

VU Research Portal

The Internal Structure of Cities:

Koster, H.R.A.

2013

document version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

citation for published version (APA)

Koster, H. R. A. (2013). *The Internal Structure of Cities: The Economics of Agglomeration, Amenities and Accessibility*. Tinbergen Institute.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

E-mail address:

vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl

11.1 Summary

The internal structure of cities has been analysed by urban planners, geographers and sociologists for a long time. Economists only recently became interested in what forces shape the urban spatial structure. In this thesis we analyse three, arguably the most important, economic forces that may have an impact on the structure of contemporary cities. We have investigated the impact of agglomeration economies, amenities and accessibility. *Agglomeration economies* arise between firms due to labour market pooling, input and output sharing and knowledge spillovers (Marshall, 1890). Firms therefore cluster in central business districts and subcentres and are willing to pay higher rents and wages in these locations. Contemporary cities are also shaped by *amenities*, as cities generate a critical mass for the provision of many consumer amenities like cinemas, theatres, cafés, restaurants and a plethora of other consumer goods. Also historic amenities are typically found in many centres of European cities. Households may prefer to locate in dense areas because of an abundant supply of these amenities. The reason that the economy does not only consist of extremely dense cities is the presence of dispersion forces. Households often are not willing to pay high house prices for a small apartment in the inner city but move to suburbs and take a longer commute for granted. This likely explains why many large cities experience severe traffic congestion. Improving *accessibility* is therefore an important issue on the agenda of local policy makers. Many policies have been proposed to reduce congestion, for example by investing in public transport and aiming at mixed land use near railway stations.

Each chapter in this thesis analyses at least one of these three economic forces that shape the urban spatial structure of Dutch cities. The Netherlands is dominated by the polycentric city region of the Randstad, which is comparable in size, density, sector structure, and many other important location factors to other mega-city regions in Europe, like Frankfurt, Lombardy and Catalonia (Thissen et al., 2012). Each of the chapters focuses on one city (Rotterdam) in the Randstad (Chapters 6, 7, 9), several cities or areas within the Randstad (Chapters 2, 4, 5) or whole of the Netherlands (Chapters 3, 8, 10). We employ a hedonic price approach (in all but one chapter). Hedonic methods are useful to investigate to what extent firms and consumers appreciate public goods, such as agglomeration economies and amenities. By using state-of-the-art semiparametric estimation techniques, we allow for heterogeneous profitability and preferences of respectively firms and households. Identification of the effects of interest is obtained by including a plethora of control variables, by adding location fixed effects and by using instruments. The first part of this dissertation then focuses on the location choices of firms, whereas the second part of this thesis analyses the locational preferences of households.

In Chapter 2 we pay attention to agglomeration economies. It is shown that doubling of agglomeration leads to an increase in commercial rents of about 3.5 percent. In this chapter, we are particularly interested in the heterogeneity in the profitability of agglomeration across firms. It appears that especially retailers and local governments prefer to locate in the dense parts of cities.

Several identification issues that plague estimates of agglomeration economies are discussed in Chapter 3. Using spatial fixed effects and instruments we are able to consistently estimate the impact of agglomeration economies on the commercial rents. The effect is found to be higher than in the previous chapter: doubling of agglomeration leads to an increase in rents of about 10 percent. This difference is likely because Chapter 2 includes municipality fixed effects, so agglomeration economies are assumed to be bounded by municipal boundaries. This assumption appears not to be fully correct, because we show in Chapter 3 that the spatial extent of agglomeration economies is about 15 kilometres. It is also shown that agglomeration economies are mainly important in the office market and within-sector agglomeration economies do not capitalise in commercial rents.

Chapter 4 analyses high rents in extremely tall buildings. We hypothesise that high rents in tall buildings are caused by the possibilities to interact with other workers in the building (within-building agglomeration economies) and a landmark effect that is only relevant for the tallest buildings. Conditional on location attributes, we find that buildings that are 10 meters taller are about 4.2 percent more expensive, so within-building agglomeration economies seem to be very important. We also show that the landmark effect is economically significant: it is about 2.8-5.5 percent of the rent for a building that is 5 times the average height (about 140 meters in the Netherlands).

We analyse the tendency of knowledge intensive business services and multinational enterprise to co-agglomerate within cities in Chapter 5. For example, many headquarters of multinational corporations as well as lawyers, accountants and consultants are located in and near the Amsterdam South-axis. We show that business services tend to co-agglomerate with multinational enterprises in the northern part of the Randstad. In addition, we present empirical evidence that the presence of multinationals enhances the births of new business services firms because of agglomeration economies.

The second part of this dissertation analyses location choices of households. Chapter 6 uses house prices to proxy land prices in the city of Rotterdam. We then test the implications of the theory by Lucas and Rossi-Hansberg (2002), who developed a model where the urban spatial structure is determined by the external benefits of agglomeration and the commuting costs for workers. We show that in mixed urban areas, agglomeration is an important determinant of the rent, while in predominantly residential areas accessibility significantly impacts rents. This is in line with the theory of Lucas and Rossi-Hansberg (2002).

Chapter 7 analyses mixed land use in a more sophisticated way. For each property in Rotterdam, we calculate the number of employees in different sectors around each property, as well as a diversity index. We show that households are willing to pay about 2.5 percent more for a house in a mixed neighbourhood compared to a house in a monofunctional neighbourhood. Again, we analyse heterogeneity and it appears that especially apartment occupiers tend to prefer mixed neighbourhoods, likely because they are willing to pay more for urban amenities.

In Chapter 8 we focus on historic amenities and how these amenities cause sorting of households within cities. Using a semiparametric regression-discontinuity approach we show that there is a price difference at the conservation boundary of about 3 percent, which we interpret as the willingness to pay for external historic amenities. Listed buildings are about 6 percent more expensive, which we interpret as the willingness to pay for internal historic amenities. Moreover, it is shown that rich households sort

themselves in conservation areas and in listed buildings, because they have a higher willingness to pay for historic amenities. The results contribute to an explanation for the substantial spatial income differences within cities.

We analyse policies to protect historic amenities in Chapter 9. It is argued that these policies may have adverse side-effects as they limit the possibilities of house owners to change their houses. We show that regulatory costs may be as large as 10 percent of the house price. So, house owners' benefits due to protection should be substantial to compensate for the regulatory costs.

In Chapter 10 we analyse the effects of improved access to public transport on house prices. We do not find a house price increase due to station openings. We also show that in other chapters public transport accessibility seems of limited importance in the location choices of firms and households.

To summarise, agglomeration, amenities and accessibility are all found to be relevant economic forces that shape the urban spatial structure. It is also shown that agglomeration and the presence of amenities seem to be more important than accessibility. Despite the vast decrease in transportation costs of goods in the last century and the dramatic reduction in communication costs, agglomeration economies still seem to be a main driver of firms' location choices. This is likely caused by the need for costly face-to-face contacts that are needed in deal-making, relationship adjustments and exchanging ideas. Consumer amenities are likely to become an even more important driver of the urban economy: as households tend to become increasingly rich, it may be expected that the quality of life becomes the backbone of location choices of households within cities. Likely because of the (relatively) superb accessibility of many Dutch cities by car and public transport, we find limited effects of increased public transport accessibility.

11.2 Policy implications

Our results also have several implications for space-based policies. We start with the evidence on agglomeration economies. A vast number of subsidies is given to attract firms to certain regions (Greenstone et al., 2012). An example is the policy to attract and maintain headquarters of multinational enterprises in the Netherlands. These policies are justified if there are substantial agglomeration externalities, so that the money invested to attract one firm will have substantial wider economic benefits. Our results in Chapters 2, 3, 4 and 5 show that agglomeration economies are important. This may suggest that policies that aim to attract firms improve welfare because of agglomeration economies, but this conclusion is difficult to verify: general equilibrium effects are extremely hard to measure, i.e. if a certain municipality attracts a certain firm, another municipality will lose that firm and the related benefits. Nevertheless, we can learn something from the evidence on agglomeration economies. First, in Chapter 3 we showed that agglomeration economies seem to be much more pronounced in urban areas. This suggests that subsidies aiming at attracting firms to deprived rural density may be inefficient because positive agglomeration externalities are absent in these places. Second, Chapter 4 shows that within-building agglomeration economies are likely important. This suggests that if municipalities should stimulate construction, they should encourage the construction of relatively tall buildings. Municipalities should also reconsider and relax limitations on building heights. Third, in Chapter 5 we highlight that policies that aim at attracting multinational enterprises may yield

external benefits through the births of knowledge intensive business services, although the effect is relatively small. Fourth, we show in Chapters 2 and 7 that household density is generally valued negatively, likely because of negative crowding effects (e.g. less access to open space). This is bad news for planning policies, such as Transit Oriented Development and Smart Growth, which aim at increasing (household) densities near nodes of public transports.

Our evidence on the importance of amenities also leads to policy recommendations. First, from Chapter 8 it may be concluded that the physical side of cities, and therefore history, leads to sorting of households over space. Policy makers should be aware of the fact that long-term national policies that stimulate preservation of historical buildings in specific cities may have large spatial effects and may cause problems related to social segregation, as high income households are attracted disproportionately by historic amenities. Chapter 9 also highlights that the house owners' costs of regulatory restrictions may be large. Policies aiming at protecting historic amenities should be aware of this and should only protect cultural heritage that yields sufficient benefits for house owners. Third, we showed that the urban fabric is valued by house owners: planning policies that stimulate mixed land use may increase house prices, given that land uses are compatible.

This dissertation also analyse the impact of accessibility, in particular access by public transport. Many planning policies aim to fight traffic congestion and reduce automobile dependency by investing in public transport. For example, Transit Oriented Development aims at a development of a high-quality public transport system together with development of mixed use neighbourhoods around stations. We find little evidence for positive accessibility benefits of new stations, which makes it harder to justify huge investments in the opening of new stations. The evidence also questions the strong focus of planning concepts like Transit Oriented Development on new development around public transport nodes.

11.3 Directions for further research

There are numerous possibilities for further research on the internal structure of cities. First, we deliberately choose to ignore crowding effects. Many large metropolitan areas are however plagued by air pollution and high crime rates, among other things (Glaeser, 2012). Future research may analyse how these negative crowding effects influence location patterns of firms and households within cities. It would be particularly interesting to analyse sorting of households and firms due to the presence of these negative externalities.

Second, our data do not enable us to distinguish between different sources of agglomeration economies, such as labour market pooling, input and output sharing, and knowledge spillovers. Detailed data on labour markets and workers, client relations and interactions will be useful to determine the importance of the three Marshallian sources of agglomeration economies.

Third, with respect to research on mixed land use, we encountered a number of endogeneity issues because location choices of firms and households are interdependent, and so are the rents and prices (see Chapter 3 for a stylised example). Future research should search for credible identification strategies to identify the impacts of mixed land use on house prices and commercial property values.

Fourth, in general, hedonic house price studies suffer from omitted variables, as the economist is unlikely to observe all building and location attributes. In this dissertation we try to control for unobserved attributes by including fixed effects and using instrumental variables. Nevertheless, unobserved housing attributes may still bias our results. Hedonic studies may be improved by relying on quasi-natural experiments or by using a rational expectations approach, as recently proposed by respectively Gibbons and Overman (2012) and Bajari et al. (2012).

Finally, apart from Chapter 2, we did not aim to identify the structural willingness to pay for building and location attributes in hedonic price models (as in Ekeland et al., 2004; Bajari and Kahn, 2005; Heckman et al., 2010). The implication is that we only can analyse marginal changes in variables of interest, rather than evaluating non-marginal changes. Using repeated-sales data and by making some assumptions on the profit or utility function we may identify structural parameters, which enables us to evaluate non-marginal changes in variables of interest.

