

VU Research Portal

High Risk Bladder Cancer: staging, risk assessment and treatment options

Meijer, R.P.

2014

document version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

citation for published version (APA)

Meijer, R. P. (2014). *High Risk Bladder Cancer: staging, risk assessment and treatment options*. [PhD-Thesis – Research external, graduation internal, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam].

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

E-mail address:

vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl

SUMMARY AND GENERAL DISCUSSION

Introduction

This thesis underlines the aggressive nature of bladder cancer. In the Dutch Cancer Registry bladder cancer is reported in the top five of most common tumour localizations in men and in the top ten in women. Nevertheless, the public awareness of this disease is low. Hopefully this thesis may contribute to the awareness among both clinicians and the general population. Furthermore I hope this thesis will improve the multidisciplinary approach to this life-threatening disease.

High-grade non-muscle invasive bladder cancer

High-grade non-muscle invasive bladder cancer (HG-NMIBC) represents a group of urothelial carcinomas with a high risk for recurrence and significant risk of progression to muscle invasive bladder cancer (MIBC). Despite well-defined histopathological criteria, there is significant inter-observer variability among pathologists when classifying Ta versus T1 tumours and grading urothelial tumours. Concordance between pathologists ranges from 40-60% for staging (Ta versus T1) and from 70-78% for classifying carcinoma in situ (CIS)¹⁻³. Therefore, revision of histo-pathology by a dedicated uro-pathologist has been advised for T1 high-grade tumours (Ta versus T1) and carcinoma in situ (CIS)^{4,5}.

The study described in **chapter 2** showed that CIS indeed is a disease with a high risk for recurrence (68.9%) and a high rate of progression to muscle invasive bladder cancer (18.9%). In this study the concomitant CIS group appeared to have a poorer prognosis with a shorter duration of bladder preservation and a worse overall survival compared to primary and secondary CIS, although not statistically significant⁶. To

provide another risk profile for CIS of the bladder a different subdivision has been proposed, identifying patients with high-risk CIS (i.e. diffuse CIS, prostatic urethra involvement, over-expression of p53). These patients are to undergo radical cystectomy (RC) without delay in case of BCG failure, whereas those with low-risk CIS (i.e. focal CIS, lack of over-expression of p53) may still be offered bladder-preserving therapy⁷. These results also suggest that early definitive therapy could be advisable in this poor-risk group. CIS of the bladder is also recognized as a risk factor for the development of upper urinary tract tumours after radical cystectomy⁸.

The dilemma of bladder-sparing treatment for patients with HG-NMIBC versus early cystectomy has been mentioned earlier. Some reports have been published on the results of early cystectomy for patients with primary CIS. Although the disease-specific survival (DSS) rates in these series are generally excellent (ranging from 85-91%), the rate of overtreatment with early cystectomy is substantial (up to 50% of patients)^{9,10}. On the other hand, the window of opportunity to optimally treat these patients with high-risk NMIBC must be taken into account. In a retrospective analysis, the DSS proved to be significantly poorer for patients with progressive MIBC (5-years DSS 28%) versus patients with primary MIBC (5-years DSS 55%)^{11,12}. A possible explanation for this worse outcome of patients with progressive MIBC may be found in the fact that high-risk NMIBC tumour biology consists of therapy-sensitive cells and therapy-insensitive cells. Intravesical instillations may lead to selection of resistant cell-lines and a more aggressive tumour biology that subsequently progresses to invasive disease¹¹. For high grade T1 disease, early radical cystectomy may be considered for selected high-risk patients (e.g. young patients with multifocal disease, concomitant CIS and tumour in the prostatic urethra, micropapillary UC)¹³.

