8 Kings and Queens
8.1 Introduction

From the middle of the eighth century until the sixth century the Assyrian and neo-Babylonian empires were the dominant powers in the ancient Near East. Decisions that were made in Nineveh or Babylon could have far-reaching consequences for the fate of Israel and Judah, and many Judeans and Israelites from this period had a first-hand experience of the power and brutality of the Assyrian and Babylonian armies. Not surprisingly, Assyria and Babylonia and their kings played an important role in the historiography of Judah and Israel. Fifteen Assyrian and Babylonian kings are mentioned in the Hebrew Bible. Most prominent amongst them are the Assyrian king Sennacherib (705-681), who laid siege to Jerusalem (701),¹ and the Babylonian king Nebuchadnezzar (605-562), who captured and destroyed Jerusalem and led many of the Judean elite into exile (597, 586). Most Mesopotamian kings that are mentioned in the Bible reigned in the heyday of the Assyrian and neo-Babylonian Empires, eighth, seventh of sixth century B.C. Little is said about the earliest history of Assyria and Babylonia. The little that is said is found in Genesis, where we find the legendary kings Nimrod and Amraphel.

Greece lay outside the reach of the Assyrian and Babylonian empires, but some Greeks came in contact with these empires. As far as we know, the first encounters between Greeks and the armies of the king of Assyria date from the second half of the eighth century.² Greek soldiers fought as mercenaries in the army of the Assyrian kings, and in those of their enemies, and in the sixth century in the Babylonian army. There is ample proof that some of these Greek mercenaries fought in the Levant and Egypt, near Judah. Not only Judah’s neighbours employed Greek soldiers, but Judean kings did the same, as is shown by archaeological evidence.³ These mercenaries must have heard the names of the powerful Assyrian and Babylonian kings of their time, such as Sargon, Ashurbanipal and Nebuchadnezzar. However, not many Greek writings from this period have survived and the few that have survived tell us precisely little about Assyria and Babylonia and nothing

¹ Some scholars believe that Sennacherib twice besieged Jerusalem, e.g. Shea (1985).
about their kings and queens (see chapter two, where Alkaios and Phokylides are discussed). The earliest Greek records of Mesopotamian kings that we have stem from the fifth century.

To most Greek historians, living and writing in the fifth century or later, Assyria was a far away country, both in space and in time. Assyrian kings were foreign and exotic characters from a distant past. About some of them strange, often incredible stories were told, while others were little more than names. In Greek historiography from the fourth century, especially in the *Persika* of Ktesias of Knidos, the stereotype of Assyrian and Babylonian kings and queens as decadent and luxury loving oriental monarchs was created. Dissenting voices such as Berossos, who lived in the late fourth and early third century in Babylon and who tried to correct the often erroneous Greek descriptions of the culture and history of his fatherland, were largely ignored. The Ktesian image of Assyrian and Babylonian kings as effeminate and decadent monarchs, who preferred to idle away in their harems, instead of defending and enlarging their empire as real princes did, remained the dominant image in Greek and Roman historiography.

This chapter contains an overview of the Assyrian and Babylonian kings and queens that are found in biblical and Greek historiography. Monarchs from Mesopotamia are mentioned by a number of Greek historians, among whom Herodotos, Ktesias and Arrian, but also by lesser known authors such as Kleitarchos, Megasthenes, Alexander Polyhistor, Diodoros, Abydenos and Kephalion (see section 1.3). In the Bible, Assyrian and Babylonian kings are mentioned in the books of Genesis, the Major and some Minor Prophets, Daniel, and in particular in the second book of Kings, which is part of the so-called *Deuteronomistic History* (see section 1.2). The nature of these sources will be discussed in chapter nine ‘Biblical Historiography’. The current chapter contains an enumeration of the Assyrian and Babylonian kings and queens that are mentioned in biblical and Greek historiography.
8.2 Assyrian and Babylonian Kings in the Bible

In this section, the Assyrian and Babylonian kings that are mentioned in the Bible are studied, except for Nimrod (see chapter seven ‘Founders’) and the enigmatic Cushan-Rishathaim, king of Aram Naharaim (Ju. 3:7-11).4 It starts with an overview of all Mesopotamian monarchs that are mentioned in the Bible, and one king who is only found in the Book of Giants from Qumran: legendary kings such as Gilgamesh and Nimrod, kings whose identification is controversial such as Amraphel, Shalman and Osnappar, and historical kings from Tiglath-Pileser III (745-727) to Nabonidus (556-539). Aramaic inscriptions and documents are used beside biblical books to get a more complete picture, especially the books of Ahiqar (seventh or sixth century)5 and Tobit (third or second century),6 in which Assyrian kings play an important role. After this overview, the characterisation of Assyrian and Babylonian kings in the Bible is discussed, especially in the books of Kings and the major prophets.

A List of Kings

In the Bible, Assyrian and Babylonian kings are often mentioned in a matter of fact way, in connection with a campaign or a political decision. A list of names will give an idea which kings were known to the biblical authors.7

A note on Akkadian Phonology. In some respects, neo-Assyrian and neo/late-Babylonian differed in pronunciation. These differences are usually neglected in modern transcriptions of cuneiform texts, but ancient transcriptions of Akkadian names in West-Semitic scripts as a rule reflect the actual pronunciation and show these differences.8 The most important ones are:

4 Malamat 1954.
5 Niehr 2007: 11.
7 Claims have been made for other Assyrian monarchs. E.g., Tiglath-Pileser I (1114-1076) has been read into Ps. 83 (Maisler apud Machinist 1983: 720-721).
8 Millard 1976: 3-4.
- written k = Bab. [k], Ass. [k] or [g];
- written m = Bab. [m] or [w], Ass. [m];
- written s = Bab. [s], Ass. [$s$];
- written $\$ = Bab. [$], Ass. [s].

These differences in pronunciation lead to Assyrian and Babylonian names being differently transcribed in West-Semitic texts. In Assyrian names, cuneiform <s> is as a rule transcribed into $\$ (š) and cuneiform <$\$> into $\$ (ṣ).

1. Nimrod, Hebrew נמרד, Nimrōd (see section 7.2).

2. Gilgamesh, Aram. גִּלְגֵּשׁ, Gilgamesh. Gilgamesh is not mentioned in the Bible, but he is known from two Aramaic fragments from Qumran (4Q530 2.2; 4Q531 17.12). These fragments probably belonged to the Books of Giants, which was written in the third or second century BC. The fragments in which Gilgamesh is mentioned are fragmentary and difficult to interpret, but it is clear that Gilgamesh is one of the giants, offspring of the angelic watchers and human women.

3. Amraphel, Hebrew אַמְראפֶל, Amraphel (Gen. 14). Amraphel is sometimes identified with Hammurabi of Babylon (1792-1750). Nowadays, not many scholars would suggest a connection between Genesis 14 and events from Hammurabi’s days, as Cornelius did in 1960. Yet it seems likely that the author of Genesis 14 refers to this king. Glissmann has argued for classifying Gen. 14 as a diaspora novella. Although it differs too much in style and content from other diaspora novellas, such as the story of Joseph, or Esther, to be put in the same genre, it is likely that Gen. 14 was written by a Judean who lived in Babylonia and knew Hammurabi’s name, and those of some other monarchs from a remote past.

---

9 It is not clear under which circumstances [g] is found; see Lipiński 1975: 97-99; Hämeen-Anttila 2000: 15-16; Mankowsky 2000: 155.
10 Mankowsky 2000: 158-159.
14 Glissmann 2009.
4. Shalmaneser III (859-824). It has been suggested that Shalman, Hebr. שלמן (Hos. 10:14), is Shalmaneser III, but this is very uncertain. In a prophecy against Ephraim (Israel) Hosea says: 'Because you have trusted in your power and in the multitude of your warriors, the tumult of war shall rise against your people, and all your fortresses will be destroyed, as Shalman destroyed Beth-arbel on the day of the battle when mothers were dashed in pieces with their children' (Hos. 10:13-14). It is not clear who this Shalman is: Shalmaneser III (859-824), Shalmaneser V (727-722), Salamān, king of Moab, who is mentioned by Tiglath-Pileser III (around 734/732), or the Israelite king Shallum (2K. 15: 8-15). The events to which the prophets refers are not known from biblical or extra-biblical sources, which makes it difficult to identify Shalman with any degree of certainty.

