

VU Research Portal

Seeing is believing

Bogert, Jeanne Marit

2025

DOI (link to publisher)
[10.5463/thesis.997](https://doi.org/10.5463/thesis.997)

document version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

citation for published version (APA)

Bogert, J. M. (2025). *Seeing is believing: The systemic filters that shape public climate change beliefs*. [PhD-Thesis - Research and graduation internal, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam]. <https://doi.org/10.5463/thesis.997>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

E-mail address:

vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl

Contents

Contents	5
Chapter 1: General introduction	13
Scientific consensus does not directly translate to public opinion	15
Theoretical foundation	16
Filter 1 – The Dominant Social Paradigm and its relationship with nature	16
Filter 2 – Media framing, media systems and external pressures	19
Filter 3 – Portrayals of climate change through social modelling	22
Filter 4 – Individual worldviews	23
Outline of thesis	26
Overview of chapters	27
References	29
Chapter 2: Reviewing the relationship between neoliberal societies and nature: implications of the industrialized dominant social paradigm for a sustainable future	39
Abstract	40
Introduction	41
Human-nature relationships: an overview	42
The industrialized dominant social paradigm	45
Green practices from an industrialized DSP	49
The industrialized DSP and human-nature relations	51
Discussion	53
Resisting the industrialized DSP	53
Toward a more ecocentric point of view of the industrialized DSP	55
A need for systemic change	56
Conclusion	57
Acknowledgements	58
References	59
Appendices	67
Chapter 3: The Effect of Trust in Science and Media Use on Public Belief in Anthropogenic Climate Change: A Meta-analysis	69
Abstract	70
Introduction	71
Scientific authority and public trust	72
Media use as a source of scientific information	73
Interplay between trust in science and media use	73

Methodology	75
A priori power analysis	75
Systematic literature research	75
Meta-analysis	77
Results	80
Study characteristics	80
Meta-analysis	81
Sensitivity analysis and publication bias	82
Discussion	85
Trust in science	85
Media use	90
Representing scientific consensus in media	92
Recommendations for future research	94
Conclusion	94
Statements	94
References	95
Dataset	105
Appendices	105
Chapter 4: How climate change accountability is framed in popular magazines	107
Abstract	108
Introduction	109
Theoretical framework	110
Framing in Media	110
Agency and accountability	111
Methods	113
Magazine selection	113
Content analysis	114
Image analysis	119
Results	120
Content analysis	120
Image analysis	122
Framing accountability	124
Discussion	125
(mis)Representations of agency influence psychological distance	125
Discrepancies between actor and agency	126
Limitations	127

Conclusion	128
References	129
Dataset	134
Appendices	134
Appendix 1 - Articles included in the analysis	135
Appendix 2 - Codebook	137
Chapter 5: Who's responsible? Exploring the role of worldview, framing and social factors in perceived accountability for climate change in Gen Z	145
Abstract	146
Introduction	147
Research questions and hypotheses	148
Theoretical framework	149
Six America's Super Short Survey (SASSY)	149
Worldview and ideology	149
Information sources and peer pressure	151
Framing theory	151
Accountability framing	152
Methodology	152
Survey distribution	152
Survey development	154
SASSY categories and recoding	155
Data analysis	155
Results	156
Worldview differences	156
Perceptions of accountability	156
Social information environment, peer pressure, and climate change opinion	157
Discussion	158
Worldviews and accountability	159
Why descriptions of accountability for climate change in media matter	160
Conclusion	163
Statements	163
References	164
Dataset	170
Appendices	170
Appendix 1 – Categories and item descriptions	171
Appendix 2 – Texts used in survey in Dutch and English	172

Intermezzo: Worldviews' distinctive climate change experiences	177
Introduction	178
Methods	178
Results and discussion	178
Worldview-specific climate change experiences	178
Differences between the Netherlands and USA	182
Further research	183
Statements	183
References	184
Dataset	185
Appendices	185
Chapter 6: General discussion	187
Climate change as a proxy for the inherent problems of the industrialized DSP	189
Adherence to DSP values limits options for solving climate change	189
Media hegemony for preserving this DSP	191
Media content reflects the DSP, not a full picture of climate change	192
Status quo beneficiaries hold the reins on centralized media content	192
Inaccurate representations of accountability and agency	194
Time for something new: the role of ecological worldviews and environmental science	196
But how? Possible directions for change	197
Knowledge gaps and recommendations for future research	201
References	204
Appendices	213
List of publications	215
Author contributions	216
PE&RC Training and Education Statement	218
Summary	220
Samenvatting	224
Acknowledgements	230
About the author	232