References

- Abadie, A., Dermisi, S. (2008). Is Terrorism Eroding Agglomeration Economies in Central Business Districts? Lessons from the Office Real Estate Market in Downtown Chicago. *Journal of Urban Economics* 64(2): 451-463.
- Acs, Z.J., Audretsch, D., Feldman, M. (1991). Real Effects of Academic Research: a Comment. *American Economic Review* 82(1): 363-367.
- Ahlfeldt, G., Maennig, W. (2010a). Arenas, Arena Architecture and the Impact on Location Desirability: The Case of 'Olympic Arenas' in Prenzlauer Berg, Berlin. *Urban Studies* 46(7): 1343-1362.
- Ahlfeldt, G., Maennig, W. (2010b). Impact of Sports Arenas on Land Values: Evidence from Berlin. *Annals of Regional Science* 44: 205-227.
- Ahlfeldt, G., Maennig, W. (2010c). Substitutability and Complementarity of Urban Amenities: External Effects of Built Heritage in Berlin. *Real Estate Economics* 38(2): 285-323.
- Ahlfeldt, G.M., Redding, S.J., Sturm, D.M., Wolf, N. (2012). The Economics of Density: Evidence from the Berlin Wall. *Mimeo, London School of Economics*.
- Alonso, W. (1964). Location and Land Use: Towards a General Theory of Land Rent. *Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press*
- Ameniya, T. (1974). The Nonlinear Two-Stage Least Squares Estimator. *Journal of Econometrics* 2: 105-110.
- Anas, A., Arnott, R., Small, K.A. (1998). Urban Spatial Structure. *Journal of Economic Literature* 36: 1426-1464.
- Anas, A., Kim, I. (1996). General Equilibrium Models of Polycentric Urban Land Use with Endogenous Congestion and Job Agglomeration. *Journal of Urban Economics* 40: 232-265.
- Anas, A., Moses, L.N. (1979). Mode Choice, Transport Structure and Urban Land Use. *Journal of Urban Economics* 6: 228-246.
- Anas, A., Rhee, H.J. (2006). Curbing Excess Sprawl with Congestion Tolls and Urban Boundaries. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 36: 510-541.
- Andersson, F., Burgess, S., Lane, J.I. (2007). Cities, Matching and the Productivity Gains of Agglomeration. *Journal of Urban Economics* 61: 112-118.
- Anglin, P.M., Gencay, R. (1996). Semiparametric Estimation of a Hedonic Price Function. *Journal of Applied Econometrics* 11: 633-648.
- Angrist, J.D., Pischke, J.-D. (2009). Mostly Harmless Econometrics: An Empiricists Companion. *Princeton: Princeton University Press*.
- Anselin, L. (1988). Spatial Econometrics: Methods and Models. *Boston: Kluwer*.
- Anselin, L., Bera, A.K. (1998). Spatial Dependence in Linear Regression Models with an Introduction to Spatial Econometrics. In: Ullah, A., Giles, D.E.A. (eds.). *Handbook of Applied Economic Statistics*. New York: Marcel Dekker.
- Anselin, L., Lozano-Gracia, N. (2009). Errors-in-Variables Spatial Effects in Hedonic House Price Models of Ambient Air Quality. In: Arbia, G., Baltagi, B.H. (eds.). *Spatial Econometrics: Methods and Applications*. Heidelberg: Physica-Verlag.
- Arzaghi, M., Henderson, J.V. (2008). Networking off Madison Avenue. *Review of Economic Studies* 75: 1011-1038.
- Arnott, R.J., MacKinnon, J.G. (1977). Measuring the Costs of Height Restrictions with a General Equilibrium Model. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 7: 359-375.
- Asabere, P.K., Hachey, G., Grubaugh, S. (1989). Architecture, Historic Zoning, and the Value of Homes. *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics* 2(3): 181-195.
- Aslesen, H.W., Jakobson, S.-E. (2006). The Role of Proximity and Knowledge Interaction between Head Offices and KIBS. *Tijdschrift voor Economische en Sociale Geografie* 98 (2): 188-201.

- Avnimelech, G., Feldman, M.P. (2010). The Regional Context of Corporate Spawning. *Paper presented at the DRUID Summer Conference, Imperial College London Business School, 16-18 June 2010.*
- Au, C.C., Henderson, J.V. (2006). Are Chinese Cities Too Small? *Review of Economic Studies* 73: 549-576.
- Audretsch, B. (1998). Agglomeration and the Creation of Innovative Activity. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy* 14: 18-29.
- Audretsch, D., Feldman, M.P. (1996). R&D Spillovers and the Geography of Knowledge and Innovation. *American Economic Review* 86(3): 630-640.
- Aura, S., Davidoff, T. (2008). Supply Constraints and Housing Prices. *Economics Letters* 99: 275-277
- Aurand, A. (2010). Density, Housing Types and Mixed Land Use: Smart Tools for Affordable Housing? *Urban Studies*, 47(5): 1015-1036.
- Bajari, P., Benkard, C.M. (2005). Demand Estimation with Heterogeneous consumers and Unobserved Product Characteristics: A Hedonic Approach. *Journal of Political Economy* 113: 1239-1276.
- Bajari, P., Fruehwirth, J.C., Kim, K.I., Timmins, C. (2012) A Rational Expectations Approach to Hedonic Price Regressions with Time-Varying Unobserved Product Attributes: The Price of Pollution. *American Economic Review* 102(5): 1898-1926.
- Bajari, P., Kahn, M.E. (2005). Estimating Housing Demand with an Application to Explaining Racial Segregation in Cities. *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics* 23: 20-35.
- Bajari, P., Kahn, M.E. (2008). Estimating Hedonic Models of Consumer Demand with an Application to Urban Sprawl. In: Baranzini, A., Ramirez, J., Schaerer, C., Thalmann, P. (eds). *Hedonic Methods in Housing Markets: Pricing Environmental Amenities and Segregation*. New York: Springer Science+Business Media.
- Bak, R.L. (2009). Kantoren in Cijfers 2009. Statistiek van de Nederlandse Kantorenmarkt. *Nieuwegein: NVM Business*.
- Barr, J. (2010a). Skyscrapers and the Skyline: Manhattan, 1895-2004. *Real Estate Economics* 38(3): 567-597.
- Barr, J. (2010b). Skyscraper Height. *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*: 1-31.
- Barrios, S., Görg, H., Strobl, E. (2006). Multinationals' Location Choice, Agglomeration Economies, and Public Incentives. *International Regional Science Review* 29(1): 81-107.
- Bartik, T.J. (1991). Who Benefits from State and Local Economic Development Policies? *Kalamazoo: Upjohn Institute Press*.
- Bartik, T.J. (1994). The Effects of Metropolitan Job Growth on the Size Distribution of Family Income. *Journal of Regional Science* 34(4): 483-501.
- Bathelt, H., Malmberg, A., Maskell, P. (2004). Clusters and Knowledge: Local Buzz and Global Pipelines and the Process of Knowledge Creation. *Progress in Human Geography* 28:31-56.
- Batty, M., Longley, P. (1994). *Fractal Cities: A Geometry of Form and Function*. London: Academic Press.
- Bayer, P., Ferreira, F., McMillan, R. (2007). A Unified Framework for Measuring Preferences for Schools and Neighbourhoods. *Journal of Political Economy* 115(4): 588-637.
- Bayer, P.J., Timmins, C. (2005). On the Equilibrium Properties of Locational Sorting Models. *Journal of Urban Economics* 57: 462-477.
- Bayer, P., Timmins, C. (2007). Estimating Equilibrium Models of Sorting Across Locations. *Economic Journal* 117: 353-374.
- Baum-Snow, N. (2007). Did Highways Cause Suburbanisation? *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 122(2): 775-805.
- Baum-Snow, N. (2010). Changes in Transportation Infrastructure and Commuting Patterns in US Metropolitan Areas, 1960-2000. *American Economic Review: Papers and Proceedings* 100: 378-382.
- Baum-Snow, N., Kahn, M.E. (2000). The Effects of New Public Projects to Expand Urban Rail Transit. *Journal of Public Economics* 77(2): 241-263.

- Bayer, P., Timmins, C. (2007). Estimating Equilibrium Models of Sorting Across Locations. *The Economic Journal* 117: 353-374.
- Bennett, R.J., Bratton, W.A., Robson, P.J. (2000). Business Advice: The Influence of Distance. *Regional Studies* 34(9): 813-828.
- Bertaud, A., Brueckner, J.K. (2005). Analysing Building-Height Restrictions: Predicted Impacts and Welfare Costs. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 35: 109-125.
- Beugelsdijk, S., McCann, P., Mudambi, R. (2010). Introduction: Place, Space and Organisation – Economic Geography and the Multinational Enterprise. *Journal of Economic Geography* 10: 485-493.
- Bin, O. (2005). A Semiparametric Hedonic Model for Valuing Wetlands. *Applied Economics Letters* 12(10): 597-601.
- Bishop, K., Timmins, C. (2008). Simple, Consistent Estimation of the Marginal Willingness to Pay Function: Recovering Rosen's Second Stage without Instrumental Variables. *Mimeo, Duke University*.
- Black, S.E. (1999). Do Better Schools Matter? Parental Evaluation of Elementary Education. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 114: 577-599.
- Blundell, R., Powell, J.L. (2003). Endogeneity in Nonparametric and Semiparametric Regression Models. In: *Dewatripont, M., Hansen, L.P., Turnovsky, S.J. (eds). Advances in Economics and Econometrics: Theory and Applications. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.*
- Bollinger, C.R., Ihlandfeldt, K.R., Bowes, D.R. (1998). Spatial Variation in Office Rents within the Atlanta Region. *Urban Studies* 35: 1097-1118.
- Bontemps, C., Simioni, M., Surry, Y. (2008). Semiparametric Hedonic Price Models: Assessing the Effects of Agricultural Nonpoint Source Pollution. *Journal of Applied Econometrics* 23: 825-842.
- Boschma, R.A. (2005). Proximity and Innovation: A Critical Assessment. *Regional Studies* 39: 61-74.
- Bowes, D.R., Ihlandfeldt, K.R. (2001). Identifying the Impacts of Rail Transit Stations on Residential Property Values. *Journal of Urban Economics* 50(1): 1-25.
- Bowman, A.W. (1984). An Alternative Method of Cross-Validation for the Smoothing Density Estimates. *Biometrika* 71(2): 353-360.
- Breheny, M. (1992). Sustainable Development and Urban Form. *London: Pion*.
- Breheny, M. (1995). The Compact City and Transport Energy Consumption. *Transactions of British Geographers* 20: 81-101.
- Briant, A., Combes, P.P., Lafourcade, M. (2010). Dots to Boxes: Do the Size and Shape of Spatial Units Jeopardise Economic Geography Estimations. *Journal of Urban Economics* 67: 287-302.
- Broersma, L., Oosterhaven, J. (2009). Regional Labour Productivity in the Netherlands: Evidence of Agglomeration and Congestion Effects. *Journal of Regional Science* 49: 483-511.
- Brüderl, J., Preisendorfer, P., Ziegler, R. (1992). Survival Chances of Newly Founded Business Organisations. *American Sociological Review* 57(2): 227-242.
- Brueckner, J. (1990). Growth Controls and Land Values in an Open City. *Land Economics* 66(3): 237-248.
- Brueckner, J.K., Rosenthal, S.S. (2009). Gentrification and Neighbourhood Housing Cycles: Will America's Future Downtowns be Rich? *Review of Economics and Statistics* 91(4): 725-743.
- Brueckner, J.K., Sridhar, K.S. (2012). Measuring Welfare Gains from Relaxation of Land-Use Restrictions: The Case of India's Building Height Limits. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 42: 1061-1067.
- Brueckner, J.K., Thisse, J.F., Zenou, Y. (1999). Why is Downtown Paris so Rich and Detroit so Poor? An Amenity Based Explanation. *European Economic Review* 43: 91-107.
- Brühlhart, M., Mathys, N.A. (2008). Sectoral Agglomeration Economies in a Panel of European Regions. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 38: 348-362.

