

VU Research Portal

Changes in lipid, lipotrein and apolipotrein profiles in persons with spinal cord injuries during the first 2 years post-injury

Dallmeijer, A.J.; van der Woude, L.H.V.; van Kamp, G.J.; Hollander, A.P.

published in

Spinal Cord
1999

DOI (link to publisher)

[10.1038/sj.sc.3100776](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.sc.3100776)

document version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

citation for published version (APA)

Dallmeijer, A. J., van der Woude, L. H. V., van Kamp, G. J., & Hollander, A. P. (1999). Changes in lipid, lipotrein and apolipotrein profiles in persons with spinal cord injuries during the first 2 years post-injury. *Spinal Cord*, 37, 96-102. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.sc.3100776>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

E-mail address:

vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl



Changes in lipid, lipoprotein and apolipoprotein profiles in persons with spinal cord injuries during the first 2 years post-injury

AJ Dallmeijer*¹ LHV van der Woude¹, GJ van Kamp² and AP Hollander¹

¹Institute for Fundamental and Clinical Human Movement Sciences, Faculty of Human Movement Sciences, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, The Netherlands and ²Department of Clinical Chemistry, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Objective: To investigate changes in lipid, lipoprotein and apolipoprotein profiles in persons with spinal cord injury (SCI) during the first 2 years post-injury, and to determine whether changes in risk profiles were associated with sport activity and/or changes in physical capacity parameters.

Design: Risk profiles and physical capacity were investigated in 19 subjects with recent SCI during rehabilitation (t1) and ± 1 year after discharge from rehabilitation (t2).

Main outcome measures: Changes in total plasma cholesterol (TC), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), triglycerides (TG), apolipoprotein-A1 (ApoA1), apolipoprotein-B (ApoB) concentrations, the ratios TC/HDL-C, LDL-C/HDL-C, ApoA1/ApoB and HDL-C/ApoA1, and physical capacity (maximal isometric strength, sprint power output, maximal power output, aerobic power).

Results: Risk profile parameters changed towards more favorable values at t2, except for HDL-C ($P=0.06$), TG and HDL-C/ApoA1. Sport activity and changes of the physical capacity were the most important determinants of changes in lipid and (apo)lipoprotein profiles, showing more favorable values with larger increases of the physical capacity and in persons who were physically active.

Conclusion: Results show that the lipid and (apo)lipoprotein profiles improve in persons with SCI during the first 2 years post-injury, and that improving the physical capacity or being physically active can improve the lipid and (apo)lipoprotein profiles.

Keywords: coronary heart disease; paraplegia; physical capacity; rehabilitation; sport activity; tetraplegia

Introduction

In recent years, a number of studies showed that persons with spinal cord injuries (SCI) are at increased risk of coronary heart diseases (CHD) in comparison with the able bodied population.^{1–3} Apart from epidemiological evidence, it was found that the lipid and (apo)lipoprotein profiles of persons with SCI differed from those common in the able bodied population, showing unfavorable profiles in persons with SCI.^{4–9} Despite normal levels of total cholesterol, depressed values of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) have been reported in comparison with able bodied subjects.^{4–8} In addition, it was found that apolipoprotein-A1 levels were depressed and apolipoprotein-B concentrations were increased in persons with SCI,^{9,10} also indicating an increased risk of CHD. The importance of apolipoproteins in assessing the risk of CHD has recently been underlined.^{11,12}

Many authors suggested that the low HDL-C concentrations, and concomitant depressed levels of apolipoprotein-A1, in persons with SCI are the consequence of extremely low levels of physical activity, mainly resulting from their wheelchair dependent life.^{4,5,7,9} Previous studies indeed showed that physical strain in daily life of persons with SCI was too low to maintain or improve physical fitness.^{13,14} The effect of physical activity on the lipid and (apo)lipoprotein profiles in persons with SCI has, until now, only been investigated in a cross-sectional set-up.^{4,5,9,10,15} More favorable risk profiles were reported in wheelchair athletes^{4,5} and moderately active subjects with tetraplegia,⁹ in comparison with their sedentary counterparts. Janssen *et al*¹⁰ found a positive relationship between hours sport participation per week and more favorable values of risk profile parameters. In addition, Bostom *et al*¹⁵ showed that aerobic power was associated with more favorable lipid and (apo)lipoprotein concentrations in well-trained subjects with paraplegia. The only longitudinal training study in persons with SCI, investigat-

