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Abstract

Background
Excessive body weight, low physical activity and excessive sedentary time in youth are major public health concerns. A new generation of video games, the ones that require physical activity to play the games –i.e. active games– may be a promising alternative to traditional non-active games to promote physical activity and reduce sedentary behaviors in youth. The aim of this manuscript is to describe the design of a study evaluating the effects of a family oriented active game intervention, incorporating several motivational elements, on anthropometrics and health behaviors in adolescents.

Methods/Design
The study is a randomized controlled trial (RCT), with non-active gaming adolescents aged 12 – 16 years old randomly allocated to a ten month intervention (receiving active games, as well as an encouragement to play) or a waiting-list control group (receiving active games after the intervention period). Primary outcomes are adolescents' measured BMI-SDS (SDS = adjusted for mean standard deviation score), waist circumference-SDS, hip circumference and sum of skinfolds. Secondary outcomes are adolescents' self-reported time spent playing active and non-active games, other sedentary activities and consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages. In addition, a process evaluation is conducted, assessing the sustainability of the active games, enjoyment, perceived competence, perceived barriers for active game play, game context, injuries from active game play, activity replacement and intention to continue playing the active games.

Discussion
This is the first adequately powered RCT including normal weight adolescents, evaluating a reasonably long period of provision of and exposure to active games. Next, strong elements are the incorporating motivational elements for active game play and a comprehensive process evaluation. This trial will provide evidence regarding the potential contribution of active games in prevention of excessive weight gain in adolescents.

Trial registration
Dutch Trial register NTR3228.
**Introduction**

Excessive body weight, low physical activity and excessive sedentary time in youth are major public health concerns [1-3], especially among children and adolescents from lower educated [4] and ethnic minority parents [5]. Obesity is associated with an increased risk for a range of chronic diseases and presents a major burden of disease and health care spending [6-8]. One of the life stages that may play a critical role in the development and persistence of obesity and related co-morbidities into adulthood is adolescence [9;10]. The adolescent period is characterized by low physical activity, high levels of sedentary behavior and changes in body composition (amount and location of body fat), making adolescents an important target group for preventive strategies [9].

Overweight and obesity generally result from a long-term imbalance between energy intake (determined by dietary intake) and energy expenditure (mainly determined by physical activity and sedentary behaviors) [11]. Regarding the latter, sedentary activities such as watching TV and playing video games, have been found to be associated with negative health outcomes such as overweight and obesity, partly independent of diet and moderate to vigorous physical activity [12-16]. It has been suggested that reductions in sedentary behavior may be as effective as or even more effective than increasing physical activity directly in decreasing BMI, and percentage overweight [17]. A major and increasing contributor to sedentary behavior is playing video games, a wide spread and popular activity among adolescents [18-20]. In the USA, an average of almost two hours per day playing video games was reported for 8–18 year olds, with an increase of almost one hour per day from 1999 to 2009 [18]. Recent evidence indicates that in the Netherlands, about 80% of the adolescents (12–16 years old) play video games regularly and play on average five days a week with an average duration of 65 minutes on a school day and 80 minutes on a weekend day [19]. In the Netherlands time spent on playing video games seems to peak during adolescence [20;21].

Reducing time spent in sedentary video games (hereafter called non-active games) may thus contribute to reducing sedentary time and prevention of overweight and obesity in adolescents. A new generation of video games, the ones that require physical activity to play the games –i.e. active games– seems a promising alternative to traditional non-active games to promote PA and reduce sedentary behaviors in youth. These active games, such as provided by and on Nintendo Wii, Microsoft Kinect, and Sony PlayStation Move, require whole-body movement to play the video game instead of the small movements of fingers and wrists used in non-active gaming [22]. Results from various studies have suggested that energy expenditure may be substantially increased when playing active games compared to energy expenditure during non-active gaming and other sedentary screen activities such as watching television [23;24]. Active games can generally elicit light to moderate intensity physical activity (2–6 metabolic equivalents; METS) [23;24]. Consequently, when adolescents substitute the time they spent
playing non-active games by playing active games, sedentary time will be reduced and physical activity increased, which may help to prevent excessive weight gain.

The potential strength of these active games is based on the intrinsic motivation of children to play video games. Intrinsic motivation is a construct based on the Self Determination Theory (SDT) and means that one enacts in an activity because one enjoys the activity and not because of external factors [25]. SDT posits that if one is intrinsically motivated, behavior change is more likely to be maintained [26]. Previous studies revealed that playing active games are perceived as fun and enjoyable [22]. Further, adolescents attending a low level of education are more likely to play active games frequently than those attending a higher level [19]. These results suggest that active games seem promising for reaching adolescents at risk, because they spend more time on non-active gaming and other sedentary behaviors [4;20] and are more likely to be overweight or obese [4].