- Burger, M.J., Van Oort, F.G., Linders, G.J. (2009). On the Specification of the Gravity Model of Trade: Zeros, Excess Zeros and Zero-inflated Estimation. *Spatial Economics Analysis* 4(2): 167-190.
- Buitelaar, E., Lagendijk, A., Jacobs, W. (2007). A Theory of Institutional Change: Illustrated by Dutch city-provinces and Dutch Land Policy. *Environment and Planning A* 39: 891-908.
- Burger, M.J., Van Oort, F.G., Van der Knaap, G.A. (2010). A Treatise on the Geographical Scale of Agglomeration Externalities and the Maup. *Scienze Regionali* 9(1): 19-40.
- Burnell, J.D. (1985). Industrial Land Use, Externalities, and Residential Location. *Urban Studies* 22(5): 399-408.
- Calthorpe, P., Fulton, W. (2001). The Regional City: Planning for the End of Sprawl. *Washington DC: Island Press*.
- Cao, T.V., Cory, D. (1981). Mixed Land uses, Land-use Externalities, and Residential Property Values: a Re-evaluation. *Annals of Regional Science* 16: 1-24.
- Capello, R. (2007). Regional economics. *London: Routledge*.
- Carruthers, J.I., Clark, D.E. (2010). Valuing Environmental Quality: A Space-Based Strategy. *Journal of Regional Science* 50(4): 801-832.
- Cervero, R. (1989). Jobs-Housing Balance and Regional Mobility. *Journal of the American Planning Association* 55(2): 136-151.
- Cervero, R. (2004). Transit-Oriented Development in the United States: Experiences, Challenges and Prospects. *Washington DC: TCRP Report 102, Transportation Research Board*.
- Cheshire, P., Sheppard, S. (1995). On the Price of Land and the Value of Amenities. *Economica* 62: 247-267.
- Cheshire, P., Sheppard, S. (1998). Estimating the Demand for Housing, Land and Neighbourhood Characteristics. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics* 60(3): 357-382.
- Cheshire, P., Sheppard, S. (2002). The Welfare Economics of Land Use Planning. *Journal of Urban Economics* 52: 242-269.
- Cheshire, P.C., Hilber, C.A.L. (2008). Office Space Supply Restrictions in Britain: The Political Economy of Market Revenge. *Economic Journal* 118: F185-F221.
- Ciccone, A. (2002). Agglomeration Effects in Europe. *European Economic Review* 46: 213-227.
- Ciccone, A. and Hall, R.E. (1996). Productivity and the Density of Economic Activity. *American Economic Review* 86: 54-70.
- Claessens, M.T., Van Dijk, N.M., Zwaneveld, P.J. (1998). Cost Optimal Allocation of Rail Passenger Lines. *European Journal of Operational Research* 110: 474-489.
- Clark, D.E., Herrin, W.E. (1997). Historical Preservation Districts and Home Sale Prices: Evidence from the Sacramento Housing Market. *The Review of Regional Studies* 27: 29-48.
- Cleveland, W.S. (1979). Robust Locally Weighted Regression and Smoothing Scatterplots. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 74(368): 829-836.
- Cleveland, W.S., Devlin, S.J. (1988). Locally Weighted Regression Analysis by Local Fitting. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 83: 596-610.
- Coase, R.H. (1937). On the Nature of the Firm. *Economica* 4: 386-405.
- Coe, N.M., Townsend, A.R. (1998). Debunking the Myth of Localised Agglomerations: The Development of a Regionalized Service Economy in South-East England. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers* 23: 385-404.
- Colwell, P.F., Munneke, H.J., Trefzger, J.W. (1998). Chicago's Office Market: Price Indices, Location and Time. *Real Estate Economics* 26(1): 83-106.
- Combes, P.P., Duranton, G., Gobillon, L. (2008). Spatial Wage Disparities: Sorting Matters! *Journal of Urban Economics* 63: 723-742.

- Combes, P.P., Duranton, G., Gobillon, L., Roux, S. (2010). Estimating Agglomeration Effects with History, Geography and Worker Fixed Effects. In: *Glaeser, E.L. (ed.). Agglomeration Economies. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press*,
- Combes, P.P., Duranton, G., Gobillon, L. (2011). The Identification of Agglomeration Economies. *Journal of Economic Geography* 11(2): 253-266.
- Coulson, N.E., Lahr, M.L. (2005). Gracing the Land of Elvis and Beale Street: Historic Designation and Property Values in Memphis. *Real Estate Economics* 33(3): 487-507.
- Coulson, N.E., Leichenko, R.M. (2001). The Internal and External Impact of Historical Designation on Property Values. *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics* 23(1): 113-124.
- Coupland, A. (1997). Reclaiming the City: Mixed-use Development. *London: E & FN SPON (1997)*.
- Craig, S.G., Ng, P.T. (2001). Using Quantile Smoothing Splines to Identify Employment Subcentres in a Multicentric Urban Area. *Journal of Urban Economics* 49: 100-120.
- Cropper, M.L., Deck, L.B., McConnell, K.E. (1988). On the Choice of Functional Form for Hedonic Price Functions. *The Review of Economics and Statistics* 70(4): 668-675.
- Davis, D.R., Weinstein, D.E. (2002). Bones, Bombs and Breakpoints: the Geography of Economic Activity. *American Economic Review* 92(5): 1269-1289.
- Davis, J.C., Henderson, J.V. (2008). The Agglomeration of Headquarters. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 38: 445-460.
- Davis, L.W. (2011). The Effect of Power Plants on Local Housing Values and Rents. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 93(4): 1391-1402.
- De Jong, P. (2007). High Rise Ability. *BOSS Magazine* 31(15): 74-80.
- Debrezion, G., Pels, E., Rietveld, P. (2007). The Impact of Railway Stations on Residential and Commercial Property Value: a Meta Analysis. *Journal of Real Estate, Finance and Economics* 35: 161-180.
- Dekle, R., Eaton, J. (1999). Agglomeration and Land Rents: Evidence from the Prefectures. *Journal of Urban Economics* 46: 200-214.
- Den Hertog, P.D. (2000). Knowledge-Intensive Business Services as Co-producers of Innovation. *International Journal of Innovation and Management* 4(4): 491-528.
- Dieleman, F.M., Dijst, M.J., Spit, T.J. (1999). Planning the Compact City: the Randstad Holland Experience. *European Planning Studies* 7(5): 605-621.
- DiPasquale, D., Wheaton, W.C. (1996). Urban Economics and Real Estate Markets. *New Jersey: Prentice Hall*.
- Drennan, M.P., Kelly, H.F. (2011). Measuring Urban Agglomeration Economies with Office Rents. *Journal of Economic Geography* 11(3): 481-507.
- Ducruet, C., Koster, H.R.A, Van der Beek, D.J. (2010). Commodity Variety and Seaport Performance. *Regional Studies* 44(9): 1221-1240.
- Dumais, G., Ellison, G., Glaeser E.L. (2002), Geographic Concentration as a Dynamic Process. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 84: 193-204.
- Duranton, G., Gobillon, L., Overman, H.G. (2011). Assessing the Effects of Local Taxation Using Microgeographic Data. *The Economic Journal* 121: 1017-1046.
- Duranton, G., Puga, D. (2001). Nursery Cities: Urban Diversity Process Innovation, and the Life Cycle of Products. *American Economic Review* 91: 1454-1477.
- Duranton, G., Monastiriotis, V. (2002). Mind the Gaps: the Evolution of Regional Earnings Inequalities in the UK, 1982-1997. *Journal of Regional Science* 42(2): 219-256.
- Duranton, G., Overman, H.G. (2005). Testing for Localization Using Micro-Geographic Data. *Review of Economic Studies* 72: 1077-1106.

- Duranton, G., Overman, H.G. (2008). Exploring the Detailed Location Pattern of U.K. Manufacturing Industries using Micro-Geographic Data. *Journal of Regional Science* 48(1): 213-243.
- Duranton, G., Puga, D. (2000). Diversity and Specialization in Cities: Why, Where and When Does it Matter? *Urban Studies* 37(3): 533-555.
- Duranton, G., Turner, M. (2011). The Fundamental Law of Road Congestion. Evidence from US Cities. *American Economic Review* 101(6): 2616-2652.
- Eberts, R., McMillen, D. (1999). Agglomeration Economies and Urban Public Infrastructure. In: Cheshire, P., Mills, E. (eds). *Handbook of Regional and Urban Economics 3, Applied Urban Economics: 1455-1495*. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Eichholtz, P., Kok, N., Quigley, J.M. (2010). Doing Well by Doing Good? Green Office Buildings. *American Economic Review* 100: 2492-2509.
- Ekeland, I., Heckman, J.J., Nesheim, L. (2002). Identifying Hedonic Models. *American Economic Review* 92: 304-309.
- Ekeland, I., Heckman, J.J., Nesheim, L. (2004). Identification and Estimation of Hedonic Models. *Journal of Political Economy* 112: S60-S108.
- Ellickson, B. (1981). An Alternative Test of the Hedonic Theory of Housing Markets. *Journal of Urban Economics* 9(1): 56-79.
- Ellison, G., Glaeser, E.L. (1997). Geographic Concentration in US Manufacturing Industries: A Dartboard Approach. *Journal of Political Economy* 105(5): 889-927.
- Ellison, G., Glaeser, E.L. (1999). The Geographic Concentration of Industry: Does Natural Advantage Explain Agglomeration? *American Economic Review* 89: 311-316.
- Ellison, G., Glaeser, E.L., Kerr, W.R. (2010). What Causes Industry Agglomeration? Evidence from Coagglomeration Patterns. *American Economic Review* 100: 1195-1213.
- Epple, D. (1987). Hedonic Prices and Implicit Markets: Estimating Demand and Supply for Differentiated Products. *Journal of Political Economy* 95(1): 59-80.
- Epple, D., Sieg, H. (1999). Estimating Equilibrium Models of Local Jurisdictions. *Journal of Political Economy* 107: 645-681.
- Ernst, D., Kim, L. (2002). Global Production Networks, Knowledge Diffusion and Local Capability Formation. *Research Policy* 31:1417-1429.
- Evans, A.W. (1999). The Land Market and Government Intervention. In: Cheshire, P., Mills, E.S. (eds). *Handbook of Regional and Urban Economics 3, Applied Urban Economics: 1637-1669*. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Faggio, G., Overman, H.G., (2012). The Effect of Public Sector Employment on Local Labour Markets. *Mimeo, London School of Economics*.
- Fallick, B., Fleischmann, C.A., Rebitzer, J.B. (2006). Job-Hopping in Silicon Valley: Some Evidence Concerning the Microfoundations of a High-Technology Cluster. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 88(3): 472-481
- Faludi, A., Van der Valk, A.J. (1994). Rule and Order: Dutch Planning Doctrine in the Twentieth Century. *Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic*.
- Fan, J., Gijbels I. (1996). Local Polynomial Modelling and Its Applications. In: *Monographs and Statistics and Applied Probability* 66. Chapman and Hall, England.
- Farber, S., Páez, A. (2007). A Systematic Investigation of Cross-Validation in GWR Model Estimation: Empirical Analysis and Monte Carlo Simulations. *Journal of Geographic Systems* 9(4): 371-396.
- Feser, E.J., S.H. Sweeney (2002). Theory, Methods and a Cross-Metropolitan Comparison of Business Clustering. In: P. McCann (ed.). *Industrial Location Economics*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Figueiredo, O., Guimarães, P., Woodward, D. (2002). Home-Field Advantage: Location Decisions of Portuguese Entrepreneurs. *Journal of Urban Economics* 52(2): 341-361.

