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5. Multicontrast MR imaging at 7T in Multiple Sclerosis: Highest Lesion Detection in Cortical Gray Matter with 3D-FLAIR
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Abstract

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: 7T MR imaging has led to improved detection and classification of cortical MS lesions, mainly based on T2*w gradient echo sequences. Depiction of cortical GM by using the recommended MS imaging protocol has not yet been investigated at 7T. We aimed to investigate prospectively which recommended sequence for clinical use has the highest value at 7T, in terms of GM and WM lesion detection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Thirty-seven patients with MS (mean age, 43.8 years; 25 women) and 7 healthy controls (mean age, 40.4 years; 5 women) underwent multicontrast 7T MR imaging including the recommended clinical 2D-T2w, 3D-T1WI, 3D-FLAIR, and GM-specific 3D-DIR. Lesions were scored and categorized anatomically by 3 raters, in consensus. The value of sequences was evaluated lesion-wise and patient-wise (Wilcoxon signed-rank test). The study was approved by the institutional review board.

RESULTS: At 7T, 3D-FLAIR detected the highest number of total cortical GM lesions (217), 89% more than 3D-DIR and 87% and 224% more than 2D-T2w and 3D-T1w. Patient-wise analysis showed that this difference between 3D-FLAIR and 3D-DIR was statistically significant (P< .04), and most pronounced for the number of mixed lesions (P < .03). 3D-FLAIR also detected the highest number of total WM lesions (2605), but the difference with 3D-DIR and 3D-T1WI was not significant.

CONCLUSIONS: When using recommended sequences for clinical use at 7T, the best way to detect cortical GM lesions is with 3D-FLAIR and not by GM-specific 3D-DIR or by conventional 2D-T2w and 3D-T1WI sequences.
MS has been primarily regarded as a WM disease, which is reflected in current MR imaging and International Panel diagnostic criteria. However, early histopathologic studies have already recognized the involvement of cortical GM, and during the past years, GM abnormalities in MS have been increasingly investigated. Histopathologic studies report that up to 60% of total MS lesions affect the cortical GM. Unfortunately, the sensitivity of conventional MR imaging techniques to detect cortical lesions remains poor compared with histopathologic studies.

In the clinical setting, it is highly relevant that GM abnormalities in MS can be visualized in vivo: first, because GM abnormalities strongly explain cognitive and physical disability, and second, because focal GM lesions are rather specific for MS. They already occur in the earliest stages of the disease, and it was suggested that the McDonald criteria for the diagnosis of MS—at present completely based on WM lesion detection—will be of increased accuracy when cortical GM lesions are included.

Due to a higher SNR with increased spatial resolution, MS lesion detection has improved by moving from 1.5T MR imaging to 3T. At both field strengths, a common finding is that FLAIR detects the highest amount of WM lesions and DIR has the highest sensitivity in detecting cortical GM lesions. The introduction of ultra-high-field 7T systems has led to improved detection and classification of cortical lesions in patients with MS, mainly by using experimental T2*w gradient echo sequences. The depiction of cortical GM by using the standard imaging protocol for MS, including T1w, T2w, and FLAIR sequences, has not yet been investigated at 7T, nor has DIR at 7T. This is mainly due to the challenging application of 3D FLAIR and DIR sequences on a 7T system, because of, among others, specific absorption rate restrictions. Nonetheless, both sequences have been successfully implemented at 7T recently.

The aim of this prospective study was to investigate which sequence has the highest value at 7T in terms of GM and WM lesion detection: recommended sequences for clinical use: 2D-T2w, 3D-T1WI, and 3D-FLAIR or 3D-DIR, a sequence that was developed to specifically depict the GM of the brain. The results of this study will be a preliminary finding for this multicontrast protocol at 7T, according to our specific study design and sequence parameters.

