copenhagen Consensus on Climate*.
- Sohngen, B., Beach, R. H., Andrasko, K., 2008. Avoided Deforestation as a Greenhouse Gas Mitigation Tool: Economic Issues. *Journal of Environmental Quality*. 37: 1368-1375.
- Sommerville M, Milner-Gulland EJ, Rahajaharison M, Jones JPG, 2010. Impact of community-based payment for environmental services intervention on forest use in Menabe, Madagascar. *Conserv Biol* 24: 1488–1498.
- Stanley, T.D., 2001. Wheat from chaff: Meta-analysis as quantitative literature review. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 15, 131-150.
- Stern, N., 2006. Part VI: International Collective Action. *The Economics of Climate Change: The Stern Review* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Stickler, C., Nepstad, D., Coe, M., Macgrath, D., Rodrigues, H., Davidson, E., Soares-Filho, B., 2009. The potential ecological costs and co-benefits of REDD: A critical review and case study from the Amazon region. *Global Change Biology* 15, 2803–2824.
- Strassburg, B., Turner, R. K., Fisher, B., Schaeffer, R., Lovett, A., 2009. Reducing emissions from deforestation - The combined incentives mechanism and empirical simulations. *Global Environmental Change* 19: 265-278.

- Strassburg, B.B.N., Rodrigues A.S.L., Gusti, M., Balmford, A., Fritz, S., Obersteiner, M., Turner, R.K., Brooks T.M., 2012. Impacts of incentives to reduce emissions from deforestation on global species extinctions. *Nature Climate Change* 2, 350–355.
- Suhardiman, Diana, Dennis Wichelns, Guillaume Lestrelin, and Chu Thai Hoanh. 2013. “Payments for Ecosystem Services in Vietnam: Market-Based Incentives or State Control of Resources?” *Ecosystem Services*, 6: 64–71.
- Tacconi, L., 2012. Redefining payments for environmental services. *Ecological Economics*, 73 (15): 29-36.
- Taylor, M., and S. Singleton, 1993, ‘The communal resource: transaction costs and the solution of collective action problems’, *Politics and Society* 21: 195-214.
- The Economics of Ecosystems and Biodiversity (TEEB), 2010. Mainstreaming the economics of nature. A synthesis of the approach, conclusions and recommendations of TEEB.
- Thomas, S., P. Dargusch, S. Harrison, and J. Herbohn, 2010, ‘Why are there so few afforestation and reforestation Clean Development Mechanism projects?’, *Land Use Policy* 27: 880-887.
- Thompson, O. R. R., J. Paavola, J. R. Healey, J. P. G. Jones, T. R. Baker, and J. Torres, 2013, ‘Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation (REDD+): Transaction costs of six Peruvian projects’, *Ecology and Society* 18: 17-29.
- To, PX 2007 Forest property in the Vietnamese uplands: An ethnography of forest relations in Three Dao Villages. Berlin and London: LIT Verlage/Transaction Publishers; 2007.
- To, PX *et al.* 2012 The prospects for payment for ecosystem services in Vietnam: a look at three payment schemes. *Human Ecology* 40: 237–249.
- Tomich, T. P., de Foresta, H., Dennis, R., Ketterings, Q., Murdiyarso, D., Palm, C., Stolle, F., Suyanto, van Noordwijk, M., 2002. Carbon offsets for conservation and development in Indonesia? *American Journal of Alternative Agriculture* 17: 125-137.
- Townshend JRG, Carroll M, Dimiceli C, Sohlberg R, Hansen M, DeFries R 2011. User Guide for the MODIS Vegetation Continuous Field. Collection 5 Version 1 (University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland).
- Turpie, J.K., Marais, C., Blignaut, J.N., 2008. The Working for Water Programme: evolution of a payments for ecosystem services mechanism that addresses both poverty and ecosystem service delivery in South Africa. *Ecological Economics* 65, 789–799
- van Kooten, G. C., Eagle, A. J., Manley, J., Smolak, T., 2004. How costly are carbon offsets? A meta-analysis of carbon forest sinks. *Environmental Science & Policy* 7: 239-251.
- van Kooten, G. C., Laaksonen-Craig, S., Wang, Y., 2009. A meta-regression analysis of forest carbon offset costs. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 39: 2153-2167.