- Florida, R., Mellander, C., Stolarick, K. (2008). Inside the Black Box of Regional Development – Human Capital, the Creative Class and Tolerance. *Journal of Economic Geography* 8: 615-649.
- Flowerdew, R., Aitkin, M. (1982). A Method of Fitting in the Gravity Model Based on the Poisson Distribution. *Journal of Regional Science* 22: 191-202.
- Fotheringham, A.S., Brunson, C., Charlton, M. (2002). Geographically Weighted Regression. The Analysis of Spatially Varying Relationships. *Chichester: Wiley*.
- Freeman, A.M. (1993). The Measurement of Environmental and Resource Values: Theory and Method. *Washington DC: Resources for the Future*.
- Frenken, K., Van Oort, F., Verburg, T. (2007). Related Variety, Unrelated Variety and Regional Economic Growth. *Regional Studies* 41, 685-697.
- Fujita, M. (1988). A Monopolistic Completion Model of Spatial Agglomeration: Differentiated Products Approach. *Journal of Regional Science and Urban Economics* 18(1): 87-124.
- Fujita, M. (1989). Urban Economic Theory: Land Use and City Size. *Cambridge: Cambridge University Press*.
- Fujita, M., Krugman, P., Venables, A.J. (2001). The Spatial Economy; Cities, Regions and International Trade. *Cambridge, MA: MIT Press*.
- Fujita, M., Ogawa, H. (1982). Multiple Equilibria and Structural Transition of Non-Monocentric Urban Configurations. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 12: 161-196.
- Gan, Q., Hill, R.J. (2008). A New Perspective on the Relationship Between House Prices and Income. *UNSW Australian School of Business Research Paper ECON 13*.
- Gatzlaff, D., Smith, M. (1993). The Impact of the Miami Metrorail on the Value of Residences Near Station Locations. *Land Economics* 69: 54-66.
- Gawande, K. (1997). Generated Regressors in Linear and Nonlinear Models. *Economics Letters* 54: 119-126.
- Gibbons, S., Machin, S. (2005). Valuing Rail Access Using Transport Innovations. *Journal of Urban Economics* 57: 148-169.
- Gibbons, S., Machin, S. (2008). Valuing School Quality, Better Transport and Lower Crime: Evidence from House Prices. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy* 24(1): 99-119.
- Gibbons, S., Overman, H.G. (2012). Mostly Pointless Spatial Econometrics? *Journal of Regional Science* 52(2): 171-191.
- Giuliano, G., Small, K.A. (1991). Subcentres in the Los Angeles Region. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 21: 163-182.
- Glaeser, E.L. (1998). Are Cities Dying. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 12(2): 139-160.
- Glaeser, E.L. (2008). Cities, Agglomeration, and Spatial Equilibrium. *Oxford: Oxford University Press*.
- Glaeser, E.L. (2011). Cities, Productivity and the Quality of Life. *Science* 333: 592-594.
- Glaeser, E.L. (2012). The Triumph of the City: How Our Greatest Invention Makes Us Richer, Smarter, Greener, Healthier and Happier. *New York: The Penguin Press*.
- Glaeser, E.L., Gottlieb, J. (2006). Urban Resurgence and the Consumer City. *Urban Studies* 43(8): 1275-1299.
- Glaeser, E.L., Gottlieb, J. (2009). The Wealth of Cities: Agglomeration Economies and Spatial Equilibrium in the United States. *Journal of Economic Literature* 47(4): 983-1028.
- Glaeser, E.L., Gyourko, J. (2003). The Impact of Building Restrictions on Housing Affordability. *Economic Policy Review* 9: 21-39.
- Glaeser, E.L., Gyourko, J. (2005). Urban Decline and Durable Housing. *Journal of Political Economy* 113(2): 345-375.
- Glaeser, E.L., Gyourko, J., Saks R.E. (2005a). Why Have Housing Prices Gone Up? *American Economic Review* 95(2): 329-333.

- Glaeser, E.L., Gyourko, J., Saks, R.E. (2005b). Why is Manhattan so Expensive? Regulation and the Rise in Housing Prices. *Journal of Law and Economics* 48: 331-369.
- Glaeser, E.L., Gyourko, J., Saks, R.E. (2006). Urban Growth and Housing Supply. *Journal of Economic Geography* 6(1): 71-89.
- Glaeser, E.L., Kahn, M.E. (2003). Sprawl and Urban Growth. In: Henderson, J., Thisse, J.F. (eds.). *The Handbook of Urban Economics 4, Cities and Geography: 2481-2527*. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Glaeser, E.L., Kahn, M.E., Rappaport, J. (2008). Why do Poor Live in Cities? The Role of Public Transportation. *Journal of Urban Economics* 63(1): 1-24.
- Glaeser, E.L., Kallal, H.D., Scheinkman, J.A. and Shleifer, A. (1992). Growth in Cities. *Journal of Political Economy* 100(6): 1126-1152.
- Glaeser, E.L., Kohlhase, J.E. (2004). Cities, Regions and the Decline of Transport Costs. *Papers in Regional Science* 83(1): 197-228.
- Glaeser, E.L., Kolko, J., Saiz, A. (2001). Consumer City. *Journal of Economic Geography* 1: 27-50.
- Glaeser, E.L., Maré, D. (2001). Cities and Skills. *Journal of Labour Economics* 19: 316-342.
- Glaeser, E.L., Sacerdote, B. (1999). Why is There More Crime in Cities. *Journal of Political Economy* 107: S225-S258
- Glaeser, E.L., Ward, B.A. (2009). The Causes and Consequences of Land Use Regulation: Evidence from Greater Boston. *Journal of Urban Economics* 65: 265-278.
- Gold, B. (1981). Changing Perspectives on Size, Scale and Returns: An Interpretive Survey. *Journal of Economic Literature* 19(1): 5-33.
- Gomez-Ibanez, J.A. (1991). A Global View of Automobile Dependence – review of Newmann P., Kenworthy, J., Cities and Automobile Dependence: a Sourcebook. *Journal of the American Planning Association* 57: 376-379.
- Grant, J. (2004). Encouraging Mixed Use in Practice. Paper presented at the International Planning Symposium on Incentives, Regulations, and Plans, Maryland.
- Greenstone, M., Hornbeck, R., Moretti, E. (2010). Identifying Agglomeration Spillovers: Evidence from Winners and Losers of Large Plant Openings. *Journal of Political Economy* 118(3): 536-598.
- Griliches, Z. (1961). Hedonic Price Indices for Automobiles: An Econometric Analysis of Quality Change. In: *The Price Statistics of the Federal Government: 173-196*. Cambridge MA: NBER.
- Grimaud, A. (1989). Agglomeration Economies and Building Height. *Journal of Urban Economics* 25: 17-31.
- Grimes, A., Young, C. (2010). Anticipatory Effects of Rail Upgrades: Auckland's Western Line. *Motu Working Paper, Waikato New Zealand*.
- Groot, S.P.T., De Groot, H.L.F., Smit, M.J. (2011). Regional Wage Differences in the Netherlands: Micro-Evidence on Agglomeration Externalities. *Tinbergen Discussion Paper TI 2011-050/3*
- Grout, C.A., Jaeger, W.K., Plantinga, A.J. (2011). Land-use Regulation and Property Values in Portland, Oregon: A Regression Discontinuity Design Approach. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 41: 98-107.
- Guimarães, P., Figueiredo, O., Woodward, D. (2000). Agglomeration and the Location of Foreign Direct Investment in Portugal. *Journal of Urban Economics* 47: 115-135.
- Guimarães, P., Figueiredo, O., Woodward, D. (2003). A Tractable Approach to the Firm Location Decision Problem. *The Review of Economics and Statistics* 85(1): 201-204.
- Guimarães, P., Figueiredo, O., Woodward, D. (2004). Industrial Location Modelling: Extending the Random Utility Framework. *Journal of Regional Science* 44(1): 1-20.
- Gumbel, E.J. (1958). Statistics of Extremes. *New York: Columbia University Press*.
- Hahn, J., Todd, P., Van Der Klaauw, W. (2001). Identification and Estimation of Treatment Effects with a Regression-Discontinuity Design. *Econometrica* 69(1): 201-209.
- Hamilton, B.W. (1982). Wasteful Commuting. *Journal of Political Economy* 90: 1035-1053.

- Handy, S.L. (1992). Regional versus Local Accessibility. *Built Environment* 18: 301-313.
- Hayter, R. (1997). The Dynamics of Industrial Location. The Factory, the Firm and the Production System. *Oxford: Wiley*.
- Head, K., Ries, J., Swenson, D. (1995). Agglomeration Benefits and Location Choice: Evidence from Japanese Manufacturing Investments in the United States. *Journal of International Economics* 38: 223-247.
- Heckman, J.J. (1979). Sample Selection Bias as a Specification Error. *Econometrica* 47: 931-959.
- Heckman, J.J., Matzkin, R., Nesheim, L. (2010). Nonparametric Identification and Estimation of Nonadditive Hedonic Models. *Econometrica* 78: 1569-1591.
- Henderson, J.V., Kuncoro, A., Turner, M. (1995). Industrial Development in Cities. *Journal of Political Economy* 103: 1067-1090.
- Helpman, E., Krugman, P. (1985). Market Structure and Foreign Trade: Increasing Returns, Imperfect Competition, and the International Economy. *Cambridge MA: MIT Press*
- Helsley, R.W. (1990). Knowledge and Production in the CBD. *Journal of Urban Economics* 28: 391-403.
- Helsley, R.W., Strange, W.C. (2007). Urban Interactions and Spatial Structure. *Journal of Economic Geography* 7: 119-138.
- Helsley, R.W., Strange, W.C. (2008). A Game-Theoretic Analysis of Skyscrapers. *Journal of Urban Economics* 64: 49-64.
- Hilber, C.A.L., Voicu, I. (2010). Agglomeration Economies and the Location of Foreign Direct Investment: Empirical Evidence from Romania. *Regional Studies* 44(3): 355-371.
- Holly, A, Sargan, J.D. (1982). Testing for Exogeneity within a Limited Information Framework. *Cahiers de Recherches Economiques* 8204, *University of Lausanne*.
- Henderson, J.V., Ono, Y. (2008). Where do Manufacturing Firms Locate their Headquarters? *Journal of Urban Economics* 63(2): 431-450.
- Hipp, C. (1999). Knowledge-Intensive Business Services in the New Mode of Knowledge Production. *AI & Society* 13: 88-106.
- Hoover, E.M. (1936). The Measurement of Industrial Localisation. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 18(4): 161-171.
- Hoover, E.M. (1937). Location Theories and the Shoe and Leather Industries. *Cambridge MA: Harvard University Press*.
- Huriot, J.M., Thisse J.F. (2000). Economics of Cities. *Cambridge: Cambridge University Press*.
- Hurvich, C.M., Simonoff, J.S., Tsai, C.L. (1998). Smoothing Parameter Selection in Nonparametric Regression Using an Improved Akaike Information Criterion. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society B* 60: 271-293.
- Hutton, T.A. (2008). The New Economy of the Inner City. Restructuring, Regeneration and Dislocation in the Twenty-First-Century Metropolis. *London: Routledge*.
- Hymel, K (2007). Does Traffic Congestion Reduce Employment Growth. *Journal of Urban Economics* 65: 127-135.
- Idson, T.L., Oi, W.Y. (1999). Workers are More Productive in Larger Firms. *American Economic Review* 89: 104-108.
- Ihlanfeldt, K.R. (2007). The Effect of Land Use Regulation on Housing and Land Prices. *Journal of Urban Economics* 61: 420-435.
- Imbens, G.W., Lemieux, T. (2008). Regression Discontinuity Design: A Guide to Practice. *Journal of Econometrics* 142: 615-635.
- Ioannides, Y.M., Zabel, J.E. (2008). Interactions, Neighbourhood Selection and Housing Demand. *Journal of Urban Economics* 63: 229-252.
- Jacobs, J. (1961). The Death and Life of Great American Cities. *New York: Random House*.
- Jacobs, J. (1969). The Economy of Cities. *New York: Vintage*.

