

# VU Research Portal

## **Suspended Sentences Public opinion, compliance and recidivism**

Aarten, P.G.M.

2014

### **document version**

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

### **citation for published version (APA)**

Aarten, P. G. M. (2014). *Suspended Sentences Public opinion, compliance and recidivism*.

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

### **E-mail address:**

[vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl](mailto:vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl)

# References



- Aarten, P. G. M., Denkers, A., Borger, M. J., & Van Der Laan, P. H. (2013). Recidivism rates after suspended sentences: Comparison of the effects of different types of suspended sentences on recidivism in the Netherlands *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology*. DOI: 10.1177/0306624X13508929
- Abraham, M., Van Dijk, B., & Zwaan, M. (2007). *Inzicht in toezicht: De uitvoering van toezicht door de reclassering*. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: DSP groep, WODC.
- Achterberg, P. (2006). Het einde van links en rechts: realiteit of populaire mythe. *Mens & Maatschappij*, 81, 51-63.
- Ackerman, S. J., & Hilsenroth, M. J. (2003). A review of therapist characteristics and techniques positively impacting the therapeutic alliance. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 23(1), 1-33.
- Aiken, L. S., & West, S. G. (1991). *Multiple regression: Testing and interpreting interactions*. Thousand Oaks, California: Sage Publications.
- Andrews, D. A., & Bonta, J. (2010). *The psychology of criminal conduct*. Newark, NJ: LexisNexis.
- Andrews, D. A., Bonta, J., & Hoge, R. D. (1990a). Classification for effective rehabilitation: Rediscovering psychology. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 17(1), 19-52.
- Andrews, D. A., & Dowden, C. (2006). Risk principle of case classification in correctional treatment: A meta-analytic investigation. *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology*, 50(1), 88-100.
- Andrews, D. A., & Kiessling, J. J. (1980). Program structure and effective correctional practices: A summary of the CaVic research. In R. R. Ross & P. Gendreau (Eds.), *Effective correctional treatment* (pp. 441-463). Toronto, Canada: Butterworths.
- Andrews, D. A., Zinger, I., Hoge, R. D., Bonta, J., Gendreau, P., & Cullen, F. T. (1990b). Does correctional treatment work? A clinically relevant and psychologically informed meta-analysis. *Criminology*, 28, 369-404.
- Antonowicz, D. H., & Ross, R. R. (1994). Essential components of successful rehabilitation programs for offenders. *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology*, 38(2), 97-104.
- Aos, S., Miller, M., & Drake, E. (2006). *Evidence-based adult corrections programs: What works and what does not*. Olympia: Washington State Institute of Public Policy.
- Applegate, B. K., Cullen, F. T., & Fisher, B. (1997). Public support for correctional treatment: The continuing appeal of the rehabilitative ideal. *The Prison Journal*, 77(3), 237-258.
- Armstrong, S., McIvor, G., McNeill, F., & McGuinness, P. (2013). *International evidence review of conditional (suspended) sentences. Final report*. Glasgow, UK: The Scottish Centre for Crime & Justice Research.

- Bagaric, M. (1999). Suspended sentences and preventive sentences: Illusory evils and disproportionate punishments. *University of New South Wales Law Journal*, 22, 535-563.
- Barber, J., & Doob, A. N. (2004). An analysis of public support for severity and proportionality in the sentencing of youthful offenders. *Canadian Journal of Criminology and Criminal Justice*, 46(3), 327-342.
- Barber, J. P., Luborsky, L., Crits-Christoph, P., Thase, M. E., Weiss, R., Frank, A., Onken, L., & Gallop, R. (1999). Therapeutic alliance as a predictor of outcome in treatment of cocaine dependence. *Psychotherapy Research*, 9(1), 54-73.
- Barnes, G. C., Ahlman, L., Gill, C., Sherman, W., Kurtz, E., & Malvestuto, R. (2010). Low-intensity community supervision for low-risk offenders: a randomized, controlled trial. *Journal of Experimental Criminology*, 6(2), 159-189.
- Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51(6), 1173-1182.
- Bartels, L. (2007). The use of suspended sentences in Australia: Unsheathing the sword of Damocles. *Criminal Law Journal*, 31, 113-132.
- Bartels, L. (2009). The weight of the sword of Damocles: A reconviction analysis of suspended sentences in Tasmania. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Criminology*, 42(1), 72-100.
- Becker, H. S. (1963). *Outsiders: Studies in the sociology of deviance*. New York: Free Press.
- Becker, S. O., & Caliendo, M. (2007). Sensitivity analysis for average treatment effects. *Stata Journal*, 7(1), 71-83.
- Beerthuizen, M. G. C. J., & Wartna, B. S. J. (2013). *Vrijheid binnen de perken. Een verkennend onderzoek naar de inzet van voorwaardelijke vrijheidsstraffen*. The Hague, the Netherlands: WODC.
- Bernburg, J. G. (2009). Labelling theory. In M. D. Krohn, A. J. Lizotte & G. P. Hall (Eds.), *Handbook on crime and deviance* (pp. 187-207). London: Springer.
- Bies, R. J., & Moag, J. S. (1986). Interactional justice: communication criteria of fairness. In R. J. Lewicki, B. H. Sheppard & M. H. Bazerman (Eds.), *Research on negotiation in organizations* (pp. 43-55). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
- Bleichrodt, E. (1996). *Onder voorwaarde: een onderzoek naar de voorwaardelijke veroordeling en andere voorwaardelijke modaliteiten*. Deventer, the Netherlands: Gouda Quint.
- Bleichrodt, E. (2000). Creativiteit en voorwaardelijke sanctionering. *Justitiële Verkenningen*, 26(4), 44-51.
- Bleichrodt, E. (2009). De herontdekking van de bijzondere voorwaarde. *Proces*, 88(6), 304-313.
- Bleichrodt, F. W., & Vegter, P. C. (2013). *Sanctierecht*. Deventer, the Netherlands: Kluwer.