5. Adad-Nerari III (811-783), Akk. Adad-nērārī (or nārārī). It has been suggested that Adad-Nerari is mentioned as מֹשִׁי ‘saviour’ in 2K. 13:5. During the reign of Jehoahaz (818-802), Israel was oppressed by the Aramean king Ben-Hadad, but ‘the Lord gave Israel a saviour, so that they escaped from the hands of the Arameans’ (2K. 13:5). The identity of this saviour has been the subject of much debate and some very different characters have been suggested: Jehoash, son of Jehoahaz (802-787), Jeroboam II, son of Jehoash (787-747), the prophet Elisha, or the Assyrian king Adad-nerari III, whose campaigns against Damascus prevented Ben-Hadad from attacking Israel. Although decisive arguments are lacking, Adad-nerari seems a good choice.

6. Tiglath-pileser III (745-727), Akk. Tukultī-apil-ešarra, Hebr. תיגַלְתַּ-פִילֶסֶר (the second part of the name is also written פִילָסֶר and pilneser; 2K. 15:29; 16:7; 16:10; 1Chr. 5:6; 5:26; 2Chr. 28:20), Aram. թղղծԵՍԻՐ, tiglplysr, 

7. Shalmaneser V (727-722), Akk. Šulmānu-ašarēd, Hebr. Šalman’esser (2K. 17:3; 18:9). This king also mentioned in Tobit (1:2, 13, 15v), but only in the translations: the Aram. shape of the name is not known.

8. Marduk-apla-iddina II (722-710, 703), Akk. Marduk-apla-iddina(a), Hebr. מרדוק-פל אדרין and בְּרוֹדָק-בָּלדֵד (Is. 39:1) and בְּרוֹדָק-בָּלדֵד (2K. 20:12), a Chaldean, king of the Sealand. Marduk-apla-iddin probably sent his envoys to Hezekiah to try to get Judean support for his struggle against Assyria. He ruled Babylon for ten years, before he was expelled by the Assyrian king Sargon II. In 703, during the reign of Sennacherib, he regained the throne of Babylon for a few months.

9. Sargon II (722-705), Akk. Šarru-kēn, Hebr. סרגון (Is. 20:1), Aram. סָרָגוּן (on a seal from Khorsabad, Assyrian pronunciation) and סָרָגוּן (on a tablet from Nineveh, Babylonian pronunciation). It has been argued by Dalley, Frahm and others, that Isaiah’s ‘Daystar, son of Dawn’ (הָלֵל בֵּן-שָׁהָר in Is. 14:12), who is not buried properly (19-20), is Sargon. Admittedly, Helel ben-Shahar is a Babylonian, not an Assyrian king, but despite this, the identification with Sargon is alluring, because it was widely known that Sargon’s body had remained unburied, which elicited theological speculation about the cause of this disgrace. His ignominious death was by the Assyrians in Esarhaddon’s time explained from his insufficient

---

20 KAI 215.13, 15; 216.6; COS II p.158-160.
22 Babylonian king list A (ANET p.272, COS I p.462); Millard 1976: 10; ABC p.248.
veneration of the gods (‘the sin of Sargon’). There has been some discussion on the mythological background of Is. 14:12, Greek or Ugaritic. Poirier argues for the Greek Phaeton myth, Heiser for the Ugaritic Baal cycle. Probably, the motif of the fallen son of god is too widespread to make it possible to pinpoint the background of Is. 14:12.

10. Sennacherib (705-681), Akk. Sîn-ahhē-erība. Sanherīb (2K. 18-19; Is. 36-37; 2Chr. 32), Aram. šnḥ’ryb (Ahiqar 1,3-4; 2,27), Hebr. סַנְחֵרִיב Sanḥerib (Ahiqar 4,50-51; 4,55), Akk. šrḥryb (Ahiqar 4,50-51; 4,55) and ʾsrḥryb (Tob. 1:22 = 4Q196 2.8). He is one of the most famous, or perhaps most infamous, kings of Assyria, because he has laid siege to Jerusalem in 701. According to the Bible (2K. 19:37), he was murdered by his sons Adrammalech and Sharezer, apparently as a punishment for his crimes, but in modern literature, it has been suggested that his successor Esarhaddon was behind the murder. The different shapes in which Sennacherib’s name is handed down is perhaps a sign of his role in the popular imagination in the ancient Near East.

11. Esarhaddon (681-669), Akk. Aššur-ah-iddin, Hebr. ‘esar-haddon (2K. 19:37; Is. 37:38; Ezr. 4:2), Aram. אֶסָּר-חַדְדָן ʾEsar-haddon (Ahiqar 1,5; 1,10-11). It is sometimes thought that the anonymous king of Assyria in 2K. 17:24-28 is also Esarhaddon, but the names of Sargon, Sennacherib and Ashurbanipal have also been put forward. It is even possible that this notice is a conflation of more than one historical event, which would make it impossible to identify the king.

---

32 Hobbs 1985: 236 (Esarhaddon and Ashurbanipal); Hentschel 1985: 82 (Sargon, Esarhaddon and Ashurbanipal); Cogan and Tadmor 1988: 209 (Sennacherib and Ashurbanipal); Cogan in DDD sv Sukkoth-Benoth (1999; Sennacherib and Ashurbanipal).
12. Ashurbanipal (669-631), Akk. Aššur-bāni-apla. Many scholars think that Osnappar, Hebr. אסנפר (Ezr. 4:10), is Ashurbanipal, but doubt remains. Gunneweg thinks that Osnappar is Esarhaddon, but it seems unlikely that Esarhaddon would be mentioned under two different names in Ezr. 10, under his own name in Ezr. 4:2 and under the name Osnappar in Ezr. 4:10. Some manuscripts of the Septuagint read Σαλμανα(σ)ορος (i.e. Shalmaneser V), the king who besieged Samaria, but this reading finds no support in the Hebrew text. It is even possible that 'the great and noble Osnappar' was not a king at all, but a high ranking officer or representative of the king, who was perhaps called Aššur-ēpir. Although many modern scholars opt for Ashurbanipal, the identity of Osnappar remains uncertain.

13. Nebuchadnezzar (605-562), Akk. Nabû-kudurri-uṣur, Hebr. נבוכדנאצר and נבוקדנצר (often in 2K., Jr., Ezk., Est., Dan., Ezr., Neh., 1Chr., 2Chr.). Occasional writings in נבּ – (Jr. 49:28K) and LXX Ναβοχώδωνος betrays an older vocalisation, which is more in line with Babylonian Nabû-kudurri-uṣur. He is mentioned more often in the Hebrew Bible than any other non-Judean or non-Israelite monarch, even more often than most Judean and Israelite kings. The reason is obviously that Nebuchadnezzar has captured Jerusalem, devastated the temple and made an end to the existence of the kingdom of Judah (see page 95).

14. Evil-merodach, Amel-Marduk (562-560), Akkad. Amēl-marduk, Hebr. מרדך ארויל mil m’rodk (2K. 25:27), the king who released Jehoiachin. The Hebrew transcription is in accordance with the Babylonian pronunciation, where m between vowels was pronounced as w (ר), while Assyrian retained the m.
15. Neriglissar (559-556), Akkad. Nergal-šarra-uṣur, Hebr. נריגליסאר נרגל-שarra-וּשָׂר, (Jr. 39:3, 13). The high ranking official in the Babylonian army that is mentioned in Jeremiah was probably the future king Neriglissar.  

16. Nabonidus (556-539), Akkad. Nabû-na'id, Aram. נבונידוס נבû-נַאִיָּד, Although this king is not mentioned in the Hebrew Bible, it is often argued that the image of Nebuchadnezzar in Daniel is based to some extent on Nabonidus. Of course, he is mentioned by name (נבני נבַי) in the Prayer of Nabonidus from Qumran.  

17. Belshazzar, Akkad. Bēl-šarra-uṣur, Aram. בֶל-שָׂר-וּשָּר Belšaṣṣar (Dan. 5-8). In reality, Belshazzar had never been king. He was Nabonidus’ son, and replaced his father during his absence. 

A first reading of the texts where these kings are mentioned shows that the authors of Kings, Isaiah and Ezra were familiar with most Assyrian and Babylonian kings from the late eighth to the sixth centuries BC. The Akkadian names are accurately transcribed, as far as the consonants are concerned. The Hebrew transcriptions of names of Assyrian kings are often in conformity with the Assyrian pronunciation, which differed from the Babylonian pronunciation: Ass. ג instead of Bab. ק is found in Tiglath-pileser and Sargon, Ass. ס instead of Bab. ש is found in Tiglath-pileser, Sargon and Esar-haddon. These transcriptions, which are also found in some Aramaic documents, for example in the inscriptions from Zinjirli and the story of Ahiqar, date from the eighth and seventh centuries. After the fall of Assyria, during the Babylonian and Persian periods, transcriptions are as a rule in accordance with the Babylonian pronunciation. Transcriptions are usually accurate, but transcriptions of sibilants are not always consistent. Sometimes ש is found where ס is expected (first

39 Beaulieu 2013: 34-35. See also section 4.2, page 100, on the title Simmagir (Samgar).
sibilant in Shalmaneser, which perhaps was originally ง and not ง, or ง where ง is expected (in Sennacherib). Probably some of these forms are Babylonian and of a later date, as Millard argues. Especially the name of Sennacherib, whose image lived on in popular imagination, probably more than that of any other Assyrian king, is handed down in various forms.