Lymph node staging

In case of progression of NMIBC after conservative treatment or in case of primary MIBC, radical surgery remains the gold standard. As was stated earlier, in case of radical surgery the quality of bilateral pelvic lymph node dissection (PLND) is of the utmost importance¹⁴⁻¹⁶. The quality of surgical resection is generally measured by the histopathological lymph node count^{17,18}. Furthermore lymph node parameters such as lymph node density are used as predictive factors for DSS¹⁹⁻²¹. In **chapter 3** two studies were described concerning this matter. The histopathological outcomes of PLNDs in two different hospitals were compared. These studies show a statistically significant difference between the two pathology departments evaluating the number of lymph nodes after PLND for bladder cancer, despite equal anatomical clearance by the same experienced surgeons. Nevertheless, no statistically significant difference was found in the number of tumour positive lymph nodes. Furthermore there were no differences in overall survival (OS) and recurrence free survival (RFS) between the two hospitals^{22,23}. Clearly, next to a thorough anatomic surgical procedure, a standardized histopathological evaluation is of the utmost importance. Unless standardized methods have been agreed upon by pathologists, one should be cautious to use the number of reported lymph nodes as an indicator of the quality of surgery and to use the lymph node density as prognostic factor.

Multimodality treatment in bladder cancer

Nowadays the multidisciplinary approach has generally been adopted as 'standard of care' in the field of oncology. Over the years bridges have been built between surgical specialties and the departments of radiotherapy, medical oncology and

pathology to increase the quality of oncological care. Some clinicians may fear these developments, whereas others embrace them in order to go forward. Such a multimodality treatment approach is based on the concept of synergy: “the interaction of elements that when combined produce a total effect that is greater than the sum of the individual elements” (figure 1). This concept of synergy will inevitably be a key facet in improving the outcome of patients with muscle invasive and non-organ confined bladder cancer.

Induction chemotherapy

Multimodality treatment in MIBC consists primarily of neoadjuvant/induction chemotherapy (NIC) followed by surgery or radiation therapy. The study that was reported in **chapter 4** gives an overview of the outcome of patients with non-organ confined bladder cancer who were eligible for surgery and treated with NIC at the Netherlands Cancer Institute-Antoni van Leeuwenhoek Hospital. Response to chemotherapy was assessed after two cycles based on earlier publications, showing that, in case of no response, further chemotherapy is futile²⁴. This study affirms that the prognosis of patients with locally advanced and node positive bladder cancer is generally poor: despite induction chemotherapy and consolidating local therapy median OS was 18 months and the 5-years OS 27.2%. Patients with a complete pathological response to induction chemotherapy after surgery fare significantly better (median OS 74 months and 5-years OS 53.8%). Clinical and pathological response to chemotherapy and the clinical node status after chemotherapy (ycN-status) were significant predictive factors for OS²⁵.

Most trials investigating the potential benefit of NIC excluded node positive patients, nevertheless hypothetically this group of poor prognosis patients may benefit the most²⁶. In the study that was outlined in **chapter 7**, including only node positive patients, one out of four patients showed complete pathological response to NIC with subsequently a significant DSS benefit (median DSS 127 months and 5-years DSS 63.5%). In this series clinical and pathological response to chemotherapy were predictive parameters for outcome. Furthermore, clinically isolated nodal response is associated with a better outcome when compared to isolated local response in the bladder²⁷. As was discussed in **chapter 4**, patients with pathologically progressive disease after chemotherapy, who were subjected to total cystectomy performed no better than the patients who did not undergo surgery. Therefore based on these results we do not advise consolidating surgery to patients in our institution with progressive disease during induction chemotherapy. Radiation therapy could be considered as a non-surgical alternative in these patients.