- Vatn A 2010 An institutional analysis of payments for environmental services. *Ecol Econ* 69: 1245–1252.
- Vatn, A. 2005, *Institutions and the environment. Institutional economics: different positions*. Edward Elgar Publishing Limited.
- Venter, O., Meijaard, E., Possingham, H., Dennis, R., Sheil, D., Wich, S., Hovani, L., Wilson, K., 2009. Carbon payments as a safeguard for threatened tropical mammals. *Conservation Letters* 2:, 123-129.
- Wang, N. 2007, ‘Measuring transaction costs: diverging approaches, contending practices’, *Division of Labour and Transaction Costs* 2: 111–146.
- Wangwacharakul, V., Bowonwiwat , R., 1995. Economic evaluation of CO₂ response options in the forestry sector: The case of Thailand. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 8: 293-307.
- Wertz-Kanounnikoff, S. 2006, ‘Payments for environmental services – A solution for biodiversity conservation?’, Working paper N° 12/2006, RessourcesNaturelles.
- Wertz-Kanounnikoff, S., 2008. Estimating the costs of reducing forest emissions A review of methods. Working paper No.42. CIFOR Bogor, Indonesia.
- Williamson, O. E. 1985, ‘The economic institutions of capitalism: firms, markets, relational contracting’, Free Press, New York.
- Williamson, O. E. 2000, ‘The new institutional economics: taking stock, looking ahead’, *Journal of Economic Literature* 38: 595-613.
- Williamson, O.E. 1979, ‘Transaction-cost economics: The governance of contractual relations’, *Journal of Economic Issues* 22: 233-261.
- Williamson, O.E. 1996, ‘The mechanisms of governance’, Oxford University Press, New York.
- Williamson, O.E. 1998, ‘Transaction cost economics: how it works: where it is headed’, *De Economist* 146: 23–58.
- Winrock International (2011) *Payment for Forest Environmental Services: A Case Study on Pilot Implementation in Lam Dong Province Vietnam from 2006 - 2010*.
- Woerdman, E. 2004, ‘Chapter 5: Transaction costs of market based climate policy’, *The Institutional Economics of Market- Based Climate Policy*. Amsterdam, Elsevier, pp. 326.
- Wolf, F.M. ,1986. *Meta-analysis*. Sage Publications, Beverly Hills, California.
- Woodward RT, Wui YS, 2001. The economic value of wetland services: a meta-analysis. *Ecological Economics* 37: 257–70.
- World Bank, 2011. *Estimating the Opportunity Costs of REDD+ A training manual*

- Wunder S, 2005. Payments for Environmental Services: Some Nuts and Bolts. Occasional Paper No. 42 (CIFOR, Bogor, Indonesia).
- Wunder, S, 2008. Payments for environmental services and the poor: concepts and preliminary evidence. *Environ Dev Econ* 13: 279-297.
- Wunder, S., 2008. How do we deal with leakage? In: Angelsen, A. (Ed.), *Moving Ahead with REDD*. CIFOR, Bogor, pp. 65–76.
- Wunder, S., and M. Alban. 2008. Decentralized payments for environmental services: The cases of Pimampiro and PROFAFOR in Ecuador. *Ecological Economics* 65: 685-698.
- Wunder, S., S. Engel, S., S.Pagiola, S. 2008 Taking stock: lessons learnt for the design of payments for environmental services programs. *Ecological Economics* 65, 834–852.
- Yamamoto, Y., Takeuchi, K., 2012. Estimating the break-even price for forest protection in Central Kalimantan. *Environmental Economics and Policy Studies* 14, 289–301.
- Zandersen M and Tol RSJ, 2009. A meta-analysis of forest recreation values in Europe. *Journal of Forest Economics* 15: 109–130.
- Zheng H *et al.*, 2013. Benefits, costs, and livelihood implications of a regional payment for ecosystem service program. *ProcNatlAcadSci USA* 110:16681–16686.

Acknowledgements

And so the long journey has finally reached its destination, marking itself one of the most unforgettable chapters in my life, filled with lots of emotions, (un-)expectations, and the inevitably frustrations. It is the journey I enjoy very much, the one where I saw my normal self growing, transforming, getting lost and finding the way back at the same time. One thing I know for sure, that I could have not finished my PhD without the incredible supports from many people, some of them I would like to thank in particular.

First and foremost, my promotor Roy Brouwer and co-promotor Marc Davidson, I am very grateful for having you as both my scientific and mental supervisors. Working with you has given me the opportunities to learn new things with an open-minded attitude. I used to be a risk-averse person who is afraid of stepping out of her comfort zone. And you two have shown me that it is always worthy to try, to gain and to fail. After all, it takes only one's courage to become an inspirational explorer.