- Jacobs, W., Koster, H.R.A., Hall, P.V. (2011). The Location and Global Network Structure of Maritime Advanced Producer Services. *Urban Studies* 48(13): 2749-2769.
- Jacobs, W., Koster, H.R.A., Van Oort, F.G. (2012). Co-Agglomeration of Knowledge Intensive Business Services and Multinational Enterprises. *Forthcoming in Journal of Economic Geography*.
- Jofre-Monseny, J., Marín-López, R., Viladecans-Marsal, E. (2011). The Mechanisms of Agglomeration: Evidence from the Effect of Inter-Industry Relations on the Location of New Firms. *Journal of Urban Economics* 70: 61-74.
- Keeble, D., Nachum, L. (2002). Why do Business Service Firms Cluster? Small Consultancies, Clustering and Decentralization in London and Southern England. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers* 27(1): 67-90.
- Keijer, M.J.N., Rietveld, P. (2000). How do People Get to the Railway Station? The Dutch Experience. *Transportation Planning and Technology* 23 : 215-235.
- Kelejian, H.H., Prucha, I. (1998). A Generalised Spatial Two-Stage Least Squares Procedure for Estimating a Spatial Autoregressive Model with Autoregressive Disturbances. *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics* 17(1): 99-121.
- Kelejian, H.H., Prucha, I. (1999). A Generalised Moments Estimator for the Autoregressive Parameter in a Spatial Model. *International Economic Review* 40(2): 509-533.
- Kelejian, H.H., Prucha, I. (2004). Estimation of Simultaneous Systems of Spatially Interrelated Cross-sectional Equations. *Journal of Econometrics* 118: 27-50.
- Kiel, K., Zabel, J. (2001). Estimating the Economic Benefits of Cleaning-up Superfund Sites: the Case of Woburn, Massachusetts. *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics* 22: 163-184.
- Klein, B., Leffler, K.B. (1981). The Role of Market Forces in Assuring Contractual Performance. *Journal of Political Economy* 89(4): 615-641.
- Klepper, S., Sleeper, S. (2005). Entry by Spinoffs. *Management Science* 51(8): 1291-1306.
- Klier, T., McMillen, D.P. (2008). Evolving Agglomeration in the U.S. Auto Supplier Industry. *Journal of Regional Science* 48(1): 245-267.
- Knight, R.V. (1995), Knowledge-based Development: Policy and Planning Implications for Cities. *Urban Studies* 32 (2): 225-260.
- Koch A., Stahlecker, T. (2006). Regional Innovation Systems and the Foundation of Knowledge Intensive Business services. A Comparative Study in Bremen, Munich and Stuttgart, Germany. *European Planning Studies* 14(2): 123-146.
- Koomen, E., Dekkers, J., Van Dijk, T. (2008). Open-space Preservation in the Netherlands: Planning, Practice and Prospects. *Land Use Policy* 25: 361-377.
- Koomen, E., Rietveld, P., Bacao, F. (2009). The Third Dimension in Urban Geography: the Urban-Volume Approach. *Environment and Planning B* 36: 1008-1025.
- Korthals Altes, Willem K. 2007. The Impact of Abolishing Social-Housing Grants on Compact-City Policy of Dutch Municipalities. *Environment and Planning A* 39: 1497-1512.
- Koster, H.R.A. (2012). Rocketing Rents: the Magnitude and Attenuation of Agglomeration Economies in the Commercial Property Market. *LSE-SERC Discussion Paper* 125.
- Koster, H.R.A., Rouwendal, J. (2012). The Impact of Mixed Land Use on Residential Property Values. *Journal of Regional Science* 52(5): 733-761.
- Koster, H.R.A., Rouwendal, J. (2013). Agglomeration, Commuting, and the Internal Structure of Cities. *Forthcoming in Regional Science and Urban Economics*.
- Koster, H.R.A., Van Ommeren, J.N. and Rietveld, P. (2011). Is the Sky the Limit? An Analysis of High-Rise Office Buildings. *LSE-SERC Discussion Paper* 86/ *Forthcoming in Journal of Economic Geography*.

- Koster, H.R.A., Van Ommeren, J.N. and Rietveld, P. (2011). Estimating the Benefits of Improved Rail Access. *Mimeo, VU University Amsterdam*.
- Koster, H.R.A., Van Ommeren, J.N. and Rietveld, P. (2012). Bombs, Boundaries and Buildings: A Regression-Discontinuity Approach to Measure Costs of Housing Supply Restrictions. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 42(4): 631-641.
- Koster, H.R.A., Van Ommeren, J.N., Rietveld, P. (2013). Historic Amenities, Income and Sorting of Households. *LSE-SERC Discussion Paper 124*.
- Koster, H.R.A., Van Ommeren, J.N., Rietveld, P. (2013). Agglomeration Economies and Productivity: A Structural Estimation Approach Using Commercial Rents. *Forthcoming in Economica*.
- Koster, P.R., Koster, H.R.A. (2013). Analysing Observed Heterogeneity in the Value of Time and Reliability: A Semiparametric Estimation Approach. *Mimeo, VU University Amsterdam*.
- Krugman, P.R. (1991a). Geography and Trade. *Cambridge MA: MIT Press*.
- Krugman, P.R. (1991b). Increasing Returns and Economic Geography. *Journal of Political Economy* 99(3): 483-499.
- Lafferty, R.N., Frech, H.E. (1978). Community Environment and the Market Value of Single Family Homes: the Effect of Dispersion of Land Uses. *Journal of Law and Economics* 21: 381-394.
- Lazrak, F., Nijkamp, P., Rietveld, P., Rouwendal, J. (2011). Cultural Heritage: Hedonic Prices for Non-Market Values. *Mimeo, VU University Amsterdam*.
- Lee, D.S., Lemieux, T. (2010). Regression Discontinuity Designs in Economics. *Journal of Economic Literature* 48: 281-355.
- Leichenko, R.M., Coulson, N.E., Listokin, D. (2001). Historic Preservation and Residential Property Values: An Analysis of Texas Cities. *Urban Studies* 38(11): 1973-1987.
- Liu, H.S., Chang, H.C. (2004). Variation of Floor-Rate Differentials of High-Rise Buildings. *Papers in Regional Science* 83: 495-503.
- Lucas, R.E., Jr. (2001). Externalities and Cities. *Review of Economic Dynamics* 4: 245-274.
- Lucas, R.E., Jr., Rossi-Hansberg, E. (2002). On the Internal Structure of Cities. *Econometrica* 70(4): 1445-1476.
- Maddala, G.S. (1983). Limited-Dependent and Qualitative Variables in Econometrics. *Cambridge: Cambridge University Press*.
- Malmberg, A., Maskell, P. (2006). Localised Learning Revisited. *Growth and Change* 37(1): 1-18.
- Margolis, J. (1957). Municipal Fiscal Structure in a Metropolitan Region. *Journal of Political Economy* 65(3): 225-236.
- Marshall, A. (1890). Principles of Economics. *London: MacMillan*.
- Martin, P., Mayer, T., Mayneris, F. (2008). Spatial Concentration and Firm-level Productivity in France. *Technical Report CEPR Discussion Paper no. 6858, Centre for Economic Policy Research, London*.
- McMillen, D.P. (1996). One Hundred Fifty Years of Land Values in Chicago: a Nonparametric Approach. *Journal of Urban Economics* 40(1): 100-124.
- McMillen, D.P. (2001). Nonparametric Employment Subcentre Identification. *Journal of Urban Economics* 50(3): 448-473.
- McMillen, D.P. (2004). Employment Densities, Spatial Autocorrelation, and Subcentres in Large Metropolitan Areas. *Journal of Regional Science* 44(2): 225-243.
- McMillen, D.P. (2010). Issues in Spatial Data Analysis. *Journal of Regional Science* 50: 119-141.
- McMillen, D.P., McDonald, J.F. (1998). Suburban Employment and Employment Density in Metropolitan Chicago. *Journal of Urban Economics* 43: 157-180.
- McMillen, D.P., McDonald, J.F. (1999). Land Use Before Zoning: The Case of 1920's Chicago. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 29: 473-489.

- McMillen, D.P., McDonald, J.F. (2002). Land Values in a Newly Zoned City. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 84(1): 62-72.
- McMillen, D.P., McDonald, J.F. (2004). Reaction of House Prices to a New Rapid Transit Line: Chicago's Midway Line, 1983-1999. *Real Estate Economics* 32(3): 463-486.
- McMillen, D.P., Redfearn, C.L. (2010). Estimation and Hypothesis Testing for Nonparametric Hedonic House Price Functions. *Journal of Regional Science* 50: 712-733.
- McMillen, D.P., Smith, S.C. (2003). The Number of Subcentres in Large Urban Areas. *Journal of Urban Economics* 53: 321-338.
- Meese, R., Wallace, N. (1991). Nonparametric Estimation of Dynamic Hedonic Price Models and the Construction of Residential Housing Price Indices. *Journal of the American Real Estate and Urban Economics Association* 19: 308-332.
- Melo, P.C., Graham, D.J., Noland, R.B. (2009). A Meta-Analysis of Estimates of Urban Agglomeration Economies. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 39: 332-342.
- Miles, I., Kastrinos, N., Flanagan, K., Bilderbeek, R., Den Hertog, P., Huntink, W., Bouman, M. (1995), Knowledge-intensive Business Services. Users, Carriers and Sources of Information. *Brussels: European Commission*.
- Milgrom, P., Roberts, J. (1986). Price and Advertising Signals of Product Quality. *Journal of Political Economy* 94(4): 796-821.
- Mills, E.S. (1967). An Aggregative Model of Resource Allocation in a Metropolitan Area. *American Economic Review* 57: 197-210.
- Mills, E.S., Lubuele, L.S. (1997). Inner Cities. *Journal of Economic Literature* 35: 727-756.
- Moretti, E. (2010). Local Multipliers. *American Economic Review: Papers and Proceedings* 100: 1-7.
- Morikawa, M. (2011). Economies of Density and Productivity in Service Industries: An Analysis of Personal Service Industries Based on Establishment-Level Data. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 93: 179-192.
- Müller, E., Zenker, A. (2001). Business Services as Actors of Knowledge Transformation: the Role of KIBS in Regional and National Innovation Systems. *Research Policy* 30: 1501-1516.
- Müller, E., Doloreux, D. (2009). What We Should Know about Knowledge-Intensive Business Services. *Technology in Society* 31:64-72.
- Mun, S.E., Hutchinson, B.G. (1995). Empirical Analysis of Office Rent and Agglomeration Economies: A Case Study of Toronto. *Journal of Regional Science* 35: 437-455.
- Municipality of Rotterdam (2007). Stadsvisie Rotterdam: Rotterdam 2030. *Rotterdam: Gemeente Rotterdam*.
- Municipality of Rotterdam (2010). Collegewerkprogramma Rotterdam 2010-2014: Werken aan Talent en Ondernemen. *Rotterdam: Gemeente Rotterdam*.
- Municipality Utrecht (2005). Hoogbouwvisie. *Utrecht: Gemeente Utrecht, Dienst Stadsontwikkeling*.
- Muth, R.F. (1969). Cities and Housing. *Chicago: University of Chicago Press*.
- Navrud, S., Ready, R.C. (2002). Valuing Cultural Heritage: Applying Environmental Valuation Techniques to Historic Buildings, Monument and Artifacts. *London: Edward Elgar*.
- Neffke, F., Henning, M., Boschma, R.A., Lundquist, K.J., Olander, L.O. (2011). The Dynamics of Agglomeration Externalities along the Life Cycle of Industries. *Regional Studies* 45: 49-65.
- Neffke, F.M.H., Henning, M., Boschma, R.A. (2011). The Impact of Aging and Technological Relatedness on Agglomeration Externalities: A Survival Analysis. *Journal of Economic Geography* 11: 1-33.
- Newey, W.K., Powell, J.L. (2003). Instrumental Variable Estimation of Nonparametric Models. *Econometrica* 71(5): 1565-1578.
- Newey, W.K., Powell, J.L., Vella, F. (1999). Nonparametric Estimation of Triangular Simultaneous Equations Models. *Econometrica* 67(3): 565-603.