*Correspondence: AJ Dallmeijer, Faculty of Human Movement Sciences, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Van der Boechehorststraat 9, 1081 BT Amsterdam, The Netherlands

ing the effects of training, also showed improvements in risk profiles after training, but conclusions were limited as a consequence of the small sample sizes and differences in pre-training values between groups.¹⁶

Few studies investigated the lipoprotein profiles shortly after injury.^{4,17} In these studies extremely low HDL-C concentrations were found within a few months post-injury. Additionally, Brenes *et al*⁴ found a (cross-sectional) positive relationship between time since injury and HDL-C levels in persons with SCI, with a time since injury ranging from 6–52 weeks. These results suggest that the (unfavorable) risk profiles in recently injured persons improve during the first months post-injury, probably as a result of an increased activity level. During rehabilitation, the level of physical activity is assumed to be fairly high, and more or less the same in all individuals. After discharge from rehabilitation, however, it depends on several factors whether subjects will become more sedentary, or will continue to participate in sport activities. It is expected that individuals who become physically active after rehabilitation, will show larger improvements in risk profiles, than persons who adopt a sedentary life-style. In addition, improvements of the physical capacity are also expected to result in more favorable risk profiles.

The purpose of this study was (1) to investigate changes in the lipid, lipoprotein and apolipoprotein profiles in persons with SCI during the first 2 years post-injury, and (2) to determine whether changes in the risk profiles were associated with sport activity and/or changes in physical capacity parameters.

Methods

Subjects and protocol

To investigate changes in the lipid and (apo)lipoprotein profiles in relation to sport activity and changes in physical capacity, 26 subjects with SCI were investigated during their rehabilitation period (t1), and ± 1 year after discharge from the rehabilitation center (t2). Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects. Measurements at t1 were performed at different stages during the rehabilitation process. Consequently, a variable period of time between t1 and t2 was spent in the rehabilitation center. Mean time since injury at t1 was 173 ± 102 days. Mean time between measurements was 587 ± 139 days. Time between discharge from rehabilitation and t2 was ± 1 year for all subjects.

At t2, seven subjects dropped out for several reasons (moved too far away [$n=4$], illnesses or pain [$n=2$], and to busy with work [$n=1$]). The statistical analysis was performed with the remaining 19 subjects who completed the study. Of these subjects, nine persons had a tetraplegia (two females) and ten persons had a paraplegia (two females). All subjects were wheelchair dependent and were able to propel a hand rim wheelchair independently. Lesion level

ranged from C6 to L3/4. Four subjects with tetraplegia and three subjects with paraplegia had incomplete lesions (modified ASIA impairment scale: B: [$n=2$], C [$n=3$] and D [$n=2$]). The number of subjects specified by lesion level and gender is given in Table 1.

Initially, all subjects were patients of the Rehabilitation Center Amsterdam (The Netherlands). Subjects with cardiovascular diseases were excluded from the study. Mean age at t1 was 40.7 ± 14.7 years (range: 24–68 years). All subjects were participants of a longitudinal study on physical performance during rehabilitation in persons with SCI.¹⁸ Blood samples were taken in the morning, when most subjects were in fasting state. Some subjects had taken a low fat breakfast only (bread and tea), at least 2 h before blood samples were taken.

A questionnaire was used to assess alcohol consumption (number of glasses per week), smoking behavior (number of cigarettes per day) and history of CHD in parents, brothers and/or sisters. Sport activity, defined as hours of physical activity per week during the year preceding t2, was investigated at t2 only, because during the rehabilitation period physical activity was assumed to be comparable in all subjects. Individually initiated activities, like outdoor arm-crank training were also included as sport activity.

