

VU Research Portal

Worldviews and the Transformation to Sustainable Societies

Hedlund-de Witt, A.

2013

document version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

citation for published version (APA)

Hedlund-de Witt, A. (2013). *Worldviews and the Transformation to Sustainable Societies: An exploration of the cultural and psychological dimensions of our global environmental challenges*.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

E-mail address:

vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl

Contents

Chapters published in academic journals and books *xi*

Acknowledgements *xiii*

List of tables and figures *xviii*

List of used abbreviations *xix*

1 Introduction: Worldviews and the transformation to sustainable societies 1

1.1 Worldview: A concept whose time has come 2

1.2 Why worldviews are essential in the transformation to sustainable societies 5

1.2.1 A philosophical perspective 6

1.2.2 A psychological perspective 8

1.2.3 A sociological perspective 11

1.2.4 A political science perspective 15

1.3 Philosophical foundations and discussion of key terms 18

1.3.1 Worldviews and the research worldview guiding this dissertation 18

1.3.2 Sustainable development, the idea of growth, and quality of life 25

1.3.3 Environmental attitudes and sustainable lifestyles 31

1.3.4 Contemporary spirituality 32

1.3.5 Multiple uses of the term 'integrative' 34

1.4 Focus and scope of this study: Research aim and questions 37

1.4.1 Understanding the nature of worldviews 37

1.4.2 Empirically investigating the structure of worldviews 38

1.4.3 Exploring various worldviews and their relevance for sustainable development 38

1.4.4 Deepening insight into worldviews with particular potentials for sustainable development 39

1.4.5 Applying insights into worldviews to sustainability policy and practice 40

- 1.4.6 Summing up: The research questions *41*
- 1.5 An integrative, mixed-methods approach *42*
 - 1.5.1 A concise history of mixed methods as new research worldview *42*
 - 1.5.2 A mixed models design *45*
- 1.6 Reading guide and outline *46*

2 Worldviews and their significance for the global sustainable development debate: A philosophical exploration of the evolution of a concept *51*

- 2.1 Introduction *52*
- 2.2 Methodology and justification *53*
- 2.3 The philosophical exploration of the evolution of a concept *55*
 - 2.3.1 The birth of the Kosmos in Greece *55*
 - 2.3.2 Kant and his introduction of 'Weltanschauung' *58*
 - 2.3.3 Goethe's 'Lebenswelt' *62*
 - 2.3.4 Hegel's 'Zeitgeist' *64*
 - 2.3.5 Nietzsche's perspectivism *65*
 - 2.3.6 Heidegger and 'die Zeit des Weltbildes' *68*
 - 2.3.7 Contemporary currents: High postmodernism and beyond *70*
- 2.4 Summary and discussion *74*
- 2.5 Conclusion and implications *77*
 - 2.5.1 Founding the Integrative Worldview Framework (IWF) *77*
 - 2.5.2 Reflexivity, creativity, responsibility, and inclusiveness: Crucial for sustainable development *81*

3 Exploring worldviews and their relationships to sustainable lifestyles: Towards a new conceptual and methodological approach *85*

- 3.1 Introduction *86*
- 3.2 Literature review: Research into worldviews and values *89*

- 3.2.1 New Environmental Paradigm: Ecological interconnectedness versus human exemption *90*
- 3.2.2 Intrinsic versus instrumental value of nature, ecocentric versus anthropocentric attitudes *91*
- 3.2.3 Self-transcendence versus self-enhancement, openness to change versus conservation *92*
- 3.2.4 Connectivity with nature: Connectedness versus separateness *93*
- 3.2.5 Environmental solutions: Public versus private, preservation versus utilization *95*
- 3.3 Meta-analysis: Strengths and weaknesses of current measures *96*
- 3.4 Towards a new conceptual and methodological approach *101*
- 3.5 Discussion and conclusion *106*

4 Exploring inner and outer worlds: A quantitative study of worldviews, environmental attitudes, and sustainable lifestyles *111*

- 4.1 Introduction *112*
- 4.2 Background *115*
 - 4.2.1 Historical-cultural context: Charles Taylor *115*
 - 4.2.2 Psychological context: Self-Determination Theory (SDT) *117*
- 4.3 Methodology *119*
 - 4.3.1 Development of the questionnaire *119*
 - 4.3.2 Participants and procedures *122*
 - 4.3.3 Analysis *123*
- 4.4 Results *124*
 - 4.3.1 Worldviews and their interrelationships *124*
 - 4.3.2 Environmental attitudes and their interrelationships *127*
 - 4.3.3 Worldviews, environmental attitudes, sustainable lifestyles *130*
 - 4.4.4 Analysis of mediation *133*
- 4.5 Discussion *135*