Most biblical authors had a fairly accurate knowledge of the chronology of the late eighth to the sixth centuries: they knew which Assyrian and Babylonian kings were synchronous with which kings of Israel and Judah. Of course, there are some difficulties and inaccuracies in the chronology of Kings, for example in the dates of Hezekiah’s reign, but the overall picture and most details are reliable. The accuracy of the chronology suggests that the authors of Kings had written sources at their disposal. Oral traditions preserve stories, but not an accurate chronology or short notes about campaigns and political decisions. (The nature of these sources will be discussed later on). The authors of Daniel and Judith, who wrote in the second century, used other, often less reliable sources than the authors of Kings, Isaiah and Ezra. The author of Daniel may even have used Greek sources for his description of the wars between the kings of the south – the Ptolemies – and the kings of the north – the Seleucids – in Dan. 11. In the next two sections the image of Mesopotamian kings in the Bible will be discussed.

**Conclusion**

In the Bible, the names of fourteen Assyrian and Babylonian kings are found, most of whom are easily identified with historical kings that are known from cuneiform records. And not only the names, but also the chronology of the monarchs from Tiglath-Pileser III to Neriglissar seems to have been known to the Judean scribes who wrote the Hebrew Bible. Most prominent among them are Sennacherib, who besieged Jerusalem in 701, and Nebuchadnezzar, during whose reign Jerusalem was twice besieged and taken. According to Kings and Isaiah, Sennacherib is finally punished for his crimes: he is murdered in a temple by two of his sons (see chapter

---

Nebuchadnezzar is even more prominent, especially in the books of Kings, Jeremiah and Daniel, because his armies besieged and took Jerusalem twice. In Daniel, which was written in a period when the knowledge of the historical facts had faded, the Babylonian monarch is depicted as the tyrant and the ultimate oppressor of the Jewish people.
8.3 Assyrian Kings and Queens in Greek Tradition

In this section, the Assyrian and Babylonian kings and queens that are mentioned in Greek literature and their characterisation are studied, except Ninos (chapter seven ‘Founders’). It starts with an overview of the most famous Assyrian and Babylonian monarchs in Greek historiography. Some of them are known from cuneiform literature and from the Bible, while others are Greek creations. This overview is followed by Kastor’s king list, which contains more names of Assyrian monarchs, most of them not identifiable from cuneiform documents, or from any other source. After that follows a discussion of Semiramis, the most famous Assyrian monarch in Greek literature, and of the characterisation of Assyrian and Babylonian monarchs in Greek historiography.

Assyrian Monarchs in Greek tradition

A list of all Mesopotamian kings that are mentioned in Greek historiography would be a very long one. Listing all these kings may seem a tedious exercise, as Diodoros already remarked: ‘There is no special need of giving all the names of the kings and the number of years which each of them reigned, because nothing was done by them which merits mentioning’ (Diod. 2.22.1).46 Yet it has to be done. At first follows an enumeration of the most important ones, kings about whom something noteworthy is told. Not included are the names of kings about whom nothing is told, for example kings that appear only in king lists. These will be enumerated in the next section.

1. Enmerkar, Greek Σευήχορος (Ael. NH 12.21) or Εὐήχιος (Synkellos47). Both forms are based on an older Εὐήχιος (not attested). Enmerkar is a mythical king of Uruk, who is mentioned in several Mesopotamian sources.48 According to Aelian (second century AD), Seuechoros was the grandfather of Gilgamesh.

---

46 Translation by C.H. Oldfather (Loeb 1933).
2. Gilgamesh, Greek Γίλγαμος (Ael. NH 12.21). It is striking that Gilgamesh, the protagonist of Mesopotamia’s most famous epic, is never mentioned before Aelian. The birth story that is attached to Gilgamos is in origin the same story as is also told of Sargon, Semiramis and Moses.

3. Belos, Greek Βῆλος. Belos is the Babylonian deity Marduk, also called Belu ‘Lord’, transformed into a human king. Euhemeros seems to have been the first to regard Belos as such. In some texts, it is not clear whether Belos is seen as a king or as a deity. According to Aelian (VH 13.3), Xerxes had excavated the tomb of Belos, and this became the cause of his misfortune. This story is probably based on a real event: part of Etemenanki, Marduk’s cult centre, was demolished by order of the Persian king. The tomb of Belos is also mentioned by Diodoros (17.112.3) and Strabo (16.1.5).

4. Ninos, Greek Νίνος. Ninos is the eponymous hero of Nineveh. See section 7.3.

5. Semiramis, Greek Σεμίραμις (e.g. Hdt. 1.184; Ktesias; Dinon; Megasthenes; Strab. 15.1.5-6; 15.2.5; 16.1.2; Arr. An. 1.23.7; 6.24.1-3; Ael. NV 7.1; 12.39; Abydenos; see sections 8.4 and 8.5). She is often identified with Sammuramat, wife of Shamshi-Adad V (824-811) and mother of Adad-Nirari III (811-783), but this identification is

---

50 Binder 1964; Lewis 1980.
51 The name בֵּל Bel is also found in the Bible, in Jr. 50:2 parallel with מִרְדָך, in Is. 46:1 parallel with נִבּוֹ Nibo. See: Abusch in DDD sv Marduk (1999).
52 Garstad 2004.
53 Ktesias FGrH 688 F13b.
54 George 2010.
55 Belos’ tomb is discussed in Garstad 2004: 256 and Van der Spek 2006.
56 Diod. 2.4-20 (Ktesias FGrH 688 F1).
57 Dinon FGrH 690 F7; Ael. NH 7.1.
58 Jos. Ant.Iud. 10.227; Jos. c.Ap. 1.144; Megasthenes FGrH 715 F1; Strab. 15.1.6; Megasthenes FGrH 715 F11.
59 Abydenos FGrH 685 F7.
not really convincing, as will be argued in the next section. It is a sign of Semiramis’ fame that she has a birth story, while Ninos, the founder of the Assyrian empire and its dynasty, has not. Perhaps this indicates that Semiramis was once regarded as founder of a dynasty in her own right and that the stories of the founding of Babylon by Semiramis and that of the founding of Nineveh by Ninos once existed separately.

6. Ninyas, Greek Νινύας (Diod. 2.21). Like his father Ninos, Ninyas is an eponymous hero of Nineveh. His name resembles Ass. nīnuwa more closely than Nīνος.

7. Beletaras, Greek Βελιτανᾶς (Ktesias⁶⁰), Βελητάρας (Polyhistor⁶¹) or Βελιταρᾶς (Synkello⁶²). The Beletaras story has recently attracted some attention.⁶³ According to Agathias (sixth century AD), Beletaras had been a gardener, before he came to the throne. A gardener who became king is also mentioned in the Babylonian Chronicle of Early Kings, where it is related that ‘Erra-imitti, the king, installed Enlil-bani, his gardener, as substitute king on his throne’.⁶⁴ But Erra-imitti died and Enlil-bani remained king. According to Ktesias, Xerxes visited the tomb of Belitanas, but the details of this story are not preserved (according to Aelian, Xerxes excavated the tomb of Belos, probably the same story). Of course, none of this explains the origin of the name Beletaras/Belitanas.⁶⁵ Perhaps, it is a Greek transcription of Bēl-ēṭir ‘Bel is (my) saviour’, but no Assyrian or Babylonian king of this name is known.

8. Teutamos, Greek Τεύταμος (Diod. 4.22). Teutamos is also called Tautanos. The name Teutamos looks like a name of Illyrian origin.⁶⁶ How it became attached to an Assyrian king remains unexplained.

---

⁶⁰ Ktesias FGrH 688 F13 (from Photios).
⁶¹ Agathias 2.25.4-5; Bion FGrH 89 F1; Alexander Polyhistor FGrH 273 F81.
⁶⁴ ABC p.155 (chronicle 20 A).
⁶⁵ Ktesias FGrH 688 F13 §26 (Photios). Probably, it was the same story as told by Aelian (VH 13.3) of the tomb of Belos (F13b).
⁶⁶ There is a Macedonian officer called Teutamos (Diod. 18.59.3).
9. Chaldaios, Greek Χαλδαίος (Dikaiarchos67). Chaldaios is the eponymous hero of the Chaldeans (see chapter two).