Another issue that is addressed in **chapter 4** concerns the limited reliability of the current imaging techniques with respect to clinical staging. The negative predictive value for clinical staging by current imaging techniques was 62.5%. Meaning that in 37.5% of patients with a complete clinical response after chemotherapy, residual tumour was found at surgery²⁵. The introduction of novel imaging modalities such as FDG-PET/CT-scan and diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in the standard workup before and after induction chemotherapy may improve clinical staging²⁸⁻³⁰. The limitations of current imaging techniques are also reflected by the number of occult LN metastases that are found after PLND and cystectomy in patients with MIBC. This percentage of occult LN metastases is highly dependent on

the primary tumour stage: 2-5% in \leq pT1, 8-18% in pT2 and 36-46% in \geq pT3 tumours³¹⁻³⁶. Hypothetically the use of NIC reduces this percentage of occult LN metastases in patients with MIBC. To substantiate this hypothesis, the study in **chapter 6** was performed in which a consecutive series of clinically node negative (cN0) patients, who were treated with or without NIC were compared. These patients all underwent RC using the same PLND template during the study period. In the patients with locally advanced disease (cT3-4), the occurrence of LN metastases was significantly lower in the NIC group compared with the non-NIC group (21.9% versus 40.7%). This underlines the importance of NIC to eradicate occult micro-metastatic disease³⁷.

Despite several publications supporting this multimodality treatment approach with pre-operative chemotherapy, this treatment strategy has not been adopted as standard of care for non-organ confined bladder cancer^{26,38-41}. According to a report from the National Cancer Data Base in 2007, peri-operative chemotherapy was administered to 11.6% of patients with stage III bladder cancer in the USA. Thus underlining the fact that perioperative chemotherapy is underused in stage III bladder cancer⁴². This is in concordance with a survey among Dutch urologists, in which was shown that only 25% of the respondents to the survey considered NIC for bladder cancer. Furthermore approximately 10% of eligible patients actually received neoadjuvant combination chemotherapy (personal correspondence with principal investigator dr J.L. Boormans). This may be due to the fact that many clinicians are reluctant to start NIC with respect to the potential toxicity it elicits. Ideally such toxic treatment would be started only in patients, who are likely to benefit, based on tumour characteristics. There have been varying reports on the use of molecular

markers to predict prognosis and chemosensitivity of bladder tumours. Especially the tumour suppressor proteins p53 and retinoblastoma (pRb) and their downstream effectors (e.g. p21) have been investigated in this respect⁴³⁻⁴⁵. The implementation of molecular markers may be the basis for individualizing treatment for patients with non-organ confined bladder cancer^{43,45}. Stadler et al. performed a phase III trial to evaluate chemosensitivity of patients with p53 inactivation determined by immunohistochemistry (IHC)⁴⁵. Unfortunately this trial, randomizing patients with aberrant p53-IHC to 3 cycles of adjuvant MVAC versus observation, produced inconclusive results due to study limitations such as: high patient refusal rate, failure to receive assigned therapy and limited power of the study (due to better than expected overall patient outcome in case of aberrant p53-IHC). Such molecularly targeted therapy may require a combination of several molecular markers (e.g. p53, pRb, p21 and p27) in order to predict clinical outcome and response to treatment⁴⁴.

Cisplatin-unfit patients

When patients are unfit to receive cisplatin-based combination chemotherapy, they may be submitted to surgery immediately, as generally other regimens of induction chemotherapy (e.g. carboplatin-based treatment) are considered to be inferior compared to cisplatin-based treatment. However, as was described in **chapter 5**, carboplatin-based induction chemotherapy may prove to be a reasonable alternative for cisplatin-unfit patients with non-organ confined bladder cancer. The concerning study showed that induction treatment with gemcitabine and carboplatin for non-organ confined bladder cancer achieves comparable clinical and pathological response rates as well as survival outcomes to the cisplatin-based regimens⁴⁶.

