

VU Research Portal

Talent Proof

van Arensbergen, P.

2014

document version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

citation for published version (APA)

van Arensbergen, P. (2014). *Talent Proof: Selection Processes in Research Funding and Careers*. Rathenau Instituut.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

E-mail address:

vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl

Content

1	Introduction	9
1.1	Current debates in academia	9
1.2	General scope of this dissertation	10
1.3	Changing relations within academia	10
1.4	Academic career structures in the Netherlands	12
1.5	Focus and outline of this dissertation	14
1.6	References	16
2	Different views on scholarly talent: What are the talents we are looking for in science?	19
2.1	Introduction	19
2.2	Research questions	20
2.3	Theoretical background	20
2.4	Data and methods	23
2.5	Results	24
2.6	Conclusions and implications	34
2.7	References	36
3	The selection of talent as a group process A literature review on the social dynamics of decision-making in grant panels	38
3.1	Introduction	38
3.2	Focus of this review	39
3.3	Methodology	40
3.4	Panel review of grant applications	41
3.5	Peer review as social interaction	44
3.6	The organizational context of panel review	50
3.7	Conclusions and implications	52
3.8	Future Research	53
3.9	References	54
4	Academic talent selection in grant review panels	59
4.1	Introduction	59
4.2	Theoretical background	60
4.3	Data, research questions and methods	62
4.4	Results	66
4.5	Conclusions and discussion	81
4.6	References	82
5	Gender differences in scientific productivity: a persisting phenomenon?	86
5.1	Introduction	86
5.2	Research question	89
5.3	Materials and methods	89

5.4	Gender differences	91
5.5	Changing gender differences?	93
5.6	A more detailed view on specific disciplines: psychology and economics	95
5.7	Conclusions and discussion	97
5.8	References	97
6	Determinants of success in academic careers	101
6.1	Introduction	101
6.2	Research questions	101
6.3	Data and methods	102
6.4	The case	104
6.5	Findings	106
6.6	Conclusions and discussion	116
6.7	References	117
7	Conclusions and general discussion	121
7.1	Summary of most important results	121
7.2	Further reflection upon the current selection system and its accompanying criticism	125
7.3	Implications for practice to uphold and stimulate talent	128
7.4	Implications for future research	130
7.5	References	131
	Nederlandse samenvatting	132
	Dankwoord	138