

# VU Research Portal

## Design and implementation of a bacterial signaling circuit

Lazova, M.D.

2013

### **document version**

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

### **citation for published version (APA)**

Lazova, M. D. (2013). *Design and implementation of a bacterial signaling circuit*.

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

### **E-mail address:**

[vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl](mailto:vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl)

# Contents

<b>Chapter 1. Introduction</b>	11
1.1. Environmental sensing and intracellular signaling networks	12
1.2. Tactic responses	13
1.3. Motility and chemotaxis of enteric bacteria	15
1.4. Molecular organization of the chemotaxis system	17
1.5. Functional organization of the chemotaxis system	19
1.6. <i>In vivo</i> fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) studies of the chemotactic signaling response	22
1.7. This thesis	25
<b>Chapter 2. Response rescaling in bacterial chemotaxis</b>	27
2.1. Introduction	28
2.2. Weber's law and fold-change detection (FCD)	30
2.3. Dynamic range of FCD in <i>E. coli</i> chemotactic signaling	31
2.4. FCD in population behavior of swimming <i>E. coli</i>	33
2.5. Mechanistic requirements for Weber's law and FCD	35
2.6. Invariance of the chemotactic adaptation timescale	38
2.7. Discussion	40
2.8. Materials and methods	42
2.9. Acknowledgements	50
<b>Chapter 3. Network-level variability in bacterial chemotaxis</b>	51
3.1. Introduction	52
3.2. Receptor-kinase response in <i>S. typhimurium</i>	58
3.3. Methylation-level adaptation in <i>S. typhimurium</i>	60
3.4. Network-level adaptation in <i>S. typhimurium</i>	63
3.5. Role of CheV on network-level properties of <i>S. typhimurium</i> chemotaxis	65
3.6. Differences in chemotaxis performance of <i>S. typhimurium</i> and <i>E. coli</i> in spatial gradients	67
3.7. Sensitivity modulation of <i>S. typhimurium</i> and <i>E. coli</i> chemotaxis response	71
3.8. Tar-independent MeAsp response in <i>S. typhimurium</i>	74

3.9. Differences between the Tar receptors of <i>E. coli</i> and <i>S. typhimurium</i> contribute to the different shape of the sensitivity modulation profiles	75
3.10. Discussion	76
3.11. Materials and methods	80
3.12. Acknowledgements	90
<b>Chapter 4.</b> Cystine-induced repellent chemotactic response of <i>Salmonella</i> : a potential mechanism to avoid oxidative conditions	91
4.1. Introduction	92
4.2. McpB and McpC mediate a response to cystine and cysteine in soft-agar assays	96
4.3. Capillary assays do not show an attractant response to cystine	99
4.4. FRET experiments reveal responses of opposite sign to the cystine / cysteine redox pair	100
4.5. The repellent response to cystine is mediated by McpB and McpC	102
4.6. Roles of McpB and McpC in cystine sensing	105
4.7. The attractant response to cysteine is mediated by Tsr and Tar	107
4.8. Function of the C-terminal pentapeptide of McpB	107
4.9. Discussion	110
4.10. Materials and methods	114
4.11. Acknowledgements	121
<b>Chapter 5.</b> The phospho-regulated scaffolding protein CheV affects chemoreceptor clustering of <i>Salmonella typhimurium</i>	123
5.1. Introduction	124
5.2. CheV enhances the spreading of methylation-deficient cells in soft-agar assays	128
5.3. Role of CheV in adaptation to MeAsp	130
5.4. Phosphorylation-independent localization of CheV at the cell poles	132
5.5. The number of receptor clusters is smaller in <i>cheV</i> mutant cells	134
5.6. Discussion	137
5.7. Materials and methods	140
5.8. Acknowledgements	144
<b>Chapter 6.</b> Concluding remarks and outlook	145
6.1. Fold-change detection in biological sensory systems	146

6.2. Quantitative comparative physiology of microorganisms	148
6.3. Opposite chemotactic responses to a redox pair	153
6.4. Phosphorylation-dependent feedbacks in bacterial chemotaxis	156
6.5. Acknowledgements	157
<b>Appendix A. Multiple pathways of opposite chemotaxis responses to the cystine / cysteine redox pair in <i>Salmonella typhimurium</i></b>	159
A.1. Introduction	160
A.2. Dose-response relation of the Tar / Tsr -mediated attractant response to cysteine	162
A.3. The magnitude of the repellent response to cystine is proportional to the logarithm of cystine concentration	165
A.4. McpB / C knockouts do not affect the shape of cystine dose-response curve in <i>S. typhimurium</i> LT2	167
A.5. The response to another oxidized redox component, benzoquinone, is similar to the cystine response at low concentrations	168
A.6. The sign of the response to mixed cystine / cysteine solutions depends on their redox potential	170
A.7. Discussion	171
A.8. Materials and methods	173
A.9. Acknowledgements	176
<b>Appendix B. Comparative analysis of the chemotaxis system of natural <i>Escherichia coli</i> isolates</b>	177
B.1. Introduction	178
B.2. Diversity of soft-agar plate phenotypes of natural <i>E. coli</i> isolates	182
B.3. <i>E. coli</i> isolates with distinct chemotaxis characteristics and motility	184
B.4. Sequence analysis of the <i>meche</i> operon	185
B.5. Discussion	189
B.6. Materials and Methods	191
B.7. Acknowledgements	195
<b>Appendix C. Effect of CheB phosphorylation feedback on adaptation kinetics in bacterial chemotaxis</b>	197
C.1. Introduction	198
C.2. Shape of $F(a)$ in <i>E. coli</i> with disrupted phosphorylation feedback, characterized using temporal step stimuli	201

C.3. Shape of $F(a)$ in <i>E. coli</i> with disrupted phosphorylation feedback, characterized using exponential ramp stimuli	202
C.4. Discussion	205
C.5. Materials and methods	207
C.6. Acknowledgements	209
<b>Bibliography</b>	211
<b>Summary</b>	231
<b>Samenvatting</b>	235
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	239
<b>Curriculum Vitae</b>	243