Sequential chemoradiation for small cell carcinoma of the bladder

The bladder-sparing strategy, consisting of sequential chemoradiation (i.e. NIC followed by external beam radiotherapy), for patients with limited disease small cell carcinoma of the bladder (LD-SCCB), represents another multimodality treatment approach. The study that was reported in **chapter 8** concerning sequential chemoradiation in LD-SCCB, showed reasonable outcome results with a high bladder preservation rate (85.2%). Although the prognosis of patients with LD-SCCB treated with sequential chemoradiation remains poor, with a median DSS of 47 months and 5-years DSS 39.6%⁴⁷. Because of experience with an increased risk for local toxicity in the bladder after concurrent chemoradiation, in our institution external beam radiotherapy is scheduled after the NIC. However, recently there have been reports on the beneficial effects of concurrent administration of radiosensitizing agents (e.g. cisplatin-based chemotherapy) potentiating the cytotoxic effect of radiotherapy⁴⁸. As the techniques of external beam radiotherapy have evolved in recent years and the risks of local toxicity have been further reduced, the use of concurrent chemoradiation may be expected to gain terrain.

Notwithstanding these results, the optimal treatment strategy for these patients with LD-SCCB remains controversial, because of the low incidence of the disease. Some advocate NIC followed by radical surgery, with promising results⁴⁹. To determine the optimal treatment approach for this rare and aggressive entity, a multi-center case-matched comparison between different treatment modalities (e.g. NIC with either surgery or radiotherapy) would be invaluable.

Conclusions

In conclusion, to improve the poor outcome of patients with high-risk bladder cancer:

- The multidisciplinary approach is essential
- More accurate clinical staging with novel imaging techniques is inevitable
- Molecularly targeted therapy will result in personalized cancer care

References

1. Bol MG, Baak JP, Buhr-Wildhagen S, Kruse AJ, Kjellevoid KH, Janssen EA, Mestad O and OGREID P: Reproducibility and prognostic variability of grade and lamina propria invasion in stages Ta, T1 urothelial carcinoma of the bladder. *J Urol.* 169: 1291-4, 2003.
2. Sylvester RJ, van der Meijden A, Witjes JA, Jakse G, Nonomura N, Cheng C, Torres A, Watson R and Kurth KH: High-grade Ta urothelial carcinoma and carcinoma in situ of the bladder. *Urology.* 66: 90-107, 2005.
3. Witjes JA, Moonen PM and van der Heijden AG: Review pathology in a diagnostic bladder cancer trial: effect of patient risk category. *Urology.* 67: 751-5, 2006.
4. Babjuk M, Oosterlinck W, Sylvester R, Kaasinen E, Bohle A, Palou-Redorta J and Roupret M: EAU guidelines on non-muscle-invasive urothelial carcinoma of the bladder, the 2011 update. *Eur Urol.* 59: 997-1008, 2011.
5. van Rhijn BW, van der Kwast TH, Alkhateeb SS, Fleshner NE, van Leenders GJ, Bostrom PJ, van der Aa MN, Kakiashvili DM, Bangma CH, Jewett MA *et al.*: A new and highly prognostic system to discern T1 bladder cancer substage. *Eur Urol.* 61: 378-84, 2012.
6. Meijer RP, van Onna IE, Kok ET and Bosch R: The risk profiles of three clinical types of carcinoma in situ of the bladder. *BJU Int*, 2010.
7. Hudson MA and Herr HW: Carcinoma in situ of the bladder. *J Urol.* 153: 564-72, 1995.
8. Volkmer BG, Schnoeller T, Kuefer R, Gust K, Finter F and Hautmann RE: Upper urinary tract recurrence after radical cystectomy for bladder cancer--who is at risk? *J Urol.* 182: 2632-7, 2009.
9. Tilki D, Reich O, Svatek RS, Karakiewicz PI, Kassouf W, Novara G, Ficarra V, Chade DC, Fritsche HM, Gerwens N *et al.*: Characteristics and outcomes of patients with clinical carcinoma in situ only treated with radical cystectomy: an international study of 243 patients. *J Urol.* 183: 1757-63, 2010.
10. Shariat SF, Palapattu GS, Amiel GE, Karakiewicz PI, Rogers CG, Vazina A, Schoenberg MP, Lerner SP, Sagalowsky AI and Lotan Y: Characteristics and outcomes of patients with carcinoma in situ only at radical cystectomy. *Urology.* 68: 538-42, 2006.
11. Schrier BP, Hollander MP, van Rhijn BW, Kiemeny LA and Witjes JA: Prognosis of muscle-invasive bladder cancer: difference between primary and progressive tumours and implications for therapy. *Eur Urol.* 45: 292-6, 2004.