Irrespective of energy expenditure, it can be hypothesized that active games may influence energy balance through reduced energy intake. Energy intake, especially the intake of snacks and sugar-sweetened beverages, is positively associated with time spent in sedentary screen activities such as watching TV and playing non-active games [16;27-30]. If active games can result in a decrease in sedentary time, it might simultaneously result in a lower energy intake. It is hypothesized that higher energy intake during sedentary activities is because they distract children from satiety cues [31]. It is possible that playing active games is less distractive than watching TV and playing non-active games [32]. On the other hand, it might also be that the physical activity required for playing active games influences appetite control [33] or may be thirst, resulting in a higher energy intake. However, up until now, little is known about energy intake during active gaming. So far only two studies have evaluated energy intake during playing active games [32;34]. Both studies showed no difference in energy intake while playing active games compared to energy intake while playing non-active games. However both studies were controlled laboratory studies and the effects of playing active at home on energy intake need to be further investigated. One randomized controlled trial with a 24-week follow-up showed a non-significant trend towards a decrease in self-reported energy intake from snacks in a home-based active gaming intervention group compared to a non-active game control group [35].

Substituting the time spent playing non-active games with playing active video games may thus reduce sedentary time, increase physical activity, reduce snacking and thereby prevent excessive weight gain in adolescents. So far only few and small scale studies have been conducted indicating that active games can decrease sedentary behavior and increase physical activity in youngsters on the short term (8 to 12 weeks) [36-39]. Other studies show that ongoing participation in active games is a problem [40;41]. To date only one well powered randomized controlled trial (RCT) on the effects
of an active gaming intervention on body composition with a longer follow-up period has been conducted [35]. This trial showed a small effect of a six-months active game intervention on Body Mass Index (BMI) and body composition in 10–14 year old overweight/obese children. More evidence is needed from adequately powered studies, also including normal weight children, monitoring long term participation in the active games, and investigating long term effects [23;42].

Therefore, the current study aims to evaluate an active game intervention that incorporates motivational elements for adherence, includes a long intervention period and a comprehensive process evaluation. The intervention of the current study has four unique features that make this study worthwhile. First, so far no active game interventions were family-oriented. Parental involvement in interventions for children and adolescents has been recommended, therefore the current trial includes the family instead of only the individual adolescent [43]. Furthermore, games are often played at home and it has been recommended to change the obesogenic home environment to better facilitate and enable weight gain preventive behaviors [43]. Secondly, the current study includes several elements to promote active game play based on previous studies, such as encouraging social play and providing a variety of active games [22;44]. This is important because previous studies showed that maintaining participation in active game participation is difficult to achieve when providing active games without any additional efforts to encourage use [37;40;41]. Thirdly, previous studies mainly focused on the first generation of active games such as Dance Dance Revolution and PlayStation®EyeToy [35-37;40;41], and as the gaming industry is evolving fast, there is a need for studies evaluating the newest generation of active games such as PlayStation® Move or Kinect games. Fourthly, to our knowledge the current study includes the longest follow up period (ten months) for an active game intervention.

The current manuscript describes the design of a trial evaluating the effects of a family-oriented active game intervention, incorporating several motivational elements. The overall study focuses on both the adolescents as well as his or her family members. The current manuscript focuses on the measurements and effectiveness among adolescents. The intervention consists of a) providing an upgrade package for the newest generation of active games (PlayStation®Move), b) an encouragement to replace at least 1 hour of non-active gaming by active gaming on the PlayStation Move, c) stimulating social play by providing an extra Move controller, and d) stimulating long term use by providing multiple active games.

We will test the following hypotheses:
1) The intervention will result in lower BMI-SDS (SDS = adjusted for mean standard deviation score using the 1997 Dutch growth study as reference), waist circumference-SDS, hip circumference and skinfold thickness compared to the control condition after four and ten months.
2) The intervention will lead to less self-reported time on sedentary screen activities compared to the control condition after four and ten months.

3) The intervention will lead to less self-reported sugar-sweetened beverages and snacks consumption compared to the control condition after four and ten months.

**Methods/Design**

**Design**

The efficacy of the active game intervention is evaluated in a randomized controlled trial among adolescents aged 12 to 16 years old and their families. Families are randomly assigned to the intervention or control group after baseline assessment by the researcher or research assistant using a pre-determined randomization list. The randomization list consists of a row with the numbers one and two in a random order and is based on a block randomization scheme, with blocks of 100, obtained from an online computer program (http://www.randomization.com). Participants are assigned to one of the research groups based on this randomization list in order of checking out after they finish the baseline measures. The intervention group is exposed to the active game intervention and the control group is asked to continue their normal game play behavior and they receive the material belonging to the intervention at the end of the study. Measures are taken at baseline (T₀) (adolescent anthropometrics and questionnaire), after one month (T₁m) adolescent questionnaire (including process evaluation for intervention group adolescents), four months (T₄m) adolescent anthropometrics and questionnaire (including process evaluation for intervention group adolescents); and ten months (T₁₀m) adolescent anthropometrics and questionnaire (including process evaluation for intervention group adolescents) (Table 1). Primary outcomes are adolescents’ measured BMI-SDS (SDS = adjusted for mean standard deviation score), waist circumference-SDS, hip circumference and skinfolds thickness. Secondary outcomes are adolescents’ self-reported sedentary behavior, and consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages and snacks. Additionally, physical activity behavior excluding active game play is assessed to check whether the active game intervention was at the expense of physical activity.

