

# VU Research Portal

## **(Epi) genetics and twins**

van Dongen, J.

2015

### **document version**

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

### **citation for published version (APA)**

van Dongen, J. (2015). *(Epi) genetics and twins*. [PhD-Thesis - Research and graduation internal, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam].

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

### **E-mail address:**

[vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl](mailto:vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl)

# The heritability of metabolic syndrome traits in a large population-based sample

### Abstract

Heritability estimates of metabolic syndrome traits vary widely across studies. Some studies have suggested that the contribution of genes may vary with age or sex. We estimated the heritability of 11 metabolic syndrome-related traits and height as a function of age and sex in a large population-based sample of twin families (N=2792– 27021, for different traits). A moderate to high heritability was found for all traits (from  $H^2=0.47$  (insulin) to  $H^2=0.78$  (BMI)). The broad-sense heritability ( $H^2$ ) showed little variation between age groups in women, and differed somewhat more in men (e.g. for glucose,  $H^2=0.61$  in young females,  $H^2=0.56$  in older females,  $H^2=0.64$  in young males, and  $H^2=0.27$  in older males). While non-additive genetic effects explained little variation in the younger subjects, non-additive genetic effects became more important at a higher age. Our findings show that in an unselected sample (age range: ~18-98 years), the genetic contribution to individual differences in metabolic syndrome traits is moderate to large in both sexes and across age. While the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome has greatly increased in the past decades due to lifestyle changes, our study indicates that most variation in metabolic syndrome traits between individuals is due to genetic differences.

This research was originally published in Journal of Lipid Research. van Dongen J., Willemsen G, Chen WM, de Geus EJ, Boomsma DI. Heritability of metabolic syndrome traits in a large population-based sample. J.Lipid Res. 2013; 54:2914-2923. © the American Society for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology

## Introduction

The metabolic syndrome refers to a combination of traits, including central obesity, insulin resistance, dyslipidemia, and hypertension<sup>1</sup>, associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) and type 2 diabetes (T2D). The underlying pathophysiological mechanisms are thought to include excess adipose tissue mass, ectopic fat deposition, excessive flux of fatty acids, and inflammation<sup>2-4</sup>. Several clinical guidelines have been proposed for the diagnosis of the metabolic syndrome (i.e. ATPIII<sup>5</sup>, WHO 1999<sup>6</sup>, EGIR 1999<sup>7</sup>, IDF 2006<sup>1</sup>), which most commonly include criteria for waist circumference, fasting plasma glucose, systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), HDL cholesterol and triglycerides. Other traits that have been included in individual guidelines are body mass index (BMI, WHO 1999)<sup>6</sup>, waist-to-hip-ratio (WHR, WHO 1999)<sup>6</sup> and fasting insulin level (EGIR 1999)<sup>7</sup>. In all guidelines, metabolic syndrome is defined by the levels of multiple traits exceeding a certain threshold.

Although it has been questioned whether the combination of metabolic characteristics referred to as metabolic syndrome represents a biologically meaningful entity in itself<sup>8-10</sup>, the definition is widely applied as a tool for risk prediction. The metabolic syndrome is associated with a doubled risk of developing CVD and a more than fivefold increased risk of T2D, with risk estimates varying somewhat depending on the classification criteria used to define the metabolic syndrome<sup>2</sup>. The metabolic syndrome is also associated with a higher risk of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, reproductive disorders, depression, sleeping disorders and other conditions<sup>2, 11</sup>. The prevalence of the metabolic syndrome is globally increasing<sup>12</sup>, presenting a major health problem particularly in Western countries (e.g. the prevalence has been estimated at 14% in the Netherlands<sup>13</sup> and at 37% in the US<sup>14</sup>). The rise is generally attributed to changes in lifestyle, while at the same time individual differences in metabolic traits have been shown to be to an important extent heritable. These observations may be explained by the fact that the expression of risk genotypes depends on the environment or that the exposure to lifestyle factors is genetically influenced. Indeed, several important risk factors for the metabolic syndrome that are considered environmental such as exercise behavior<sup>15</sup> and dietary patterns<sup>16</sup> are moderately heritable.