12. de Vries RR, Nieuwenhuijzen JA, Vincent A, van Tinteren H and Horenblas S: Survival after cystectomy for invasive bladder cancer. *Eur J Surg Oncol.* 36: 292-7, 2010.
13. Burger M, Witjes JA, Babjuk M, Brausi M, Cheng C, Comperat E, Dinney C, Jager W, Otto W, Shah J *et al.*: Second international consultation on bladder cancer, in Soloway MS and Khoury S: *Bladder Cancer*, 2012, vol. Bladder Cancer, pp 249-268.
14. Dhar NB, Klein EA, Reuther AM, Thalmann GN, Madersbacher S and Studer UE: Outcome after radical cystectomy with limited or extended pelvic lymph node dissection. *J Urol.* 179: 873-8; discussion 878, 2008.
15. Herr HW and Donat SM: Outcome of patients with grossly node positive bladder cancer after pelvic lymph node dissection and radical cystectomy. *J Urol.* 165: 62-4; discussion 64, 2001.
16. Leissner J, Ghoneim MA, Abol-Enein H, Thuroff JW, Franzaring L, Fisch M, Schulze H, Managadze G, Allhoff EP, el-Baz MA *et al.*: Extended radical lymphadenectomy in patients with urothelial bladder cancer: results of a prospective multicenter study. *J Urol.* 171: 139-44, 2004.
17. Konety BR, Joslyn SA and O'Donnell MA: Extent of pelvic lymphadenectomy and its impact on outcome in patients diagnosed with bladder cancer: analysis of data from the Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results Program data base. *J Urol.* 169: 946-50, 2003.
18. Herr HW, Bochner BH, Dalbagni G, Donat SM, Reuter VE and Bajorin DF: Impact of the number of lymph nodes retrieved on outcome in patients with muscle invasive bladder cancer. *J Urol.* 167: 1295-8, 2002.
19. Herr HW: The concept of lymph node density--is it ready for clinical practice? *J Urol.* 177: 1273-5; discussion 1275-6, 2007.
20. Kassouf W, Agarwal PK, Herr HW, Munsell MF, Spiess PE, Brown GA, Pisters L, Grossman HB, Dinney CP and Kamat AM: Lymph node density is superior to TNM nodal status in predicting disease-specific survival after radical cystectomy for bladder cancer: analysis of pooled data from MDACC and MSKCC. *J Clin Oncol.* 26: 121-6, 2008.
21. May M, Herrmann E, Bolenz C, Tiemann A, Brookman-May S, Fritsche HM, Burger M, Buchner A, Gratzke C, Wulfing C *et al.*: Lymph node density affects cancer-specific survival in patients with lymph node-positive urothelial bladder cancer following radical cystectomy. *Eur Urol.* 59: 712-8, 2011.
22. Meijer RP, Nunnink CJ, Wassenaar AE, Bex A, van der Poel HG, van Rhijn BW, Meinhardt W and Horenblas S: Standard lymph node dissection for bladder cancer: significant variability in the number of reported lymph nodes. *J Urol.* 187: 446-50, 2012.
23. Mertens LS, Meijer RP, van Werkhoven E, Bex A, van der Poel HG, van Rhijn BW, Meinhardt W and Horenblas S: Differences in histopathological evaluation of standard lymph node dissections result in differences in nodal count but not in survival. *World J Urol*, 2012.
24. Splinter TA, Pavone-Macaluso M, Jacqmin D, Roberts JT, Carpentier P, de Pauw M and Sylvester R: A European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer--Genitourinary Group phase 2 study of chemotherapy in stage T3-4N0-XM0 transitional cell cancer of the bladder: evaluation of clinical response. *J Urol.* 148: 1793-6, 1992.
25. Meijer RP, Nieuwenhuijzen JA, Meinhardt W, Bex A, van der Poel HG, van Rhijn BW, Kerst JM, Bergman AM, van Werkhoven E and Horenblas S: Response to