Body mass was measured on a hospital scale, and skinfolds were measured at biceps, triceps, subscapular and suprailiacal site, by the same test leader. Body mass index (BMI [$\text{kg}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$]) was calculated as body mass divided by the square of self reported height. Subject characteristics at t1 and t2 are shown in Table 2.

Blood lipids and (apo)lipoproteins

Total cholesterol (TC) and triglyceride (TG) concentrations were measured using enzymatic, colorimetric tests on the Hitachi 747 automated analyzer (Boehringer Mannheim GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). HDL-C was determined after selective precipitation of the very low-density lipoprotein and low-density lipoprotein fractions; low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) was calculated using the Friedewald formula. Apolipoproteins-A1 (ApoA1) and -B (ApoB) were quantitated with an immunonephelometric method on the Beckman Array 360 system (Beckman Instruments Inc, Brea CA, USA). The ratios

Table 1 Number of subjects specified by lesion level and gender

		C5–C8	Th1–Th10	Th11–L4	Total
Complete	♂	4	1	5	10
	♀	1	1	0	2
Incomplete	♂	3	0	2	5
	♀	1	0	1	2
Total		9	2	8	19

TC/HDL-C, LDL-C/HDL-C, ApoA1/ApoB, and HDL-C/ApoA1 were calculated.

Physical capacity

To investigate whether changes of the physical capacity were related to changes in the lipid and (apo)lipoprotein profiles, results of separate exercise tests, measured on the same occasion and with the same subjects,¹⁸ were used to calculate percentage change (% Δ) in maximal isometric strength (Fiso [N]), sprint power output (P30 [W]), maximal power output (POmax [W]) and peak oxygen uptake (VO_{2peak} [l.min⁻¹]).

Statistics

Paired Student *t*-tests were applied to detect significant differences between t1 and t2. Stepwise multiple regression analysis was used to investigate the determinants of the changes in the lipid and (apo)lipoprotein profiles, using as independent variables: age, gender (men=1, women=0), lesion level (paraplegia=1, tetraplegia=0), completeness of the lesion (complete=1, incomplete=0), changes in BMI and sum of four skinfolds, family history of CHD (with CHD history=1, no CHD history=0), smoking behavior at t2, alcohol consumption at t2, sport activity at t2 (group 1 <1 h.wk⁻¹, group 2 \geq 1 h.wk⁻¹ and <3 h.wk⁻¹, group 3 \geq 3 h.wk⁻¹),

percentage change of physical capacity parameters (% Δ Fiso, % Δ P30, % Δ POmax, % Δ VO_{2peak}), both expressed absolute and relative to body mass. The values of the lipid and (apo)lipoprotein parameters at t1 were also used as independent variables to investigate whether the initial levels were associated to changes in risk profile parameters. Results were considered significant at *P*<0.05.

Results

Subjects

Smoking behavior and alcohol consumption showed no changes over time. Eleven subjects were non-smoking at t1, and 12 subjects were non-smoking at t2. Eight subjects were inactive (eg physically active for less than 1 h per week), three subjects reported physical activities of at least 1 h per week, but less than 3 h per week, and eight subjects participated in sport activities for at least 3 h per week. Body mass and BMI increased significantly from 73 \pm 13 kg and 22 \pm 4 kg.m⁻² at t1, to 80 \pm 15 kg and 24 \pm 4 kg.m⁻² at t2, respectively. The sum of four skinfolds showed no significant change between t1 and t2 (Table 2).

