

# VU Research Portal

## Computing Healthcare Quality Indicators Automatically

Dentler, K.

2014

### **document version**

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

### **citation for published version (APA)**

Dentler, K. (2014). *Computing Healthcare Quality Indicators Automatically: Secondary Use of Patient Data and Semantic Interoperability*. [PhD-Thesis - Research and graduation internal, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam].

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

### **E-mail address:**

[vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl](mailto:vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl)

# CONTENTS

---

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Motivation and Main Research question . . . . .	1
1.2	Operationalisations of the main Research Question and Methodology . . . . .	4
1.2.1	Part I) Formalising and Automatically Computing Healthcare Quality Indicators . . . . .	4
1.2.2	Part II) Secondary Use of Patient Data . . . . .	6
1.2.3	Part III) Reasoning and Ontologies for Semantic In- teroperability . . . . .	8
1.3	Contributions . . . . .	11
I	FORMALISING AND AUTOMATICALLY COMPUTING HEALTH- CARE QUALITY INDICATORS	13
2	TOWARDS THE AUTOMATED CALCULATION OF CLINICAL QUALITY INDICATORS	15
2.1	Introduction . . . . .	15
2.2	Approach . . . . .	16
2.3	Formalisation of Quality Indicators . . . . .	17
2.4	Generation of Data for all Indicators . . . . .	22
2.5	Experimental Results . . . . .	24
2.6	Related Work . . . . .	25
2.6.1	Formalisation of Quality Indicators . . . . .	25
2.6.2	Calculation of Quality Indicators . . . . .	26
2.6.3	Indicators and Eligibility Criteria . . . . .	27
2.7	Future Work . . . . .	27
2.8	Conclusions . . . . .	28
3	THE REPRODUCIBILITY OF CLIF	31
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	31
3.2	Methods . . . . .	32
3.3	Results . . . . .	33
3.4	Discussion . . . . .	36
4	FORMALIZATION AND COMPUTATION OF QUALITY MEASURES	39
4.1	Objective . . . . .	40
4.2	Significance and Background . . . . .	40
4.3	Materials and Methods . . . . .	41
4.3.1	Set of quality measures . . . . .	41
4.3.2	Patient data . . . . .	43
4.3.3	CLIF . . . . .	43
4.3.4	Web tool . . . . .	43
4.3.5	Computation of quality measures . . . . .	45

4.3.6	Evaluation of results . . . . .	45
4.4	Results . . . . .	46
4.4.1	Evaluation of results . . . . .	48
4.5	Discussion . . . . .	51
4.5.1	Observations during our study . . . . .	51
4.5.2	Related work . . . . .	53
4.5.3	Limitations . . . . .	53
4.5.4	Future work . . . . .	54
4.6	Conclusion . . . . .	54
<b>II SECONDARY USE OF PATIENT DATA</b>		<b>63</b>
5	<b>BARRIERS TO THE REUSE OF ROUTINELY RECORDED CLINICAL DATA: A FIELD REPORT</b>	<b>65</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	65
5.2	Methods . . . . .	66
5.3	Results . . . . .	69
5.3.1	Required data . . . . .	69
5.3.2	Barriers to the reuse of routinely recorded clinical data	69
5.4	Discussion . . . . .	73
5.4.1	Main Findings . . . . .	73
5.4.2	Related Work . . . . .	73
5.4.3	Strengths and limitations . . . . .	74
5.4.4	Recommendations . . . . .	74
5.4.5	Conclusion . . . . .	75
6	<b>INFLUENCE OF DATA QUALITY ON CLINICAL QUALITY INDICATORS</b>	<b>77</b>
6.1	Background . . . . .	78
6.2	Methods . . . . .	79
6.2.1	Patient data . . . . .	79
6.2.2	Quality indicators and their computation. . . . .	80
6.2.3	Outcome measures . . . . .	80
6.3	Results . . . . .	82
6.3.1	Patient matching . . . . .	82
6.3.2	Computation of quality indicators . . . . .	82
6.3.3	Outcome measures . . . . .	84
6.3.4	Catalogue of encountered problems . . . . .	85
6.4	Discussion . . . . .	87
6.4.1	Comparison with other studies . . . . .	87
6.4.2	Limitations of this study . . . . .	87
6.4.3	Recommendations / Future Work . . . . .	88
6.5	Conclusions . . . . .	89
6.6	Appendix . . . . .	90
7	<b>SEMANTIC INTEGRATION OF PATIENT DATA AND QUALITY INDICATORS</b>	<b>93</b>