The importance of genetic influences (“the heritability”) to the variation in susceptibility to the metabolic syndrome and associated traits has been estimated based on the similarity of family members for these traits, using twin and family data (reviewed by:<sup>17-20</sup>). If closer relatives resemble each other more for metabolic syndrome traits than more distant relatives do, this indicates that familial factors, including genetic factors or family-shared environmental influences, are important for these traits. The heritability estimates observed in these studies vary widely, with heritability ranging from 24-90% for BMI<sup>18, 21-23</sup>, 10-75% for fasting glucose<sup>17, 20, 22-25</sup>, 20-55% for fasting insulin<sup>17, 20, 22, 23, 26</sup>, 0.03-72% for triglycerides<sup>17, 19, 20, 22-25, 27</sup>, 25-98% for LDL-cholesterol<sup>17, 19, 20, 22,</sup>

<sup>23, 27</sup>, 30-80% for HDL cholesterol <sup>17, 19, 20, 22, 24, 25, 27</sup>, 30-74% for total cholesterol <sup>17, 19, 20, 22-24, 27</sup>, 20-71% for SBP <sup>17, 20, 22-24</sup> and 10-50% for DBP <sup>17, 20, 22-24</sup>. Such differences may be associated with study designs or represent meaningful variation because heritability can depend on context, sex <sup>28</sup> or age <sup>29</sup>.

Quantitative differences refer to differences in the overall impact of genetic or environmental influences on the variance of a trait, such as differences in heritability between males and females or between different age groups. A study based on twin pairs from eight European countries found consistent sex differences in the heritability of BMI, but the direction of effect was not the same across countries and age strata<sup>30</sup>. In a similar comparison, no sex differences were observed for blood pressure<sup>31</sup>. For height, the heritability was slightly lower in females compared to males in several countries<sup>32</sup>. In a family study of body composition measures, a higher heritability was found for waist circumference and WHR in women, while no significant difference was observed for BMI<sup>33</sup>. A study conducted in Sardinian pedigrees found sex differences in the phenotypic variance for many metabolic traits, but a difference in heritability was only evident for weight and triglycerides (for both, the heritability was higher in females)<sup>22</sup>. A review of sex differences in the etiology of metabolic syndrome traits concluded that sex differences in heritability are most often reported for body composition and measures related to glucose homeostasis, while most studies of blood pressure and lipid levels found no sex differences in the heritability<sup>28</sup>. With regard to age effects, a large meta-analysis reported a decrease of the heritability of BMI with age<sup>21</sup>, and age differences in heritability were also reported for several metabolic syndrome traits in the analysis of Sardinian pedigrees<sup>22</sup>. In the latter study, the heritability of BMI, lipids, glucose and insulin was found to be lower in older individuals (age > 42), while the heritability of blood pressure was found to be higher in older people.

Qualitative differences arise if a trait is influenced by different genes or aspects of the environment in different groups; for example, different genes may be responsible for the variation of a phenotype in males versus females or at different ages, while the overall impact of genes (the heritability) is the same. Mixed findings have been reported regarding qualitative sex and age effects on metabolic syndrome traits across studies. The results from two large-scale studies that examined a wide range of phenotypes suggested that qualitative genetic differences between the sexes or across age are not common. No evidence was found for qualitative age or sex effects for any of the traits in the study of Sardinian pedigrees<sup>22</sup>. Likewise, in a study of a wide range of phenotypes in metabolic, cardiovascular, physiological and psychiatric domains conducted in a large sample of Dutch twin pairs, no qualitative sex differences were observed for the large majority of traits<sup>34</sup>.

If genetic influences depend on age, heritability estimates based on the comparison of twins (who always have the same age and therefore share age-specific genetic influences) may differ from estimates obtained using non-twin

relatives (who may share varying degrees of age-specific genetic influences). The mode of action of the underlying genes (additive versus non-additive genetic effects) may also play a role. The term non-additive genetic effect is generally used to refer to the effects of interacting alleles at a locus (dominance) or at different loci (epistasis). Related to this distinction, the term broad-sense heritability ( $H^2$ ) refers to the variation of a trait due to total heritable genetic effects, while narrow-sense heritability ( $h^2$ ) refers to the proportion of variation due to additive genetic effects. Though some studies have indicated that non-additive genetic influences contribute to metabolic syndrome traits<sup>18, 22, 27</sup>, most heritability studies have not separated additive and non-additive genetic influences. The consequence of not taking genetic dominance into account when estimating the heritability of a trait while dominance effects are present depends on the study design, since dominance effects are shared to some extent by twins and siblings but not between parents and offspring or between more distant relatives. Family studies have reported lower heritability estimates for metabolic syndrome traits compared to twin studies<sup>21</sup>, which could be related to age trends in heritability or to differences in the coverage of non-additive genetic effects between twin and family studies.