10. Sennacherib (705-681), Greek Σανναχάρμιος (Hdt. 2.141). Note that Herodotos mentions Sennacherib and Sardanapallos only in his Egyptian logos. According to Zawadzki, the murder of Sennacherib by his son(s), which is known from cuneiform literature, and is mentioned in 2K. 19:37, is echoed in the story of the conspiracy of two of Semiramis’ sons against her life, which is told by Nikolaos of Damascus (first century BC).68

11. Nitokris, Greek Νίτωκρις (Hdt. 1.185). Nitokris is often identified with Naqia, Sennacherib’s wife and Esarhaddon’s mother.69 According to Röllig, Nitokris is Adad-Guppi, Nabonidus’ mother.70 According to Dougherty, Nitokris is Nabonidus’ wife, a princess of Egyptian descent.71 Although Dougherty’s arguments are not supported by any textual evidence, it must be admitted that Nitokris is an Egyptian name. Herodotos mentions in his second book an Egyptian Nitokris from an earlier period (Hdt. 2.100, Egypt. Ντ-ικρ-τι ‘Neith is excellent’).72 Perhaps, he has used the name of this Egyptian princess a second time.

12. Ashurbanipal (669-631), Greek Σαρδανάπαλλος73 (mentioned very often, e.g. Hdt. 2.150; Hellanikos;74 Kleitarchos;75 Diod. 1.23-28; Strab. 16.1.2; Arr. An. 2.5 and

67 Wehrli 1967: 26 (frg 55); Mirhady 2001: 68-71 (frg 60).
68 Zawadzki 1990.
69 Melville 1999: 2. Naqia’s dates of birth and death are not known, but it is known that she was already married to Sennacherib, when he became king (705), and that she was still alive at Ashurbanipal’s accession (669)
70 Röllig 1969.
71 Dougherty 1929: 51-62.
73 Often Σαρδανάπαλλος. See Schneider 2000.
74 Hellanikos FGrH 687a F2.
75 Kleitarchos FGrH 137 F2; Athen. 12.39 p.530a.
other Alexander historians; Abydenos76). Sardanapallos differs strongly from the warlike Ashurbanipal. In Greek literature, he is a typical luxury loving, effeminate and indolent Assyrian king. The Greek image of Sardanapallos is epitomized in a famous epitaph: ‘eat, drink, and play, for everything else in the life of a man is not worth this’ (Ar. An. 2.5).77 On the other hand, Diodoros tells us that Sardanapallos valiantly defended his kingdom, when the Babylonians and Medes revolted against the Assyrian supremacy (Diod. 2.25-27).78 This contrast is perhaps the origin of the idea, found in Hellanikos and Kallisthenes, that two kings of the same name had existed, one effeminate, and one brave.79

13. Nebuchadnezzar II (605-562), Greek Ναβοκοδρόσορος (Megasthenes80) or Ναβουχοδονόσορος (Abydenos81) and probably Herodotos’ first Babylonian king called Λαβύνητος (Hdt. 1.74; 1.188).82 In the sixth century, Greeks mercenaries had fought in Nebuchadnezzar’s army – for example Alkaios’ brother Antemenidas83 – but no reminiscence of this period seems to have reached the Greek historians. According to Megasthenes, who must have had (indirect) access to Babylonian sources, Nebuchadnezzar had even conquered parts of Libya and Iberia (Jos. Ant. Jud. 10.227).84

14. Nabonidus (556-539), Greek Λαβύνητος (Hdt. 1.77; 1.188), Ναβώνηδος (Jos. c.Ap. 1.151-153, from Berossos) or Ναβονάδιος (Ptolemy’s canon).

76 Abydenos FGrH 685 F7.
77 Aristobulos FGrH 139 F9; Athen. 12.39 p.350ab; Strab. 14.5.9; see Burkert 2009.
78 Perhaps, the story of Sardanapallos’ death in the flames is based on Shamash-shum-ukin’s death (Macginnis 1988; Dalley 2007: 50).
79 Hellanikos FGrH 4 F63; Kallisthenes FGrH 124 F34; see Schneider 2000; Burkert 2009: 507.
81 Abydenos FGrH 685 F1 and F6.
82 According to Dougherty (1929: 33-42) and Beaulieu (1985: 129-132), the first Labynetos is Nabonidus, at a moment when he was not yet king (585). In that case, the second king of this name must be Belshazzar.
83 Alkaios testimonium 1 (Strab. 13.2.23) and fragment 350.
84 Iberia is either Iberia in the Caucasus (i.e. eastern and southern Georgia) or Spain. At first sight Spain sounds improbable, but the Assyrian king Esarhaddon had also claimed to have received tribute from Tarshish (Tartessos) in Spain (ANET p.290; Day 2012: 360-361).
Of these rulers, only Ninos, Semiramis and Sardanapallos have really captured the Greek imagination, and of those three only Sardanapallos has really reigned over Assyria. Real knowledge of Assyrian history is very slight. Numbers and dates are imprecise and generally lack any relation to real historical data. Greek historians seem as a rule more interested in characterising Assyrian kings as typically oriental monarchs – rich, decadent and effeminate, ruled by the women in their harems and by their craving for luxury – than in historical accuracy. When more reliable data become available through Berossos, Greek historians seem not very interested. Berossos was used by Polyhistor and Abydenos, and especially by Josephos and a few Christian authors, who saw Berossos as a useful confirmation of what they found in the Hebrew Bible, but he was unknown to most and perhaps ignored by some who knew about him.85 Stories about Ninos, Semiramis and Sardanapallos remained the vulgar tradition about Assyria.

**More names: Kastor’s king list**

According to Diodoros (2.22.1) and Kephalion86, there is no use in enumerating all Assyrian kings, because most of them never did anything of consequence, but Greek and Syrian historians such as Ktesias, Kastor, Eusebios, Annianos, Synkellos and Elias of Nisibis,87 thought otherwise. The works of Ktesias and Kastor are lost, but Eusebios has handed down Kastor’s list, which probably was based on Ktesias’ list, and many Christian historians followed Eusebios.88 Here follows a list of Assyrian kings from the Armenian translation of Eusebios’ *Chronicon*89 (first column) and from Synkellos’ *Chronographica*90 (second column), with the number of years that every king has reigned behind the name:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assyrian Kings</th>
<th>Reign Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ninos</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semiramis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sardanapallos</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

85 Drews 1975.
87 Elias *Chronographia* ed. et trans. Brooks (1910, reprint 1962). Elias’ list (eleventh century) is based on Eusebios, Annianos (fifth century) and Andronikos (sixth century). Elias gives the (often diverging) regnal years from his three sources, but he does not make a choice.
88 According to Photios (§64), Ktesias’ *Persika* contained a list of all kings from Ninos and Semiramis to Artaxerxes. On the reconstruction of Ktesias’ king list, see Boncquet 1990.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Βήλος (55), ~Ogygos</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Νίνος (52)</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Šamiram (42)</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Νινύας, Ζάμης (38)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Αραλίος (40)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ξέρξης (30)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Αράλιος (40)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Βήλωχος (35)</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Βαλαής (52)</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Αλαδάς (32)</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Μαμυθός (30)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Ασχάλιος (28)</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Σφαῖρος (22)</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Μάμυλος (30)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Σπαρθέως (42)</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Ασκατάδης (38)</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Αμύνθης (45)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Βήλοχος (25), Belimos, ~Perseus, Dionysos Tratres, Achurard (17)</td>
<td>25, 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Βαλατόρης (30)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Λαμπρίδης (30)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Σωσάρης (20)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Λαμπραής (30)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

92 Elias: Atalos, Altadas.
93 Probably a mistake. Sethos is the name of an Egyptian king.
94 The synchronisms (indicated by ~) are from Eus. Chron. Arm. ed Karst p.28-30; Synkellos ed. Mosshammer p.196; Kephalion FGrH 93 F1. According to Kephalion, Belimos became king of the Assyrians 640 years after Ninos, which means that Belimos is identical to the second Belochos in Kastor’s list.
95 Perhaps identical to Beletaras.
The origin of most of these names is obscure. As we have seen, Belos is a Babylonian deity. Ninos, Ninyas, Arbelos, Chalaos, and perhaps Babios are eponymous heroes. Xerxes is a Persian king. Sosarmos is also the name of a Median king (Diod. 2.32.4). Sardanapallos and Konkoleros – the latter is probably identical to the mysterious Kandalanu, who reigned over Babylon in the years after 648/647 – are historical Mesopotamian kings. Names that start with Bel, like Belimos (perhaps Bel-ibni) and Belochos (and also Beletaras and Beleous, which are mentioned in other sources)

96 Diod. 2.22; Kephalion FGrH 93 F1; Synkellos ed. Mosshammer p196.
97 Arbelos, Chalaos (eponymous heroes of Arbel and Kalah), Anebos and Babios (perhaps a mistake for Babilos) are also found in Abydenos’ list of Assyrian kings (Eus. Chron. Arm. ed Karst p.25-26; Abydenos FGrH 685 F7), but Abydenos puts them between Belos and Ninos.
98 The name is missing in the manuscripts.
99 According to König (1972: 120), Akrazanes is Esarhaddon.
100 The identity of Kandalanu is subject of debate. Is has been suggested that he is identical to Ashurbanipal (Kuhrt 1997: 588-589).
sound Mesopotamian, but no real kings with these names are known, while many some other names sound Iranian. All in all, this king list looks almost as devoid of historical substance as Tolkien’s list of kings of Gondor. Second or third in this list of Assyrian kings and queens is Semiramis, to whom the next section is devoted.