Physical capacity

Results for the physical capacity at t1 and t2 are listed in Table 3. Physical capacity parameters are both

Table 2 Subject characteristics and behavioral factors (*n*=19)

	t1 mean \pm SD	t2 mean \pm SD	Δ mean \pm SD	P-value
Age (years)	40.7 \pm 14.7	–	–	–
Time since injury (days)	173 \pm 102	760 \pm 169	587 \pm 139	–
Body mass (kg)	72.9 \pm 12.5	79.7 \pm 15.3	6.8 \pm 6.4	0.000
BMI (kg.m ⁻²)	22.1 \pm 3.5	24.1 \pm 4.2	2.0 \pm 2.0	0.000
Skinfolds (mm)	39.4 \pm 13.5	42.3 \pm 16.0	2.9 \pm 10.5	0.170
Smoking (cigarettes per day)	4.8 \pm 8.1	5.7 \pm 8.9	0.8 \pm 3.3	0.279
Alcohol consumption (glasses per week)	6.9 \pm 9.6	7.7 \pm 9.9	0.8 \pm 9.2	0.713
Sport (h.week ⁻¹)	–	2.6 \pm 3.0	–	–

t1: during rehabilitation; t2: \pm 1 year after discharge from rehabilitation; Δ : value at t2 minus value at t1

Table 3 Physical capacity parameters at t1 and t2 (*n*=19)

	t1 mean \pm SD	t2 mean \pm SD	% Δ mean \pm SD	P-value
Fiso (N)	204 \pm 109	227 \pm 112	26.8 \pm 50.6	0.091
Fiso (N.kg ⁻¹)	2.8 \pm 1.4	2.8 \pm 1.2	15.7 \pm 42.5	0.770
P30 (W)	63.2 \pm 41.2	76.7 \pm 50.5	28.9 \pm 36.0	0.001
P30 (W.kg ⁻¹)	0.87 \pm 0.57	0.97 \pm 0.63	17.9 \pm 30.7	0.010
POmax (W)	34.6 \pm 25.1	44.7 \pm 30.9	46.7 \pm 57.9	0.001
POmax (W.kg ⁻¹)	0.47 \pm 0.34	0.56 \pm 0.39	33.4 \pm 47.3	0.006
VO _{2peak} (l.min ⁻¹)	1.01 \pm 0.48	1.09 \pm 0.59	6.0 \pm 21.0	0.110
VO _{2peak} (ml.min ⁻¹ .kg ⁻¹)	13.9 \pm 6.9	13.7 \pm 7.7	-3.1 \pm 16.9	0.623

t1: during rehabilitation; t2: \pm 1 year after discharge from rehabilitation; % Δ : percentage change

expressed as absolute values as well as relative to body mass. P30 and P0max increased significantly at t2, both for absolute values and values relative to body mass. For Fiso and VO₂peak no significant changes were found between t1 and t2.

Changes in lipid and (apo)lipoprotein profiles

Results of the blood analyses are listed in Table 4. Most parameters showed significant improvement (eg more healthy values) at t2. Significantly lower values were found at t2 for TC, LDL-C, and the ratios TC/HDL-C and LDL-C/HDL-C. HDL-C showed no significant differences between t1 ($0.93 \pm 0.20 \text{ mmol.l}^{-1}$) and t2 ($1.02 \pm 0.26 \text{ mmol.l}^{-1}$), although a tendency for higher values at t2 could be observed ($P=0.06$). TG values were not significantly different between t1 and t2. ApoA1 increased significantly from $1.12 \pm 0.14 \text{ g.l}^{-1}$ at t1, to $1.20 \pm 0.21 \text{ g.l}^{-1}$ at t2, and ApoB decreased significantly from $1.11 \pm 0.28 \text{ g.l}^{-1}$ at t1, to $1.05 \pm 0.29 \text{ g.l}^{-1}$ at t2. Consequently, the ratio ApoA1/ApoB was significantly higher at t2 (1.22 ± 0.33) than at t1 (1.07 ± 0.32). The ratio HDL-C/ApoA1 showed no significant differences between the two measurements.

Determinants of changes in the lipid and (apo)lipoprotein profiles

Results of the regression analyses are shown in Table 5. Regression analyses showed that sport activity at t2, changes in physical capacity parameters, and initial values of the risk profile parameters (at t1) were the most important determinants of changes in the lipid and (apo)lipoprotein profiles. Sport activity was the most important determinant of changes in TC, LDL-C and TC/HDL-C, explaining 34, 47 and 29% of the variance, respectively. These findings imply that subjects who were physically active showed larger improvements of the risk profiles than sedentary or less active subjects. In addition, improvements of the physical capacity were associated with more favorable values of the risk profiles; changes in Fiso, P30,

P0max and VO₂peak showed a negative relationship with changes in LDL-C/HDL-C, TG, and ApoB, and were positively associated with changes of TC, HDL-C, and ApoA1/ApoB.