- induction chemotherapy and surgery in non-organ confined bladder cancer: a single institution experience. *Eur J Surg Oncol*, 2013.
26. Griffiths G, Hall R, Sylvester R, Raghavan D and Parmar MK: International phase III trial assessing neoadjuvant cisplatin, methotrexate, and vinblastine chemotherapy for muscle-invasive bladder cancer: long-term results of the BA06 30894 trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 29: 2171-7, 2011.
 27. Meijer RP, Mertens LS, van Rhijn BW, Bex A, van der Poel HG, Meinhardt W, Kerst JM, Bergman AM, Fioole-Bruining A, van Werkhoven E *et al.*: Induction chemotherapy followed by surgery in node positive bladder cancer. *Urology*. 2013, 2013.
 28. Kibel AS, Dehdashti F, Katz MD, Klim AP, Grubb RL, Humphrey PA, Siegel C, Cao D, Gao F and Siegel BA: Prospective study of [18F]fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography for staging of muscle-invasive bladder carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol*. 27: 4314-20, 2009.
 29. Yoshida S, Koga F, Kawakami S, Ishii C, Tanaka H, Numao N, Sakai Y, Saito K, Masuda H, Fujii Y *et al.*: Initial experience of diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging to assess therapeutic response to induction chemoradiotherapy against muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *Urology*. 75: 387-91, 2010.
 30. Mertens LS, Fioole-Bruining A, van Rhijn BW, Kerst JM, Bergman AM, Vogel WV, Vegt E and Horenblas S: FDG-PET/CT in Monitoring Response of Pelvic Lymph Node Metastases to Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy in Bladder Cancer. *J Urol*, 2012.
 31. Ghoneim MA, Abdel-Latif M, el-Mekresh M, Abol-Enein H, Mosbah A, Ashamallah A and el-Baz MA: Radical cystectomy for carcinoma of the bladder: 2,720 consecutive cases 5 years later. *J Urol*. 180: 121-7, 2008.
 32. Hautmann RE, Gschwend JE, de Petroni RC, Kron M and Volkmer BG: Cystectomy for transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder: results of a surgery only series in the neobladder era. *J Urol*. 176: 486-92; discussion 491-2, 2006.
 33. Madersbacher S, Hochreiter W, Burkhard F, Thalmann GN, Danuser H, Markwalder R and Studer UE: Radical cystectomy for bladder cancer today--a homogeneous series without neoadjuvant therapy. *J Clin Oncol*. 21: 690-6, 2003.
 34. Stein JP, Lieskovsky G, Cote R, Groshen S, Feng AC, Boyd S, Skinner E, Bochner B, Thangathurai D, Mikhail M *et al.*: Radical cystectomy in the treatment of invasive bladder cancer: long-term results in 1,054 patients. *J Clin Oncol*. 19: 666-75, 2001.
 35. Shariat SF, Karakiewicz PI, Palapattu GS, Lotan Y, Rogers CG, Amiel GE, Vazina A, Gupta A, Bastian PJ, Sagalowsky AI *et al.*: Outcomes of radical cystectomy for transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder: a contemporary series from the Bladder Cancer Research Consortium. *J Urol*. 176: 2414-22; discussion 2422, 2006.
 36. Zehnder P, Studer UE, Skinner EC, Dorin RP, Cai J, Roth B, Miranda G, Birkhauser F, Stein J, Burkhard FC *et al.*: Super extended versus extended pelvic lymph node dissection in patients undergoing radical cystectomy for bladder cancer: a comparative study. *J Urol*. 186: 1261-8, 2011.
 37. Mertens LS, Meijer RP, Meinhardt W, van der Poel HG, Bex A, Kerst JM, van der Heijden MS, Bergman AM, Horenblas S and van Rhijn BW: occult lymph node metastases in patients with muscle invasive bladder cancer: incidence after neoadjuvant chemotherapy and cystectomy versus cystectomy alone. *BJU Int*, 2013.
 38. Blick C, Hall P, Pwint T, Al-Terkait F, Crew J, Powles T, Macaulay V, Munro N, Douglas D, Kilbey N *et al.*: Accelerated methotrexate, vinblastine, doxorubicin, and cisplatin (AMVAC) as neoadjuvant chemotherapy for patients with muscle-invasive transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder. *Cancer*. 118: 3920-7, 2012.

