

# VU Research Portal

## Under Pressure!

Bes, B.J.

2017

### **document version**

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

### **citation for published version (APA)**

Bes, B. J. (2017). *Under Pressure! The Institutional Role Conceptions of Commission Officials in an Era of Politicization*.

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

### **E-mail address:**

[vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl](mailto:vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl)

# Table of Contents

List of Figures, Tables, and Appendices	9
Acknowledgements	11
<b>Chapter I: In the Minds of Commission Officials:</b>	17
<b>A General Introduction</b>	
Part I – Introduction	18
Part II – Theory and state of the art	23
Part III - Hypothesizing the impact of EU politicization on the Commission	34
Part IV – Data and methods	41
Part V – Main findings	44
Part VI – Discussion	45
<b>Chapter II: Institutional Role Conceptions of Senior Commission Officials: The Persistent Influence of National factors</b>	51
Introduction	52
Explaining supranational attitudes	53
European re-socialization	53
National pre-socialization	55
Strategic calculation	56
Data and methods	58
Data	58
The operationalization of the independent and dependent variables	58
Method of data analysis	59
Revisiting Commission officials’ institutional role conceptions	59
Explaining Commission officials’ institutional role conceptions	64
The 1995-1997 wave	66
The 2002 wave	67
The 2008 wave	67
Conclusion	68
<b>Chapter III: Europe’s Executive in Stormy Weather</b>	73
<b>How Does Politicization Affect Commission Officials’ Attitudes?</b>	
Introduction	74
The European Commission: An ivory tower?	75
The politicization of the European polity	75

The European Commission as an open system	77
Theorizing the impact of politicization on Commission officials	78
An exploratory case study	81
Case study design	81
Interview methodology	82
The predominance of the institutional pragmatist	83
Exposure to EU politicization	85
Politicization in the Dutch media	85
Politicization through external contacts	85
Politicization in daily work	86
Changing role conceptions: Assessing the impact of politicization	87
The non-converts	87
The reinforced believers	88
‘The converts’: From supranationalism to institutional pragmatism	89
The discouraged supranationalist	89
Conclusions	90
<b>Chapter IV: Following the Crowd or Developing a Thicker Skin?</b>	95
<b>Assessing the Impact of Euro Scepticism on the Attitudes of Commission Officials</b>	
Introduction	96
The Commission as Representative Bureaucracy: Reflecting national sentiments?	98
The theory of representative bureaucracy	98
The nationality issue in the Commission	100
Issue salience and responsive policy-making	101
Data and variables	104
Operationalization	104
Dependent variable	104
Independent variables and interaction	106
Controls	108
Commission officials’ attitudinal response to Euro scepticism	109
Exploring variation in Commission officials institutional role conceptions	109
Conclusion	115

<b>Chapter V: The Constraining Dissensus and the European Commission.</b>	119
<b>A Comparative Case Study of the Negotiations of the EU-Japan Free Trade Agreement and TTIP</b>	
Introduction	120
Theorizing the constraining dissensus	122
A postfunctionalist lens	122
Constraining dissensus mechanism I: Increased member state oversight	124
Constraining dissensus mechanism II: Increased transparency	125
Two case studies: TTIP and EU-Japan free trade negotiations	128
Process tracing and congruence testing	128
Data	129
Case selection	130
The European Commission and the constraining dissensus	132
Member state oversight: Business as usual?	132
Transparency: A (partial) move towards improving legitimacy?	137
Conclusions	141
<b>Bibliography</b>	144
<b>Appendices</b>	168
<b>Summary</b>	191