Twin and family studies examine the importance of genetic and environmental influences by comparing the resemblance of individuals who share different degrees of genetic or environmental influences. The classical twin study compares the resemblance of monozygotic (MZ) twins to the resemblance of dizygotic (DZ) twins, while family studies typically include parent-offspring pairs or sibling pairs. Since one chromosome from each chromosome pair of a parent is transmitted to a child, a parent always shares one copy of each gene (allele) with his or her child and thus in total shares 50% of additive genetic effects and no genetic dominance effects. For each chromosome pair, DZ twins and siblings can share 2, 1 or 0 chromosomes with each other that was inherited from the same parent, thus sharing on average 50% of additive genetic effects and 25% of dominance genetic effects. MZ twins share all their genetic material because they are derived from one zygote. Importantly, family members may share both genetic and environmental influences. The classical twin design allows distinguishing between heritable genetic influences and influences of the shared family environment ("common environment"): A larger phenotypic correlation in MZ twins than in DZ twins indicates that a phenotype is influenced by genetic factors, because influences of the family environment are shared equally in both types of twins. Most twin studies of metabolic syndrome traits have found no significant effect of the common environment in adults<sup>18, 19, 27, 30, 35, 36</sup>. It should be noted that in classical twin studies the assessment of common environment, by definition, tends to be limited to the effects of early family environment, and does not necessarily capture all environmental influences common to people who share a household, because adult twins often live separately. Insights into the role of

shared household effects in adult subjects may be obtained by studying, for example, the similarity of spouse pairs.

To summarize, variation in heritability estimates of metabolic syndrome traits across previous studies may be related to differences in study population and design. To obtain a representative estimate of heritability in the population and to examine interactions with age and sex, studies should cover a broad age range and include multiple types of familial relations. Therefore, we examined the etiology of metabolic syndrome traits using an extended twin-family design<sup>37-39</sup>, combining data from a large population-based sample of MZ twins, DZ twins, non-twin siblings and parents registered with the Netherlands Twin Register (NTR), with a broad age range (18-98 years). Measurements included BMI, waist circumference, WHR, LDL, HDL, total cholesterol, triglycerides, fasting glucose, fasting insulin, SBP, DBP and height. This large dataset with a variety of familial relations allowed us to assess the contribution of additive and non-additive genetic influences (i.e. estimating narrow-sense and broad-sense heritability) and environmental influences (including shared household effects) to the variation in metabolic syndrome traits and height, and to examine variation in the heritability and expression of genes (qualitative effects) across age groups and sex. This study comprises one of the most extensive family-based datasets on metabolic syndrome traits described thus far and represents an elaborate assessment of quantitative and qualitative variation in genetic and environmental effects on metabolic syndrome traits across age and sex.

## **Materials and Methods**

### *Subjects*

The subjects in this study are Dutch twin families registered with the NTR<sup>40</sup>. Most twins were recruited through City Councils between 1990 and 1993 when the twins were adolescents or young adults. Since 1993, adult twins are recruited through a variety of other approaches as well. Every two to five years since 1991, twins and their families are invited to complete a survey (i.e. in 1991, 1993, 1995, 1997, 2000, 2002, 2004 and 2009<sup>40, 41</sup>). In each survey, participants were asked to report their height and current weight. Twin families are also regularly invited to participate in projects in which biological samples, anthropometric traits, and cardiovascular measures are collected. The current analyses are based on data from adult participants (age  $\geq$  18), including twins (max. one pair per family), brothers (max. two per family), sisters (max. two per family) and parents. For a detailed description of the characteristics of subjects, see Supplemental Table 1. Informed consent was obtained from participants, and study protocols were approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the VU University Medical Center. Zygosity determination was based on DNA markers in 84.2 % - 88.7% of twins (range is for different phenotypes), except for BMI (47% of twins) and height (45%), for which a larger proportion of the data came from subjects who had only participated in survey studies. If DNA was not

available, zygosity determination was based on validated questionnaire items. Only subjects with complete information on sex and age (or birth year, for the analysis of height) were included in the analyses.

### ***Procedure biobank project***

Metabolic biomarkers and anthropometric measures were collected in a large-scale biobank project in which 9530 individuals participated, including 4259 twins, 2704 biological parents and 2052 biological siblings. Data from non-biological parents and siblings, spouses of twins and siblings, children of twins and siblings and second-degree relatives (e.g. grandparents, uncles and aunts) were not included in the current analyses (N=515). Participants were visited in the morning, usually at their home. At the visit, weight, waist circumference and hip circumference were measured, information about health, medication use, fasting status, and height was collected, and fasting blood and morning urine samples were collected, from which cell lines, biomarkers, DNA and RNA were obtained. For a detailed description of the study procedure, see Willemsen *et al*<sup>42</sup>.

### ***Lipid profiles and glucose metabolism***

Total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL) and triglyceride levels were measured in heparin plasma using the Vitros 250 total cholesterol assay, the Vitros 250 direct HDL cholesterol assay and the Vitros 250 Triglycerides assay (Johnson & Johnson, Rochester, USA). Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL) was calculated using the Friedewald Equation<sup>43</sup>. Glucose and insulin were measured in blood plasma using the Vitros 250 Glucose assay (Johnson & Johnson, Rochester, USA) and the Immulite 1000 Insulin Method (Diagnostic Product Corporation, Los Angeles, USA). The following numbers of subjects had missing data due to sampling issues or technical reasons: glucose, N=253; insulin, N=315; total cholesterol, N=160; LDL, N=180; HDL, N=161; triglycerides, N=159.

