

# VU Research Portal

## Addressing reproductive risk in consanguineous couples

Teeuw, M.E.

2015

### **document version**

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

### **citation for published version (APA)**

Teeuw, M. E. (2015). *Addressing reproductive risk in consanguineous couples*.

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

### **E-mail address:**

[vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl](mailto:vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl)

## CHAPTER 2

Do consanguineous parents of a child affected by an autosomal recessive disease have more DNA identical-by-descent than similarly-related parents with healthy offspring?

Design of a case-control study

Teeuw ME, Henneman L, Bochdanovits Z, Heutink P, Kuik DJ, Cornel MC, Ten Kate LP.

*BMC Medical Genetics* 2010,11:113

## ABSTRACT

The offspring of consanguineous relations have an increased risk of congenital/genetic disorders and early mortality. Consanguineous couples and their offspring account for approximately 10% of the global population. The increased risk for congenital/genetic disorders is most marked for autosomal recessive disorders and depends on the degree of relatedness of the parents. For children of first cousins the increased risk is 2-4%. For individual couples, however, the extra risk can vary from nil to 25% or higher, and only a minority of these couples have an increased risk of at least 25%. It is presently not possible to differentiate between high and low risk couples. The quantity of DNA identical-by-descent between couples with the same degree of relatedness shows a remarkable variation. Here we hypothesize that consanguineous partners with children affected by an autosomal recessive disease have more DNA identical-by-descent (IBD) than similarly related partners who have only healthy children. The aim of the study is thus to answer the question whether the amount of DNA IBD in consanguineous parents of children with an autosomal recessive disease is indeed different from its proportion in consanguineous parents who have healthy children only.

This project is designed as a case-control study. Cases are defined as consanguineous couples with (a) child(ren) with an autosomal recessive disorder and controls as consanguineous couples with at least three healthy children and no affected child. We aim to include 100 case and 100 control couples. Control couples are matched by restricting the search to the same family, clan or ethnic origin as the case couple. Genome-wide SNP arrays will be used to test our hypothesis.

This study contains a new approach to risk assessment in consanguineous couples. There is no previous study on the amount of DNA IBD in consanguineous parents of affected children compared to the consanguineous parents of healthy children. If our hypothesis proves to be correct, further studies are needed to obtain different risk figure estimates for the different proportions of DNA identical-by-descent. With more precise information about their risk status, empowerment of couples can be improved when making reproductive decisions.

## INTRODUCTION

The offspring of consanguineous relations have an average increased risk of 2-4% of congenital/genetic disorders and early mortality. However, on an individual level the exact risk figure can vary to a great extent.

### *Global prevalence*

The children of consanguineous couples represent a considerable group, since an estimated 10.4% of all children worldwide have consanguineous parents (Bittles and Black, 2010a). This frequency is, however, very unevenly distributed between countries. In some countries the current percentage of consanguineous marriages is higher, and may even exceed 50%, while in many others the percentage does not surpass 1% ([www.consang.net](http://www.consang.net)). Worldwide, every year over 130 million infants are born (Bittles and Black, 2010b), which leads to the conclusion that a considerable amount of 13.5 million of those children have consanguineous parents.

### *Autosomal recessive inheritance*

A child affected by an autosomal recessive (AR) disease, has inherited a pathological allele from both parents who are carriers of such an allele. If both parents are carriers, all of their children have a chance of being affected of 25%.

### *Risk is proportional to degree of relatedness of the parents*

The risk of being a carrier couple is on the one side proportional to the frequency of a pathological allele in the population and on the other side proportional to the coefficient of inbreeding ( $F$ ), which is defined as the probability that a child inherits two identical copies of an allele from (a) common ancestor(s). The closer the partners of a consanguineous couple are related, the greater the chance that they will have genetic information identical-by-descent (IBD). When the amount of DNA-sharing increases, this also raises the chance of having a particular pathological allele IBD and therefore the chance of having affected offspring by an AR disease. Theoretically, the likelihood that an allele passed on to the next generation will be an identical copy of the allele of a common ancestor passed on by the other partner, is for first cousins' offspring  $1/16$  ( $F=1/16$ ), whereas for second cousins' offspring, this is  $1/64$ . For unions less closely related than second cousins, the risk of having an affected child is only marginally raised.