- Neumark, D., Junfu, Z., Wall, B. (2006). Where the Jobs Are: Business Dynamics and Employment Growth. *Academy of Management Perspectives* 20(4):79-94.
- Nooteboom, B. (2000). Learning by Interaction: Absorptive Capacity, Cognitive Distance and Governance *Journal of Management and Governance* 4: 69-92.
- NFC (2010). Jaarbericht Kantoren 2010. *Naarden: NFC Index Coöperatie*.
- OECD (2005). Growth in Services. Fostering Employment, Productivity and Innovation. *Paris: OECD*.
- Ottaviano, G.I.P. (2011). 'New' New Economic Geography: Firm Heterogeneity and Agglomeration Economies. *Journal of Economic Geography* 11(2): 231-240.
- Ottaviano, G.I.P., Peri, G. (2006). The Economic Value of Cultural Diversity: Evidence from US Cities. *Journal of Economic Geography* 6(1): 9-44.
- Pagan, A., Ullah, A. (1999). Non-parametric Econometrics. *Cambridge: Cambridge University Press*.
- Palmquist, R.B. (1988). Land as a Differentiated Factor of Production: a Hedonic Model and Its Implications for Welfare Measurement. *Land Economics* 65(1): 23-28.
- Palmquist, R.B. (1992). Valuing Localised Externalities. *Journal of Urban Economics* 31(1): 59-68.
- Peng, Z.R. (1997). The Jobs-housing Balance and Urban Commuting. *Urban Studies* 34(8): 1215-1235.
- Pinkse, J. (2000). Nonparametric Two-Step Regression Estimation When Regressors and Errors are Dependent. *The Canadian Journal of Statistics* 28(1): 289-300.
- Pols, L., Van Amsterdam, H., Harbers, A., Kronberger, P., Buitelaar, E. (2009). Menging van Wonen en Werken. *The Hague/Bilthoven: Planbureau voor de Leefomgeving*.
- Porter, M. (1995). The Competitive Advantage of the Inner City. *Harvard Business Review* 74: 61-78.
- Porter, M. (1996). Competitive Advantage, Agglomeration Economies and Regional Policy. *International Regional Science Review* 19(1/2): 85-91.
- Puga, D. (2010). The Magnitude and Causes of Agglomeration Economies. *Journal of Regional Science* 50(1): 203-219.
- Quigley, J.M., Raphael, S. (2005). Regulation and the High Cost of Housing in California. *American Economic Review* 95(2): 323-328.
- Quigley, J.M., Rosenthal, L.A. (2005). The Effects of Land Use Regulation on the Price of Housing: What Do We Know? What Can We Learn? *Cityscape* 8(1): 69-137.
- Racine, J.S., Hart, J., Li, Q. (2006). Testing the Significance of Categorical Predictor Variables in Nonparametric Regression Models. *Econometric Reviews* 25(4): 523-544.
- Racine, J.S., Li, Q. (2004). Nonparametric Estimation of Regression Functions with both Categorical and Continuous Data. *Journal of Econometrics* 119(1): 99-130.
- Rappaport, J. (2008). Consumption Amenities and City Population Density. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 38(6): 533-552.
- Raspe, O., Van Oort, F. (2006). The Knowledge Economy and Urban Economic Growth. *European Planning Studies* 14(9): 1209-1232.
- Redfearn, C.L. (2009a). Persistence in Urban Form: the Long-Run Durability of Employment Centres in Metropolitan Areas. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 39: 224-232.
- Redfearn, C.L. (2009b). How Informative are Average Effects? Hedonic Regression and Amenity Capitalisation in Complex Urban Housing Markets. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 39: 297-306.
- Reynolds, P., Storey, D.J., Westhead, P. (1994). Cross-National Comparisons of the Variation in New Firm Formation Rates. *Regional Studies* 28: 443-456.
- Rice, J. (1984). Bandwidth Choice for Nonparametric Regression. *Annals of Statistics* 12: 1215-1230.

- Rice, P., Venables, A.J., Patacchini, E. (2006). Spatial Determinants of Productivity: Analysis for Regions of Great Britain. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 36: 727-752.
- Richardson, H.W. (1977). On the Possibility of Positive Rent Gradients. *Journal of Urban Economics* 4(1): 60-68.
- Ritsema van Eck, J., Van Amsterdam, H., Van der Schuit, J. (2009). Ruimtelijke Ontwikkelingen in een Stedelijk Gebied. Dynamiek Stedelijke Milieus 2000-2006. *The Hague/Bilthoven: Planbureau voor de Leefomgeving*.
- Roback, J. (1982). Wages, Rents, and the Quality of Life. *Journal of Political Economy* 90: 1257-1278.
- Robinson, P.M. (1988). Root-N-Consistent Semi-Parametric Regression. *Econometrica* 57: 1403-1430.
- Rodenburg, C., Burger, M., Van Oort, F.G. (2010). Internationale Positie Noordvleugel in Greenfield en Brownfield Investments. *Amsterdam: Ernst & Young*.
- Rosen, S. (1974). Hedonic Prices and Implicit Markets: Product Differentiation in Pure Competition. *Journal of Political Economy* 82: 34-55.
- Rosenthal, S.S., Strange, W.C. (2003). Geography, Industrial Organisation, and Agglomeration. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 85(2): 377-393.
- Rosenthal, S.S., Strange, W.C. (2004). Evidence on the Nature and Sources of Agglomeration Economies. In: *Henderson, J.V., Thisse, J. (eds.). Handbook of Urban and Regional Economics, 4*.
- Rosenthal, S.S., Strange, W.C. (2008). Attenuation of Human Capital Spillovers. *Journal of Urban Economics* 64(2): 373-389.
- Rossi-Hansberg, E. (2004). Optimal Urban Land Use and Zoning. *Review of Economic Dynamics* 7: 69-106.
- Rouwendal, J., Smit, M.J., Koster, H.R.A. (2010). The Trade-off between Agglomeration Effects and Commuting Costs in an Urban Economy. *Mimeo, VU University Amsterdam*.
- Rouwendal, J., Van der Straaten, J.W. (2008). The Costs and Benefits of Providing Open Space in Cities. *Tinbergen Discussion Paper 2008-001/3*.
- Rowley, A. 1996. Mixed-use Development: Ambiguous Concept, Simplistic Analysis and Wishful Thinking? *Planning Practice and Research* 11(1): 85-97.
- Rusinek, M., Tojerow, I. (2011). The Regional Dimension of Collective Wage Bargaining: the Case of Belgium. *Regional Studies* 12(13): 1-17.
- Sain, S.R., Baggerly, K.A., Scott, D.W. (1994). Cross-Validation of Multivariate Densities. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 89(427): 807-817.
- Sassen, S. (2010). Global Inter-City Networks and Commodity Chains: Any Intersections? *Global Networks* 10(1): 150-163.
- Sassen, S. (2002). Cities in a World Economy. In: *Fainstein, S.S. Campbell, S. (eds.). Readings in Urban Theory: 32-56. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers*.
- Schaeffer, P.V., Millerick, C.A. (1991). The Impact of Historic District Designation on Property Values: An Empirical Study. *Economic Development Quarterly* 5(4): 301-312.
- Seim, K. (2006). An Empirical Model of Firm Entry with Endogenous Product-type Choices. *RAND Journal of Economics* 37(3): 619-640.
- Shapiro, J. (2006). Smart Cities: Quality of Life, Productivity, and the Growth Effects of Human Capital. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 88(2): 324-335.
- Shearmur, R., Alvergne, C. (2002). Intrametropolitan Patterns of High-order Business Service Location: A Comparative Study of Seventeen Sectors in Ile-de-France. *Urban Studies* 39: 1143-1163.
- Shearmur, R., Doloreux, D. (2008). Urban Hierarchy or Local Buzz? High-Order Producer Services and (or) Knowledge-Intensive Business Service Location in Canada, 1991-2001. *The Professional Geographer* 60: 333-355.

- Shearmur, R., Doloreux, D. (2009). Place, Space and Distance: Towards a Geography of Knowledge-Intensive Business Service Innovation. *Industry and Innovation* 16(1): 79-102.
- Sheppard, S. (1999). Hedonic Analysis of Housing Markets. In: Cheshire, P., Mills, E.S. (eds.). *Handbook of Regional and Urban Economics 3, Applied Economics: 1595-1635*. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Shilton, L., Zaccaria, A. (1994). The Avenue Effect, Landmark Externalities, and Cubic Transformation: Manhattan Office Valuation. *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics* 8: 151-165.
- Sieg, H., Smith, V.K., Banzhaf, H.S., Walsh, R. (2004). Estimating the General Equilibrium Benefits of Large Changes in Spatially Delineated Goods. *International Economic Review* 45: 1047-1077.
- Silverman, B. (1986). Density Estimation for Statistics and Data Analysis. In: *Monographs on Statistics and Applied Probability* 26. London: Chapman and Hall.
- Simmie, J., Strambach, S. (2006). The Contribution of KIBS to Innovation in Cities: an Evolutionary and Institutional Perspective. *Journal of Knowledge Management* 10: 26-40.
- Simón, H., Ramos, R., Sanróma, R. (2006). Collective Bargaining and Regional Wage Differences in Spain: An Empirical Analysis. *Applied Economics* 38: 1749-1760.
- Small, K.A. (1992). Using the Revenues from Congestion Pricing. *Transportation* 19(4): 359-381.
- Smith, B.S., Coull, A. (1991). Tall Building Structures: Analysis and Design. *Mississauga: John Wiley and Sons*.
- Song, Y., Knaap, G.J. (2003). New Urbanism and Housing Values: a Disaggregate Assessment. *Journal of Urban Economic* 54: 218-238.
- Song, Y., Knaap, G.J. (2004). Measuring the Effects of Mixed Land Uses on Housing Values. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 34: 663-680.
- Stam, E. (2007). Why Butterflies Don't Leave: Locational Behaviour of Entrepreneurial Firms. *Economic Geography* 83(1): 27-50.
- Stam, E. (2010). Entrepreneurship, Evolution and Geography. In: Boschma, R.A., Martin, R. (eds.). *Handbook on Evolutionary Economic Geography: 139-161*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Statistics Netherlands (2007). Inwoners Randstad Verdienen het Meest. <http://www.cbs.nl/>, last access: December 20, 2011.
- Statistics Netherlands (2012). Mobiliteit in Nederland; vervoerwijzen en motieven, regio's. <http://www.cbs.nl/>, last access: September 21, 2012.
- Steinmaier, E. (2011). Kansen voor Kwaliteit: De Nederlandse Kantorenmarkt in Beeld. *Amsterdam: ABN Amro, Real Estate Finance*.
- Storper, M. (1997). The Regional World: Territorial Development in a Global Economy. *New York: Guildford*.
- Storper, M., Venables, A.J. (2008). Buzz: Face-to-Face Contact and the Urban Economy. *Journal of Economic Geography* 4(4): 351-370.
- Strambach, S. (2008). Knowledge-Intensive Business Services (KIBS) as Drivers of Multilevel Knowledge Dynamics. *International Journal of Services Technology and Management* 10: 152-174.
- Sullivan, A.M. (1991). Tall Buildings on Cheap Land: Building Heights and Intrabuilding Travel Costs. *Journal of Urban Economics* 23(3): 310-328.
- Sunding, D.I., Swoboda, A.M. (2010) Hedonic Analysis with Locally Weighted Regression: An Application to the Shadow Cost of Housing Regulation in Southern California. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 40: 550-573.
- Taylor, P.J. (2004). World City Network: A Global Urban Analysis. *London: Routledge*.
- Thissen, M.J.P.M., Van Oort, F.G., Burger, M.J., Diodato, D., Ruijs, A. (2012). Revealed Regional Competition and Place-Based Development in Europe. *The Hague: Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency (PBL)*.
- Turner M.A., Haughwout, A., Van der Klaauw, W. (2011). Land Use Regulation and Welfare. *Mimeo, University of Toronto*.