Material and Methods

Participants

Thirty-seven patients were prospectively recruited from our neurology outpatient clinic. Inclusion criteria were clinically definite MS according to the 2005 revised International Panel (McDonald) criteria and age between 18 and 60 years. Exclusion criteria were the presence or a medical history of other neurologic or vascular disorders, recent relapses (<3 months), and standard contraindications for MR imaging (e.g., claustrophobia). Next to these standard contraindications, local high-field MR imaging safety regulations also excluded subjects with any (suspected) metal objects in or on the body as a result of medical interventions in the past.

Seven healthy control subjects were also enrolled in this study from February 2009 till June 2011. A small part of the study population (10 patients with MS and 5 healthy controls) has been incorporated in an explorative feasibility study, which was published earlier.

The institutional ethical review board approved the study, and all subjects gave written informed consent before participation.
**MR Imaging Acquisition**

All subjects were imaged on a whole-body 7T MR system (Achieva; Philips Healthcare, Cleveland, Ohio), with a slew rate of 200 T/m/s, maximum gradient strength of 40 mT/m, by using a 16-channel phased array head coil (Nova Medical Inc, Wilmington, Massachusetts). The protocol included the following pulse sequences: axial 2D-T2w, sagittal 3D-T1w, sagittal 3D-FLAIR, and sagittal 3D-DIR. The 3D-FLAIR and the 3D-DIR sequences both used magnetization preparation to reduce unwanted T1-weighting. Detailed sequence parameters are given in Table 1.

Preceding image analysis, all sagittal 3D images were reconstructed in the axial plane corresponding to the 2D-T2w images, with the same slice thickness, by using the same repositioning.

### Table 1

**Sequence parameters per pulse sequence at 7T**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>3D-DIR</th>
<th>3D-FLAIR</th>
<th>2D-T2w</th>
<th>3D-T1w</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TSE</td>
<td>TSE</td>
<td>TSE</td>
<td>TFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR [ms]</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>4969</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE (1/2) [ms]</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>21/80</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TI (1/2) [ms]</td>
<td>3150/550a</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flip Angle [º]</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbo Factor [-]</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition</td>
<td>1.0x1.0x0.8</td>
<td>0.8x0.8x0.8</td>
<td>0.7x1.0x2.0</td>
<td>0.8x0.8x0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution [mm³]</td>
<td>(sagittal)</td>
<td>(axial)</td>
<td>(sagittal)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconstructed</td>
<td>0.5x0.5x0.4</td>
<td>0.49x0.49x0.4</td>
<td>0.45x0.45x2.0</td>
<td>0.5x0.5x0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution [mm³]</td>
<td>(axial)</td>
<td>(axial)</td>
<td>(axial)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>2.0x3.0</td>
<td>2.5x2.5</td>
<td>2.1 (RL)</td>
<td>2.0 (RL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>encoding</td>
<td>(APxRL)b</td>
<td>(APxRL)b</td>
<td>(RL)</td>
<td>(RL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition</td>
<td>9:48</td>
<td>13:06</td>
<td>8:07</td>
<td>9:43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>time [min:sec]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: ---TFE indicates turbo field echo; AP, anterior-posterior, LF, Left-Right

a The long inversion time (TI1) is the interval between the first 180° inversion pulse and the 90° excitation pulse, and the short inversion time (TI2) is the interval between the second 180° inversion pulse and the 90° excitation pulse.

b Sensitivity encoding applied in 2D

### Image Analysis

Images were interpreted by 3 raters in consensus: I.D.K. and W.L.d.G. (PhD students with 2 and 5 years’ experience in MR image reading, respectively) and A.L.S. (neuroradiologist, 7 years’ experience). During scoring, images of pulse sequences per subject were separated and presented in random order, to avoid recall bias. Raters were blinded to patient identification and clinical or paraclinical information.