## *Burden*

Studies among first-cousin couples, the most prevalent type of consanguineous marriage, show that the excess risk for their offspring of having a significant birth defect ranges from 1.7-2.8% (Bennett et al., 2002). The risk for mortality in early life (i.e. 6 months of gestation to an average of ten years of age) in the offspring of first cousin marriages is estimated at 3.5% (Bittles and Black, 2010a). For this latter figure it remains difficult to control for the effects of non-genetic variables. Causes of mortality that are related to other (sociodemographic) variables, like maternal illiteracy, maternal age and birth interval, may, in themselves, lead to a higher rate of neonatal and early childhood mortality and could be confounders (Bittles and Black, 2010a; Bittles, 2005). Considering over 10% of all children worldwide have consanguineous parents, combined with the excess risk of 2-4% per (first cousin) couple, leads to the conclusion that the global burden of pre-reproductive mortality and morbidity for the children and their families is substantial. The proportion of first cousin marriages among consanguineous couples is estimated to be at least 70% (A.H. Bittles, personal communication). From this number we can infer that the extra number of affected children born to first cousin parents is approximately 190,000 to 380,000 each year. The total number of cases due to consanguinity, however, must be higher as our estimate does not include the affected children born to consanguineous parents who are otherwise related.

### *Only the minority of consanguineous couples have an increased risk*

If one compares the 2-4% additional risk of congenital/genetic disorders and/or early death in children of a first cousin couple, to the 25% risk of a couple in which both man and wife are carriers for an AR disorder, one has to conclude that maximally 8-16% of all first cousin couples are at high risk (25%; or higher in the case of carriership for more than one disorder), while at least 84-92% of all first cousin couples have a normal risk, comparable to unrelated parents.

### *Risk assessment in practice*

When a consanguineous couple is referred for risk assessment, e.g. to a clinical genetics center, best practice prescribes that a thorough family history will be taken (Bennett et al., 2002). For an average non-consanguineous couple, a risk of 2-3% of having a child with a genetic/congenital disorder is present. For a first cousin couple an additional risk of 2-4% should be added to this basic risk. The risk can further increase if a family history for a genetic disorder exists. A more precise risk estimate for that particular disease can then be assessed by risk calculation or – if possible and desired – by carrier

testing. If the population of origin of the couple is known to have a high risk of a specific recessive disorder, carrier screening could be offered as well.

A typical characteristic for AR disorders is the fact that frequently there are no previous affected members within the family, since affected family members most often can be found in only one sibship. When there is no history of diseases in the family of a consanguineous couple who come for preconception counselling, there still is an additional average risk close to 2-4%. However, it is presently not possible to determine who is at 25% (or more) risk, and who has no increased risk. Given this uncertainty, being able to give a more precise risk figure could have important consequences for counselling.

### *Stochastic variation*

The actual amount of DNA identical-by-descent in children of first cousins can be different from the theoretical 1/16 due to a stochastic variation which is caused by the random recombinations in common ancestral loops. This causes a significant variability between couples with the same  $F$ -value (Carothers et al., 2006). A simulation study performed by Leutenegger et al. also showed that considerable variability in estimates of the coefficient of inbreeding derived from whole genome analyses can be found. For example, at first cousin level, individuals with an expected  $F = 0.0625$  can have from 0.03-0.12 of their genome IBD (2003). Clearly, this variability could significantly alter the probability that a recessive disease gene will be expressed.

### *Variation by hidden ancestral loops.*

A difference in DNA-sharing may also be present while comparing couples with a similar inbreeding coefficient, when the estimated coefficient is based on limited available genealogical data. Distant consanguineous loops often remain unknown, which can lead to an underestimation of the inbreeding coefficient (Liu et al., 2006). Genealogy-based studies have indicated that after 3-4 generations of cumulative inbreeding and with multiple loops of consanguinity, as would occur in many highly inbred communities, the progeny of first cousin unions may have  $F$  values up to 0.1484, which likewise would be expected to significantly influence recessive gene expression (Bittles and Egerblad, 2006). The variability in DNA sharing in practice was also shown by Woods et al. who studied children with AR disorders whose parents were consanguineous (2006). By using SNP analysis, they found that in individuals with a recessive disease whose parents were first cousins, on average 11% of their genomes were homozygous, as opposed to the 6.25% one would expect.

## *Hypothesis*

On the basis of the above mentioned considerations and observations we hypothesize that consanguineous parents of a child with an AR disorder will have more DNA identical-by-descent than similarly related parents who have only had healthy children. This hypothesis leads to the objective of our present study, namely to answer the question whether the amount of DNA IBD in partners of consanguineous couples with a child affected by an AR disease is indeed increased compared to its proportion in partners of consanguineous couples who have healthy children only. If so, this result might be applied to improve risk assessment in consanguineous couples.

## **METHODS**

This project is designed as a case-control study, in which we test whether consanguineous partners (cases) with children affected by AR diseases share more DNA, identical-by-descent, indeed, than consanguineous partners (controls) who are believed to be related to the same degree, but only have healthy children. We will do this by making use of genome wide SNP-analysis. For this study, approval was obtained from the Medical Ethics Committee of the VU University Medical Center in October 2008.