The study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the VU Medical Centre.
### Table 1: design scheme

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Measure moment</th>
<th>T₀</th>
<th>T₁₀m</th>
<th>T₄₀m</th>
<th>T₁₀₀m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anthropometrics</strong> (weight, height, waist and hip circumference, skinfolds)</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Questionnaires</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time spent active and non-active gaming</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity and sedentary screen time</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumption of snacks and sugar sweetened beverages</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Companions for active / non-active gaming</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Game consoles and applications owned</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Process evaluation (only in intervention group)**

1) Adherence to the intervention

| Usage of Move games | x | x | x |
| Reasons for not playing (the minimal of one hour per week) | x | x | x |

2) Opinion of the Move games and the intervention

| Enjoyment with respect to playing the Move games | x | x | x |
| Most played Move game | x | x | x |
| Most enjoying Move game | x | x | x |
| Ease of use | x | x | x |
| Perceived competence | x | x | x |
| Perceived physical exertion of playing Move games | x |
| Opinion on the amount of provided Move games | x |
| Self purchased Move game | x |

3) Game context

| Move game companions | x |
| Location of PlayStation Move console | x |

4) Potential adverse effects (occurrence of injuries because of playing with the Move games) | x |

5) Activity replacement | x | x |

6) Intention to continue playing the Move games | x |

T₀ = baseline measurement; T₁₀m = measurement one month after baseline; T₄₀m = measurement four months after baseline; T₁₀₀m = measurement ten months after baseline.
Blinding

It is not possible to perform the randomization blind, because based on the randomization the adolescents receive an active game upgrade package or not. At T₀ the measures are conducted blind for participants and research assistants regarding group assignment. At the end of the T₀ measure the randomization takes place and therefore the measures at T₄m and T₁₀m cannot be conducted blind for participants or assessors. The intervention group receives new games at T₄m and the control group receives their Move package at T₁₀m. Data analyses are not conducted blind.

Participants

The current study focuses on adolescents aged 12–16, who are the main participants of the study. Additionally the family members of the adolescent (parents and siblings aged 8–18 years old) are included in the study but they are not used for current analyses. Inclusion criteria are:

- Adolescent plays ≥ 2 hours of non-active video games per week
- Adolescent does not play active games yet, or less than once a week.
- Adolescent is physically and mentally able to play active games
- Adolescent has access to a PlayStation3 in his or her home.
- Family does not have a Move upgrade for PlayStation3
- Adolescent lives in the participating household (assuring sufficient access to the Move games provided as part of the intervention) at least 4 days a week.
- At least one family member (parent or sibling aged 8–18 years old) is willing to participate in the study (filling in questionnaires).

Sample size

Sample size calculation is performed to estimate how many adolescents should be included to detect a significant difference of 0.5 kg excess body weight between the intervention and control condition during the follow-up measurements (primary analysis). The 0.5 kg excessive weight gain is based on calculations in adults, because calculations for adolescents were lacking at that time. Adult individuals may gain on average 0.5 kg excess body weight per year, which results from an energy imbalance of only 70 Kcal per week per year [43]. We computed, based on energy expenditure studies, that an excess weight gain of 0.5 kg, may be prevented by substituting one hour per week of non-active gaming by playing active games [45]. To have sufficient power to detect a clinically relevant difference in excess weight gain of 0.5 kg (SD = 1.5 kg) between the intervention and control condition during follow up, with a power of 0.80, alpha .05 and an intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) for within-subject clustering of observations of 0.7, 99 participants in each condition are needed. Taking into account an anticipated drop-out of 20%, a total of 119 adolescents per condition needs to be recruited.
Recruitment

Recruitment of adolescents takes place in four cities in the Netherlands: Amsterdam, Amersfoort, Leiden and Breda. Advertisements are posted on game websites, game magazines, Facebook, newsletter for PlayStation owners and local papers, and announcements are made on local radio. In addition, local health organizations (GGD) and municipalities in the four cities collaborate by providing addresses of families with a child aged 12–16 years old. In Leiden all families with a 12–16 years old child receive an invitation letter, and in Amsterdam, Breda and Amersfoort we make a selection based on postal code areas covering neighborhoods with a relatively low social economic status. Also, flyers are distributed in schools and popular places for gaming adolescents (e.g. game shops, music stores). Interested adolescents and families can provide their contact details on our project website or send it by mail. The interested families are contacted by email and asked to complete an online questionnaire to assess further inclusion criteria. Next, eligible families receive information about participation and an informed consent form that they have to fill in prior to the baseline measure. Then, families receive information about the baseline online questionnaires and an invitation for the adolescent’s baseline assessment.