7.1	Introduction . . . . .	94
7.2	Background: Quality Indicators and Archetypes . . . . .	94
7.2.1	Quality Indicators . . . . .	95
7.2.2	Archetypes . . . . .	95
7.3	Methods and Materials . . . . .	97
7.3.1	Quality Indicators and their Formalisation . . . . .	97
7.3.2	Patient Data and SNOMED CT Codes . . . . .	97
7.3.3	Archetypes in OWL . . . . .	98
7.3.4	Patient Data in OWL . . . . .	99
7.4	Case Study . . . . .	99
7.4.1	Transforming Patient Data into Archetyped Patient Data . . . . .	100
7.4.2	Modelling Quality Indicators in terms of <i>openEHR</i> Archetypes . . . . .	102
7.4.3	Constructing Archetyped SPARQL Queries . . . . .	103
7.4.4	Calculating the Indicators by Running the Queries . . . . .	103
7.5	Discussion . . . . .	104
7.5.1	Differing Indicator Results and Encoded Data. . . . .	104
7.5.2	Coverage of the <i>openEHR</i> archetype repository. . . . .	105
7.5.3	Archetypes in OWL and Properties. . . . .	105
7.5.4	Automated Reasoning with Patient Data and Information Models in OWL: Past and Future . . . . .	106
7.6	Conclusion . . . . .	106
<b>III REASONING AND ONTOLOGIES FOR SEMANTIC INTEROPERABILITY</b>		<b>109</b>
8	COMPARISON OF REASONERS FOR LARGE ONTOLOGIES IN THE OWL 2 EL PROFILE	111
8.1	Introduction . . . . .	111
8.2	Related Work . . . . .	113
8.3	Characteristics . . . . .	116
8.3.1	Dimension Reasoning Characteristics . . . . .	116
8.3.2	Dimension Practical Usability . . . . .	121
8.3.3	Dimension Performance Indicators . . . . .	122
8.4	Reasoners . . . . .	123
8.5	Categorization of Reasoners . . . . .	125
8.5.1	Dimension Reasoning Characteristics . . . . .	125
8.5.2	Dimension Practical Usability . . . . .	128
8.5.3	Dimension Performance Indicators . . . . .	131
8.5.4	Tradeoff between Expressivity and Classification Performance . . . . .	139
8.6	Conclusion, Discussion and Future Work . . . . .	140
8.7	Acknowledgements . . . . .	141
9	REDUNDANT ELEMENTS IN SNOMED CT CONCEPT DEFINITIONS	143

9.1	Introduction . . . . .	143
9.2	Background . . . . .	144
9.2.1	SNOMED CT concept definitions and rolegroups . . . . .	144
9.2.2	Trivial and non-trivial primitive concepts . . . . .	145
9.2.3	Redundant elements in SNOMED CT concept definitions . . . . .	145
9.3	Materials and methods . . . . .	145
9.3.1	Method to detect redundant elements in SNOMED CT concept definitions . . . . .	146
9.3.2	Evaluation of our method . . . . .	147
9.4	Results: Redundant elements in concept definitions . . . . .	149
9.5	Evaluation . . . . .	152
9.5.1	Completeness . . . . .	152
9.5.2	Soundness . . . . .	152
9.6	Related and future work . . . . .	152
9.7	Discussion and conclusions . . . . .	153
10	CONCLUSIONS . . . . .	155
10.1	Results and Answers to Research Questions . . . . .	155
10.2	Answer to Main Research Question . . . . .	159
10.2.1	Generalisability of our Results . . . . .	160
10.3	Limitations . . . . .	161
10.4	Strengths . . . . .	163
10.5	Future Work . . . . .	164
10.6	Outlook . . . . .	165
	BIBLIOGRAPHY . . . . .	167
A	SUMMARY . . . . .	179
B	SAMENVATTING . . . . .	181