### *Matching*

The cases and controls will be matched as closely as possible to ensure that allele frequencies will be valid for both cases and controls and to decrease the possibility of false positive or false negative results caused by hidden consanguinity in previous generations. The best way to do this is by restricting the search for controls to the same family, but if no suitable controls can be identified, we will search for controls from the same clan or ethnic origin.

### *Criteria for inclusion and exclusion*

A case couple will only be included when the AR nature of the disorder in the offspring is beyond doubt and the disorder has not occurred in the family before. The exact nature of the AR disorders in the children of the cases is irrelevant, as we will only be testing for the proportion of DNA-sharing irrespective of which regions of the genome are identical. For this reason it is possible to combine results from studies conducted in countries and populations with different AR disease spectra. The inclusion of control couples is restricted to couples whose offspring are not only free from known AR disorders but also from other diseases in which a role for homozygosity cannot be excluded. Control couples should have at least 3 healthy children. When several control

couples are available for a given case, maximal contrast between cases and controls can be achieved by selecting the controls with the largest number of children.

### *Numbers needed*

Due to lack of knowledge of the exact means and variances in identical DNA in the two groups, our power calculation is based on the assumption that for first cousin couples, who theoretically have 0.125 of their genome IBD, a standard deviation of 0.0625 will apply. If half a standard deviation or more is considered a relevant effect size, we expect to have sufficient power (90%) by sampling a group of 100 cases and 100 controls. A possible loss of 15% is taken into consideration in this calculation.

### *Ascertainment*

The recruitment of cases will be done in different medical centers in our country (The Netherlands) and elsewhere. We will locate the consanguineous parents of children with an AR disorder through their treating physicians. After receiving extensive information from the researcher, informed consent is obtained. Control couples, if present in the same families, will be asked to participate by an invitational letter given to them by their participating family members (the cases), or by recruiting them from the same clan or tribe.

A case and control pair will preferably have the same inbreeding coefficient, but this is not essential, since this can be corrected for in the calculations.

### *Pedigree information and family history*

Information will be obtained on the identity of all first-degree to third-degree family members of both partners of the index pair. This implies that we try to identify the great grandparents of the index pair and all first cousins. Family members include the deceased, still-born and miscarriages. For every individual in the family tree the health status will be carefully noted. A family tree will be drawn and saliva will be obtained from the pair. To increase the amount of information that can be generated from the DNA of the cases and controls, we will also sample DNA from the children if possible, or from other family members, e.g. grandparents of the children. An inbreeding coefficient will be calculated according to the method described by Wright (1922).

### *DNA sampling and analysis*

Saliva is collected in the Oragene kit of DNA Genotek. DNA will be obtained from these kits, and subsequently we will perform whole genome SNP arrays by making use of existing platforms of SNP chips.

## *Statistical Analysis*

Using SNP markers, a genotype will be made for every individual that we obtained a saliva sample from. Progeny will be used to generate the individual marker information. For the analysis only independent markers will be used. Estimations of the inbreeding coefficient will be calculated by using the method as developed by Wang (2002). For these calculations we plan to use free accessible software. If there is a difference between the couples in the calculated  $F$  based on genealogical data, we will correct for this in our analysis.

The significance of the difference in estimated inbreeding coefficient based on genotype-data between our case couples and our control couples will be determined by using a paired t-test. If our data do not follow a (log)normal distribution, we will be using the Wilcoxon matched pairs signed rank sum test.

## **DISCUSSION**

This study's design contains a new molecular approach to the increased risk in consanguineous couples. In scientific research so far, homozygosity mapping in affected children of consanguineous couples has been used for finding causative genes. On the other hand, a previously described study by Woods et al. looked at the amount of autozygosity in the children of consanguineous relationships (2006). However, it was not investigated by these authors whether affected children had more homozygous DNA than healthy children of consanguineous couples who did not have affected children. As far as we know there is no previous study on the amount of DNA identical-by-descent in the parents, nor has this been used in order to assess their risk of having affected children. The results of this study will contribute to designing future research, such as the recruitment of a large - if possible international - cohort of consanguineous couples before reproduction. This cohort will allow us to obtain different risk figure estimates for the different proportions of DNA identical-by-descent. Once such estimates are available, couples would be able to benefit from reproductive options when informed more precisely about their risk status.

A limitation in our study design is the definition of our control couples. We select couples who have at least three healthy children. The chance that a carrier couple will have three healthy children, is nevertheless still 42%. Ideally, we would only include couples with much more healthy children to diminish the risk of carrier couples among the parents. However, given the size of most present families, finding matched control families that have at least 3 healthy children, will be challenging enough.