4.5.1	Reflections and limitations	136
4.5.2	Suggestions for further research	140
4.6	Conclusions	142
	Appendix I: Worldview- and environmental attitude items	144
	Appendix II: Introduction to questionnaire and behavioral questions	146
5	Pathways to environmental responsibility: A qualitative exploration of the spiritual dimension of nature experience	149
5.1	Introduction	150
5.2	Background: Contemporary nature spirituality	152
5.3	Methodology	155
5.4	Interview results	157
5.4.1	General dynamics and context of nature experience	158
5.4.2	Conceptualizations of nature and the human-nature relationship	160
5.4.3	Participant's understanding and experience of spirituality	162
5.4.4	Profound or spiritual nature experiences: Presence, interconnectedness, self-expansion	168
5.4.5	Potential pathways to a sense of environmental responsibility	173
5.5	Discussion and conclusion	177
	Appendix III: Interview-guide	182
6	The rising culture of contemporary spirituality: A sociological study of potentials and pitfalls for sustainable development	185
6.1	Introduction	186
6.2	Literature review: An exploration of potentials and pitfalls	189

- 6.2.1 Potentials of contemporary spirituality for sustainable development *190*
- 6.2.2 Pitfalls of contemporary spirituality for sustainable development *196*
- 6.3 Discussion: A dialectical-developmental perspective on contemporary spirituality *200*
- 6.4 Conclusion *206*

7 The integrative worldview and its potential for sustainable societies: A qualitative exploration of the views and values of environmental leaders *209*

- 7.1 Introduction *210*
- 7.2 Methodology *214*
- 7.3 Interview results *217*
 - 7.3.1 Evolutionary, spiritual-unitive ontology and a positive anthropology *217*
 - 7.3.2 Epistemology: Internalization and integration of multiple modes of knowing *223*
 - 7.3.3 Axiology: Sustainability-work has a spiritual foundation and meaning *226*
 - 7.3.4 Societal vision: An emerging ‘sustainable social imaginary’ *228*
- 7.4 Discussion *237*
 - 7.4.1 Findings contextualized in the literature *237*
 - 7.4.2 Methodological limitations *241*
- 7.5 Conclusions *242*
- Appendix IV: Interview-guide *244*
- Appendix V: List of interview-participants *245*

8 Synthesis and policy-implications: Reflexive policy-making and communicative action for sustainable solutions *247*

- 8.1 Introduction *248*

- 8.2 An expanded understanding and articulation of the Integrative Worldview Framework (IWF) *250*
 - 8.2.1 Major worldviews in the West: Traditional, modern, and postmodern *250*
 - 8.2.2 An emerging integrative worldview: Dialectical development? *257*
 - 8.2.3 General principles for application of the IWF *266*
- 8.3 Applying the IWF for policy-making and communicative action *268*
 - 8.3.1 IWF as heuristic for cultural and psychological self-reflexivity *268*
 - 8.3.2 IWF as analytical tool for understanding worldview-dynamics in society *272*
 - 8.3.3 IWF as scaffolding for effective sustainability communications and solutions *275*
- 8.4 Discussion and conclusion *281*

9 Discussion, conclusions, future perspectives *285*

- 9.1 Discussion: Concerns and recommendations for further research *286*
 - 9.1.1 Different theoretical and paradigmatic perspectives *286*
 - 9.1.2 Use of a developmental perspective *288*
 - 9.1.3 Relationship between individual and collective worldviews *292*
 - 9.1.4 Worldview-bias of the researcher *295*
 - 9.1.5 Focus on certain worldviews at the expense of others *297*
 - 9.1.6 Heuristic approach *298*
 - 9.1.7 Worldviews emerging from the survey *299*
- 9.2 Conclusions: An overview of outcomes *301*
 - 9.2.1 Understanding the nature of worldviews *301*
 - 9.2.2 Empirically investigating the structure of worldviews *304*
 - 9.2.3 Exploring various worldviews and their relevance for sustainable development *305*

- 9.2.4 Deepening insight into worldviews with particular potentials for sustainable development *307*
- 9.2.5 Applying insights in worldviews to sustainability policy and practice *309*
- 9.3 Future perspectives: Societal and policy implications *312*

Bibliography *316*

Summary *347*

Samenvatting (summary in Dutch) *355*

About the author *363*