- Blumstein, A., Cohen, J., & Nagin, D. S. (1978). *Deterrence and incapacitation: Estimating the effects of criminal sanctions on crime rates*. Washington, D.C.: National Academy of Sciences.
- Bonta, J., & Andrews, D. A. (2007). *Risk-need-responsivity model of offender assessment and rehabilitation*. Ottawa: Public Safety Canada.
- Bonta, J., Bourgon, G., Rugge, T., Scott, T. L., Yessine, A. K., Gutierrez, L., & Li, J. (2011). An experimental demonstration of training probation officers in evidence-based community supervision. *Criminal Justice and Behavior, 38*(11), 1127-1148.
- Bonta, J., Rugge, T., Scott, T. L., Bourgon, G., & Yessine, A. K. (2008). Exploring the black box of community supervision. *Journal of Offender Rehabilitation, 47*(3), 248-270.
- Boone, M. (2010). Only for minor offences: Community service in the Netherlands. *European Journal of Probation, 2*(1), 22-40.
- Boone, M., & Moerings, M. (2007). Growing Prison Rates. In M. Boone & M. Moerings (Eds.), *Dutch Prisons* (pp. 51-76). The Hague, the Netherlands: Bju Legal Publishers.
- Bordin, E. S. (1979). The generalizability of the psychoanalytic concept of the working alliance. *Psychotherapy: Theory, Research and Practice, 16*(3), 252-260.
- Bottoms, A. E. (1995). The philosophy and politics of punishment and sentencing. In C. M. V. Clarkson & R. Morgan (Eds.), *The politics of sentencing reform* (pp. 17-50). Oxford: Clarendon.
- Bottoms, A. E. (2001). Compliance with community penalties. In A. Bottoms, L. Gelsthorpe & S. Rex (Eds.), *Community penalties: Change and challenges* (pp. 87-116). Cullompton: Willan.
- Bourgon, G., Bonta, J., Rugge, T., Scott, T., & Yessine, A. K. (2010). The role of program design, implementation and evaluation in evidence-based “real world” community supervision. *Federal Probation, 74*(1), 2-15.
- Bradford, B., Jackson, J., Hough, M., & Farrall, S. (2008). Trust and confidence in criminal justice: A review of the British research literature. In A. Jokinen, E. Ruuskanen, M. Yordanova, D. Markov & M. Ilcheva (Eds.), *Review of need: Indicators of public confidence in criminal justice* (pp. 141-190). Sofia, Bulgaria: Center for the Study of Democracy.
- Brody, S. R. (1976). *The effectiveness of sentencing - a review of the literature*. London: Her Majesty's Stationary Office.
- Brouwers, M., & Eggen, A. T. J. (2012). Berechting. In S. N. Kalidien, N. E. De Heer-De Lange & M. M. Van Rosmalen (Eds.), *Criminaliteit en Rechtspraak 2012: Ontwikkelingen en samenhangen* (pp. 133-152). Meppel, the Netherlands: Boom Juridische Uitgevers.

- Burnett, R., & McNeill, F. (2005). The place of the offender-officer relationship in assisting offenders to desist from crime. *Probation Journal*, 52(3), 247-268.
- Casper, J. D., Tyler, T., & Fisher, B. (1988). Procedural justice in felony cases. *Law & Society Review*, 22(3), 483-507.
- CentERdata. (2013). *Longitudinal Internet Studies for the Social sciences (LISS) panel*. Tilburg University, the Netherlands
- Chung, C., Schmidt, P., & Witte, A. D. (1991). Survival analysis: A survey. *Journal of Quantitative Criminology*, 7(1), 59-98.
- Cid, J. (2005). Suspended sentences in Spain: Decarceration and recidivism. *Probation Journal*, 52(2), 169-179.
- Cid, J. (2009). Is imprisonment criminogenic? A comparative study of recidivism rates between prison and suspended prison sanctions. *European Journal of Criminology*, 6(6), 459-480.
- Clarke, S. H., Lin, Y. W., & Wallace, W. L. (1988). *Probationer recidivism in North Carolina: Measurement and classification of risk* (Vol. University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill School of Government): Chapel Hill, NC.
- Cocker, S. (2006). *Using reconviction data to explore the usefulness of community penalties in West Yorkshire*. West Yorkshire: National Probation Service.
- Commissie Vrijheidsbeperking. (2003). *Vrijheidsbeperking door voorwaarden. De voorwaardelijke veroordeling en haar samenhang met de taakstraf, de voorlopige hechtenis en de voorwaardelijke invrijheidsstelling*. The Hague, the Netherlands: Sdu.
- Cox, D. R. (1972). Regression models and life-tables. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)*, 34(2), 187-220.
- Cullen, F. T., Fisher, B. S., & Applegate, B. K. (2000). Public opinion about punishment and corrections. *Crime and Justice: A review of research*, 27, 1-79.
- De Keijser, J. W. (2000). *Punishment and purpose: From moral theory to punishment in action*. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Thela Thesis.
- De Keijser, J. W., & Elffers, H. (2009). Punitive public attitudes: A threat to the legitimacy of the criminal justice system? In M. E. Oswald, S. Bieneck & J. Hupfeld-Heinemann (Eds.), *Social Psychology of Punishment of Crime* (pp. 55-74). UK: Wiley-Blackwell.
- De Koster, W., Van Der Waal, J., Achterberg, P., & Houtman, D. (2008). The rise of the penal state. Neo-liberalization or new political culture? *British Journal of Criminology*, 48(6), 720-734.
- Dekker, P., & Van Der Meer, T. (2007). *Vertrouwen in de rechtspraak nader onderzocht*. The Hague, the Netherlands: Sociaal en Cultureel Planbureau.
- Dignan, J. (1984). The sword of Damocles and the clang of the prison gates: Prospects on the inception of the partly suspended sentence. *The Howard Journal of Criminal Justice*, 23(3), 183-200.