**Semiramis**

Semiramis is a composite character, who embodies much of what the Greeks regarded as oriental, and who seems to incorporate features of many oriental kings and deities: Ishtar, Marduk, Naqia, Sennacherib and Adad-Guppi. Yet, the historical Assyrian queen Sammuramat, wife of Shamshi-Adad V (824-811) and mother of Adad-Nerari III (811-783), is often regarded as the real Semiramis, but this idea has less to recommend it than is often thought. This section discusses the character and origin of the Semiramis legend.

The place and time of origin of the Semiramis legend has been subject of much debate, especially in older literature. According to Lehmann-Haupt, the Semiramis saga has arisen among the Medes, who in Sammuramat’s time for the first time came in contact with the Assyrians.\(^\text{101}\) The Persians heard these stories from the Medes, and introduced them into Mesopotamia, where Greek travellers heard them. According to Eilers, stories about Semiramis originated in Urartu (the region which in later time was called Armenia) and in the adjacent mountainous areas of Iran, because in these regions the reminiscence of Semiramis was strongest.\(^\text{102}\) According to Röllig and Weinfeld, Semiramis’ roots lie in the West, in Palestine or Syria: her name is perhaps West-Semitic, and she is told to have been born in Askalon.\(^\text{103}\) According to Braun, Ninos and Semiramis were the protagonists of a cycle of Aramean stories from Syria or Mesopotamia.\(^\text{104}\) According to Drews, the origin of Semiramis’ birth narrative (Diod. 2.4) must be sought in Mesopotamia.\(^\text{105}\)

\(^{101}\) Lehmann 1901: 280; Lehmann-Haupt 1918: 253.

\(^{102}\) Eilers 1971: 68.


\(^{104}\) Braun 1938: 6.

\(^{105}\) Drews 1974: 388.
Carefully considered, it seems unlikely that Semiramis is Sammuramat. Assyria was not in his heyday in Sammuramat’s time. Only in the mid-eighth century, during the reign of Tiglath-Pileser (745–727), Assyria’s expansion started. It is not likely that a queen from around 800 BC would still be remembered centuries later and would grow into a successful general and the founder of Babylon, though it is possible. The unusual fact that a woman wielded so much power as Sammuramat did may have saved her from oblivion. Or it may be no more than coincidence. In medieval Britain, an obscure character such as Arthur, about whom even less is known than about Sammuramat, who perhaps is not historical at all, grew into a legendary king. The same could have happened to Sammuramat.

Yet, it is not likely that the Semiramis of the Greek historians was really a character from popular, oral tradition. Semiramis is mentioned first by Herodotos and in the Histories she is not yet the colourful character of almost mythical dimensions she is in Ktesias’ Persika. Herodotos only tells us that Semiramis built embankments near Babylon: ‘There were also two queens, the earlier, Semiramis, preceding the later by five generations. It was Semiramis who was responsible for certain remarkable embankments in the plain outside the city, built to control the river which until then used to flood the whole countryside’ (Hdt. 1.184). This text looks like a few lines from a guide for travellers and that is how Trajan read it more than five centuries later: ‘When Trajan reached Babylon, he visited an enormous dike that Semiramis was reported to have built. Its height was twenty-five feet, its width fifty feet, and its length two hundred stades’ (Arr. Parth. frg. 74). Herodotos does not tell us any of the things that are attached to Semiramis in Ktesias Persika: no birth legend, no campaigns, no founding of cities, no burying of lovers alive or doing other outrageous things, and no deification.

---

106 Rollinger in RLA sv Semiramis.
108 Translation by De Sélincourt.
109 Perhaps Nebuchadnezzar’s Median wall [#Xen. Strab. sugg. Kristin Kleber; >literature#].
If Herodotos had himself been in Babylon, the explanation of this text would have been easy: an inhabitant of the city had shown Herodotos an embankment that made quite an impression on him and his guide had called the builder something that sounded in Greek ears like Semiramis. But it is unlikely that Herodotos visited Babylon.\footnote{Fehling 1989: 243; Rollinger 1993: 184-187; Van der Spek 2008.} His knowledge of the city is by hearsay. He could have heard something about Semiramis from some traveller or historian who had visited Mesopotamia and seen these embankments. It is impossible to determine what his source was and how reliable it was, but it seems unlikely that Sammuramat was ever mentioned as builder of something in Babylonia. Shamshi-Adad V has conducted a campaign against Babylon, and Adad-narari III seems to have had some say in Babylonian politics,\footnote{ABC p.168-169, 243-245; RIMA III p.187-188, 191, 213; Kuhrt 1997: 577-578.} but there is no indication that Sammuramat ever built anything in Babylonia, and it seems unlikely that the Assyrians found time during a military campaign to build dikes in foreign territory.

This Semiramis, only a minor character in Herodotos Historiae, seems to have been transformed into an important character by Ktesias. Ktesias often employs this technique; it is known as ekphrasis.\footnote{Meister 1990: 64; Bleckmann 2006: 23.} He uses stories that were originally told of kings and goddesses from the ancient Near East and attaches them to Semiramis. An important source are stories about the the deity Ištar. A good example is the campaign against Bactriana (Diod. 2.5.6), where Semiramis in person leads the attack on the citadel and takes it, which is strongly reminiscent of descriptions of Ištar as a war goddess in Assyrian literature.\footnote{Dalley 2007: 74-75.} Semiramis having a horse for a lover also points to Ištar. The resemblance between Ktesias’ Semiramis and the Assyrian Sammuramat is limited and could be coincidence.

Attributing the foundation of Babylon and the building of its palaces and temples to Semiramis must also have been a Greek invention. The Babylonians themselves seem to have ascribed the founding of their city and its main temple Esagila to the gods, especially to Marduk. Nevertheless, Ktesias’ story contains a motif that is of
Babylonian origin. According to the Greek historian, the length of Babylon’s outer wall was 360 stades (Diod. 2.7.3) and its building was done in an unusual way: ‘In order to expedite the building of these constructions [Semiramis] apportioned a stade to each of her friends, furnishing sufficient material for their task and directing them to complete their work within a year’ (Diod. 2.8.1).\textsuperscript{114} Semiramis in Ktesias’ \textit{Persika} has Babylon completed in a year by her friends, exactly as Marduk in \textit{Enuma elish} by the Anunnaki: ‘When Marduk heard this, his face lit up greatly, like daylight. ‘Create Babylon, whose construction you requested! Let its mud bricks be moulded, and build high the shrine!’ The Anunnaki began shovelling. For a whole year they made bricks for it. When the second year arrived, they had raised the top of Esagila in front of the Apsu. They founded a dwelling for Anu, Ellil, and Ea likewise’ (\textit{Enuma elish} 6.44-47).\textsuperscript{115} An echo of the Babylonian tradition that the city and its main temples were built within a year by the Anunnaki is found in Ktesias story that the outer walls of Babylon were built within a year by Semiramis’ friends.

To sum up, Herodotos, the first Greek historian who mentions Semiramis, does not speak about her warlike character or sexual escapades, which are characteristics of the Ktesian image of Semiramis. In the \textit{Historiae}, she is a Babylonian queen who has built embankments to contain the rivers in the vicinity of Babylon. Babylonian water works were famous in the ancient world and are often mentioned in Greek descriptions of the city and the surrounding country. Quintus Curtius Rufus’ life of Alexander contains a description of the embankments that had been built to contain the Euphrates (Curt. 5.1.28). And Strabo elaborately describes the dams, locks and embankments that are necessary for agriculture in Babylonia (Strab. 16.1.9-10). Embankments, dams and irrigation works belong to the most important Babylonian achievements, and the original Semiramis was one of the many officials and monarchs who built them. It is not possible to determine who this Semiramis was, but she became a focal point for all Greek ideas about the East.

\textsuperscript{114} Translation by C.H. Oldfather.

