

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|-------------|
| Figures..... | xv |
| Tables..... | xvii |
| List of Acronyms | xix |
| 1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 Background | 1 |
| 1.2 Defining partnerships | 6 |
| 1.3 Research questions | 11 |
| 1.4 Theoretical approach..... | 13 |
| 1.4.1 Governance perspective | 13 |
| 1.4.2 Emergence | 18 |
| 1.4.3 Adaptation | 20 |
| 1.4.4 Governance impacts | 23 |
| 1.5 Research strategy | 29 |
| 1.5.1 Selection of cases | 30 |
| 1.5.2 Methodologies..... | 34 |
| 1.6 Structure of the thesis | 39 |
| 2 Explaining Geographic, Thematic and Organizational Differentiation of the Emergence of Partnerships for Sustainable Development..... | 47 |
| 2.1 Why do partnerships emerge? Theoretical perspectives .. | 48 |
| 2.1.1 Functionalist institutionalism | 49 |
| 2.1.2 Policy network theory..... | 50 |
| 2.1.3 New institutionalism..... | 52 |

| | | |
|------------|---|------------|
| 2.2 | Patterns of emergence: geographic scope | 55 |
| 2.2.1 | Functionalist institutionalism | 56 |
| 2.2.2 | Policy network theories | 59 |
| 2.2.3 | New institutionalism..... | 60 |
| 2.3 | Patterns of emergence: policy areas | 63 |
| 2.3.1 | Functionalist institutionalism | 64 |
| 2.3.2 | Policy network theories | 65 |
| 2.3.3 | New institutionalism..... | 68 |
| 2.4 | Patterns of emergence: participation | 69 |
| 2.4.1 | Functionalism | 72 |
| 2.4.2 | Policy network theories | 73 |
| 2.4.3 | New institutionalism..... | 74 |
| 2.5 | Conclusion..... | 76 |
| 3 | The Politics of Partnerships for Sustainable Development | 79 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 79 |
| 3.2 | Negotiation of partnerships..... | 80 |
| 3.3 | Governance through partnerships | 94 |
| 3.3.1 | Partnerships in the UN system | 95 |
| 3.3.2 | Partnerships and the domestic level | 96 |
| 3.3.3 | The organizational field of partnerships..... | 99 |
| 3.4 | Introduction of controversial technologies and institutions . | |
| | | 100 |
| 3.4.1 | Global Initiative Towards a Sustainable Iraq | 101 |
| 3.4.2 | Nuclear energy..... | 103 |
| 3.4.3 | Biotechnology | 108 |
| 3.4.4 | PVC..... | 110 |

| | | |
|------------|---|------------|
| 3.4.5 | Water purification chemicals..... | 112 |
| 3.5 | Conclusion..... | 114 |
| 4 | The Overall Effects of Partnerships for Sustainable Development..... | 117 |
| 4.1 | Background | 117 |
| 4.2 | Norm development | 119 |
| 4.3 | Norm implementation..... | 123 |
| 4.3.1 | Sufficient partnership capacity? | 125 |
| 4.3.2 | New and additional resources? | 125 |
| 4.3.3 | Focus on direct impact?..... | 127 |
| 4.3.4 | Focus on least developed regions?..... | 130 |
| 4.3.5 | Function-output fit? | 131 |
| 4.4 | Norm inclusiveness | 132 |
| 4.4.1 | Balance between North and South?..... | 134 |
| 4.4.2 | Increased participation of nongovernmental actors? | 136 |
| 4.4.3 | Increased participation of marginalized groups? | 137 |
| 4.5 | Conclusion..... | 140 |
| 5 | The Influence of the UN and Transnational Interactions on China's Partnership Governance | 145 |
| 5.1 | Introduction | 145 |
| 5.2 | Contestation between PFSD and pfsd | 150 |
| 5.3 | The influence of the PFSD process on China? | 153 |
| 5.4 | The influence of transnational interactions on partnerships in China | 160 |
| 5.4.1 | International NGO - domestic NGO and NGO-NGO partnerships: From dependency to interdependence? | 161 |

| | | |
|------------|---|------------|
| 5.4.2 | NGO – business partnerships: From contestation to collaboration? | 165 |
| 5.4.3 | Government–NGO partnerships: From control to self-definition? | 170 |
| 5.5 | Conclusion..... | 175 |
| 6 | Partnerships for Sustainable Development in China: Adaptation of a Global Governance Instrument | 181 |
| 6.1 | Background | 182 |
| 6.2 | China in the WSSD process | 185 |
| 6.3 | Constraints to partnerships in China | 189 |
| 6.4 | Adapting the partnership model..... | 192 |
| 6.4.1 | Participatory scope | 193 |
| 6.4.2 | Geographic scope | 194 |
| 6.4.3 | Thematic scope..... | 195 |
| 6.4.4 | The function of partnerships in China’s sustainable development | 196 |
| 6.5 | Conclusion..... | 199 |
| 7 | Partnerships for Sustainable Development Beyond the OECD: Partnership Governance in China and India compared | 203 |
| 7.1 | Background | 203 |
| 7.2 | Global assumptions about governance and partnerships..... | 205 |
| 7.3 | China and India | 209 |
| 7.4 | Goodness of fit | 215 |
| 7.5 | Analyses | 218 |
| 7.5.1 | Meta-partnership analysis | 219 |
| 7.5.2 | Inter-partnership analysis..... | 221 |

| | | |
|------------|---|------------|
| 7.5.3 | Intra-partnership analysis..... | 228 |
| 7.6 | Conclusion..... | 231 |
| 8 | Local Governance Impacts of Global Partnerships: China and the Critical Ecosystem Partnership Fund | 235 |
| 8.1 | Introduction | 235 |
| 8.2 | CEPF’s financing mechanism..... | 238 |
| 8.3 | CEPF’s governance approach | 242 |
| 8.3.1 | Geographic prioritization..... | 243 |
| 8.3.2 | Civil society | 245 |
| 8.3.3 | Poverty alleviation | 247 |
| 8.4 | Adapting CEPF’s approach to local implementation contexts..... | 248 |
| 8.4.1 | Compromising global approaches | 248 |
| 8.4.2 | China’s implementation context for partnerships..... | 250 |
| 8.5 | Strategic adaptation in CEPF’s China operations | 252 |
| 8.5.1 | Bringing back the central government | 252 |
| 8.5.2 | Revising CEPF’s governance approach for the MSW hotspot..... | 255 |
| 8.6 | CEPF China portfolio analysis..... | 261 |
| 8.6.1 | Who coordinates? | 263 |
| 8.6.2 | Who participates?..... | 265 |
| 8.6.3 | Which governance functions are supported?..... | 267 |
| 8.7 | Mapping CEPF’s partnership governance in China..... | 268 |
| 8.8 | Consolidating partnership governance | 271 |
| 8.9 | Conclusion and discussion | 273 |
| 9 | Conclusion | 277 |