Before the evaluation of lesions, the quality of images (artifacts and image homogeneity) was assessed for each pulse sequence. Contrast ratios of the sequences are reported elsewhere. For each subject and pulse sequence, lesions were counted and categorized according to
their anatomic location: periventricular WM lesions in contact with the ventricles; deep white matter lesions not in contact with ventricles or cortex; juxtacortical WM lesions in contact with the cortex; mixed lesions located in the GM as well as in the WM; intracortical lesions located completely within the cortical GM. Only supratentorial WM and cortical GM were included in the analysis because the sensitivity of the coil did not cover infratentorial regions in all subjects. Besides specific anatomic locations, we defined combined regions: total WM (periventricular + deep white matter + juxtacortical), total cortical GM (mixed + intracortical), and total lesion number (total WM + total GM). Focal areas of hypointense (3DT1WI) or hyperintense (2D-T2w, 3D-FLAIR, and 3D-DIR images) signal intensity compared with the surrounding WM and GM, with a minimum size of 3 voxels, were classified as lesions. Scoring of cortical GM lesions was performed by using consensus guidelines developed by the MAGNIMS study group regarding DIR sequences (though these were not primarily designed for 7T scorings).25

Lesions were marked by a scoring tool developed in-house, which was used as a plug-in in Medical Image Processing, Analysis, and Visualization (MIPAV) software (Version 5.1.1, Center for Information Technology (CIT); National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland). Results per subject were collected by a Matlab script (Version 7.1; MathWorks, Natick, Massachusetts) written in-house. After analysis of the results, we reviewed a subset of patients and compared 3D-FLAIR and 3D-DIR side-by-side to explain our results, mostly because they conflicted with earlier studies at lower (1.5 and 3T) field strengths.
Statistical Analysis
For each subject, the number of lesions per anatomic location and sequence was assessed and analyzed by the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, Version 15.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois). In a lesion-wise analysis, the total number of lesions per anatomic region for each sequence was presented, as well as the mean lesion count per patient. Results were compared patient-wise by a Wilcoxon signed-rank test because the total lesion number showed a non-normal distribution that could not be transformed into normality. Results from the pair-wise comparison were Bonferroni-corrected for multiple (6 pair-wise) comparisons. Corrected $P$ values <.05 were considered statistically significant.

Figure 1, Axial 3D-MP-DIR, 3D-MP-FLAIR, 3D-T1w and 2D-T2w images of a 50-year-old male healthy control. Note the different tissue contrasts and the hyperintense visualization of both the outer (subpial) layers of the cortex and perivascular spaces on FLAIR and DIR images.

Results
Demographic Data
Thirty-seven patients with MS (25 women, 12 men) and 7 healthy controls (5 women, 2 men) were included in the study. The mean age of the patients with MS at the time of MR imaging was 43.8 ± 8.3 years, and the median Expanded Disability Status Scale score was 4 (range, 0–7.5). Twenty-two patients had relapsing-remitting MS, 9 had primary-progressive
MS, and 6 had secondary-progressive MS. The mean age of the healthy controls was 40.4 ± 8.9 years.

**Healthy Control Subjects**
In the healthy control subjects, 40 lesions were found on the 3D-FLAIR images: 26 on 3D-DIR, 24 on 2D-T2w, and 21 on 3D-T1WI. Of these lesions, 79% were periventricular and deep white matter lesions, presumably of vascular ischemic origin. No intracortical lesions were identified in any of the healthy control subjects; only 1 mixed lesion was found in 1 healthy control on 3D-DIR. An example of the 7T sequence in a healthy control subject can be found in Fig 1.

![Fig 2](image.png)
***Fig 2***, Axial 3D-MP-DIR, 3D-MP-FLAIR, 3D-T1w and 2D-T2w images of a 37-year-old female SP MS patient. Arrows indicate an intracortical lesion that was scored on FLAIR and T2, but not on DIR and T1w images.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Lesion-wise analysis in MS patients: total lesion detection and mean lesion count per patienta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3D-DIR* (mean)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV</td>
<td>692 (18.7±16.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWM</td>
<td>1162 (31.4±32.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JC</td>
<td>728 (19.7±29.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total WM</td>
<td>2582 (69.8±7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>72 (1.9±3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC</td>
<td>43 (1.2±2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cortical GM</td>
<td>115 (3.1±0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total WM + GM</td>
<td>2697 (72.9±12.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: PV indicates lesions in periventricular white matter, DWM, deep white matter, JC, juxtacortical, IC = intracortical, GM = gray matter