Initial values of LDL-C, TC/HDL-C, and LDL-C/HDL-C were significant determinants of changes in these parameters, showing that subjects with initially less favorable risk profiles showed larger improvements. Changes in the sum of four skinfolds was the most important factor explaining changes of TG; increases in the sum of four skinfolds were associated with larger increases in TG. Subsequent significant determinants of changes in TG were changes in P0max relative to body mass, and changes in VO₂peak, showing a negative relationship with changes in TG. Finally, gender was also included in the regression equation of TG, with on average larger improvements of TG in men. Age was the only significant determinant of changes in ApoA1, showing on average larger improvements in older subjects. As a consequence, age was the second important determinant of changes in ApoA1/ApoB.

Discussion

Changes in lipid and (apo)lipoprotein profiles

Results of the present study show that the lipid and (apo)lipoprotein profiles improve in persons with SCI during the first 2 years post-injury. With the exception of HDL-C, TG, and HDL-C/ApoA1, all parameters showed more favorable values 2 years post-injury. Although HDL-C showed no significant improvement at t2, the P -value ($P=0.062$) was just above the level of significance, indicating that there was at least a tendency for an increase of HDL-C. Moreover, the ratio TC/HDL-C, being considered as a stronger predictor of CHD than HDL-C,¹⁹ showed a pronounced decrease from 5.7 at t1 to 4.9 at t2. Also ApoA1, the apolipoprotein which is associated with HDL-C, showed a significant increase at t2 (Table 4).

The low initial values for HDL-C (0.94 mmol.l^{-1} for men only [$n=15$]) are in agreement with previous

Table 4 Lipid and (apo)lipoprotein profiles at t1 and t2 ($n=19$)

	t1 mean ± SD	t2 mean ± SD	Δ mean ± SD	P value
TC (mmol.l ⁻¹)	5.1 ± 1.1	4.8 ± 1.0	-0.27 ± 0.46	0.021
HDL-C (mmol.l ⁻¹)	0.93 ± 0.20	1.02 ± 0.26	0.09 ± 0.20	0.062
LDL-C (mmol.l ⁻¹)	3.6 ± 1.0	3.2 ± 0.8	-0.39 ± 0.49	0.003
TC/HDL-C	5.7 ± 1.7	4.9 ± 1.5	-0.73 ± 1.06	0.007
LDL-C/HDL-C	4.0 ± 1.6	3.3 ± 1.1	-0.74 ± 1.01	0.005
TG (mmol.l ⁻¹)	1.26 ± 0.31	1.35 ± 0.62	0.09 ± 0.54	0.483
ApoA1 (g.l ⁻¹)	1.12 ± 0.14	1.20 ± 0.21	0.09 ± 0.16	0.031
ApoB (g.l ⁻¹)	1.11 ± 0.28	1.05 ± 0.29	-0.06 ± 0.12	0.036
ApoA1/ApoB	1.07 ± 0.32	1.22 ± 0.33	0.15 ± 0.23	0.012
HDL-C/ApoA1	0.32 ± 0.04	0.33 ± 0.05	0.01 ± 0.04	0.442

t1: during rehabilitation; t2: ±1 year after discharge from rehabilitation; Δ: value at t2 minus value at t1

Table 5 Results of multiple regression analyses ($n = 19$)