\textsuperscript{115} Translation by Stephanie Dalley.
Conclusion

Greek historians that have written about Mesopotamia are many: Herodotos, the father of history, Ktesias, the unrivalled authority on Assyrian history, and many others. These Greek historians mention a great number of Assyrian and Babylonian kings and queens, but most of them are mere names, and, a bit surprisingly, many of them do not bear Assyrian or Babylonian names at all. Of all these kings and queens, only Ninos, the first king and founder of Nineveh, Semiramis, the founder of Babylon, and Sardanapallos, Assyria’s last and most decadent king, have captured the Greek imagination. The questions who Semiramis is and what the origin is of her name have been subject of much discussion in modern scholarly literature. These questions are the subject of the next two sections.
8.4 Sammuramat

Despite Greek beliefs to the contrary, politics were men’s business in Mesopotamia, as they were everywhere in the ancient Near East. Still, a few women have played an active part in the politics of their times. Egypt has had several women rulers. The most famous of these female pharaoh’s (there is no distinct word for reigning queen in Egyptian) are probably Hatchepsut and Nitokris. In the Sumerian king list, a female ruler is mentioned as well: Kubaba, a barmaid who became king and reigned for 100 years. More famous are the Assyrian queens Sammuramat and Naqia. It is often thought that Semiramis and Nitokris, both mentioned by Herodotos (1.184-187), are Sammuramat and Naqia, but these identifications are uncertain, as has been argued in the previous section. Much is known about Naqia, who was the wife of Sennacherib (705-681) and who played an important role during the succession of her son Esarhaddon (681-669), and again during the succession of her grandson Ashurbanipal (669-631). Less is known about Sammuramat, who was the wife of Shamshi-Adad V (824-811) and the mother of Adad-narari III (811-783). What is known about Sammuramat from contemporary inscriptions is discussed briefly in this section.

Sammuramat and her time

A stele from Ashur testifies to Sammuramat being the wife of Shamshi-Adad V (824-811) and the mother of Adad-narari III (811-783). This stele is found among a number of similar stelae, erected to commemorate Assyrian monarchs and officials. The text reads in the translation of Grayson: ‘Monument of Sammuramat, the palace woman [of Šam]šī-Adad, king of the universe, king of Assyria, mother of Adad-nārārī, king of the universe, king of Assyria, daughter-in-law of Shalmaneser, king of the four quarters’. It is remarkable that Sammuramat has her own stele, because most of the stelae from Ashur are erected in honour of men of authority, but Sammuramat is not the only woman in this predominantly male assemblage. Two
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other queens, wives of Sennacherib (705-681) and of Ashurbanipal (669-630), are among the honoured.\textsuperscript{119} These latter stelae are from the Sargonic period, when the position of highborn women was slightly better than in earlier times,\textsuperscript{120} but still they show that erecting a stele for a woman was not unique and not necessarily points to a position of power.

Another text that mentions Sammuramat is inscribed upon a statue of the deity Nabû. This statue has been donated by Bel-tarši-ilumma, governor of Kalah, to the temple of Nabû. The paragraph in which Sammuramat is mentioned reads in the translation of Grayson: ‘For the life of Adad-nārārī, king of Assyria, his lord, and (for) the life of Sammuramat, the palace woman, his mistress: Has Bēl-tarši-ilumma, governor of Calah (and) the lands Ḥamedu, Sirgāna, Temenu, (and) Ialuna, had (this statue) made and he dedicated (it) for his life’.\textsuperscript{121} The position of a palace woman at the Assyrian court in this period is not clear. It has been suggested that she was the most important – according to Landsberger in Sammuramat’s time even the only – wife of the Assyrian king, the mother of the crown prince. From other sources it is known that she had her own staff and rooms in the palace.\textsuperscript{122} Why Sammuramat, the wife of the previous king and the mother of the present king, is mentioned in this inscription, and not Adad-narari’s wife, is not clear. According to Pettinato, the reason could be that Sammuramat acted as regent.\textsuperscript{123} According to Lehmann-Haupt, the reason is that Sammuramat originally came from Babylon and that she was closely involved in the introduction of the cult of the Babylonian deity Nabû in Assyria.\textsuperscript{124} Unfortunately, neither Pettinato’s explanation nor that of Lehmann-Haupt is supported by the text of the inscription.

\textsuperscript{119} Andrae 1913: 6-10. The names are only partially readable, cf. Pettinato 1991: 35.
\textsuperscript{120} Reade 1987. Only from Sennacherib’s reign on, royal women are regularly mentioned in inscriptions. Before that period it was unusual (Dalley 2007: 26; RIMA III p.200).
\textsuperscript{121} RIMA III p.226-227. The pronunciation of the word that is translated as palace woman and that is written MUNUS.É.GAL, MÍ.É.GAL, MÍ.KUR has been subject to much discussion: ekallitu, sinnišat ekalli, ša ekalli or issi ekalli. Hebr. יָ֣שֶׂגַּל, an Assyrian loan, points to issi ekalli (Hebr. $\text{יָ֣שֶׂגַּל}$ = Assyr. $\text{šegal}$; Parpola 1988, Hämeen-Anttila 2000: 9-10; Svard 2010; Mankowsky 2000: 137-138; pace Millard 1976:4; HALAT sv šegal).
\textsuperscript{123} Pettinato 1991: 33.
\textsuperscript{124} Lehmann-Haupt 1901.
The most intriguing inscription in which Sammuramat is mentioned is the Pazarcık stele. This inscription reads in the translation of Grayson: ‘Boundary stone of Adad-nārāri, king of Assyria, son of Šamši-Adad, king of Assyria, (and of) Sammuramat, the palace woman of Šamši-Adad, king of Assyria, mother of Adad-nārāri, strong king, king of Assyria, daughter-in-law of Shalmaneser, king of the four quarters. In the days of Ušpilulume, king of the Kummuhites, they [Ataršumki and his allies] caused Adad-nārāri, king of Assyria, (and) Sammuramat, the palace woman, to cross the Euphrates’.125 A king on campaign was sometimes accompanied by his wife or mother.126 For example, after the battle of Issos, the mother, the wife and several children of Darius were found in the Persian camp (Arr. An. 2,12). But it is unusual that the king’s mother is mentioned in an Assyrian royal inscription, or that a woman is mentioned in connection with a campaign.127 This inscription suggests that Sammuramat had taken an active part in the events. Only when the fighting starts, the text switches to the first person singular (amdaḫiṣ). The question is, as it was in the inscription on the Nabû statue, why is the king’s mother mentioned in the Pazarcık stele? According to Boncquet, it shows that the queen played an active role in politics and that she was perhaps regent.128 Oded also regards it as an indication of her influence on her son’s reign, but regards a regency as unproven.129 Unfortunately, the inscription itself does not support these interpretations and caution about drawing conclusions is advisable.

The idea that Sammuramat had been regent for her infant son, which has been mentioned two times in the preceding paragraphs, was in first in the first instance based on another inscription, the Saba’a stele. The sentence in question: ina ḫumuṣṭi šatti ina kussî šarrūti rabiš ūšibu-ma.130 Unger translated it in 1916 as: ‘Als ich mich im

126 According to Dalley (2007: 108-109), royal women regularly accompanied the king on his campaigns, travelling on wagons. Proof of this custom is very limited.
127 RIMA III p.200; Svard 2010: 67.
130 RIMA III p.208 r 11-12.
5. Jahre auf den Thron meiner Majestät erhaben [set]zte’. In this interpretation, Adad-narari only took to the throne five year after the death of his father. This led him to the conclusion that Sammuramat had been regent for five years. But the cited sentence is grammatically problematic: ṛšibu is a subjunctive and subjunctives are only used in subordinated clauses. Other editors solve this problem by adding ša between šatti and ina. In Grayson’s translation: ‘In the fifth year after (ša) I had ascended nobly the royal throne’. The discussion is complicated somewhat by a number of ambiguities in the chronology of Adad-narari’s reign, but in 1972, after a thorough examination of the evidence, Schramm reached the conclusion that the sources leave us no room for a formal regency. But he assumes that Sammuramat exerted some political influence during her son’s reign.