aData represent numbers of detected lesions per anatomical region

**Patients with MS: Lesion-Wise Analysis**
Overall, the 3D-FLAIR sequence detected the highest number of lesions compared with other sequences. In total GM, 217 lesions were detected at 3D-FLAIR, which was 89% more compared with 3D-DIR (115), 87% more compared with 2DT2w (116), and 224% more compared with 3D-T1WI (67). The difference was the largest for the detection of mixed lesions at 3D-FLAIR(178), with which 147% more mixed lesions were detected than on 3D-DIR (72), 117% (82) more than on 2D-T2w, and 242% (52) more than on 3D-T1WI. The highest number of purely intracortical lesions was detected at 3D-FLAIR (43), a 10% increase compared with 3D-FLAIR (39) and a 27% and a 187% increase when compared with 2D-T2w (34) and 3D-T1WI (15), respectively.
Images of intracortical lesion detection with the different 7T sequences used in the study are shown in Fig 2.

In WM, 3D-FLAIR also detected the highest number of lesions (2605), a relative gain of 12% compared with 2D-T2w images (2321); the difference with both 3D-DIR and 3D-T1WI was small: 1% (2582 and 2583 respectively). In total, 3D-FLAIR detected the highest number of lesions; 2822 lesions meant a relative gain of 16% compared with 2D-T2w images (2437), 7% compared with 3D-T1WI (2650), and 5% compared with 3D-DIR (2697) images. For more detailed information on lesion counts per anatomic WM region, see Table 2.

Patients with MS: Patient-Wise Analysis

In this analysis, every sequence was compared with the 3 other sequences, per patient. In terms of total GM lesion detection, patient-wise analysis showed significantly improved lesion detection at 3D-FLAIR compared with 3D-DIR ($P < .04$) and 3D-T1WI ($P < .01$) sequences. This was mostly due to a higher detection of mixed lesions at 3D-FLAIR, which was statistically significant compared with 3D-DIR ($P < .03$) and 3D-T1WI ($P < .01$) images.

Concerning the WM, juxtacortical lesion detection at 3D-DIR was significantly higher than at 2D-T2w images ($P < .01$); this finding also held true for 3D-FLAIR and 3D-T1WI compared with 2D-T2w images ($P < .01$ and $P < .01$). Total WM lesion detection did not differ significantly among all sequences nor did the number of periventricular and deep white matter lesions.