Dependent variables	Regression coefficients + intercepts	Independent variables	P-value	r^2
Δ TC (mmol.l^{-1})	-0.35	Sport activity	0.008	0.34
	0.004	% Δ Fiso	0.013	0.56
	0.35		0.082	
Δ HDL-C (mmol.l^{-1})	0.002	% Δ Fiso	0.019	0.28
	0.034		0.457	
Δ LDL-C (mmol.l^{-1})	-0.28	Sport activity	0.003	0.47
	-0.21	LDL-C at t1	0.012	0.65
	0.97		0.003	
Δ TC/HDL-C	-0.49	Sport activity	0.035	0.29
	-0.26	TC/HDL-C at t1	0.040	0.46
Δ LDL-C/HDL-C	1.78		0.027	
	-0.56	LDL-C/HDL-C at t1	0.000	0.49
	-0.03	% VO_2 peak/kg	0.016	0.65
Δ TG (mmol.l^{-1})	1.43		0.004	
	0.035	Δ Sum of skinfolds	0.001	0.22
	-0.005	% Δ POmax/kg	0.013	0.47
	0.70	gender	0.003	0.62
	-0.012	% Δ VO ₂ peak	0.016	0.75
Δ ApoA1 (g.l^{-1})	-0.33		0.065	
	0.006	Age	0.030	0.25
Δ ApoB (g.l^{-1})	-0.136		0.191	
	-0.002	% Δ P30	0.006	0.37
Δ ApoA1/ApoB	-0.004		0.892	
	0.003	% Δ P30	0.013	0.27
	0.006	Age	0.047	0.43
Δ HDL-C/ApoA1	-0.208		0.136	
	-	-	ns	-

ns: not significant; Δ : value at t2 minus value at t1

results in recently injured individuals.^{4,17} Brenes *et al*⁴ found even lower values for HDL-C (0.79 mmol.l^{-1}) in subjects less than 6 weeks post-injury. The increase in HDL-C in the present study is in accordance with the positive cross-sectional relationship between HDL-C levels and time since injury as reported by Brenes *et al*.⁴ These findings and the current results suggest that time since injury is an important factor explaining differences in risk profiles during the first 1 or 2 years post-injury, and should therefore be taken into account when investigating lipid and (apo)lipoprotein profiles in persons with SCI.

Despite the improvements found during the first 2 years post-injury, several subjects still demonstrated unfavorable risk profiles at t2; seven out of 19 subjects showed HDL-C concentrations lower than 0.90 mmol.l^{-1} , indicating that these subjects have an increased risk of CHD.²⁰ On the contrary, ApoB levels were lower than previously reported in persons with longstanding SCI.¹⁰ To determine whether the lipid and (apo)lipoprotein profiles continue to improve, or will decrease after a longer period of time, longitudinal studies in persons with SCI at a longer time scale are required.

Previous cross-sectional results indicate that the largest improvements of the risk profiles do occur shortly after injury.⁴ Improvements of the risk profiles in the present study might therefore be underestimated

by differences in time since injury between subjects at t1; some subjects were tested shortly after injury, whereas others were tested at the end of the rehabilitation period. Moreover, because only two measurements were performed in the present study, it remains unclear when the largest improvements did occur.

Determinants of changes in lipid and (apo)lipoprotein profiles

Results of the regression analyses showed that sport activity and/or improvements of physical capacity parameters were significantly associated with favorable changes of the lipid and (apo)lipoprotein profiles, also when other possible confounding factors were taken into account. Persons with SCI who participated in sports activities and/or revealed larger improvements in physical capacities, showed larger decreases of TC, TC/HDL-C, LDL-C, LDL-C/HDL-C, TG and ApoB, and (a tendency for) larger increases of HDL-C and ApoA1/ApoB. Results underline the importance of improving the physical capacity during the rehabilitation process. Moreover, the significant associations of sport activity in the year after rehabilitation, with decreases of TC, TC/HDL-C and LDL-C, show that being physically active after rehabilitation results in more favorable risk profiles at 2 years post-injury.

Hence, an increased risk of CHD as a consequence of unfavorable risk profiles can be reduced by improving the physical capacity and by being active in sport activities.