- Tybout, J.R. (1993). Internal Returns to Scale as a Source of Comparative Advantage. *American Economic Review* 83(2): 440-444.
- Van der Valk, A. (2002). The Dutch Planning Experience. *Landscape and Urban Planning* 58: 201-210.
- Van Ommeren, J.N., Koopman, M. (2009). Public Housing and the Value of Apartment Quality to Households. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 41: 207-213.
- Van Ommeren, J.N., Wentink, D. (2011). The (Hidden) Cost of Employer Parking Policies. *Forthcoming in International Economic Review*.
- Van Oort, F.G. (2002). Innovation and Agglomeration Economies in the Netherlands. *Tijdschrift voor Economische en Sociale Geografie* 93(3): 344-360.
- Van Oort, F.G. (2004). Urban Growth and Innovation. Spatially Bounded Externalities in the Netherlands. *Aldershot: Ashgate*.
- Van Oort, F., Burger, M.J., Knobens, J., Raspe, O. (2012). Multilevel Approaches and the Firm-Agglomeration Ambiguity in Economic Growth Studies. *Journal of Economic Surveys* 26: 468-491.
- Van Oort, F., Van Aalst, I., Lambregts, B., Meijers, E. (2010). The Spatial Economy and Networks of the Northwing of the Randstad. *Utrecht: Utrecht University*
- Varian, H.R. (2010). *Microeconomic Analysis* (3rd edition). *New York: W.W. Norton & Co.*
- Verbeek, M. (2000). *A Guide to Modern Econometrics* (3rd edition). *Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.*
- Verhoef, E.T., Nijkamp, P., Rietveld, P. (1996). Second-Best Congestion Pricing: The Case of an Untolled Alternative. *Journal of Urban Economics* 40: 279-302.
- Vermeulen, W., Rouwendal, J. (2007). Housing Supply in the Netherlands. *Tinbergen Discussion Paper 2007-058/3*.
- Vreeker, R., De Groot, H.L.F., Verhoef, E.T. (2004). Urban Multifunctional Land Use: Theoretical Insights on Economies of Scale, Scope and Diversity. *Built Environment* 30(4), 289-307.
- Waddell, P., Berry, B.J.L., Hoch, I. (1993). Residential Property Values in a Multinodal Urban Area: New Evidence on the Implicit Price of Location. *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics* 7: 117-141.
- Wagner, J., Sternberg, R. (2004). Start-up Activities, Individual Characteristics, and the Regional Milieu: Lessons for Entrepreneurship Support Policies from German Micro-Data. *Annals of Regional Science* 38: 219-40.
- Wheaton, W. (1977). Income and Urban Residence: An Analysis of Consumer Demand for Location. *American Economic Review* 67(4): 620-631.
- White, M.J. (1988). Urban Commuting Journeys are not "Wasteful". *Journal of Political Economy* 96(5): 1097-1110.
- Willis, C. (1995). *Form Follows Finance: Skyscrapers and Skylines in New York and Chicago*. *New York: Princeton Architectural Press*.
- Wooldridge, J. (2002). *Econometric Analysis of Cross-section and Panel Data*. *Cambridge, MA: MIT Press*.
- Wood, P. (2009). Service Competitiveness and Urban Innovation Policies in the UK: the Implications of the 'London Paradox'. *Regional Studies* 43(8): 1047-1059.
- Woodward, D. (1992). Locational Determinants of Japanese Manufacturing Start-Ups in the United States. *Southern Economic Journal* 58: 690-708.
- Woodward, D., Figueiredo, O., Guimarães, P. (2006). Beyond the Silicon Valley: University R&D and High-Technology Location. *Journal of Urban Economics* 2006: 15-32.
- Working Group on Sustainable Land Use (2001). *Towards More Sustainable Urban Land Use. Advice to the European Commission for Policy and Action*.
- Wright, A. (1967). Chang'an. In: A. Toynbee (ed). *Cities of destiny: 138-139*. *London: Thames and Hudson*
- Yatchew, A. (2003). *Semiparametric Regression for the Applied Econometrician*. *Cambridge: Cambridge University Press*.

- Zheng, J.X. (1996). A Consistent Test of Functional Form via Nonparametric Estimation Techniques. *Journal of Econometrics* 75(2): 263-289.
- Zhou, J., McMillen, D.P., McDonald, J.F. (2008). Land Values and the 1957 Comprehensive Amendment to the Chicago Zoning Ordinance. *Urban Studies* 45(8): 1647-1661.

Samenvatting

In de laatste twee eeuwen heeft er een enorme toename van verstedelijking plaatsgevonden. In 1800 woonde grofweg 7.5 procent van de wereldbevolking in steden (Huriot en Thisse, 2000). Dit is toegenomen tot meer dan 50 procent in 2012 (Glaeser en Gottlieb, 2009; Glaeser, 2011). Stedelijke economieën zijn al lang geïnteresseerd in waarom bedrijven clusteren en mensen in steden gaan wonen. De belangrijkste redenen voor clustering van bedrijven zijn zogenaamde *agglomeratievoordelen*: doordat bedrijven zich dicht bij elkaar vestigen, verlagen ze transportkosten van goederen, mensen en kennis. Dat de transportkosten van goederen een belangrijke agglomeratiekracht zijn, is te zien aan het feit dat veel steden die in de negentiende eeuw groot zijn geworden, zijn gegroeid rondom transportknooppunten (bijvoorbeeld Rotterdam). Clustering van bedrijven zorgt ook voor een grote diversiteit aan werknemers. Werknemers kunnen zich specialiseren en makkelijker een baan vinden. Dit kan verklaren waarom veel hoogopgeleiden in Nederland naar de Randstad trekken. Ondanks dat kennis kan worden overgebracht door telecommunicatie, blijft voor het uitwisselen van kennis vaak face-to-face contact nodig: nieuwe innovaties en ideeën zijn vaak sterk geografisch geconcentreerd. Een voorbeeld is Silicon Valley, waar de meeste ICT innovaties ter wereld plaatsvinden.

Niet alleen agglomeratievoordelen voor bedrijven verklaren de opmars van de stad. Ook *stedelijke voorzieningen* spelen een belangrijke rol (Glaeser, 2001). Alleen grote steden bieden een divers palet aan voorzieningen zoals theaters, bioscopen, cafés en restaurants. Aangezien mensen steeds rijker lijken te worden, mag worden verwacht dat de kwaliteit van de omgeving een steeds groter aandeel krijgt in de locatiekeuze van huishoudens.

Steden kennen niet alleen voordelen. Veel steden hebben te kampen met congestie, hoge criminaliteitscijfers en luchtvervuiling. Er is dan ook veel ruimtelijk beleid dat zich richt op het verminderen van deze negatieve externaliteiten. Zo richt het planning concept *Transit Oriented Development* (TOD) zich op een betere *bereikbaarheid*. Files en autoafhankelijkheid zouden door investeringen in het openbaar vervoer netwerk te verbeteren en bundeling van ruimtelijke ontwikkelingen nabij (trein)stations moeten afnemen.

Veel onderzoek naar agglomeratievoordelen (*agglomeration*), stedelijke voorzieningen (*amenities*) en bereikbaarheid (*accessibility*) richt zich op de onderlinge vergelijking *tussen* steden. Er wordt dan verklaard waarom bepaalde steden groeien terwijl andere steden achterblijven (zie bijvoorbeeld Glaeser et al., 2001). In de economische literatuur is nog relatief weinig aandacht voor de invloed van de drie eerder genoemde economische krachten op de structuur en ruimtelijke ordening *binnen* steden. Deze dissertatie hoopt hierover meer duidelijkheid te verschaffen. Als een economische indicator voor het belang van agglomeratie, voorzieningen en bereikbaarheid gebruiken we veelal huurprijzen van commercieel vastgoed of prijzen van woningen. Als bedrijven winstgevender zijn in stedelijke gebieden zullen ze ceteris paribus meer huur kunnen en willen betalen. Hetzelfde geldt voor voorzieningen: als mensen het prettig vinden om in de buurt van bijvoorbeeld een park te wonen, zullen de woningprijzen daar hoger zijn, ceteris paribus. Aantrekkelijkheid van gebieden en wijken in de stad zal zich dus vertalen in hogere huur- en kooprijzen. In deze dissertatie gebruiken we state-of-the-art

semiparametrische technieken om rekening te houden met de unieke preferenties van elk bedrijf of huishouden voor een bepaald goed (bijvoorbeeld: een bioscoop in de buurt).

Het onderzoek is onderverdeeld in twee delen. In het eerste deel concentreren we ons op de locatiekeuze van *bedrijven* in de stad. In het tweede deel analyseren we locatiekeuze van *huishoudens*. Hoofdstuk 2 onderzoekt de heterogeniteit van agglomeratievoordelen tussen bedrijven. Het laat allereerst zien onder welke aannames we structurele ratio's van productiefunctie-parameters kunnen identificeren. Het onderzoek laat zien dat agglomeratievoordelen belangrijk zijn, in het bijzonder voor winkeleigenaren. Hoofdstuk 3 gaat door op het analyseren van agglomeratievoordelen in de commerciële vastgoedmarkt en besteedt veel aandacht aan de identificatie van het effect: plekken die aantrekkelijk zijn door niet geobserveerde kernmerken (bijvoorbeeld een subsidie) trekken veel bedrijven aan. Dit kan leiden tot een overschatting van agglomeratievoordelen als men hier niet op een juiste manier voor corrigeert. We gebruiken daarom variatie over de tijd in werkgelegenheidsdichtheid en instrumentele variabelen om te corrigeren voor ongeobserveerde kenmerken. We laten zien dat een verdubbeling in werkgelegenheidsdichtheid leidt tot een toename in huurprijzen van 10 procent. Echter, dit effect is alleen belangrijk in de kantorenmarkt en niet voor bedrijfsgebouwen. Agglomeratievoordelen zijn vooral belangrijk binnen een straal van 15 kilometer, dus vooral op het niveau van stedelijke regio's. Hoofdstuk 4 probeert de aanwezigheid van zeer hoge gebouwen in stedelijke centra te verklaren. We betogen dat dit kan komen door interacties tussen bedrijven die in hetzelfde gebouw gevestigd zijn (*within-building agglomeration economies*) en door een prestige effect, dat gegenereerd wordt door de hoogte van het gebouw (*landmark effect*). We vinden dat elke 10 meter toename in hoogte van het gebouw leidt tot een huurprijstoename van ongeveer 4 procent. Ook vinden we een prestige effect van ongeveer 2.8-5.5 procent van de huurprijs voor een gebouw van 140 meter. In hoofdstuk 5 analyseren we de effecten van multinationale onderneming op de omgeving, in het bijzonder op de vestiging van kennisintensieve zakelijke dienstverlening. Consultants, advocaten en accountants kunnen voordelen hebben om zich dicht bij multinationale ondernemingen te vestigen: deze bedrijven zijn potentiële klanten, ze bieden toegang tot wijdverbreide internationale netwerken en ze zijn vaak een bron van allerlei innovaties. Empirisch onderzoek laat inderdaad zien dat zakelijke dienstverlening zich relatief vaak vestigt nabij multinationals en dat multinationals start-ups in de zakelijke dienstverlening tot gevolg hebben.