Discussion

Our results show that 3D-FLAIR detects the highest number of MS lesions at 7T, in total cortical GM and in total WM. On FLAIR images, signal from the CSF is nulled, increasing contrast between lesions and adjacent CSF. At a standard field strength (1.5T), this result meant an increase in MS lesion detection compared with conventional T2w sequences, especially for juxtacortical lesions.\textsuperscript{15,27,28} Moving to 3T, FLAIR showed an increased lesion detection compared with 1.5T, and it showed superiority over conventional T2w and T1w sequences for WM lesion detection.\textsuperscript{29,30} Regarding cortical GM lesions at lower field strengths, the highest lesion detection was found by DIR, which at 1.5T and at 3T, suppresses the signal of both CSF and WM, improving the visibility of the cortex and cortical abnormalities.\textsuperscript{7,15,16,31,32} This improved visibility of cortical abnormalities at DIR did not hold in our 7T dataset. The detection of total GM lesions was higher on 3D-FLAIR than on 3D-DIR, mostly due to a considerably superior detection of mixed lesions. The higher total GM and mixed-lesion detection at 3D-FLAIR was statistically significant when tested in a patient-wise analysis. Although the detection of purely intracortical lesions was higher at 3D-DIR compared with the other sequences, this did not reach statistical significance in the patient-wise comparison. The 10% gain in purely intracortical lesion detection on 3D-DIR compared with 3D-FLAIR concerned only 4 lesions. In the present literature, there are several studies that focus on the detection of cortical GM lesions at 7T,\textsuperscript{17-19,33,34} mostly reporting that T2*w gradient echo sequences improve detection. One of these studies by using T2*w gradient echo images even suggested that this sequence should be the new gold standard for the detection of cortical lesions in patients with MS.\textsuperscript{31} Another recent study reported that white matter signal attenuation at 7T is feasible and is able to detect cortical abnormalities at 7T.\textsuperscript{35} To our knowledge, the value of the recommended sequences for clinical use at 7T has not yet been investigated nor has the use of DIR. Our results show that the highest total cortical lesion detection at 7T is gained with 3D-FLAIR. Future studies should compare the difference between these experimental T2*w gradient echo or white matter-attenuated sequences and clinical
3D-FLAIR sequences and elucidate which sequence has the highest sensitivity in terms of 7T cortical lesion detection. At even a higher field strength (9.4T), T2w sequences were able to discriminate demyelinated and remyelinated areas in postmortem MS lesions.\textsuperscript{36,37}

Fig. 3. Examples of scoring differences at 3D-FLAIR versus 3D-DIR images at 7 Tesla.

A) A juxtacortical lesion (red arrow) that was scored on 3D-FLAIR but not on 3D-DIR images because of differences in attenuation of the different cortex layers between the sequences. Note that cortex on the 3D-DIR images is more hyperintense, hindering lesion detection. The green arrow shows a juxtacortical lesion that was detected with both pulse sequences.

B) The red arrow shows a mixed lesion that was scored on 3D-FLAIR but not on 3D-DIR images, probably because of distraction by many small hyperintensities and its smaller size on DIR images.

The red arrow at C) shows an intracortical lesion which was scored only on 3D-DIR images, green arrows reflect juxtacortical lesions that were scored on 3D-DIR as well as on 3D-FLAIR images.

D) Shows a juxtacortical lesion that was scored on 3D-FLAIR but not on 3D-DIR images, probably because tissue was attenuated too much using the DIR sequence, which decreases the size of the lesion and the abundance of many small hyperintensities.
The results of our 7T study are promising because in vivo imaging of cortical abnormalities in MS has high clinical relevance. Cortical lesions correlate with cognitive impairment and could be used as an outcome measure in MS research or could be of help in the development and monitoring of treatment. Furthermore, the detection of GM abnormalities has prognostic relevance and will help to identify patients with clinically isolated syndrome who will eventually convert to clinically definite MS. It has been proposed that the sensitivity of the criteria for the diagnosis of MS will increase when GM lesions are included. These McDonald criteria are at present based solely on WM abnormalities, but GM abnormalities might be introduced in the criteria in the future. 7T MR imaging of cortical lesions can play a role in these different aspects and can be of additional value in the care of patients with MS. However, only a limited number of 7T scanners are available, worldwide around 40 7T systems, as mentioned in a recent review on 7T MR imaging.