- DiPrete, T. A., & Gangl, M. (2004). Assessing bias in the estimation of causal effects: Rosenbaum bounds on matching estimators and instrumental variables estimation with imperfect instruments. *Sociological Methodology, 34*(1), 271-310.
- Directoraat-Generaal Preventie Jeugd en Sancties. (2008). *Maatregelen recidivireductie: nadruk op nazorg*. The Hague, the Netherlands: Ministerie van Veiligheid en Justitie.
- Dirkzwager, A., Nieuwbeerta, P., & Fiselier, J. P. S. (2009). Onbedoelde gevolgen van vrijheidsstraffen: Een literatuurstudie. *Tijdschrift voor Criminologie, 51*(1), 21-41.
- Dowden, C., & Andrews, D. A. (2004). The importance of staff practice in delivering effective correctional treatment: a meta-analytic review of Core Correctional Practice. *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology, 48*(2), 203-214.
- Downes, D., & Van Swaaningen, R. (2007). The road to Dystopia? Changes in the penal climate of the Netherlands. *Crime and Justice: A Review of Research, 35*, 31-71.
- Durham, A. M. (1993). Public opinion regarding sentences for crime: Does it exist? *Journal of Criminal Justice, 21*(1), 1-11.
- Durnescu, I. (2012). What matters most in probation supervision: Staff characteristics, staff skills or programme? *Criminology and Criminal Justice, 12*(2), 193-216.
- Dutch Central Statistical Office Statline (2014). *Misdrijven: Opgelegde straffen en maatregelen*. Retrieved 31 January 2014, from [http://statline.cbs.nl/StatWeb/publication/?DM=SLNL&PA=03727&D1=4-6&D2=0&D3=0&D4=0&D5=\(1-10\)-l&VW=T](http://statline.cbs.nl/StatWeb/publication/?DM=SLNL&PA=03727&D1=4-6&D2=0&D3=0&D4=0&D5=(1-10)-l&VW=T).
- Elffers, H., & De Keijser, J. W. (2008). Different perspectives, different gaps: Does the general public demand a more responsive judge? In H. Kury (Ed.), *Fear of crime – Punitivity. New Developments in Theory and Research (Crime and Crime Policy, vol. 3)* (pp. 447-470). Bochum: Universitätsverlag Dr. N. Brockmeyer.
- Elffers, H., De Keijser, J. W., Van Koppen, P. J., & Van Haeringen, L. (2007). Newspaper juries. A field experiment concerning the effect of information on attitudes towards the criminal justice system. *Journal of Experimental Criminology, 3*, 163-182.
- Farrington, D. P. (1983). Randomized experiments on crime and justice. *Crime and Justice, 4*, 257-308.
- Farrington, D. P., & Welsh, B. C. (2006). A half century of randomized experiments on crime and justice. *Crime and Justice, 34*, 55-132.
- Faulkner, D. (2005). Parties, politics and punishment. *Criminal Justice Matters, 60*(1), 6-39.