Because the distributions of insulin and triglycerides were skewed, an LN-transformation was applied. For the analyses of all lipids, glucose and insulin, individuals who had not fasted from 12 PM the evening before blood collection were excluded (N=706, 7.4%). For the analyses of lipids, individuals using lipid-lowering medication were excluded (N=642, 6.7 %). For the analyses of glucose and insulin, individuals were excluded if they used diabetes medication (N=249, 2.6%) or if they had a fasting glucose level higher than 7 (N=400, 4.2 %). For HDL, one individual with an extreme value was excluded (HDL= 6.56 mmol/L, i.e. >13 SD above the mean). Based on the above inclusion criteria, the following sample sizes were obtained: triglycerides N=7469 (3105 families), total cholesterol: N=7468 subjects (3105 families), HDL: N=7466 subjects (3104 families), LDL: N=7453 subjects (3102 families), glucose: N=7563 (3102 families) and insulin: N= 7510 subjects (3088 families).

### *Anthropometric Traits*

BMI was calculated from height and weight obtained in laboratory-based NTR projects or, if no lab-based data were available, from data obtained in surveys:  $BMI = \text{weight (kg)} / \text{height}^2 \text{ (m)}$ . For subjects who completed multiple surveys at age 18+, height data were checked for consistency over time. If the difference in height reported by an individual across time did not exceed 2 cm, reported values were averaged to obtain one measure of adult body height. If different self-reports differed by 3 cm or more, the most deviating report was removed and the remaining values were averaged if the difference between remaining values was smaller than 3 cm. If the difference in height reported by an individual at different surveys was 3 or 4 cm after removal of two outlier values, remaining values were averaged to obtain one measure of adult height and if the difference still exceeded 4 cm after removal of two outlier values, height data for that subject were considered unreliable and were excluded. Waist and hip circumference were measured in various projects. For weight, waist circumference and hip circumference, the most recent measure was selected for subjects with multiple data points. Data from twins were selected from the same project or survey where possible. WHR was calculated as:  $\text{waist (cm)} / \text{hip (cm)}$ . For WHR, 3 individuals with a  $\text{WHR} > 1.5$  (i.e.  $> 8$  SD above the mean) were excluded. Data from the following number of subjects were analyzed: height;  $N = 24904$  (9513 families), BMI;  $N = 27021$  (9793 families), waist circumference;  $N = 8965$  (3834 families), WHR;  $N = 8962$  (3834 families).

### *Blood pressure*

Blood pressure was measured in a subset of NTR participants as part of several projects that used similar methodology (e.g. Hottenga *et al*<sup>44</sup>). Here, we analyze SBP and DBP measured at rest. For subjects who participated in multiple projects, the first measure was selected. SBP and DBP were corrected for antihypertensive medication use by adding drug-class specific average treatment effects to the measured values<sup>45-48</sup>. In total, data from 2792 subjects (1334 families) were analyzed.

### *Statistical analysis*

The variance of a trait (Phenotypic variance, or  $V_P$ ) can be divided into genetic variance ( $V_G$ ), due to genetic differences between individuals, common environmental variance ( $V_C$ ), due to environmental factors that are shared within families, and unique environmental variance ( $V_E$ ), caused by environmental factors that are not shared within families<sup>49</sup>.  $V_E$  also includes measurement error. Genetic variance can be subdivided into variance due to additive effects of alleles (additive genetic variance,  $V_A$ ) and variance due to non-additive effects of alleles, which includes interactions among alleles at a single locus (dominance variance,  $V_D$ ) or at different loci (epistasis). Thus, the variance of a trait may be represented as:  $V_P = V_G + V_C + V_E$ , where  $V_G = V_A + V_D$ . The proportion of the phenotypic variance that is due to additive genetic



effects is called narrow-sense heritability ( $h^2=V_A / V_P$ ) and the proportion of variance due to all genetic effects is called broad-sense heritability ( $H^2= (V_A + V_D) / V_P$ ). Using model-fitting approaches,  $V_A$ ,  $V_D$ ,  $V_C$  and  $V_E$  can be estimated from the covariance or correlation of a trait between different types of relatives who differ in genetic relatedness (see Supplemental Methods).

