

VU Research Portal

Child Caregiver Interaction Scale Early Elementary Education (CCIS-E)

Starreveld, Kim; Overbeek, Mathilde; Willemen, Agnes; Bakermans-Kranenburg, Marian J.

2023

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

citation for published version (APA)

Starreveld, K., Overbeek, M., Willemen, A., & Bakermans-Kranenburg, M. J. (2023). *Child Caregiver Interaction Scale Early Elementary Education (CCIS-E)*. Poster session presented at Annual Conference LEARN! & EducationLab, Amsterdam, Netherlands.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

E-mail address:

vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl

Child Caregiver Interaction Scale Early Elementary Education (CCIS-E)

Kim M. Starreveld¹, Mathilde M. Overbeek¹,
Agnes M. Willemen¹ & Marian J. Bakermans-Kranenburg²

¹ Faculty of Behavior & Movement Sciences, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, NL
² Psychology, ISPA - University Institute of Psychological, Social and Life Sciences, Lisbon, PT

ABSTRACT

- We adapted the **The Child-Caregiver Interaction Scale** (CCIS, Carl 2007, 2010) used in childcare, for early elementary education (**CCIS-E**). Training and scoring are relatively straightforward.
- This poster provides a preliminary overview of the **reliability** and **validity** of the CCIS-E.
- 53 teachers from kindergarten, Grade 1 and Grade 2 participated in three assessments at school.
- The CCIS-E can be structured into domains using the Three Basic Dimensions framework (Praetorius et al., 2018), with some overlap between the domains.

BACKGROUND

- Classroom observation instruments often have complex training and scoring procedures.
- Teaching quality is conceptualized as a complex social process, co-constructed through interactions between children and teachers (e.g., Burchinal, 2018, Bell et al., 2019).
- The Child-Caregiver Interaction Scale (CCIS, Carl 2007, 2010) is a comprehensive measure on caregiver-child interaction quality in childcare (Sandilos and DiPerna, 2014).
- We adapted the CCIS for use in early elementary education.



METHODS

Teachers (N=53)

- Teaching Kindergarten (53%), Grade 1 or 2 for 2 to 5 days a week ($M = 3.51$)
- 94% female
- Average age 42 years ($SD = 12$)
- Teaching experience ranged from 1 to 44 years ($M = 12$)

Children (N=53)

- 64% boys
- Average age 6 years ($SD = 1.4$)

Rater training CCIS-E

Theory	Independent video coding	Independent video coding	Independent video coding	Certification basic course	Onsite training	Certification
	1 video	3 video's	3-4 video's		Max. 5 visits	
	Group discussion	Group discussion	Group discussion		Onsite reliability set	
					3 visits	

Procedure and measures

- As part of a RCT (Starreveld et al, 2023) teachers and children participated in three assessments at school, once before the (dummy) intervention (pre-test) and twice after (post-test and follow-up).


Teacher-classroom interaction: live classroom observation coded with the CCIS-E

Teacher dyadic sensitivity: dyadic teacher-child interaction coded with Erickson Scales (Egeland et al., 1990)

Teacher-child relationship as perceived by the child: Y-CATS questionnaire (Mantzicopoulos & Neuharth-Pritchett, 2003)

RESULTS

- The scales of the CCIS-E can be structured into the three domains of the Three Basic Dimensions framework (Cognitive Activation, Classroom Management, Student Support), with some overlap between the domains.
- The number of days a teacher works with the class has significant negative associations with Teaching Quality ($r = -.29, p < .05$), Student Support ($r = -.31, p < .05$) and Classroom Management ($r = -.33, p < .05$). More teaching experience is associated with higher scores on Classroom Management ($r = .32, p < .05$).
- The model below shows the domains measured by the CCIS-E, the reliability coefficients and the correlations with associated constructs (child reported autonomy and teacher-child dyadic sensitivity).



CCIS-E INSTRUMENT

The CCIS-E measures teaching quality with a focus on teacher- classroom interaction.

The CCIS-E consists of 13 subscales with 7-point rating scales detailing behavioral criteria at four anchor points: 1 (inadequate), 3 (minimal), 5 (good), and 7 (excellent).

Two subscales were not included in the analysis since they were not appropriate for the school context (Scale 5 - Health and Safety and Scale 12 - Arrival and Contact with Family)

CONCLUSION & FUTURE

- The CCIS-E can be structured into the three domains from the Three Basic Dimensions framework. Total Teaching Quality, Student Support and Classroom Management have good internal consistency.
- Training MA students to reliability on the domains is feasible.
- Student Support correlates with similar constructs of observed teacher dyadic sensitivity and child-reported autonomy, demonstrating construct validity.
- There are weak correlations between pre-test and post-test scores on the CCIS-E.
- We currently analyse interview qualitative data from a focus group of raters about their experiences with the CCIS-E.
- Expected publication date of the study is 2024.

REFERENCES

- Bell, C. A., Dobbelaer, M. J., Klette, K., & Visscher, A. (2019). Qualities of classroom observation systems. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 30(1), 3-29.
- Burchinal, M. (2018). Measuring Early Care and Education Quality. *Child Development Perspectives*, 12(1), 3-9.
- Carl, B. (2007). Child Caregiver Interaction Scale. *Doctoral dissertation Indiana University of Pennsylvania*. <http://dspace.lib.iup.edu:8080/dspace/bitstream/2069/53/1/Barbara%20Carl.pdf>
- Carl, B. (2010). *Child Caregiver Interaction Scale, Revised Edition*. Retrieved from ecti.hbg.psu.edu/~ucpc/docs/CCIS2010-Overview.pdf
- Egeland, B., Erickson, M. F., Clemenhagen-Moon, J., Hiester, M. K., & Korfmacher, J. (1990). 24 months tools coding manual. Project STEEP revised 1990 from mother-child project scales. In U. o. Minnesota (Ed.). Minneapolis: University of Minnesota.
- Mantzicopoulos, P., & Neuharth-Pritchett, S. (2003). Development and validation of a measure to assess head start children's appraisals of teacher support. *Journal of school psychology*, 41(6), 431-451.
- Praetorius, A.-K., Klieme, E., Herbert, B., & Pinger, P. (2018). Generic dimensions of teaching quality: the German framework of Three Basic Dimensions. *ZDM - Mathematics Education*, 50(3), 407-426.
- Sandilos, L., & DiPerna, J. (2014). DIALOG FROM THE FIELD A Review of Empirical Evidence and Practical Considerations For Early Childhood Classroom Observation Scales. 17, 105-120.
- Starreveld, K. M., Overbeek, M. M., Willemen, A. M., & Bakermans-Kranenburg, M. J. (2023). Adapting a video-feedback intervention to support teacher-child interaction and behavior regulation of young children at school: A qualitative pilot study. *School Psychology International*, 0(0).

Scan to view this poster online