## References

- Flanagan, J. T. (1996). Community corrections in the public mind. *Federal Probation*, 60(3), 3-9.
- Flight, S., Nauta, O., Terpstra, J., De Jonge, G., Hulshof, P., Abraham, M., & Roorda, W. (2011). *Voorwaardelijk vrij: Evaluatie van de wet voorwaardelijke invrijheidsstelling*. The Hague, the Netherlands: WODC.
- Franke, H. (1996). *Twee eeuwen gevangenisstraf in Nederland. De macht van het lijden*. The Netherlands: Balans.
- Freiberg, A., & Moore, V. (2009). Disbelieving suspense: Suspended sentences of imprisonment and public confidence in the criminal justice system. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Criminology*, 42(1), 101-122.
- Friendship, C., Beech, A. R., & Browne, K. D. (2002). Reconviction as an outcome measure in research: A methodological note. *British Journal of Criminology*, 42, 442-444.
- Frigo, D. (2006). *Therapeutic alliance: Improving treatment outcome*. Minnesota: Butler Center for Research, Hazelden Foundation.
- Garfinkel, H. (1956). Conditions of successful degradation ceremonies. *American Journal of Sociology*, 61(5), 420-424.
- Gaston, L. (1990). The concept of the alliance and its role in psychotherapy: Theoretical and empirical considerations. *Psychotherapy: Theory, Research, Practice, Training*, 27(2), 143-153.
- Gaston, L., Marmer, C. R., Gallagher, D., & Thompson, L. W. (1991). Alliance prediction of outcome beyond in-treatment symptomatic change as psychotherapy processes. *Psychotherapy Research*, 1(2), 104-113.
- Geerken, M., & Gove, W. R. (1975). Deterrence: Some theoretical considerations. *Law & Society Review*, 9(3), 497-513.
- Gendreau, P., & Ross, R. R. (1981). Correctional potency: Treatment and deterrence on trial. In R. Roesch & R. R. Corrado (Eds.), *Evaluation and criminal justice policy*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage Publications.
- Glaze, L. E., Bonczar, T. P., & Zhang, F. (2010). Probation and parole in the United States 2009 (BJS Special Bulletin NCJ 2231674). Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice.
- Gray, M. K., Fields, M., & Maxwell, S. R. (2001). Examining probation violations - who, what and when. *Crime & Delinquency*, 47(4), 537-557.
- Guo, S., & Fraser, M. W. (2010). *Propensity score analysis: Statistical methods and applications*. Thousands Oaks: SAGE Publications.
- Henderson, H., Wells, W., Maguire, E. R., & Gray, J. (2010). Evaluating the measurement properties of procedural justice in a correctional setting. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 37(4), 384-399.
- Hirschi, T. (1969). *Causes of delinquency*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

- Horvath, A., & Symonds, D. (1991). Relation between working alliance and outcome in psychotherapy: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 38(2), 139-149.
- Hough, M. (1996). People talking about punishment. *The Howard Journal of Criminal Justice*, 35(3), 191-214.
- Hough, M., & Roberts, J. V. (1998). *Attitudes to punishment: Findings from the British Crime Survey (Vol. Home Office Research Study, vol. 179)*. London, UK: Home Office.
- Hough, M., & Roberts, J. V. (1999). Sentencing trends in Britain: Public knowledge and public opinion. *Punishment & Society*, 1(1), 11-26.
- Hutton, N. (2005). Beyond populist punitiveness. *Punishment & Society*, 7(3), 243-258.
- Ignazi, P. (1992). The silent counter-revolution: Hypotheses on the emergence of extreme right-wing parties in Europe. *European Journal of Political Research*, 22, 3-34.
- Jackson, J., Bradford, B., Hough, M., Myhill, A., Quinton, P., & Tyler, T. R. (2012). Why do people comply with the law? Legitimacy and the influence of legal institutions. *British Journal of Criminology*, 52(6), 1051-1071.
- Jacobs, M. J. G., Siesling, M., & Van Kalmthout, A. M. (2009). *Optimaliseren van voorwaardelijke sancties. Een procesevaluatie*. The Hague, the Netherlands: WODC.
- Jacobs, M. J. G., Van Kalmthout, A. M., & Von Bergh, M. Y. W. (2006). *Toepassing van bijzondere voorwaarden bij voorwaardelijke vrijheidsstraf en schorsing van de voorlopige hechtenis bij volwassenen*. Tilburg, the Netherlands: IVA Beleidsonderzoek & Advies.
- Janssen, J. H. L. J. (2000). *Laat maar zitten: Een exploratief onderzoek naar de werking van de korte vrijheidsstraf*. The Hague, the Netherlands: Boom Juridische Uitgevers.
- Kelk, C. (2004). De voorwaardelijke veroordeling en de voorwaardelijke invrijheidsstelling onder de loep. *Delikt en Delinkwent*, 1(3), 33-59.
- Kennealy, P., Skeem, J., Manchak, S., & Eno Loudon, J. (2012). Firm, fair and caring officer-offender relationships protect against supervision failure. *Law and Human Behavior*, 36(6), 496-505.
- Klockars, C. (1972). A theory of probation supervision. *Journal of Criminal Law, Criminology and Police Science*, 63, 550-557.
- Lamet, W., Dirkzwager, A., Denkers, A., & Van Der Laan, P. (2013). Social bonds under supervision: Associating social bonds of probationers with supervision failure. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 40(7), 784-801.
- Laub, J. H., & Sampson, R. J. (1993). Turning points in the life course: Why change matters to the study of crime. *Criminology*, 31(3), 301-325.
- Laver, M., & Budge, J. (1992). *Party Politics and Government Coalitions*. London, UK: MacMillan Press.