In total, 12 traits were studied; 11 metabolic syndrome traits and height. For metabolic syndrome traits, we examined the heritability and variation with age and sex and for height, we examined the heritability and variation with birth year and sex. Phenotypic correlations among family members were estimated from the observed data after taking sex and age (for metabolic syndrome traits) or birth year (for height) effects into account in Mx<sup>50</sup>. Mx was also used to test for sex differences in means and variances, to test for age (or birth year) effects on means and to test whether the effect of age (or birth year) differed between males and females. To get a first impression of differences in heritability across age and sex (for metabolic syndrome traits) or across birth year and sex (for height), we used SPSS version 17.0 to obtain sex- and age -stratified phenotypic correlations among twins, using the median age or birth year as a cut-off (birth year < 1973 or >= 1973 for height, and age <32 years and >=32 years for all other traits). Next, three types of analyses were performed in POLY

(“<http://www.sph.umich.edu/csg/chen/public/software/poly>”<sup>22, 51</sup>). In a first set of analyses,  $V_A$ ,  $V_D$  and  $V_E$  were estimated for each trait in the entire cohort to obtain an overall estimate of heritability. In the second set of analyses, age differences in heritability were examined.  $V_A$ ,  $V_D$  and  $V_E$  were estimated and  $V_A$  and  $V_E$  were allowed to differ between age groups, and the correlation between additive genetic effects among family members belonging to different age groups was estimated to test if different genes are expressed at different ages. Age groups were defined according to the median age of the subjects (age < median and >=median age) for each trait. For height, the heritability was examined as a function of birth year instead of age (birth year < median and >= birth year). In the third series of analyses, we assessed age-specific sex effects; here data from two age groups were analyzed separately. Between age groups,  $V_A$ ,  $V_D$  and  $V_E$  could differ and within age groups,  $V_A$  and  $V_E$  could differ between males and females. Qualitative sex effects were assessed by estimating the genetic correlation among family members of different sex. This third set of analyses provided four estimates of heritability (for younger and older males and females), and an assessment of qualitative sex differences, separately for younger and older subjects. Height was analyzed as a function of sex and birth year instead of age. In all analyses, observed trait values were adjusted for sex and age (using standardized age scores (z-scores)) or birth year (height) by linear regression.

Statistical significance of effects was assessed by comparison of the log likelihood of sub models (e.g. to assess qualitative effects, the log likelihood of a model in which the correlation between additive genetic effects was

estimated was compared to the log likelihood of a model in which it was constrained at its theoretical value when there are no qualitative differences). An alpha level of 0.01 was applied to assess the statistical significance of correlations and to assess the significance of sex differences in means, phenotypic variances and age trends in trait values.


Finally, the data from spouses were used to examine the importance of shared household effects (environmental effects that contribute to the similarity of people who share a household). For each metabolic syndrome trait, the correlation between the mean age of spouses (as a measure of the duration of their relationship) and the absolute trait difference between spouses (as a measure of their similarity) was computed. A negative correlation suggests that spouses become more similar (the difference between spouses becomes smaller) with increasing duration of their relationship, which is suggestive of shared household effects.

## Results

### *Variation of metabolic syndrome traits with age and sex*

The mean age of subjects varied slightly for different traits; for parents the mean age ranged from 45.28 (SD=5.70) to 61.88 (SD=7.05) and the age of the offspring (twins and siblings) ranged from 28.79 (SD=11.93) to 42.12 (SD=10.58) for different traits. For a detailed description of the age, sex and numbers of family members for which data on different traits were available, see Supplemental Table 1. All metabolic syndrome traits showed a significant increase with age ( $p < 0.001$ , Table 1). Significant sex differences in means ( $p < 0.01$ , Table 1) were observed for all traits except for insulin ( $p = 0.315$ ). On average, males had an unfavorable metabolic profile compared to females, with a higher mean BMI, waist circumference, WHR, SBP and DBP, and higher levels of LDL, triglycerides and glucose. Females had higher levels of HDL and total cholesterol. Many traits showed a significant sex difference in the effect of age on trait level ( $p < 0.01$ , Table 1), with males having on average a steeper increase in WHR and DBP with age compared to females, and females showing a steeper increase in the levels of total cholesterol, LDL, triglycerides, and glucose with age. Sex differences in the total variance ( $p < 0.01$ , Table 1) were evident for BMI, waist, and HDL (larger variance in females) and for triglycerides (larger variance in males). No significant sex difference in variance was observed for WHR, total cholesterol, LDL, glucose, insulin, SBP and DBP. Height showed a significant increase with birth year, and the mean and variance of height and the effect of birth year on height were larger in males compared to females ( $p < 0.01$ , Table 1). Figure 1 shows the means of each trait in males and females, separately for the two age groups in which heritability analyses were conducted.

**Figure 1: Mean trait values stratified by age and sex.** Age categories were defined based on the median age of subjects (young=subjects with an age below the median and older=subjects with an age above the median). The median age was 35 years for SBP and DBP, 39 years for BMI, 40 years for HDL, LDL, total cholesterol and triglycerides, and 41 for insulin, glucose, waist and WHR. For height only, birth year (median=1966) instead of age was used to define categories. Error bars represent standard deviations.