In het tweede deel focussen we op de locatiekeuze van huishoudens. In hoofdstuk 6 testen we empirische implicaties van een theoretisch model dat is ontwikkeld door Lucas en Rossi-Hansberg (2002). Het model laat, kort gezegd, zien dat agglomeratievoordelen belangrijker moeten zijn in gebieden waar wonen en werken zijn gemengd, of waar werken wonen domineert. In woonwijken kunnen we verwachten dat de afstand naar arbeidsplaatsen (dus bereikbaarheid) vooral belangrijk is. Onze empirische bevindingen laten zien dat deze relaties in de praktijk ook lijken te bestaan. Hoofdstuk 7 analyseert ook het mengen van wonen en werken. We definiëren een mengingsindex en meten de aanwezigheid van diverse typen werkgelegenheid (horeca, industrie, winkels, etc.) in de buurt. We laten zien dat huishoudens zich in een diverse buurt willen vestigen: de prijzen zijn ongeveer 2.5 procent hoger dan in een monofunctionele buurt. Echter, huishoudens willen zich niet graag vestigen in dichtbevolkte gebieden, waarschijnlijk omdat de negatieve externaliteiten (bijvoorbeeld:

luchtvervuiling) daar domineren. In hoofdstuk 8 kijken we wat de invloed is van historische gebouwen en buurten op de vestiging van hoge en lage inkomens in Nederlandse steden. We laten allereerst zien dat huizen in historische buurten 3-12 procent duurder zijn. Historische gebouwen zijn ook duurder: de woningprijs is gemiddeld 4.5 procent hoger. Vervolgens laten we zien dat huishoudens met een hoger inkomen een sterkere voorkeur hebben om zich in historische buurten en gebouwen te vestigen. Dit kan verklaren waarom in historische buurten het gemiddeld inkomen relatief een stuk hoger is. Ondanks dat historische gebouwen positieve baten genereren voor huiseigenaren kan bescherming van deze gebouwen ook negatieve bijwerkingen impliceren. Huizen kunnen door hun monumentenstatus bijvoorbeeld niet naar wens worden aangepast. In hoofdstuk 9 focussen we op de kosten van restricties op de woningprijs. We gebruiken hiervoor een discontinuïteitregressie en laten zien dat de kosten van restricties kunnen oplopen tot 10 procent van de woningprijs. Op zich is dit geen probleem, zolang de baten van de bescherming van cultureel erfgoed maar hoger zijn (en dit vaak het geval). Hoofdstuk 10 analyseert de invloed van een toegenomen bereikbaarheid van het openbaar vervoer. We concentreren ons op de effecten van stationsopeningen op woningprijzen. De analyse laat zien dat de effecten klein en niet detecteerbaar zijn. Dit kan worden verklaard door het feit dat nieuwe stations vaak klein zijn en derhalve weinig bereikbaarheidsbaten genereren. Stations kunnen ook negatieve bijwerkingen hebben, zoals de aanwezigheid van lelijke parkeerterreinen, geluidsoverlast en een toename van criminaliteit (zie Bowes en Ihlandfeldt, 2001), wat zelfs een tot een negatief effect kan leiden van stations op vastgoedprijzen.

Samenvattend, we vinden dat vooral agglomeratie en voorzieningen een belangrijke invloed hebben op de stedelijke structuur van steden. Bedrijven clusteren bijvoorbeeld in stedelijke centra van steden wat tot hogere huren leidt. Huishoudens prefereren bijvoorbeeld historische buurten, wat tot hogere woningprijzen leidt. OV-bereikbaarheid lijkt een minder belangrijke invloed te hebben.

Deze resultaten hebben enkele beleidsimplicaties. Allereerst, subsidies om bedrijven naar rurale gebieden te verplaatsen lijken inefficiënt omdat ze positieve agglomeratievoordelen negeren. Oftewel, een toename in dichtheid een veel kleinere toename in huur tot gevolg in een ruraal gebied, dan in een stedelijk gebied. Verder laten we zien dat lokale overheden, *als* ze de bouw van commercieel vastgoed willen stimuleren, vooral de bouw van hoge gebouwen moet worden gestimuleerd. Lokale overheden kunnen ook hoogterestricties versoepelen, zodat externe interacties tussen bedrijven in hetzelfde pand worden gestimuleerd. Lokale overheden ontwerpen ook beleid om bepaalde historische buurten en gebouwen te beschermen, wat vaak leidt tot positieve externaliteiten. Ze moeten zich echter wel bewust zijn van twee mogelijk negatieve aspecten. Allereerst trekken deze buurten disproportioneel rijke huishoudens aan. Dit kan problemen gerelateerd aan inkomenssegregatie opleveren, arme huishoudens wonen daardoor geconcentreerd in een ander deel van de stad. Ten tweede zijn de kosten van bescherming voor huiseigenaren aanzienlijk, dus alleen die gebouwen moeten worden beschermd die voldoende baten genereren. Tenslotte lijkt beleid dat zich volledig richt op de bouw van nieuwe stations en ruimtelijke ontwikkeling rond deze stations inefficiënt. Huiseigenaren, maar ook bedrijven, lijken weinig waarde te hechten aan een goede OV-bereikbaarheid. Dit is geen verrassing, gezien het lage percentage van de verplaatsingen die met het OV worden gemaakt en de aanwezigheid van een uitstekend concurrerend wegennetwerk.

The Tinbergen Institute is the Institute for Economic Research, which was founded in 1987 by the Faculties of Economics and Econometrics of the Erasmus University Rotterdam, University of Amsterdam and VU University Amsterdam. The Institute is named after the late Professor Jan Tinbergen, Dutch Nobel Prize laureate in economics in 1969. The Tinbergen Institute is located in Amsterdam and Rotterdam. The following books recently appeared in the Tinbergen Institute Research Series:

- 501 F.H. LAMP, *Essays in Corporate Finance and Accounting*
 502 J. SOL, *Incentives and Social Relations in the Workplace*
 503 A.I.W. HINDRAYANTO, *Periodic Seasonal Time Series Models with applications to U.S. macroeconomic data*
 504 J.J. DE HOOP, *Keeping Kids in School: Cash Transfers and Selective Education in Malawi*
 505 O. SOKOLINSKIY, *Essays on Financial Risk: Forecasts and Investor Perceptions*
 506 T. KISELEVA, *Structural Analysis of Complex Ecological Economic Optimal Management Problems*
 507 U. KILINC, *Essays on Firm Dynamics, Competition and Productivity*
 508 M.J.L. DE HEIDE, *R&D, Innovation and the Policy Mix*
 509 F. DE VOR, *The Impact and Performance of Industrial Sites: Evidence from the Netherlands*
 510 J.A. NON, *Do ut Des: Incentives, Reciprocity, and Organizational Performance*
 511 S.J.J. KONIJN, *Empirical Studies on Credit Risk*
 512 H. VRIJBURG, *Enhanced Cooperation in Corporate Taxation*
 513 P.ZEPPINI, *Behavioural Models of Technological Change*
 514 P.H.STEFFENS, *It's Communication, Stupid! Essays on Communication, Reputation and (Committee) Decision-Making*
 515 K.C. YU, *Essays on Executive Compensation - Managerial Incentives and Disincentives*
 516 P. EXTERKATE, *Of Needles and Haystacks: Novel Techniques for Data-Rich Economic Forecasting*
 517 M. TYSZLER, *Political Economics in the Laboratory*
 518 Z. WOLF, *Aggregate Productivity Growth under the Microscope*
 519 M.K. KIRCHNER, *Fiscal Policy and the Business Cycle – The Impact of Government Expenditures, Public Debt, and Sovereign Risk on Macroeconomic Fluctuations*
 520 P.R. KOSTER, *The cost of travel time variability for air and car travelers*
 521 Y.ZU, *Essays of nonparametric econometrics of stochastic volatility*
 522 B.KAYNAR, *Rare Event Simulation Techniques for Stochastic Design Problems in Markovian Setting*
 523 P. JANUS, *Developments in Measuring and Modeling Financial Volatility*
 524 F.P.W. SCHILDER, *Essays on the Economics of Housing Subsidies*
 525 S. M MOGHAYER, *Bifurcations of Indifference Points in Discrete Time Optimal Control Problems*
 526 C. ÇAKMAKLI, *Exploiting Common Features in Macroeconomic and Financial Data*

- 527 J. LINDE, *Experimenting with new combinations of old ideas*
- 528 D. MASSARO, *Bounded rationality and heterogeneous expectations in macroeconomics*
- 529 J. GILLET, *Groups in Economics*
- 530 R. LEGERSTEE, *Evaluating Econometric Models and Expert Intuition*
- 531 M.R.C. BERSEM, *Essays on the Political Economy of Finance*
- 532 T. WILLEMS, *Essays on Optimal Experimentation*
- 533 Z. GAO, *Essays on Empirical Likelihood in Economics*
- 534 J. SWART, *Natural Resources and the Environment: Implications for Economic Development and International Relations*
- 535 A. KOTHIYAL, *Subjective Probability and Ambiguity*
- 536 B. VOOGT, *Essays on Consumer Search and Dynamic Committees*
- 537 T. DE HAAN, *Strategic Communication: Theory and Experiment*
- 538 T. BUSER, *Essays in Behavioural Economics*
- 539 J.A. ROSERO MONCAYO, *On the importance of families and public policies for child development outcomes*
- 540 E. ERDOGAN CIFTCI, *Health Perceptions and Labor Force Participation of Older Workers*
- 541 T.WANG, *Essays on Empirical Market Microstructure*
- 542 T. BAO, *Experiments on Heterogeneous Expectations and Switching Behavior*
- 543 S.D. LANSDORP, *On Risks and Opportunities in Financial Markets*
- 544 N. MOES, *Cooperative decision making in river water allocation problems*
- 545 P. STAKENAS, *Fractional integration and cointegration in financial time series*
- 546 M. SCHARTH, *Essays on Monte Carlo Methods for State Space Models*
- 547 J. ZENHORST, *Macroeconomic Perspectives on the Equity Premium Puzzle*
- 548 B. PELLOUX, *the Role of Emotions and Social Ties in Public On Good Games: Behavioral and Neuroeconomic Studies*
- 549 N. YANG, *Markov-Perfect Industry Dynamics: Theory, Computation, and Applications*
- 550 R.R. VAN VELDHUIZEN, *Essays in Experimental Economics*
- 551 X. ZHANG, *Modeling Time Variation in Systemic Risk*