The results of the present study support previous cross-sectional findings in persons with SCI, showing more favorable lipid and lipoprotein profiles in active persons, in comparison with sedentary persons.^{4,5,9} The findings are also in agreement with cross-sectional results of Bostom *et al*¹⁵ who found significant relationships between risk profile parameters and aerobic power. However, Bostom *et al*¹⁵ investigated a very homogeneous group of (well-trained) persons with paraplegia. In general, the cross-sectional relationship between physical capacity parameters (used as a measure of training status) and lipid and (apo)lipoprotein profile parameters are confounded by differences in active muscle mass, as a result of differences in lesion level. The large variability in physical capacity for persons with SCI in cross-sectional studies, will therefore mainly reflect differences in lesion level, rather than differences in training status. This may also explain the lack of relationship between physical capacity parameters and risk profile parameters in the cross-sectional study of Janssen *et al*.¹⁰ In the present longitudinal study, percentage changes in physical capacity were associated with changes in risk profile parameters, resulting in significant relationships.

Previous observations suggested that an increased proportion of adipose tissue in persons with SCI might be in part responsible for the unfavorable lipid and (apo)lipoprotein profiles.^{8,21} The present study, on the contrary, showed more favorable lipid and (apo)lipoprotein values, despite the significant increase of body mass and BMI between t1 and t2. However, the lack of change in the sum of four skinfolds suggests that the change in body mass was the consequence of an increased muscle mass, instead of an increase in adipose tissue.

The positive relationship between changes in TC and $\% \Delta \text{Fiso}$, when sport activity was taken into account, was not as expected because an increased muscle force might be the effect of training and is therefore expected to result in a decrease of TC. However, the significant inverse relationship between sport activity and changes in TC showed that being physically active may result in a decrease of TC.

TG was the only parameter showing a tendency for a less favorable concentration at t2. The significant positive relationship between increases in TG and increases in the sum of four skinfolds is in agreement with previous cross-sectional observations in persons with long-standing SCI,¹⁰ and shows the negative effect of an increase of adipose tissue on the CHD risk profiles.

Initial values of LDL-C, TC/HDL-C and LDL-C/HDL-C showed significant association with changes in the values of these parameters. This is in agreement with previous studies in able bodied subjects, showing

that subjects with initially unhealthy levels are more likely to benefit from physical training than persons with better initial lipid and (apo)lipoprotein levels.²² From this point of view, the large improvements found in the present study might in part be due to the unfavorable values shortly after injury.

For most changes in the risk profiles sport activity and/or changes in physical capacity were the most important determinants. Only for ApoA1, age was the only significant determinant, showing larger increases with a higher age. Initial values of ApoA1 did not show lower values in older subjects and could therefore not explain the larger increases. At this time, no valid explanation is available for the larger increases of ApoA1 in older subjects.

Although considerable differences exist in the lipid and (apo)lipoprotein profiles between men and women,^{11,12,23} changes in risk profiles were the same when women ($n=4$) were excluded from the analysis, except for TG, where larger changes were found in men (Table 5). Generally, higher values of HDL-C and ApoA1, and lower values of ApoB are found in women, compared to men.^{11,12,23} However, exclusion of female subjects revealed similar changes for HDL-C (0.94 mmol.l⁻¹ at t1, 1.02 mmol.l⁻¹ at t2), ApoA1 (1.13 g.l⁻¹ at t1, 1.22 g.l⁻¹ at t2), and ApoB (1.13 g.l⁻¹ at t1, 1.05 g.l⁻¹ at t2).

Conclusions

It is concluded that the lipid and (apo)lipoprotein profiles improve in persons with SCI during the first 2 years post-injury. Sport activity and improvements of the physical capacity were associated with more favorable lipid and (apo)lipoprotein profiles, showing that improving the physical capacity by being active in sport activities can improve the CHD risk profiles in persons with a recent SCI.

Acknowledgements

Support for this project was provided by the Rehabilitation Center Amsterdam, The Netherlands. The authors gratefully acknowledge AM Assen-Wiegers and MC Molema from the clinical laboratory of the Rehabilitation Center Amsterdam for collecting the blood samples.