Intervention

Adolescents assigned to the intervention group receive a PlayStation®Move upgrade package to play active games on a PlayStation3 console. PlayStation®Move uses a hand-held motion controller wand and a motion-capture PlayStation®Eye camera that tracks the position, and inertial sensors in the wand to detect its motion. In this way every movement of the player is mimicked on-screen in the game. Although, there are multiple active game systems (such as Nintendo Wii, Dance Dance Revolution, Microsoft Xbox Kinect, PlayStation®EyeToy) available on the market, the PlayStation®Move was chosen for the current study for several reasons. First, a survey study showed that the PlayStation was the most frequently owned game console among 12–16 year old adolescents [19]. So by choosing an application that is compatible with the PlayStation, we optimize the chances for recruiting sufficient participants. Second, the active game system for the PlayStation is one of the newest on the market at time of the study, making use of the most sophisticated technology, and may be more affordable (around €50) than other consoles or active game upgrades (e.g. Microsoft Kinect (around €135)).

As mentioned in the introduction previous studies showed that attention should be paid to (ongoing) participation in active game play, therefore we include several motivation enhancing intervention elements. Since variation in games is important [22;46;47], families receive four active Move games (Sport Champions, Move Fitness, Start the Party and Medieval Moves) at the start of the study and two more (Dance Star Party and Sorcery) after four months. Social and family play is important [22;44], and we provide two controllers to promote playing together with family and friends. Further, research has shown that providing instruction to use the active games seems an important addition to providing...
the games for physical activity promotion \[46;48\], therefore we explicitly encourage participants at each contact moment to substitute non-active gaming with active gaming as much as possible but at least one hour per week. One hour per week corresponds with approximately 70 kcal (representing the energy imbalance that can result in excessive weight gain) \[43\], and was regarded as a feasible change.

Adolescents in the control group are asked to continue their normal gaming behavior. They receive a PlayStation Move starters pack at the end of the study as an incentive for their participation. Further, they receive a small gift (e.g. magazine, lanyard, pen) as an incentive after participation at each measure moment.

**Measurements**

*Adolescents’ anthropometrics* \((T_0, T_{4m}, T_{10m})\) *(primary outcomes)*

Adolescent’s anthropometric measures: height, weight, waist and hip circumferences and skinfolds thickness are performed at \(T_0\), \(T_{4m}\), and \(T_{10m}\) on pre-scheduled days at central places in the same four cities where we recruited the participants. These measurements take place at a site close to where the participants live (a museum, school or soccer stadium). Adolescents who are incapable to visit during the pre-scheduled days are measured at home. Trained research assistants conduct the measurements in an accurate manner according to a standardized protocol.

Height is measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using a Seca type 214 stadiometer with adolescents standing on bare feet and their head in the Frankfort plane. Weight is measured on bare feet with a calibrated electronic scale (SECA) with an accuracy of 0.1 kg. Adolescents are allowed to wear light indoor clothing, such as a t-shirt and shorts/short pants, and are asked to remove belt or any other heavy objects of use such as phone, keys or wallet. BMI (kg/m\(^2\)) is calculated by dividing weight (kg) by height squared (m\(^2\)). Next, BMI-SDS is determined using the data from the fourth Dutch growth study among children of 1997 as reference \[3\] employing the Growth Analyser software (https://www.growthanalyser.org/). Waist and hip circumference are measured with a tape measure (brand Jobst) to the nearest 0.1 cm. For waist circumference we also determine the SDS values using the same reference group and software as for BMI. Skinfolds are measured with an accuracy of 0.2 mm using four-site skinfold measures (triceps, biceps, subscapular and suprailliac) with a Harpenden skinfold calliper \[49\]. For hip circumference and skinfold thickness valid Dutch reference data to calculate SDS scores are not available.

At least two readings of each measurement (weight, height, waist/hip circumference and skinfolds) are obtained to deal with intra-observer variability, and the mean of the two measurements is used for analyses. If the two readings differ more than 1 cm for height, more than 0.2 kg for weight, more than 1 cm for waist and hip circumference, more than 1 mm for skinfolds, a third measurement is taken. In case of three measurements, the outlier of the three will be excluded.
**Self-reported measures**

Online questionnaires (made with the online tool NETQ http://www.netq-enquete.nl/nl) are emailed to the adolescents at T₀, T₁m, T₄m, and T₁₀m. The questionnaires assess demographics (birth date, sex, educational level (pre-vocational; higher continued education; pre-university) and country of birth. Also active and non-active gaming behavior, other energy balance related behaviors, game consoles/application owned and game companions are assessed in the questionnaires (Table 2). Among the adolescents in the intervention group a process evaluation is conducted. Several process evaluation questions are added in the questionnaire of the intervention group at T₁m, T₄m, T₁₀m (Table 3). Furthermore, adolescents in the intervention group are asked to report their use of the Move games over the entire ten-month period using a calendar format.