## References

- Lind, E. A., & Tyler, T. R. (1988). *The social psychology of procedural justice*. New York: Plenum Press.
- Lipsey, M. W. (1992). Juvenile delinquency treatment: A meta-analytic inquiry into viability of effects. In T. D. Cook, H. Cooper, D. S. Cordray, H. Hartman, L. V. Hedges, R. J. Light, T. A. Louis & F. Mosteller (Eds.), *Meta-analysis for explanation: A casebook* (pp. 83-127). New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Lipsey, M. W., & Cullen, F. T. (2007). The effectiveness of correctional rehabilitation: A review of systematic reviews. *Annual Review of Law and Social Science*, 3, 297-320. DOI: 10.1146/annurev.lawsocsci.3.081806.112833
- Lulham, R., Weatherburn, D., & Bartels, L. (2009). *The recidivism of offenders given suspended sentences: A comparison with full-time imprisonment*. Sydney, Australia: NSW Bureau of Crime Statistics and Research.
- MacKenzie, D. L. (2000). Evidence-based corrections: Identifying what works. *Crime & Delinquency*, 46(4), 457-471.
- MacKenzie, G., Spiranovic, C., Warner, K., Stobbs, N., Gelb, K., Roberts, L., Broadhurst, R., & Boulhours, T. (2012). Sentencing and public confidence: Results from a national Australian survey on public opinion towards sentencing. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Criminology*, 45(1), 45-65.
- Martin, D. J., Garske, J. P., & Davis, M. K. (2000). Relation of the therapeutic alliance with outcome and other variables: A meta-analytic review. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 68(3), 438-450.
- Martinson, R. (1974). What works? Questions and answers about prison reform. *Public Interest*, 35, 22-54.
- Maruna, S., & King, A. (2004). Public opinion and community penalties. In T. Bottoms, S. Rex & G. Robinson (Eds.), *Alternatives to prison: Options for an insecure society*. Portland, US: Willan Publishing.
- Mascini, P., & Houtman, D. (2006). Rehabilitation and repression: Reassessing their ideological embeddedness. *British Journal of Criminology*, 46(5), 822-836.
- Matthews, R. (2005). The myth of punitiveness. *Theoretical Criminology*, 9(2), 175-201.
- Maxwell, S. R., & Gray, M. K. (2000). Deterrence: Testing the effects of perceived sanction certainty on probation violations. *Sociological Inquiry*, 70(2), 117-136.
- McCorkle, R. C. (1993). Research note: Punish and rehabilitate? Public attitudes toward six common crimes. *Crime & Delinquency*, 39(2), 240-252.
- Morgan, K. D. (1994). Factors associated with probation outcome. *Journal of Criminal Justice*, 22(4), 341-353.
- Morris, N., & Tonry, M. (1990). *Between prison and probation: Intermediate punishments in a rational sentencing system*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Murphy, K., & Tyler, T. (2008). Procedural justice and compliance behavior: the mediating role of emotions. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 38(4), 652-668.

- Murphy, K., Tyler, T., & Curtis, A. (2009). Nurturing regulatory compliance: Is procedural justice effective when people question the legitimacy of the law? *Regulation & Governance*, 3, 1-26.
- Nagin, D. S. (1998). Criminal deterrence research at the outset of the Twenty-First Century. *Crime & Justice*, 23, 1-42.
- Nagin, D. S., Cullen, F. T., & Jonson, C. L. (2009). Imprisonment and reoffending. *Crime and Justice: A review of research*, 38, 115-200.
- Nagin, D. S., & Paternoster, R. (1991). The preventive effects of the perceived risk of arrest: Testing an expanded conception of deterrence. *Criminology*, 29, 561-585.
- Nagin, D. S., & Pogarsky, G. (2001). Integrating celerity, impulsivity and extralegal sanction threats into a model of general deterrence: Theory and evidence. *Criminology*, 39, 865-892.
- Nauta, B., Moolenaar, D. E. G., & Van Tulder, F. P. (2011). Kosten van criminaliteit. In S. N. Kalidien, N. E. De Heer-De Lange & M. M. Van Rosmalen (Eds.), *Criminaliteit en Rechtsbandhaving 2010: Ontwikkelingen en samenhangen* (pp. 241-270). Meppel, the Netherlands: Boom Juridische Uitgevers.
- Olson, D. E., & Lurigio, A. J. (2000). Predicting probation outcomes: Factors associated with probation rearrests, revocations, and technical violations during supervision. *Justice Research and Policy*, 2(1), 73-86.
- Paparozzi, M. A., & Gendreau, P. (2005). An intensive supervision program that worked: Service delivery, professional orientation, and organizational supportiveness. *Prison Journal*, 85, 445-466.
- Paternoster, R. (1987). The deterrent effect of the perceived certainty and severity of punishment: A review of the evidence and issues. *Justice Quarterly*, 4, 173-217.
- Paternoster, R. (2010). How much do we really know about criminal deterrence? *Journal of Criminal Law & Criminology*, 100(3), 765-823.
- Paternoster, R., Brame, R., Bachman, R., & Sherman, L. W. (1997). Do fair procedures matter? The effect of procedural justice on spouse assault. *Law & Society Review*, 31(1), 163-204.
- Paternoster, R., & Iovanni, L. (1986). The deterrent effect of perceived severity: A reexamination. *Social Forces*, 64, 751-777.
- Paternoster, R., & Iovanni, L. (1989). The labeling perspective and delinquency: An elaboration of the theory and assessment of the evidence. *Justice Quarterly*, 6(3), 359-394.
- Paternoster, R., Saltzman, L. E., Waldo, G. P., & Chiricos, T. G. (1983). Perceived risk and social control: Do sanctions really deter? *Law & Society Review*, 17, 457-479.