References

- 1 Yekutieli M *et al*. The prevalence of hypertension, ischaemic heart diseases and diabetes in traumatic spinal cord injured patients and amputees. *Paraplegia* 1989; **27**: 58–62.
- 2 Whiteneck GG *et al*. Mortality, morbidity, and psychosocial outcomes of persons spinal cord injured more than 20 years ago. *Paraplegia* 1992; **30**: 617–630.
- 3 DeVivo MJ, Black KJ, Stover SL. Causes of death during the first 12 years after spinal cord injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1993; **74**: 248–254.
- 4 Brenes G *et al*. High density lipoprotein cholesterol concentrations in physically active and sedentary spinal cord injured patients. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1986; **67**: 445–450.

- 5 Dearwater SR *et al.* Activity in the spinal cord injured patient: an epidemiologic analysis of metabolic parameters. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1986; **18**: 541–544.
- 6 Krum H *et al.* Risk factors for cardiovascular disease in chronic spinal cord injury patients. *Paraplegia* 1992; **30**: 381–388.
- 7 Bauman WA, Spungen AM. Disorders of carbohydrate and lipid metabolism in veterans with paraplegia or quadriplegia: A model of premature aging. *Metabolism* 1994; **43**: 749–756.
- 8 Maki KC *et al.* Associations between serum lipids and indicators of adiposity in men with spinal cord injury. *Paraplegia* 1995; **33**: 102–109.
- 9 Dallmeijer AJ, Hopman MTE, Woude LHV van der. Lipid, lipoprotein and apolipoprotein profiles in active and sedentary men with tetraplegia. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1997; **73**: 1173–1176.
- 10 Janssen TW *et al.* Coronary heart disease risk indicators, aerobic power, and physical activity in men with spinal cord injuries. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1997; **78**: 697–705.
- 11 Contois JH *et al.* Reference intervals for plasma apolipoprotein A-I determined with a standardized commercial immunoturbidimetric assay: results from the Framingham Offspring Study. *Clin Chem* 1996; **42**: 507–514.
- 12 Contois JH *et al.* Reference intervals for plasma apolipoprotein B determined with a standardized commercial immunoturbidimetric assay: results from the Framingham Offspring Study. *Clin Chem* 1996; **42**: 515–523.
- 13 Hjeltnes N, Vokac Z. Circulatory strain in everyday life of paraplegics. *Scand J Rehabil Med* 1979; **11**: 67–73.
- 14 Janssen TWJ, van Oers CAJM, van der Woude LHV, Hollander AP. Physical strain in daily life of wheelchair users with spinal cord injuries. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1994; **26**: 661–670.
- 15 Bostom AG *et al.* Lipid and lipoprotein profiles relate to peak aerobic power in spinal cord injured men. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1991; **23**: 409–414.
- 16 Hooker SP, Wells CL. Effect of low- and moderate-intensity training in spinal cord-injured persons. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1989; **21**: 18–22.
- 17 Walker J, Shephard RJ. Cardiac risk factors immediately following spinal injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1993; **74**: 1129–1133.
- 18 Dallmeijer AJ, van der Woude LHV, Hollander AP, van As HHJ. Physical capacity and performance of activities of daily living during rehabilitation in persons with spinal cord injuries. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, in press.
- 19 Stampfer MJ *et al.* A prospective study of cholesterol, apolipoproteins, and the risk of myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med* 1991; **325**: 373–381.
- 20 Gordon DJ *et al.* High-density lipoprotein cholesterol and cardiovascular disease: four prospective American studies. *Circulation* 1989; **79**: 8–15.
- 21 Kocina P. Body composition of spinal cord injured adults. *Sports Med* 1997; **23**: 48–60.
- 22 Tran ZV, Weltman A, Glass GV, Mood DP. The effects of exercise on blood lipids and lipoproteins: a meta-analysis of studies. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1983; **15**: 393–402.
- 23 Johnson CL *et al.* Declining serum total cholesterol levels among US adults. The national health and nutrition examination surveys. *JAMA* 1993; **269**: 3002–3008.