**Time spent active and non-active gaming (T₀, T₁m, T₄m, T₁₀m)**

To assess time spent active and non-active gaming, questions are formulated based on existing and validated questionnaires for adolescents asking about frequency and duration, for school and weekend days separately [50,58]. Adolescents can indicate the duration by selecting one of four categories (<30 minutes, 30–60 minutes, 1–2 hours, and >2 hours). Average values are calculated for every category (<30 minutes = 15 minutes; 30–60 minutes = 45 minutes; 1–2 hours = 90 minutes; >2 hours = 150 minutes) and multiplied by the frequency, resulting in total hours per week spent on active and non-active games separately.

**Other physical activity and sedentary screen time (T₀, T₁m, T₄m, T₁₀m)**

Assessment of physical activity and sedentary screen time occurs by determining the frequency and the amount of time spent on different leisure-time sedentary and physical activities based on the validated Flemish Physical Activity Computer Questionnaires (FPACQ) [51]. The FPACQ assesses physical activity and sedentary behavior in multiple domains: school activity (physical activity and sports participation at school excluding physical education lessons), active transport (transportation for example by bike, and walking (for both school and leisure time), non-active transport (transportation for example by car or bus (for both school and leisure time) leisure time sports participation, and sedentary activities (watching television and computer activities). For the current study we focus on the domains active transport and leisure time sports participation for physical activity. Physical activity outcomes are leisure time sport behavior (MET*hours per week), active transport to school (minutes per week) and walking and cycling in leisure time (minutes per week). Sports participation in MET*hours per week is calculated by multiplying the reported hours per week by their corresponding MET values according to the compendium of MET values [59]. For sedentary screen time we focus on computer time (hours per week) and TV time (hours per week). Computer time includes all activities on a computer such as chatting and surfing on the Internet, except playing computer games. Both computer and TV time are assessed separately for weekend and weekend days.
Consumption of snacks and sugar sweetened beverages (T₀, T₁m, T₄m, T₁₀m)

Consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages is assessed using questionnaires by Van der Horst et al. [52]. Consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages includes consumed glasses, cans and bottles of carbonated and non-carbonated soft drinks, lemonade, and sport and energy drinks. Diet sodas and juices are not assessed. Total consumption of sugar sweetened beverages is expressed in liters per day, and is calculated according to Dutch standard serving sizes (1 glass = 200 ml, 1 can = 330 ml, 1 bottle = 500 ml). Consumption of snacks is assessed using items from a validated questionnaire [52;53]. Snacks are classified as savory (e.g. fast-food, pizza, fries, chips, nuts) or sweet (e.g. candy, candy bars, chocolate, cake, biscuits) eaten between the main meals, and not as side servings at main meals. Quantity of consumed snacks is obtained by determining amount of “snack days” and amount of snacks consumed per “snack day”. These questions are combined into a single score for the amount of portions snack intake per week.

Game consoles and applications owned (T₀, T₁m, T₄m, T₁₀m)

At all measurements we assess which game consoles and game application the adolescents have access to in their home.

Game companions for active/non-active gaming (T₁₀m)

At T₁₀m we assess with whom the adolescents usually play active and non-active games.
### Table 2: Gaming aspects and behavior, physical activity, sedentary and dietary behavior assessed in questionnaires.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items and answering categories</th>
<th>Instrument/ source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gaming aspects and behavior</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time spent non-active gaming</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. How often do you play non-active games on weekdays? (1-5 days a week)</td>
<td>De Jong et al., 2013 [50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. How long per day do you play non-active games on a weekday? (… hours; … minutes)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. How often do you play non-active games on weekend days? (1-2 days a week)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. How long per day do you play non-active games on a weekend day? (… hours; … minutes)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time spent active gaming</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. How often do you play active games on weekdays? (1-5 days a week)</td>
<td>De Jong et al., 2013 [50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. How often do you play active games on weekend days? (1-2 days a week)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. How often do you play active games on weekend days? (1-2 days a week)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. How long per day do you play active games on a weekend day? (… hours; … minutes)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Companions for active/ non-active gaming</td>
<td>Specifically developed for the current study¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With whom do you usually play active/ non-active games?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• by myself,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• with my parents,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• with my brother/sister,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• with my friends,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• with somebody else, namely …)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Game consoles and applications owned</td>
<td>Specifically developed for the current study¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Which consoles and application do you have at home? (PlayStation 1/2/3; PlayStation Move; PSP (PlayStation Portable); Xbox; Xbox 360; Kinect; (Super)Nintendo; Nintendo Wii; Nintendo DS(i); Gamecube; Gameboy; Dance Dance Revolution; EyeToy; Computer/ Laptop; iPad or another tablet)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports</td>
<td>Flemish Physical Activity Computer Questionnaires [52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Which sports do you play in leisure time?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. How often do you play these sports in leisure time? (1-7 times a week; &gt; 7 times a week)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. How long do you play these sports when you play them in leisure time (… hours; … minutes)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Active transport

**School**

1. How do you usually go to school? (bike, walking, train/car/bus/scooter/moped, step, roller-skates/skateboard/wave board)
2. How long does it take to go from home to school (one way)? ... minutes
3. Do you go home during lunch break? (yes; no)
4. On which days do you go home during lunch break? (Monday; Tuesday; Wednesday; Thursday; Friday)