As opposed to 1.5T and 3T, the highest total cortical GM lesion detection at 7T was found by the 3D-FLAIR sequence. To investigate this finding further, we reviewed a subset of patients and compared 3D-FLAIR and 3D-DIR sequences side by side (Fig 3). This comparison suggested several possible explanations involving the design of both sequences as well as our rating process. First, the design of sequences on our 7T system causes a multiple-layered appearance of the cortex, which differs between 3D-DIR and 3D-FLAIR and has recently been described as differing among anatomic regions in the brain. The cortex is often relatively more hyperintense on 3D-DIR than on 3D-FLAIR because of the attenuation of WM at DIR (Fig 3). This might have caused diminished visibility of cortical lesions on 3D-DIR. Furthermore, due to the combination of two inversion pulses at 3D-DIR, additional tissue components besides CSF and WM were partly attenuated as well, including lesions, particularly the periphery of a lesion. This did not make small lesions completely invisible but often too inconspicuous to score, a phenomenon that has also been described at lower field strengths. Because T1 values are field-strength-dependent, this effect could be different at a high field and should be further investigated. In addition to these aspects, the resolution of 3D-FLAIR was better than that of 3D-DIR, 0.51 mm$^3$ versus 0.64 mm$^3$ voxel volumes respectively, which might affect the ability to detect small lesions. Second, the scoring process might also have hampered lesion detection at 3D-DIR. There is an abundance of small signal abnormalities, mostly perivascular spaces, on 3D-DIR (Fig 1). This may have caused too much distraction while scoring lesions so that they were overlooked or not specified as being a lesion. Furthermore, we used a rather conservative scoring system: Focal hyperintensities were only specified as lesions if they were in line with the consensus guidelines for scoring of cortical lesions on DIR, which were developed by the MAGNIMS study group. Hyperintensities or inhomogeneities in the cortex were interpreted as artifacts or as small vessels more easily on the 3D-DIR sequence than on the 3D-FLAIR sequence, which may have caused an under-representation of lesions at 3D-DIR.

Contrast ratios of our 7T 3D-FLAIR have been reported to be lower compared with 3D-DIR:GM/WM of 0.40 and 0.35 in healthy controls and patients respectively for FLAIR, compared with 0.93 and 0.87 for DIR. Lesion/WM contrast in FLAIR was 0.86, whereas it was 2.92 in DIR; lesion/GM was 0.91, in FLAIR and 1.40 in DIR. Hence contrast ratios are unlikely to have advanced lesion detection at 3D-FLAIR. Despite the higher relative contrast in DIR, the absolute contrast in terms of contrast-to-noise ratios could be less, due to the overall reduction in SNR by the extra inversion pulse. The lower lesion counts detected by the 3D-DIR sequence cannot be explained by a reclassification phenomenon (ie, the shift from one anatomic region to another because of improved visibility of the cortex). This result was the case at 1.5T and 3T DIR, when a slightly lower sensitivity in juxtacortical lesion detection was counterbalanced by an increased detection
of mixed or purely intracortical lesions.\textsuperscript{16,31,32} However at 7T, with higher spatial resolution and different image contrast, visibility of the cortex was improved at all sequences; hence, a reclassification phenomenon was not evident in our data. Concerning WM lesion detection at 7T, our results showed statistically significant differences in the juxtacortical region. At 3D-FLAIR, 3D-DIR, and 3D-T1WI, a higher number of juxtacortical lesions were detected compared with 2D-T2w images. Total WM lesion detection was highest at 3D-FLAIR when analyzed lesion-wise, though the difference with 3D-DIR and 3D-T1WI was negligible (1% higher). When we tested patient-wise, this increased WM lesion detection of 7T 3D-FLAIR, compared with other sequences, was not statistically significant.

Limitations of the study are that we could have taken more advantage of the multicontrast protocol by scoring lesions one on one on all 4 sequences simultaneously. The goal of this study, however, was to evaluate the performance of the sequences regarding overall lesion counts in various anatomic regions among the different sequences, so we chose to score the sequences separately. The scoring process was done by 3 raters in consensus because of the novelty of 7T MR images. A possible limitation could be that we have no intra- or interobserver comparison. In the future, it would be interesting to study this, possibly in addition to comparing interobserver agreement among different field strengths and among raters of different levels of experience. In addition, most important, the results in this study are specific for our MR imaging system and our sequences with mentioned parameters. Whether results can be generalized to other 7T MR systems remains a matter for future research.

**Conclusions**

The results of the present study show that according to our study setup and our sequence designs, with the recommended sequences for clinical use at 7T, the best way to detect focal cortical GM abnormalities is with 3D-FLAIR and not a GM specific 3D-DIR sequence or conventional 2D-T2w and 3D-T1WI sequences.
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