**Conclusion**

Only a few things are known for certain about Sammuramat: she lived around 800 BC, she was the wife of Shamshi-Adad V (824-811) and the mother of Adad-narari III (811-783), and she is mentioned several times in inscriptions of her son, which was unusual in that period. Several explanations have been put forward: Adad-narari was still a minor when his father died and Sammuramat was regent for five years; Adad-narari was not the eldest son and his mother had engineered his succession; the legitimacy of Adad-narari’s succession was debated; Sammuramat had much influence in politics during her son’s reign. These explanations are all plausible, but the sources do not support any of them. It seems certain that Sammuramat had a special position during her son’s reign, but the nature and cause of her position remains uncertain.
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8.5 The Origin of the Name Semiramis

Although Semiramis is an Assyrian queen, she is also connected with the Levant in ancient Greek and in modern scholarly literature. According to Ktesias, Semiramis was born in Askalon. According to some modern scholars, her name is West-Semitic, which in itself does not say anything about her origins, because Aramaic was spoken all over the ancient Near East, but, more importantly, it could be explained from names or elements which are also known from Ugarit or from the Hebrew Bible. In this section, the origin of the name Semiramis is discussed to see if it can contribute something to explain the origin of the Semiramis legend. In antiquity, some people thought that the name Semiramis was connected to the Syrian word for pigeon. According to Semiramis’ birth narrative (Diod. 2.4, probably from Ktesias), she was abandoned by her mother in the wilderness, and she only survived, because pigeons fed her with milk and cheese, stolen from shepherds in the vicinity. When the girl was found, she was called Semiramis after the pigeons. In modern research, the connection between Semiramis and the word for pigeon has been abandoned. Some modern explanations of the name Semiramis are based on the Semitic word for heaven (Akk. šamû, Hebr. šomayim, Phoen. šāmēm), others on the word for name (Hebr. šem, Aram. Šūm, Akk. šumu), but most scholars explain it from the name of the Assyrian queen Sammuramat, of which the etymology is also controversial. It is sometimes regarded as Aramaic, sometimes as Akkadian, and sometimes even as Indo-Iranian. The difficulty with all these explanations is the same: each of them is plausible, but none of them is supported by decisive arguments.

Semiramis and Sammuramat

According to Diodoros (2.4.6), who probably follows Ktesias, Semiramis is derived from the Syrian word for dove (κατὰ τὴν Σύρων διάλεκτον). The question is, what is ‘the language of the Syrians’? Greek authors often seem to use Ἀσσύριος, Σύριος and Σύρος indiscriminately (see chapter 1), which makes it difficult to determine which language is meant. None of the West-Semitic languages yields a word for pigeon or dove that resembles Semiramis: Hebr. יונֵס ‘dove’, Aram. yaunā, Ugar. ynt; Hebr. הגוזל ‘turtledove’, Aram. zūgallā ‘young pigeon’; Hebr. זָוֶר tōr ‘turtledove’, Ugar.
Most explanations of Semiramis start with Sammuramat, the name of an Assyrian queen who lived around 800 BC. But the name Sammuramat (written ṣa-am-mu-ra-mat) itself is also difficult to explain. There are a number of explanations, but none of them is broadly accepted. The first one is Albright’s explanation: Sammuramat is a West-Semitic name, the Ass. form of Aram. šammurāmat ‘šammu is exalted, a name like Abram, Abiram (Hebr. אברם ‘abrom or בירם [my] father is exalted’) or Ahiram (Hebr. אחירם ‘ḥirom [my] brother is exalted’), in which ḥb ‘father’ and ḥḥ ‘brother’ refer to gods. The obvious weakness of this explanation is that an Aramaic goddess šammu is not known from any source available to us. Explaining these names from ṣemš ‘sun’ (the solar deity) is also unlikely, because the second ṣ is missing.

A second possibility is to derive Sammuramat from Akkad. rāmu ‘to love’, which is for example found in the Babylonian name Nabû-rāmat ‘Nabu she holds dear’. Of course, the question is how to explain the first part of the name. According to
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Mattila, it is the name of a deity, but a Mesopotamian deity Sammu is not known. According to Dalley, the first part is sammû ‘lyre, harp’ and Sammuramat means ‘the lyre is beloved’. At first sight, this is not a very convincing explanation, but a men’s name Sammû-rām does exist, in which sammû is spelled 𒈦𒈱𒀜₃𒈵, which makes it clear that it has to be interpreted as ‘lyre’. Despite the difference in spelling, Dalley’s explanation is a serious possibility.

A third explanation is based on the idea that Sammuramat is not Semitic, but Indo-Iranian or proto-Armenian. According to Pisani, it is the Akkadian rendering of *samara-vat-, derived from a word that is related to OI. samardā ‘battle’, with the common adjective suffix –vant-/vat-. Indo-Iranian words are sometimes found in the ancient near eastern texts, in particular in texts from Mitanni, a nation that played an important role in international politics of the fifteenth to the thirteenth century. It is possible that an Indo-Iranian name has survived the end of Mitanni in Urartu, which was located north of Assyria, near Mitanni, but it is speculative, because it would be the only example of such names that is found.

**A West-Semitic Origin**

Other scholars connect ‘Semiramis’ (but not ‘Sammuramat’) with names from the ancient Near East that are composed with ‘heaven’ or ‘name’. A Hebrew name that closely resembles Semiramis is שֶׁמֶרְמֹת (1Chr. 15:18, 20; 16:5; 2Chr. 17:8). The meaning of this name is not known. Perhaps it is derived from Hebr. שֶׁם ‘name’ and רמות ramōt ‘elevated places’, linked with the ū that is often found in names. The resemblance to Semiramis is of course striking, but it is not clear what this likeness means. Semiramot is a man’s name. The first Semiramot is a Levite and
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a singer from David’s time, the other one is a Levite from Jehoshaphat’s reign. A connection with Semiramis or Sammuramat is unlikely. Perhaps, if the meaning of the name Semiramot were known, it would help to explain the origin of the name Semiramis. Unfortunately it is not known.

Names or phrases composed with ‘heaven’ (Phoen. שָם, Hebr. שָמֵיָים, Aram. שָׁמַיִן, שָׁמִין, שְׁמַיָּיָו, Akkad. šamû) could perhaps contribute more to the explanation of the name Semiramis. The earliest text that could be cited in this context is a Ugaritic hymn to Rapiu ‘the Healer, the Saviour’ and to other gods. In this hymn, the goddess Anat is called b’lt drkt, b’lt šmm rmm (ba’latu darkati, ba’latu šamīma rāmīma) ‘mistress of power, mistress of the high heavens’. According to Virolleaud, drkt en šmm rmm immediately remind us of the goddesses Derketo and Semiramis, which were venerated in Syria in late antiquity. The view that Derketo and Semiramis are in one way or another connected to these epithets has been received with some enthusiasm, although the distance between a Ugaritic hymn and Greek historical texts is great.

Similar names are known from other periods. Cuneiform texts yield šamēramu (written ša-me-e-ra-mu), in a document from the reign of Artaxerxes I (465-424/3), and šamērammat (šA-n-e-ra-am-ma-ta), in a Hellenistic dedication from Uruk. Similar names are also found in Greek texts: Σεμηρώνιος, probably a scribal error for Σεμηρῶμος, the name of a Babylonian or Persian historian about whom nothing is known, and Σαμημρόμος, ὁ καὶ Ὑψουράνιος (Eus. PE 1.10.9, from Philo of Byblus). According to Eissfeldt and Baumgarten, this Samemroumos is the personification of a quarter in Tyre, which must have borne the same name as the quarter in Sidon that is mentioned in an inscription of Boḏašart, king of Sidon (KAI
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It is not always clear whether the second part of these names is W-Sem. ṛūm ‘to be exalted’ or Akk. ṛāmu ‘to love’, but in most cases a W-Sem. origin seems more likely. This means that these names are Aramaic, Phoenician or Hebrew, not Akkadian. Some of the bearers of these names are men, others are women.

**Conclusion**

It is not possible to make a choice from these explanations of the names Semiramis and Sammuramat, but it is possible to draw a few conclusions.

First, Semiramis is not derived from Akk. *summ(at)u* ‘dove, pigeon’, but Ktesias or another Greek historian could have heard a folk etymology or learned explanation of the name Semiramis, which was based on the likeness with *summ(at)u*.

Second, the interpretation of ‘Sammuramat’ as ‘Šammu/Šammu is exalted’ (Albright) or ‘beloved’ (Mattila), is unlikely, because a goddess Sammu or Šammu is not known, neither from Mesopotamia nor from Syria.

Third, the explanation of Sammuramat as an Indo-Iranian name is unlikely, because Indo-Iranian words and names are not known from Mesopotamia from the time around 800 BC, when Sammuramat lived.