Roy, I enjoy all the discussions we had and I deeply appreciate how you always encouraged me to think bigger and be more critical. The way you approach your students gives them motivations and confidence to carry on their “immature and oftentimes overambitious” research ideas. It was your calmness and trust that pulled me back every time I found myself totally lost and hopeless. Since I became a (non-experienced) mom, you never minded listening to my personal parenting life. Still I remember you told me “this is a new exciting phase in your life, and it is completely normal that you talk about this”. That means a lot to me, Roy!

To my co-promotor, Marc. Thank you so much for solely giving me the freedom to develop my own research, and so importantly, for your generous financial support that enabled me to go to conferences as well as the fieldtrip in Vietnam. You are the one that helps me realize how important it is to pursue your dream with true passion, determination, and rationality. Together with Roy, you made my PhD journey more memorable with your kind, enthusiastic, and optimistic personality! It was always fun and gezellig to talk about our daily life and the cooking recipes we shared over lunch time.

In addition to my supervisors, this PhD research also received substantial supports from the FPDFs' people in Lam Dong and Son La, especially the two directors: chú Thọ Võ and anh Thắng Phạm. Their helps greatly support the accomplishment of my fieldtrips in

Lam Dong and Son La. I had a great time working with the Fund's staff, especially anh Quang and em Thảo. During the two continuous weeks of surveys, valuable lessons had been shared between us. Topics range from how to gain trust from the interviewees to what to do when get lost in the villages. Furthermore, I sincerely thank bác Chu Thái Hoàn, former senior researcher at the International Water Management Institute (IWMI) for his useful, timely feedbacks on my research as well as his enthusiasm in sharing knowledge with me. Having the chance to discuss with him about my research has strengthened me with more confidences to continue my PhD journey, especially when I was in doubt.

To my colleagues from the CGE group (IBED, UvA) and the Department of Environmental Economics (IVM, VU), I am lucky to get to know: Hector, Wouter, Lourens, Kenneth, Majelle, and Bianca. Our coffee-and-tea-fuelled discussions made my PhD unforgettable. Sometimes they ended up as parts of my scientific work, sometimes as sparkling memories, I treasure them all. Hector and Wouter, I thank you two for always being passionate, especially about writing and bird-watching, as your passions motivate me to try harder! To the lovely secretaries Marjolijn Staarink and Maria Dolorita, and chị Trúc Ngô (HR) thank you for always being so kind and supportive to me!

To my friends in the Netherlands, there are a few of them I would like to mention in particular. Ko, the “opa” who understands me really well, thank you a lot for always listening to me, bringing me pretty flowers and chocolate every time you come over! Baukje, I enjoyed every lunch, dinner, the walks, and the talks we have had together, so calm and relaxing! Nai, I believe in your theory about “energy based connectedness” and your letters are definitely a great source of inspiration for me. Michal and Yonqi, thanks to this PhD we got to know each other five years ago and I am so delighted to have you two as my paranimphs!

To my Vietnamese friends, especially Nha Đam, Hương, and Mai Anh with whom a great part of my life has been shared. Although we are an ocean apart from each other, your mental supports during the ups and downs of my PhD have always been indispensable to me! Those summer days when we had dinners together at our home in Amsterdam Oost are beautiful. Chị Chung, Vân Anh, and Tú Anh thank you for being my dear companions in my motherhood and PhD journey. It is amazing to witness all of us becoming mothers (of boys) within just a few years!

To my beloved family in Vietnam, especially my parents, my grandmother, and my parents in law, thank you for your unconditional love and support! Without your great help during my two pregnancies, it could not have been possible for me to continue my PhD. Bố

mẹ yêu quý, con cảm ơn bố mẹ đã dành cho con rất nhiều tình yêu, sự hi sinh, tin tưởng và động viên trong suốt thời gian qua! Con cảm ơn mẹ Khanh, bố Thành đã luôn ở bên và đồng hành cùng gia đình nhỏ của chúng con trong những dấu mốc quan trọng của cuộc đời! Con cảm ơn bà và dì vì những cảm giác ấm áp và vui vẻ khi ở bên, từ bé và đến tận bây giờ...

Long, Duy, and little Đan, I would love to finish this acknowledgement with the three of you. My little boys, Duy and Đan, thank you for making me the mother I am now! Long, our ten years together have seen us taking on different roles, as classmates, close friends, lovers, and now parents. Every single path in my life, the moments when I am weak or strong, the moments when I am wise or lost, I feel loved and safe when you are around. Thank you for your love, your patience, and your very own self. All I need is love, and you've got it all...