## References

- Payne, B. K., Gainey, R. R., Triplett, R. A., & Danner, M. J. E. (2004). What drives punitive beliefs? Demographic characteristics and justifications for sentencing. *Journal of Criminal Justice*, 32(3), 195-206.
- Peel, M. J., & Makepeace, G. H. (2009). *Propensity score matching in accounting research and rosenbaum bounds analysis for confounding variables*. Retrieved 18 November 2012, from <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1485734>
- Petersilia, J. (1998). Probation and parole. In M. Tonry (Ed.), *The handbook of crime and punishment* (pp. 563-568). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Petersilia, J. (1999). A decade of experimenting with intermediate sanctions: What have we learned? *Corrections Management Quarterly*, 3(3), 19-27.
- Petersilia, J., & Turner, S. (1990). *Intensive supervision for high-risk probationers: Findings from three California experiments*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND.
- Petersilia, J., & Turner, S. (1993). Intensive probation and parole. *Crime and Justice*, 17, 281-335.
- Peterson, M. A., Braiker, H., & Polich, S. M. (1980). *Doing crime: A survey of California Prison Inmates*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND.
- Plaisier, J., & Pennekamp, S. (2009). *Planevaluatie reclasseringstoezicht*. The Hague, the Netherlands: Impact R&D, WODC.
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Lee, J., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2003). Common method biases in behavioral research: A critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(5), 879-903.
- Poort, R., & Eppink, K. (2009). *Een literatuuronderzoek naar de effectiviteit van de reclassering*. The Hague, the Netherlands: WODC.
- Preacher, K. J., & Hayes, A. F. (2004). SPSS and SAS procedures for estimating indirect effects in simple mediation models. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments & Computers*, 36(4), 717-731.
- Raynor, P. (2002). *Community penalties: Probation, punishment and 'what works'*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Raynor, P., & Vanstone, M. (2001). Straight Thinking on Probation: Evidence-Based Practice and the Culture of Curiosity. In G. A. Bernfeld, D. P. Farrington & A. W. Leschied (Eds.), *Offender Rehabilitation in Practice: Implementing and Evaluating Effective Programs* (pp. 189-203). New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Reclassering Nederland (2014). *Onder toezicht*. Retrieved 10 November 2013, from <http://www.reclassering.nl/wat-wij-doen/verdachten-en-veroordeelden/onder-toezicht>.
- Reclassering Nederland (2014). *Cijfers 2012*. Retrieved 25 January 2014, from <http://www.reclassering.nl/wie-wij-zijn/feiten-en-cijfers>.
- Reisig, M. D., Bratton, J., & Gertz, M. G. (2007). The construct validity and refinement of process-based policing measures. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 34(8), 1005-1028.

- Reisig, M. D., & Mesko, G. (2009). Procedural justice, legitimacy, and prisoner misconduct. *Psychology, Crime & Law*, 15(1), 41-59.
- Rex, S. (1999). Desistance from offending: Experiences of probation. *The Howard Journal*, 38(4), 366-383.
- Roberts, J. V. (1992). Public opinion, crime and criminal justice. *Crime and Justice: A Review of Research*, 16, 99-180.
- Roberts, J. V. (1997). Conditional sentencing: Sword of Damocles or Pandora's Box? *Canadian Criminal Law Review*, 2, 183-206.
- Roberts, J. V. (2002). Public opinion and the nature of community penalties: International findings. In J. V. Roberts & H. Hough (Eds.), *Changing attitudes to punishment: Public opinion, crime and justice* (pp. 33-62). Cullompton, Devon: Willan Publishing.
- Roberts, J. V., & Gabor, T. (2004). Living in the shadow of prison: Lessons from the Canadian experience in decarceration. *British Journal of Criminology*, 44(1), 92-112.
- Roberts, J. V., & Hough, M. (2002). Public attitudes to punishment: The context. In J. V. Roberts & H. Hough (Eds.), *Changing attitudes to punishment: Public opinion, crime and justice* (pp. 1-14). Cullompton, Devon: Willan Publishing.
- Roberts, J. V., & Hough, M. (2005). The state of the prisons: Exploring public knowledge and opinion. *The Howard Journal*, 44(3), 286-306.
- Robinson, G., & McNeill, F. (2008). Exploring the dynamics of compliance with community penalties. *Theoretical Criminology*, 12(4), 431-449.
- Rosenbaum, P., & Rubin, D. (1983). The central role for the propensity score in observational studies of causal effects. *Biometrika*, 70(1), 41-55.
- Ross, E. C., Polaschek, D. L. L., & Ward, T. (2008). The therapeutic alliance: A theoretical revision for offender rehabilitation. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 13(6), 462-480.
- Roundtree, G. A., Edwards, D. W., & Parker, J. B. (1984). A study of the personal characteristics of probationers as related to recidivism. *Journal of Offender Counseling, Services & Rehabilitation*, 8(3), 53-61.
- Ruiter, S., Tolsma, J., De Hoon, M., Elffers, H., & Van Der Laan, P. (2011). *De burger als rechter. Een onderzoek naar geprefereerde sancties voor misdrijven in Nederland*. The Hague, the Netherlands: Boom Lemma Uitgevers.
- Sanders, T., & Roberts, J. V. (2000). Public attitudes towards conditional sentencing: Results of a national survey. *Canadian Journal of Behavioral Science*, 32(4), 199-207.
- Schuyt, P. M. (2011). Persoonsgericht straffen: De voorwaardelijke veroordeling 'nieuwe stijl'. *Sancties*, 3, 169-180.