**Leisure time**

Weekdays

5. How long on a weekday do you usually walk in your leisure time to go somewhere (excluding walking to school, work or trainee post)? (0-10; 10-20; 20-30; 30-40; 40-50; 50-60 minutes per day; more than one hour per day (... hours and ... minutes per day)
6. How long on a weekday do you usually cycle in your leisure time to go somewhere (excluding walking to school, work or trainee post)? (0-10; 10-20; 20-30; 30-40; 40-50; 50-60 minutes per day; more than one hour per day (... hours and ... minutes per day)

Weekend days

7. How long on a weekend day do you usually walk in your leisure time to go somewhere (excluding walking to school, work or trainee post)? (0-10; 10-20; 20-30; 30-40; 40-50; 50-60 minutes per day; more than one hour per day (... hours and ... minutes per day)
8. How long on a weekend day do you usually cycle in your leisure time to go somewhere (excluding walking to school, work or trainee post)? (0-10; 10-20; 20-30; 30-40; 40-50; 50-60 minutes per day; more than one hour per day (... hours and ... minutes per day)

### Sedentary screen time

**TV time**

About how many hours a day do you watch television in a normal week? (excluding school time)

- During weekdays:
  - ... hours; ... minutes
- During weekend days:
  - ... hours; ... minutes

**Flemish Physical Activity Computer Questionnaires [52]**
### Computer time

About how many hours a day do you spend on the computer (e.g. chatting, internet) in a normal week? (excluding non-active and active gaming)
- During weekdays: 
  - .... hours; .... minutes
- During weekend days: 
  - .... hours; .... minutes

### Dietary behavior

#### Consumption of sugar sweetened beverages

Sugar-sweetened beverages were defined as carbonated soft drinks, other non-carbonated sugar sweetened drinks (water-based beverages that contain sugar) and so-called sport and energy drinks (e.g. AA, Extran, Aquarius, Red Bull). Excluding light or diet drinks and fruit juices.

1. On how many days a week do you usually drink soda? (1-7 days a week)
2. If you drink soda, how many sodas do you drink on average on one day?
   - How many glasses/packages (250 ml)?
     (none, 1,2,3,4,5,6, 7 or more)
   - How many cans (330 ml)? (none, 1,2,3,4,5,6, 7 or more)
   - How many bottles (500 ml)? (none, 1,2,3,4,5,6, 7 or more)
3. On how many days a week do you usually eat cake or candy? (1-7 days a week)
4. If you eat cake or candy, how many do you eat on average on one day?
   - 1,2,3,4,5, 6 or more portions/pieces

#### Consumption of snacks

- Savory (fast-food, pizza, fries, chips, nuts).
  1. On how many days a week do you usually eat snacks?
     - 1-7 days a week
  2. If you eat snacks, how many do you eat on average on one day?
     - 1,2,3,4,5, 6 or more portions/pieces

- Sweet (candy, candy bars, chocolate, cake, biscuits)
  3. On how many days a week do you usually eat cake or candy?
     - 1-7 days a week
  4. If you eat cake or candy, how many do you eat on average on one day?
     - 1,2,3,4,5, 6 or more portions/pieces

---

*The questions that were specifically developed for the current study were based on focus groups and/or a previous survey study on active and non-active gaming among adolescents [19, 22] and were pilot-tested in gaming adolescents to assure understanding and face validity.
Process evaluation measures

A comprehensive process evaluation is conducted in the intervention group at T₁₀m, T₄₀m, T₁₀₀m. Findings from focus groups and a survey about active and non-active gaming in adolescents provided a rationale for evaluating the following six elements [19,22]: 1) adherence to the intervention (usage of Move games, reasons for not playing at least one hour per week), 2) opinion of the Move games and the intervention (enjoyment, most played Move game, most enjoying Move game, ease of use, perceived competence, perceived physical exertion of playing Move games, opinion on the amount of provided Move games, self purchased Move game), 3) Game context (Move game companions, location of PlayStation Move console), 4) potential adverse effects (occurrence of injuries because of playing with the Move games), 5) activity replacement and 6) intention to continue playing the Move games. Table 3 shows all the process evaluation concepts, the items and answering categories as assessed in the questionnaire.

In addition to the questionnaires, adolescents’ time spent playing the active Move games in the intervention group is assessed on a daily basis over the whole 10-month period using a calendar format. The adolescents are asked to report type of Move game and amount of hours and minutes spent playing the Move games, according to Chinapaw et al. [41].
### Table 3: Process evaluation measures assessed in intervention group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process evaluation concepts</th>
<th>Items and answering categories</th>
<th>Instrument/source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **1) Adherence to the intervention** | Did you succeed in playing the move games for at least one hour per week?  
- Yes, I played the move games for at least one hour per week  
- No, In some weeks I failed to play the move games for at least one hour  
- No, I never succeed in playing the move games for at least one hour per week | Specifically developed for the current study¹ |
| Usage of Move games | Why did you not managed to play the move games for at least one hour per week?  
- I was too hot  
- I was not allowed by my parents  
- There was somebody else playing on the Move.  
- The move console did not worked properly  
- The move games did not respond well to my movements  
- I think the move games are too tiring  
- I think the move games are boring  
- We have not enough space to play to move games well  
- I had not enough move games  
- I did not have time  
- I think the move games are stupid  
- I had to many other things to do  
- There wasn’t somebody to play with and it is boring to play the games by myself  
- I was injured  
- I rather play non active games  
- Other, namely ... | Specifically developed for the current study¹ |

