

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgments	xiii	
Abbreviations	xv	
Table of Cases	xvii	
I	Prologue: A Plea for a Casuistic Approach to International Criminal Law	1
1.1	Introduction	3
1.2	Legal Benchmark: Principle of Legality	7
1.2.1	General Conception and Function	7
1.2.2	Scholarly Appraisal	10
1.3	Legal Theory on Judicial Reasoning	12
1.3.1	From Legalism to Dialogue	12
1.3.2	Legal Reasoning and the Open Texture of Rules	15
1.4	How to Manage the Open Texture of Legal Rules?	18
1.4.1	Casuistry: Basic Starting-Points and Methodology	18
1.4.2	Analogical Reasoning from Factors: Basic Starting-Points and Methodology	22
1.5	Lessons from Domestic Law: A Comparative Look at Judicial Reasoning	25
1.6	Casuistry in International Criminal Law	27
1.6.1	An Unfortunate Blind Spot	27
1.6.2	Filling in the Blanks: Objectives of Current Study	28
1.7	Limitations	30
1.7.1	Deliberate Delineations	30
1.7.2	Inherent Limitations	31
1.8	Outline	32
II	The Policy underlying Crimes against Humanity: Practical Reflections on a Theoretical Debate	35
2.1	Introduction	37
2.2	A Fundamental Disagreement	38
2.3	The ICTY and the Policy Factor	41
2.3.1	General Considerations	41

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2.3.2	Factual Application of the Systematic Attack-Requirement	44
2.3.3	Factual Application of the Policy Factor	46
2.4	The ICC and the Policy Element	48
2.4.1	General Considerations	48
2.4.2	Reservations	51
2.4.3	Factual Application of the Policy Element	52
2.4.4	Evaluation	54
2.5	Judicial Reasoning	57
2.5.1	The Open Texture of Legal Rules	57
2.5.2	The Character and Position of Factual Circumstances	59
2.5.3	Precedents in Factor-Based Reasoning	61
2.5.4	Implications for the Policy Requirement and Debate	63
2.6	Conclusions	64
III	Pluralism in Theories of Liability: Joint Criminal Enterprise versus Joint Perpetration	65
3.1	Introduction	67
3.2	The Debate on JCE and Joint Perpetration	69
3.2.1	Subjective versus Objective Rationale	69
3.2.2	Characterising the Common Plan	72
3.3	JCE and the Common Plan-Element	74
3.3.1	Towards an Objective Common Plan?	74
3.3.2	The Common Plan-Element in Practice	77
3.3.2.1	Nature of Crimes and Context	78
3.3.2.2	Relations between Participants	79
3.3.2.3	Attitude and Informed Contribution of JCE Members	83
3.3.3	Summary	84
3.4	Joint Perpetration and the Common Plan-Element	85
3.4.1	Common Plan: A Contested Concept	85
3.4.2	The Common Plan-Element in Practice	87
3.4.2.1	Preparatory Measures	88
3.4.2.2	Relations between Joint Perpetrators	88
3.4.2.3	Attitude and Informed Contributions of Joint Perpetrators	90
3.4.3	Summary	91
3.5	Implications of the Case Law Analysis	92
3.5.1	The Subjective-Objective Dichotomy in Practice	92
3.5.2	The 'Meeting of Minds' in Practice	93
3.6	Reconsidering the Nature of JCE and Joint Perpetration	94

3.6.1	Autonomous Criminal Responsibility	94
3.6.2	Normative Interpretation of Co-Perpetration	95
3.6.3	Participation in a Criminal Organisation	96
3.6.4	Evaluation	99
3.7	Conclusions	101
IV	The Contextual Embedding of Genocide: A Casuistic Analysis of the Interplay between Law and Facts	103
4.1	Introduction	105
4.2	Goal-Oriented versus Structure-Based Model	107
4.3	The <i>ad hoc</i> Tribunals and the Contextual Embedding of Genocide	109
4.3.1	General Interpretation	109
4.3.2	Genocidal Intent Applied	111
4.3.3	Interpreting Practice	115
4.3.3.1	Distinguishing Genocide from Other Forms of Mass Violence	115
4.3.3.2	Placing the Indicted Crimes in Context	119
4.3.3.3	Linking the Accused to the Collective Act	120
4.3.4	Interim Conclusion	122
4.4	The ICC and the Contextual Embedding of Genocide	123
4.4.1	General Interpretation	123
4.4.2	Genocide Applied	124
4.4.2.1	Genocidal Intent	124
4.4.2.2	Contextual Element	127
4.4.3	Interim Conclusion	128
4.5	Genocide: A Casuistic Concept	129
4.5.1	Sliding Scale of Contextual Embedding	129
4.5.2	Casuistry and Factors	130
4.5.3	Valuing the Casuistry of Genocide	134
4.6	Conclusions	137
V	Epilogue: Developing a Casuistic Framework of Judicial Reasoning	139
5.1	Introduction	141
5.2	Judicial Reasoning in International Criminal Law	142
5.2.1	Basic Starting-Points and Assumptions	142
5.2.2	A Sketch of Judicial Reasoning in International Criminal Law	145
5.2.3	Evaluating International Criminal Law Practice	149
5.3	Domestic Lessons in Reasoning from Precedent	154
5.3.1	A Comparative Look at Precedents	154

TABLE OF CONTENTS

5.3.2	Precedents: Rule versus Reason	156
5.3.3	Reasoning from Precedent in AI&L	160
5.4	Lessons Learnt: A New Approach to Judicial Reasoning in International Criminal Law	164
5.4.1	The Reason-Model in International Criminal Law	164
5.4.2	Is Implementing the Reason-Model Practically Feasible?	168
5.5	Lessons Learnt: A New Approach to Studying International Criminal Law	170
5.6	Conclusions	173
	Summary	175
	Facts Matter: A Study into the Casuistry of Substantive International Criminal Law	177
	Samenvatting (Summary in Dutch)	185
	Het Belang van Feiten: Een Studie naar de Casuïstiek van het Materiële Internationaal Strafrecht	187
	Bibliography	195