This leaves us with two possibilities. First, Semiramis is a West-Semitic name, which is derived from šamēm ‘heaven’ or šem ‘name’ and ṛūm ‘to be exalted’, and is not in any way connected to Sammuramat. Second, Semiramis is the Greek rendering of Sammuramat, an Akkad. name meaning ‘the lyre is beloved’. Philologically, both explanations are possible. The similarity between Semiramis and šamē(m)-ram(u) is greater than the similarity between Semiramis and Sammuramat, but the Greek rendition of eastern names is usually rather imprecise. Sammuramat cannot be excluded, and a choice must be made on other than philological grounds.
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8.6 Assyrian Kings in Biblical and Greek Tradition

Both in the Hebrew Bible and in Greek historiography, a large number of Assyrian and Babylonian monarchs are mentioned. The authors of the books of Kings and of the Major Prophets knew the names of most Assyrian and Babylonian kings from Tiglath-pileser III onwards and they even knew when they had reigned, as is proven by synchronisms with Judean and Israelite kings. Genesis adds two more names: Nimrod and Amraphel, who are historically more difficult to place. The number of Mesopotamian monarchs in Greek historiography is larger and more variegated. It includes historical kings such as Sennacherib, Sardanapallos and Nebuchadnezzar, mythical figures such as Gilgamesh, Greek inventions, often eponymous heroes, such as Ninos, Ninyas and Arbelos, a deity, Belos, a Persian king, Xerxes, and two queens whose origins are debated, Semiramis and Nitokris. The number of names that is found both in Judean and in Greek historiography is surprisingly small. The most important are Gilgamesh, the Assyrian king Sennacherib and the Babylonian king Nebuchadnezzar.

Sumerian Kings

The Sumerians were probably not known to the Greeks and the Israelites, but the legendary Sumerian king Gilgamesh was widely known in the ancient Near East. His name is mentioned by Aelian (second century AD) and it is found in the Book of Giants from Qumran (third or second century BC). Aelian’s story and what is told about Gilgamesh in the Book of Giants have little in common, but they show that his name was still known in the Hellenistic period.

Assyrian Kings

The Bible mentions at least seven Assyrian kings by name: Nimrod, Tiglath-Pileser III, Shalmaneser III, Sargon II, Sennacherib, Esarhaddon, and Ashurbanipal. They are depicted as proud and powerful monarchs, and, except Nimrod (see chapter seven), they are all known from cuneiform records. Greek authors mention many Assyrian monarchs, too many to enumerate here. The most important are Ninos, Semiramis, Sennacherib, Nitokris, and Sardanapalos (Ashurbanipal). Most of them are depicted
as weak and decadent, and only a few are known from cuneiform records. One of
the few that is mentioned both in Greek and biblical traditions is Sennacherib.

Not only is Sennacherib mentioned both in the Bible and in Herodoto's, even the
stories that are told about him are similar: the deliverance of Jerusalem from
Sennacherib's army (2K. 18-19; Is. 36-37) and the victory of the Egyptian pharaoh
Sethos over Sennacherib (Hdt. 2.141), both by divine intervention. A shared origin
for both stories has often been postulated, but it is not easy to determine what the
connection between these stories exactly is. According to Herodoto's (2.141), the
Assyrian king marched against pharaoh Sethos, who had lost the support of his
soldiers and had to face Sennacherib with a ragtag army. In despair, Sethos turned
to his god Hephaistos, who in a dream promised the pharaoh his support. Sethos
marched out to meet the Assyrian army. When both armies were facing each other,
an army of mice destroyed during the night the quivers, bowstrings and leather
shield handles of the Assyrians. Sennacherib and his army had to retreat and Sethos
was saved. To commemorate this event, a statue of Sethos with a mouse in his hand
was erected in the temple of Hephaistos. The biblical story (2K. 18:17-19:36) is also
about a campaign of Sennacherib, this time against the Judean king Hezekiah.
Sennacherib devastated Judah's cities and twice he sent an embassy to Jerusalem to
require Hezekiah's surrender. The Judean king did not answer, but he turned to
Isaiah. The prophet promised divine aid and predicted that Sennacherib would
return to Assyria empty-handed. At night, an angel descended from heaven and
killed 185,000 Assyrians. The Assyrian king returned to Nineveh and was murdered
by two of his sons. In both stories Sennacherib and his army are driven back by
divine intervention, but the reason why his army has to turn back is different. It is
unlikely that the biblical story has been taken from Herodoto's Historiae, as
Wesselin tentatively suggests, or the other way around, because the differences
are too great. It seems more likely that both stories are based on the same event, a
setback that the Assyrian army suffered in the south of Palestine, as Evans thinks.
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About the other Assyrian king who is (perhaps) mentioned in the Bible and in Greek historiography, Ashurbanipal (Hebr. Osnappar, Gr. Sardanapallos), there are no stories common to both traditions. The Bible tells us hardly anything about him. It is not even absolutely certain that Ashurbanipal is mentioned at all.

**Babylonian Kings**

The Bible mentions at least six Babylonian kings by name: Amraphel, Marduk-apla-idddina II, Nebuchadnezzar II, Evil-Merodach, Neriglissar, and Belshazzar. Like the Assyrian kings, they are depicted as proud and powerful monarchs, especially Nebuchadnezzar, and they are all known from cuneiform records. Greek authors also mention a number of Babylonian monarchs: Belos, Chaldaios, Nebuchadnezzar, and Nabonidus. Of course, Semiramis and Nitokris could also have been mentioned here, because often Greek authors do not distinguish between Babylonian and Assyrian monarchs. Only a few of them are known from cuneiform records. The most important of these are Nebuchadnezzar and Nabonidus.

The most important Babylonian king that is mentioned in the Bible is of course Nebuchadnezzar, because he conquered Jerusalem twice and led the Judeans into captivity. In early Greek historiography he is called Labynetos. Herodotos mentions two kings called Labynetos, the Greek rendering of Nabuna'id. The first one is probably Nebuchadnezzar. This confusion of Nebuchadnezzar and Nabonidus is also found in the book of Daniel. Only in Megasthenes’ *Indika*, which was written in the late fourth or early third century, Nebuchadnezzar is found under his own name. Megasthenes knew how important this king had been, and how much he was held in esteem by the Babylonians, but as far as we know, he was one of the few among Greek historians. Nebuchadnezzar is also mentioned by the geographer Strabo, the Jewish historian Josephos (Ant. 10.228) and the Christian author Eusebios, and a few
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Greek historians whose works are lost. Why this king, who was a contemporary of Alkaicos en Hesiod, received so little fame remains an enigma.

**Explanation**

It is not difficult to explain why these kings – Gilgamesh, Sennacherib, Ashurbanipal (Sardanapallos) and Nebuchadnezzar/Nabonidus – were remembered in particular in Judean and Greek historiography. Gilgamesh was the hero of the widely known epic which bears his name. Nebuchadnezzar is the founder of the neo-Babylonian empire, and Nabonidus its last king. Ashurbanipal, called Sardanapallos by the Greeks, was the last powerful king of Assyria. Being the first king of a nation, or its last, makes a historical figure interesting. And Sennacherib must also have appealed to the imagination. His ignominious death – being murdered by two of his sons – became a source of speculation about the cause of such an infamous death. The fact that Sennacherib and Ashurbanipal both have fought in the West – Sennacherib during his campaign of 701, when Egypt supported revolts in the Levant against Assyria, and Ashurbanipal in 667 and 664, during his campaigns against Egypt – has probably also contributed to the Greeks being acquainted with these kings. The Egyptian connections explain why they are mentioned in Herodotos’ second book, about Egypt, and not in his first, which contains the Babylonian logos.

Of course, some stories (or perhaps better: literary motifs) of Babylonian origin are found both in Greek and biblical literature. The most famous is probably the story of the hero who was exposed at birth. This story, which was first told of Sargon of Akkad, reappears with different protagonists in biblical and Greek literature: Moses, Oidipous, Semiramis, Cyrus and Gilgamos are all heroes who were exposed at birth. But this motif is almost omnipresent and, although it told of a number of Assyrian monarchs in Greek literature, it does not show any relevance for this investigation.

166 Binder 1964; Lewis 1980.
Conclusion

As has been said in the introduction, one reason to compare Greek and biblical images of Mesopotamia, its history and its monarchs is to investigate whether the encounters between Assyrians or Babylonians and Greeks in the archaic period have left behind traces in Greek historiography. It is clear that the image that Greek historians of the fifth century and beyond created of the Assyrian and Babylonian history is partly based on Iranian and Mesopotamian sources from the Achaemenid period, and partly invented by these authors themselves, but it is to be expected that it also contains names or events that were known from the archaic period and that were heard in Egypt or the Levant. But in this chapter it has become clear that comparing Greek and biblical historiography of the Assyrians and Babylonians does not yield many common elements or traces of knowledge about Assyrian and Babylonian monarchs that are demonstrably from the archaic period or from the Levant. Some Mesopotamian monarchs, such as Sennacherib and Nebuchadnezzar, are mentioned both in biblical and in Greek historiography, but the similarities are few. It is likely that the names of Sennacherib, Ashurbanipal (Sardanapallos) and Nebuchadnezzar/Nabonidus (Labynetos) were first heard by Greek merchants and mercenaries in the Levant or in Egypt in the seventh and sixth centuries, but there are no similarities with biblical accounts of these kings to support this assumption.