*Familial resemblance for metabolic syndrome traits*

The familial correlations (Table 2) indicated a substantial role of genetic influences on metabolic syndrome traits, with higher correlations in MZ twins than in DZ twins, non-twin siblings and parent-offspring pairs. Scatterplots illustrating the similarity of sib-pairs are shown in Supplemental figure 1. Looking at the correlations of MZ twin pairs, male MZ twins were slightly more similar compared to female MZ twins for WHR, total cholesterol, HDL, LDL and triglycerides, and insulin, while female MZ twins showed slightly larger similarity for SBP and glucose.

**Table 1:** Sex differences in mean values, standard deviation and the effect of age on metabolic syndrome traits and height.

		N	Mean <sup>a</sup>	SD <sup>a</sup>	$\beta$ age <sup>b</sup>
Height (cm)	F	15095	168.85*	6.54*	1.40* <sup>c</sup>
	M	9809	181.31	7.37	2.12 <sup>c</sup>
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	F	16312	24.290*	4.389*	1.636
	M	10709	24.772	3.606	1.583
Waist (cm)	F	5730	83.400*	12.350*	0.532
	M	3235	92.170	11.940	0.556
WHR (cm/cm)	F	5728	0.802*	0.081	0.039*
	M	3234	0.891	0.084	0.044
Total Cholesterol (mmol/L)	F	4840	5.185*	1.088	0.542*
	M	2628	5.142	1.045	0.376
HDL (mmol/L)	F	4839	1.521*	0.385*	0.025
	M	2627	1.255	0.335	0.012
LDL (mmol/L)	F	4833	3.097*	0.972	0.445*
	M	2620	3.207	0.936	0.310
Triglycerides (mmol/L, LN)	F	4841	0.094*	0.450*	0.124*
	M	2628	0.269	0.514	0.088
Glucose (mmol/L)	F	4866	5.293*	0.536	0.197*
	M	2697	5.483	0.533	0.145
Insulin ( $\mu$ IU/ml, LN)	F	4835	1.975	0.703	0.056
	M	2675	2.003	0.738	0.093
SBP (mmHg) <sup>d</sup>	F	1665	122.689*	13.301	2.764
	M	1127	129.844	12.347	1.496
DBP(mmHg) <sup>d</sup>	F	1665	76.097*	10.263	2.495*
	M	1127	77.986	10.511	4.044

F= Females, M= males

<sup>a</sup> Obtained from a model in Mx without correction for age .

<sup>b</sup> Beta for the regression of metabolic syndrome traits on age. The effect of age was significant for all traits ( $P < 0.001$ , for dropping  $\beta$ age in both sexes).

<sup>c</sup> For height only, the  $\beta$  in this column represents the effect of birth year rather than age.

<sup>d</sup> Corrected for medication use.

\*Significant difference in estimate between males and females ( $P < 0.01$ ).

LN: Values in table refer to LN-transformed values.

**Table 2:** Familial correlations and spousal resemblance for metabolic syndrome traits and height.

	Height	BMI	Waist	WHR	TC	HDL	LDL	Trig	Insulin	Glu	SBP	DBP
<b>MZ twins</b>												
MZ males	0.90	0.79	0.76	0.60	0.74	0.75	0.72	0.67	0.53	0.49	0.58	0.60
MZ females	0.90	0.78	0.76	0.49	0.65	0.65	0.67	0.54	0.46	0.52	0.61	0.60
<b>Male twins/sibs</b>												
DZ males	0.50	0.46	0.45	0.48	0.30	0.57	0.27	0.38	0.39	0.34	0.25	0.21
Brother-brother <sup>a</sup>	0.50	0.28	0.33	0.27	0.30	0.34	0.30	0.27	0.33	0.33	0.28	0.41
<b>Female twins/sibs</b>												
DZ females	0.51	0.40	0.37	0.25	0.43	0.36	0.39	0.23	0.20	0.34	0.51	0.46
Sister-sister <sup>a</sup>	0.47	0.33	0.34	0.09	0.31	0.29	0.37	0.19	0.22	0.33	0.24	0.42
<b>Opposite sex twins/sibs</b>												
DZ opposite-sex	0.46	0.30	0.26	0.25	0.25	0.24	0.26	0.18	0.30	0.32	0.32	0.32
Sister-brother <sup>a</sup>	0.46	0.23	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.25	0.23	0.19	0.12	0.24	0.33	0.32
<b>Parent-offspring</b>												
Mother-daughter	0.50	0.27	0.22	0.21	0.29	0.16	0.30	0.17	0.19	0.21	-0.08	0.01
Mother-son	0.48	0.20	0.23	0.16	0.23	0.16	0.20	0.20	0.23	0.14	0.04	0.11
Father-son	0.49	0.21	0.20	0.17	0.28	0.26	0.25	0.18	0.22	0.22	0.26	0.19
Father-daughter	0.46	0.24	0.24	0.14	0.32	0.29	0.31	0.19	0.16	0.27	0.04	0.27
<b>Spouses</b>												
Mother-Father	0.26	0.22	0.22	0.18	0.13	0.10	0.13	0.09	0.31	0.24	0.01	-0.07
Age-Abs. difference <sup>b</sup>	n.a.	-0.04	-0.05	0.01	0.01	0.01	-0.03	-0.05	0.08	0.02	-0.08	-0.03

<sup>a</sup>Correlations among non-twin sibling pairs and between twins and their non-twin sibling.