## References

- Schwartz, I. M., Guo, S., & Kerbs, J. J. (1993). The impact of demographic variables on public opinion regarding juvenile justice: Implications for public policy. *Crime & Delinquency*, 39(1), 5-28.
- Searle, W., Paulin, J., & Waldegrave, T. (1998). The activation of suspended sentences of imprisonment. In P. Spier (Ed.), *Conviction and sentencing of offenders in New Zealand: 1988 to 1997* (pp. 119-130). Wellington, New Zealand: Ministry of Justice.
- Sherman, L. W., Gottfredson, D. C., MacKenzie, D. L., Eck, J., Reuter, P., & Bushway, S. (1998). *Preventing crime: What works, what doesn't, what's promising*. Washington, DC: National Institute of Justice.
- Shoham, S., & Sandberg, M. (1964). Suspended sentences in Israel: An evaluation of the preventive efficacy of prospective imprisonment. *Crime & Delinquency*, 10(1), 74-83.
- Sims, B., & Jones, M. (1997). Predicting success or failure on probation: Factors associated with felony probation outcomes. *Crime & Delinquency*, 43(3), 314-327.
- Skeem, J. L., Eno Loudon, J., Polaschek, D., & Camp, J. (2007). Assessing relationship quality in mandated community treatment: Blending care with control. *Psychological Assessment*, 19(4), 397-410.
- Skeem, J. L., & Manchak, S. (2008). Back to the future: From Klockars' model of effective supervision to evidence-based practice in probation. *Journal of Offender Rehabilitation*, 47(3), 220-247.
- Snowball, L. (2011). *The profile of offenders receiving suspended sentences*. Sydney, Australia: NSW Bureau of Crime Statistics and Research.
- Sociaal Cultureel Planbureau. (2009). *De sociale staat van Nederland 2009*. The Hague, the Netherlands: Sociaal en Cultureel Planbureau.
- Soothill, K. (1981). The suspended sentence for ex-prisoners revisited. *Criminal Law Review*, 821-828.
- Stalans, L. (2002). Measuring attitudes to sentencing. In J. V. Roberts & M. Hough (Eds.), *Changing Attitudes to Punishment: Public Opinion, crime and justice* (pp. 15-32). Cullompton: Willan Publishing.
- Stichting Verslavingsreclassering GGZ (2014). *Feiten en cijfers*. Retrieved 18 february 2014, from [http://www.svg.nl/over\\_de\\_svg/feiten\\_en\\_cijfers/feiten\\_en\\_cijfers\\_2011\\_archief.html](http://www.svg.nl/over_de_svg/feiten_en_cijfers/feiten_en_cijfers_2011_archief.html).
- Sundt, J. L., Cullen, F. T., Applegate, B. K., & Turner, M. G. (1998). The tenacity of the rehabilitative ideal revisited: Have attitudes toward offender treatment changed? *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 25(4), 426-442.
- Sunshine, J., & Tyler, T. R. (2003a). The role of procedural justice and legitimacy in shaping public support for policing. *Law & Society Review*, 37(3), 513-548.

- Sykes, G. M. (2007). *The society of captives*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Tak, P. J. (2001). Sentencing and punishment in the Netherlands. In M. Tonry & R. Frase (Eds.), *Sentencing and sanctions in western countries* (pp. 151-187). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Taxman, F. S. (2002). Supervision - Exploring the dimensions of effectiveness. *Federal Probation*, 66, 14-27.
- Thibaut, J., & Walker, L. (1975). *Procedural justice: A psychological analysis*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Thomas, D. R., & Ragona, A. J. (1987). Popular moderation versus governmental authoritarianism: An interactionist view of public sentiments toward criminal sanctions. *Crime & Delinquency*, 33(3), 337-357.
- TK 2000/01, 27 419, no. 1.
- TK 2003/04, 29 200, no. 167.
- TK 2007/08, 24 587, no. 299.
- TK 2009/10, 32 319, no. 3.
- Tonry, M., & Bijleveld, C. (2007). Crime, criminal justice, and criminology in the Netherlands. *Crime and Justice: A Review of Research*, 35, 1-30.
- Tyler, T. R. (2001). Public trust and confidence in legal authorities: What do majority and minority group members want from the law and legal institutions? *Behavioral Sciences & the Law*, 19(2), 215-235.
- Tyler, T. R. (2006). *Why people obey the law*. Princeton and Oxford: Princeton University Press.
- Tyler, T. R., & Huo, Y. J. (2002). *Trust in the law: Encouraging public cooperation with the police and courts*. New York: Russel Sage Foundation.
- Tyler, T. R., Jackson, J., & Bradford, B. (2013). Psychology of procedural justice and cooperation. In G. Bruinsma & D. Weisburd (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Criminology and Criminal Justice* (pp. 4011-4034). New York: Springer.
- Tyler, T. R., & Lind, E. A. (1992). A relational model of authority in groups. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, 25, 115-191.
- Tyler, T. R., Sherman, L., Strang, H., Barnes, G. C., & Woods, D. (2007). Reintegrative shaming, procedural justice, and recidivism: The engagement of offenders' psychological mechanisms in the Canberra RISE drinking-and-driving experiment. *Law & Society Review*, 41(3), 553-586.
- Ugwudike, P. (2010). Compliance with community penalties: The importance of interactional dynamics. In F. McNeill, P. Raynor & C. Trotter (Eds.), *Offender supervision. New directions in theory, research and practice* (pp. 325-343). New York: Willan Publishing.
- UK Ministry of Justice. (2010). *Offender management caseload statistics 2009. An overview of the main findings*. UK: Ministry of Justice.