¹Specifically developed for the current study.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process evaluation concepts</th>
<th>Items and answering categories</th>
<th>Instrument/source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2) Opinion of the Move games and the intervention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enjoyment with respect to playing the Move games</td>
<td></td>
<td>Intrinsic Motivation Inventory (sub scale enjoyment). [58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I enjoy playing the Move games (totally disagree (1) – totally agree (7))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Playing the Move games is fun to do (totally disagree (1) – totally agree (7))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I think playing the Move game is boring (totally disagree (1) – totally agree (7))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Playing the Move games could hold my attention totally disagree (1) – totally agree(7))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I would describe playing Move games as very interesting (totally disagree (1) – totally agree (7))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I think playing the Move games is quite enjoyable (totally disagree (1) – totally agree (7))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>While playing the Move games, I was thinking about how much I enjoyed it (totally disagree (1) – totally agree (7))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most played Move game</td>
<td>Which game did you play most often?</td>
<td>Specifically developed for the current study¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most enjoying Move game</td>
<td>Which game did you like the most?</td>
<td>Specifically developed for the current study¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease of use</td>
<td>It’s easy for me to learn how the Move games work (totally disagree (1) – totally agree (5))</td>
<td>Davis, 1989; Hsu &amp; Lu, 2004 [59, 60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Playing the Move games is easy for me (totally disagree (1) – totally agree (5))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process evaluation concepts</td>
<td>Items and answering categories</td>
<td>Instrument/ source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived competence</td>
<td>I believe I am good in playing Move games (totally disagree (1) – totally agree (5))</td>
<td>Intrinsic Motivation Inventory (subscale competence), [58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I think I am better at playing the Move games than other people of my age and gender (totally disagree (1) – totally agree (5))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I am generally happy with my gaming performance (totally disagree (1) – totally agree (5))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived physical exertion of playing Move games</td>
<td>How would you rate the intensity level of playing the Move games?</td>
<td>Specificity developed for the current study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Light, little body movements are required while playing on the Move, and my breath rate does not accelerate;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Moderate, I move my body quite a lot while playing on the Move and my breath rate accelerates a little bit;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Hard, I have to move a lot and fast, I get out of breath and I am sweating during playing on the Move</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opinion on the amount of provided Move games</td>
<td>What do you think about the amount of provided move games? (too little - exactly right - too much)</td>
<td>Specifically developed for the current study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self purchased Move game</td>
<td>Did you bought, received, borrowed or downloaded other Move games in addition to the games we provided you?</td>
<td>Specifically developed for the current study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Game context</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Move game companion</td>
<td>With whom do you usually play the Move games? (by myself, with my parents, with my brother/sister, with my friends, with somebody else, namely ...)</td>
<td>Specifically developed for the current study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of PlayStation Move console</td>
<td>Where was the PlayStation PlayStation®Move located most of the time? (Living room, my own bedroom, my brother’s or sister’s bedroom, in the attic, in a shared game room, somewhere else, namely ...)</td>
<td>Specifically developed for the current study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Process evaluation concepts | Items and answering categories | Instrument/ source
---|---|---
4) Potential adverse effects | Did you hurt or injured yourself during playing with the Move? (yes; no) What kind of injury occurred during game play? (bruise; graze; strained muscles or tendons; bruised something (e.g. ankle, wrist); broke something (e.g. leg, wrist, arm); some other injury, namely …) | Specifically developed for the current study¹
5) Activity replacement | Can you think back about the time you did not have the Move games yet, what did you do with the time you now spend on active gaming? | Simons et al., (2012) [45]
6) Intention to continue playing the Move games | 1. I intend to continue playing the Move games; 2. I expect to continue with playing the Move games (totally disagree (1) – totally agree (5)). | Based on Theory of Planned Behavior [61]

¹The questions that were specifically developed for the current study were based on focus groups and/or a previous survey study on active and non-active gaming among adolescents [19;22] and were pilot-tested in gaming adolescents to assure understanding and face validity.