<sup>b</sup>Correlations between the mean age of spouses and the absolute difference in their trait values.

TC=Total cholesterol; Trig= Triglycerides, Glu=Glucose

Correlations between parents and offspring were generally a bit smaller compared to correlations between DZ twins and siblings for all metabolic traits, particularly for SBP and DBP. This pattern is suggestive age-specific expression of effects or the presence of non-additive genetic effects. Age-stratified twin correlations (Supplemental Table 2) overall did not suggest large differences in heritability in the younger and older age group for most variables, though some variation between age groups was present. For HDL, total cholesterol and LDL, dizygotic opposite sex (DOS) correlations were consistently smaller in the younger group of twins, which is suggestive of

qualitative sex effects (e.g. differences in the set of genes influencing a trait in males and females).

#### *Spousal resemblance for metabolic syndrome traits*

Significant spouse correlations were observed for most traits. Spouses were most similar for anthropometric traits, glucose and insulin (correlations ranging from  $r=0.18$  for WHR to  $r=0.31$  for insulin,  $P < 0.01$ ). For lipids, spouse correlations ranged from 0.10 to 0.13. Spouse correlations were not statistically significant for triglycerides ( $r=0.09$ ,  $P = 0.026$ ), SBP ( $r=0.01$ ,  $P = 0.911$ ), and DBP ( $r=-0.07$ ,  $P = 0.408$ ). Correlations between the mean age of spouses and the difference in their trait values (Table 2) were not significant for any trait, which suggests that spousal resemblance for metabolic syndrome traits does not increase with increasing duration of the relationship.

#### *Heritability of metabolic syndrome traits*

Table 3 shows the heritability estimates based on all data (sexes and age groups combined). Moderate to high heritabilities were evident for all traits, with the highest estimates for height ( $H^2=0.90$ ), BMI ( $H^2=0.78$ ), and waist circumference ( $H^2=0.76$ ). The heritability of WHR was lower compared to other body composition measures ( $H^2=0.49$ ). For lipids, broad heritability estimates ranged from 0.59 (triglycerides) to 0.67 (total cholesterol). Heritabilities were estimated at 0.47 and 0.53 for insulin and glucose and at 0.61 and 0.60 for DBP and SBP. While the narrow-sense heritability ( $h^2=0.81$ ) and broad-sense heritability ( $H^2=0.90$ ) of height indicated that additive genetic effects explain most of the total heritability of height, metabolic syndrome traits generally showed a larger discrepancy between broad- and narrow-sense heritability. For BMI and waist circumference, almost half of the broad-sense heritability was ascribed to non-additive genetic effects.

For all traits except for insulin, the phenotypic variance was larger in the older subjects (see methods for definition) compared to younger subjects (Supplemental Table 3). The median age was 35 years for SBP and DBP, 39 years for BMI, 40 years for HDL, LDL, total cholesterol and triglycerides, and 41 for insulin, glucose, waist and WHR. Comparing the heritability estimates in the younger versus older group, no large differences were evident, although broad-sense heritability estimates were a bit lower in the older group for BMI, waist circumference, total cholesterol, LDL, and glucose, while the heritabilities of WHR, HDL, and triglycerides were estimated a bit higher in the older age group. No significant qualitative genetic differences between age groups were found.

**Table 3:** Heritability estimates of metabolic syndrome traits and height.


	Height	BMI	Waist	WHR	Total chol	HDL	LDL	Trig	Insulin	Glu-cose	SBP	DBP
N												
Ss	24904	27021	8965	8962	7468	7466	7453	7469	7510	7563	2792	2792
V <sub>p</sub>	42.89	14.14	119.58	0.005	0.93	0.13	0.77	21.25	0.50	0.25	161.21	97.48
h <sup>2</sup>	0.81	0.41	0.39	0.31	0.51	0.40	0.51	0.33	0.31	0.38	0.37	0.53
d <sup>2</sup>	0.09	0.37	0.37	0.18	0.16	0.27	0.18	0.25	0.16	0.15	0.24	0.08
H <sup>2</sup>	0.90	0.78	0.76	0.49	0.67	0.67	0.69	0.59	0.47	0.53	0.60	0.61
SE	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.06	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.08	0.09