## References

- UK Sentencing Council (2013). *Suspended sentences*. Retrieved 29 April 2013, from <http://sentencingcouncil.judiciary.gov.uk/sentencing/suspended-sentences.htm>.
- Van Bommel, A. (2009). Beginselen van legitimiteit en resocialisatie bij voorwaardelijke straffen. *Proces*, 88(6), 356-362.
- Van Der Brug, W. (1999). Voters' Perceptions and Party Dynamics. *Party Politics*, 5(2), 147-169.
- Van der Eijk, C., & Niemöller, B. (1983). *Electoral change in the Netherlands: Empirical results and methods of measurement*. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: CT-Press.
- Van Der Knaap, L. M., Leenarts, L. E. W., & Nijssen, L. T. J. (2007). *Psychometrische kwaliteiten van de Recidive Inschattingsschalen (RISc)*. The Hague, the Netherlands: WODC.
- Van Der Linden, B. (2004). Terugdringen recidive. *Proces*, 3, 94-101.
- Van Der Meer, T., Lubbe, R., Van Elsas, E., Elff, M., & Van Der Brug, W. (2012). Bounded volatility in the Dutch electoral battlefield: A panel study on the structure of changing vote intentions in the Netherlands during 2006-2010. *Acta Politica*, 47, 333-355.
- Van Gelder, J. L., Aarten, P., Lamet, W., & Van Der Laan, P. (2011). Unknown, unloved? Public opinion on and knowledge of suspended sentences in The Netherlands. *Crime & Delinquency*. doi: 10.1177/0011128711426537
- Van Kalmthout, A., & Tigges, L. (2008). Netherlands. In A. M. Van Kalmthout & I. Durnescu (Eds.), *Probation in Europe* (pp. 677-723). Nijmegen, the Netherlands: Wolf Legal Publishers.
- Van Koppen, P. J. (2003). *Verankering van rechtspraak: Over de wisselwerking tussen burger, politiek, justitie en rechter*. Deventer, the Netherlands: Kluwer.
- Villetta, P., Killias, M., & Zoder, I. (2006). *The effects of custodial vs. non-custodial sentences on re-offending: A systematic review of the state of knowledge*. The Campbell Collaboration. Retrieved 25 January 2014 from [www.campbellcollaboration.org/lib/download/108/](http://www.campbellcollaboration.org/lib/download/108/).
- Waldo, G. P., & Chiricos, T. G. (1972). Perceived penal sanction and self-reported criminality: a neglected approach to deterrence research. *Social Problems*, 19, 522-540.
- Walker, N., Farrington, D. P., & Tucker, G. (1981). Recidivism rates of adult males after different sentences. *British Journal of Criminology*, 21(4), 357-360.
- Wansink, H. A. (2007). Tussen populisme en rechts-radicalisme. Overeenkomsten en verschillen tussen Leefbaren, de fortuynisten en Wilders. *Justitiële Verkenningen*, 33(2), 68-74.
- Ward, T., & Brown, M. (2004). The good lives model and conceptual issues in offender rehabilitation. *Psychology, Crime & Law*, 10(3), 243-257.

- Ward, T., & Maruna, S. (2007). *Rehabilitation: Beyond the risk paradigm*. London, England: Routledge.
- Wartna, B. S. J. (2009). *In de Oude Font: Over het Meten van Recidive en het vaststellen van het Succes van Strafrechtelijke Interventies*. Meppel, the Netherlands: Boom Juridische Uitgevers.
- Wartna, B. S. J., Blom, M., & Tollenaar, N. (2011). *The Dutch Recidivism Monitor, 4th revised edition, memorandum 2011-3a*. The Hague, the Netherlands: WODC.
- Wartna, B. S. J., & Tollenaar, N. (2006). *Voorwaardelijk voor onvoorwaardelijk. Substitutie van onvoorwaardelijke vrijheidsstraffen bij gering recidivegevaar: Een raming van de te besparen gevangenis capaciteit*. The Hague, the Netherlands: WODC.
- Wasik, M. (1994). Sentencing guidelines: The problems of conditional sentences. *Criminal Justice Ethics*, 13(1), 50-57.
- Weatherburn, D., & Bartels, L. (2008). The recidivism of offenders given suspended sentences in New South Wales, Australia. *British Journal of Criminology*, 48(5), 667-683.
- Weatherburn, D., & Trimboli, L. (2008). *Community supervision and rehabilitation: Two studies of offenders on supervised bonds*. New South Wales, Australia: NSW Bureau of Crime Statistics and Research.
- Williams, K. R., & Hawkins, R. (1986). Perceptual research on general deterrence: A critical review. *Law & Society Review*, 20, 545-572.
- Wilson, J. Q. (1983). *Thinking about crime*. New York: Vintage Books.
- Wodahl, E. J., Garland, B., Culhane, S. E., & McCarty, W. P. (2011). Utilizing behavioral interventions to improve supervision outcomes in community-based corrections. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 38(4), 386-405.