**Statistical analyses**

First descriptive analyses are performed and data are checked for a normal distribution. Subsequently, control group and intervention group are described on baseline for demographics and outcome measures. Next, the effects of the intervention on all outcomes are evaluated by multilevel analyses. In the primary analyses, we analyze those participants which have at least one follow-up measurement in the groups they were randomized to. As a sensitivity analyses we will also impute follow-up measurements for persons with only a baseline measurement using multiple imputation with chained equations (intention to treat). Two models are constructed for each outcome measure: model 1 adjusts for the baseline value of the outcome measure; and model 2 additionally adjusts for demographics (age, sex, ethnicity and adolescent educational level) that are expected to associate with the outcome [3-5]. Second, analyses are performed according to the per protocol principle including the participants in the intervention group who played the Move games at least one hour per week. Adherence to protocol will be defined for each follow-up measurement separately, based on the question in the questionnaire assessing use of Move games (in hours per week) at T_{in}, T_{4m}, and T_{10m}. Again, two models are constructed for each outcome measure: model 1 adjusts for baseline outcome measure; and model 2 adjusts for demographics (age, sex, ethnicity and adolescent educational level) that are expected to associate with the outcome [3-5].
Additionally, a sensitivity analyses is performed by constructing all the models excluding the more extreme values on sedentary screen time (e.g. > 12 hours per day of non-active time). Further, if feasible (i.e. if the number of participants allows), explorative analyses are performed to check whether sex, age, adolescent educational level and ethnicity are effect modifiers, using a significance level of 0.10 because the study is not powered for detecting effect modification.

For the process evaluation, descriptive statistics are used describing the use of the Move games based on the Move game calendar over the ten months intervention period and the process evaluation measures. All statistical analyses are performed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 21 and statistical significance level is set at $P < 0.05$ for detecting main intervention effects and $P < 0.10$ for detecting effect modifiers.

**Discussion**

This paper describes the design of a trial to test the effects of a family oriented active game intervention on anthropometrics and self-reported behavior among adolescents. As mentioned in the introduction, the current trial on active games contributes to existing literature because it is one of the few adequately powered trials, includes normal weight adolescents, has a relatively long follow up period (ten months) and includes a comprehensive process evaluation. Further, the intervention uses the newest generation of active games and incorporates several motivational elements to encourage (maintenance of) active game play. Several studies have focused on overweight/obese children and showed that an active gaming intervention can result in small effects on BMI or body weight [35, 60]. However, as far as we know the current trial is the first well powered RCT including normal weight adolescents. Furthermore, the comprehensive process evaluation will provide important insight into whether adolescents adhere to the active game intervention over a ten month period, whether they enjoy playing active games and the factors that hinder or encourage them to play the active games. This information can help to make future active game interventions more effective.

For the current study we chose to encourage the adolescents in the intervention group to substitute non-active gaming with active gaming as much as possible but at least one hour per week. The potential contribution of active games on prevention of excessive weight gain in adolescents, lies partly in the fact that adolescents are intrinsically motivated to play video games and active game interventions capitalize on this intrinsic motivation. As stated before behavior change is more likely to be maintained if one is intrinsically motivated, which means that one enacts in an activity because of the activity itself, because one enjoys the activity and not because of external factors [25]. Giving adolescents an encouragement to play the active games a minimum amount of time might conflict with this potential intrinsic motivation for active game play.
However, it is suggested that only providing active games is not enough to impact physical activity or body weight and it is important to also give an instruction regarding the use of the active games [46,48].

The encouragement for the intervention group to replace at least one hour per week of non-active gaming with active gaming, is based on calculations in adults demonstrating that excessive weight gain can be prevented if the energy balance is affected by 70 kcal per week. This was the best estimation that could be made when designing the study, because no child specific equation was available at that time. Recently, Hall et al. [61] developed and validated a model that quantifies the energy imbalance underlying excess bodyweight in children and calculates the necessary intervention magnitude to achieve bodyweight change in children. This model shows that around 116 extra kcal per week should be expended to prevent an excessive weight gain of 0.5 kg in children with an average age of 14 years old. As studies have shown that active gaming can elicit an energy expenditure of 381 kcal [23], one hour of active gaming (assuming it does not replace more vigorous activities such as sports) should in theory be sufficient to prevent excess weight gain in children.

A concern regarding promoting active game play is that active game play might replace traditional forms of physical activity (e.g. cycling, playing outside) because these activities elicit greater energy expenditure and may therefore contribute more to prevention of excessive weight gain than active gaming. In a descriptive Dutch study among active gaming adolescents and their parents, adolescents reported that they thought active gaming had replaced sedentary activities [45]. However, this was asked in retrospect after adolescents were already playing active games, and recall bias might have occurred. To get more insight in whether stimulating active gaming will lead to replacement of sedentary activities or whether it takes adolescents away from physical activities in which they already participate, the current study also evaluates the effect of providing active games on sedentary behavior and physical activity.

The present study is subject to some limitations that need to be acknowledged. Although we obtain the primary outcome measures objectively (e.g. adolescents’ height, weight, waist/hip circumference and skinfolds), the secondary outcome measures (e.g. adolescents’ physical activity, sedentary behavior, consumption of snacks and sugar-sweetened beverages) are self-reported and thus liable to social desirability and recall bias. However, this will occur in both groups resulting in potential bias towards the null.

In conclusion, this trial will provide important new information on the potential contribution of active games to the prevention of excessive weight gain in adolescents and the process evaluation will provide relevant information for future active games studies on factors hindering or promoting active game play.
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