39. Hall RR: Updated results of a randomised controlled trial of neoadjuvant cisplatin (C), methotrexate (M) and vinblastine (V) chemotherapy for muscle-invasive bladder cancer: *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol*, 2002.
40. Winqvist E, Kirchner TS, Segal R, Chin J and Lukka H: Neoadjuvant chemotherapy for transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Urol*. 171: 561-9, 2004.
41. Burger M, Mulders P and Witjes W: Use of neoadjuvant chemotherapy for muscle-invasive bladder cancer is low among major European centres: results of a feasibility questionnaire. *Eur Urol*. 61: 1070-1, 2012.
42. David KA, Milowsky MI, Ritchey J, Carroll PR and Nanus DM: Low incidence of perioperative chemotherapy for stage III bladder cancer 1998 to 2003: a report from the National Cancer Data Base. *J Urol*. 178: 451-4, 2007.
43. Cote RJ and Datar RH: Therapeutic approaches to bladder cancer: identifying targets and mechanisms. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol*. 46 Suppl: S67-83, 2003.
44. Shariat SF, Chade DC, Karakiewicz PI, Ashfaq R, Isbarn H, Fradet Y, Bastian PJ, Nielsen ME, Capitanio U, Jeldres C *et al.*: Combination of multiple molecular markers can improve prognostication in patients with locally advanced and lymph node positive bladder cancer. *J Urol*. 183: 68-75, 2010.
45. Stadler WM, Lerner SP, Groshen S, Stein JP, Shi SR, Raghavan D, Esrig D, Steinberg G, Wood D, Klotz L *et al.*: Phase III study of molecularly targeted adjuvant therapy in locally advanced urothelial cancer of the bladder based on p53 status. *J Clin Oncol*. 29: 3443-9, 2011.
46. Mertens LS, Meijer RP, Kerst JM, Bergman AM, van Tinteren H, van Rhijn BW and Horenblas S: Carboplatin based induction chemotherapy for nonorgan confined bladder cancer--a reasonable alternative for cisplatin unfit patients? *J Urol*. 188: 1108-13, 2012.
47. Meijer RP, Meinhardt W, van der Poel HG, van Rhijn BW, Kerst JM, Pos FJ, Horenblas S and Bex A: Local control rate and prognosis after sequential chemoradiation for small cell carcinoma of the bladder. *Int J Urol*, 2012.
48. James ND, Hussain SA, Hall E, Jenkins P, Tremlett J, Rawlings C, Crundwell M, Sizer B, Sreenivasan T, Hendron C *et al.*: Radiotherapy with or without chemotherapy in muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 366: 1477-88, 2012.
49. Siefker-Radtke AO, Dinney CP, Abrahams NA, Moran C, Shen Y, Pisters LL, Grossman HB, Swanson DA and Millikan RE: Evidence supporting preoperative chemotherapy for small cell carcinoma of the bladder: a retrospective review of the M. D. Anderson cancer experience. *J Urol*. 172: 481-4, 2004.