All trait values were corrected for age and sex by linear regression, and overall heritability estimates were obtained based on the entire sample, assuming no differences in heritability between sexes or age groups. N Ss= Total number of subjects included in the analysis. TC= Total cholesterol, Trig=Triglycerides. V<sub>p</sub> = Total phenotypic variance, after taking out age and sex effects. h<sup>2</sup>= narrow-sense heritability (V<sub>A</sub>/V<sub>P</sub>), d<sup>2</sup>= proportion of variation due to non-additive genetic effects (V<sub>D</sub>/V<sub>P</sub>), H<sup>2</sup>=broad-sense heritability ((V<sub>A</sub>+V<sub>D</sub>)/V<sub>P</sub>). SE=Robust standard error of the broad-sense heritability estimate from poly.

When the heritability was analyzed separately by age and sex (allowing for differences in A,D and E between age groups and differences in A and E between males and females), age-related differences were more pronounced in males compared to females (Figure 2). This pattern was most evident for LDL (Young males H<sup>2</sup> =0.75, Older males H<sup>2</sup> = 0.52), total cholesterol (Young males H<sup>2</sup> =0.77, Older males H<sup>2</sup> = 0.51) and glucose (Young males H<sup>2</sup> =0.64, Older males H<sup>2</sup> = 0.27) and was primarily due to larger unique environmental variance in the older group. Consequently, these traits showed slightly lower heritability in males compared to females in the older age group, while this pattern was not observed in the younger age group (e.g. for glucose: young females H<sup>2</sup>=0.61, older females H<sup>2</sup>=0.56). The results suggested no important role of qualitative sex differences; significant qualitative sex differences were only observed in the younger age group for BMI, total cholesterol and HDL and in the older age group only for insulin. The most consistent finding across traits that emerged when analyzing the two age groups separately was a divergence of broad and narrow-sense heritability estimates (Figure 2). Whereas most of the heritable variation across traits in the younger age group was explained by additive genetic effects, non-additive genetic effects accounted for a considerable portion of the heritability of all traits in the older age group.

**Figure 2: Heritability estimates stratified by age and sex.**

$a^2$ =narrow-sense heritability=  $V_A/V_P$ ,  $d^2$ =  $V_D/V_P$ ,  $e^2$ = $V_E/V_P$ . Age categories were defined based on the median age of subjects (young=subjects with an age below the median and older=subjects with an age above the median). The median age was 35 years for SBP and DBP, 39 years for BMI, 40 years for HDL, LDL, total cholesterol and triglycerides, and 41 for insulin, glucose, waist and WHR. For height only, birth year (median=1966) instead of age was used to define categories. a: Young males. b: older males. c: young females. d: older females.



## Discussion

We examined the contribution of genetic and environmental influences to the variation in metabolic syndrome traits in a large population-based sample of twin families, representing one of the most extensive family-based datasets on metabolic syndrome traits described thus far. Our twin-family design allowed for representative estimation of narrow-sense and broad-sense heritability in the general population and to examine whether genetic influences on metabolic syndrome traits interact with age and sex. In summary, moderate to high broad-sense heritability estimates were evident for all traits, ranging from  $H^2 = 0.47$  for insulin to  $H^2 = 0.78$  for BMI. Averaging the estimates of broad-sense heritability over all metabolic syndrome traits; genetic variation accounted for 62 % of the phenotypic variation of metabolic syndrome traits on average. Although these heritability estimates showed some variation across age groups and sex, it can be concluded that overall, heritability estimates were consistently high. These findings emphasize the importance of heritable influences on individual differences in susceptibility to the metabolic syndrome.



The rising prevalence of the metabolic syndrome is most likely related to changes in lifestyle, including an increase in the consumption of high energy food and a decrease in physical activity. Our findings indicate that even though lifestyle changes are driving an increase in the prevalence of metabolic syndrome, the heritability of the underlying traits continues to be high. This finding may reflect that within wealthy countries including the Netherlands, environmental conditions contributing to these traits are homogeneously distributed, with for example high caloric meals being available to every adult individual. Even in this uniform 'obesogenic' environment, not every individual develops an unhealthy metabolic profile and our study indicates that these individual differences in metabolic syndrome traits are largely explained by genetic differences between individuals.

Analysis of qualitative genetic differences across age and sex suggested that the same set of genes account for the variation in metabolic syndrome traits in subjects with an age above the median age in our sample (around 40 years) and subjects with an age below the median age. We also found that the same genes generally accounted for metabolic syndrome traits in men and women, with a few exceptions: For BMI, HDL, and total cholesterol, the data from opposite-sex relatives suggested that the set of genes influencing variation in these traits was not entirely the same for men and women in the younger age group, and for insulin, different genes contributed to the variation in men and women in the older age group. One possible explanation for our finding that the genes influencing BMI in the younger age group differs between males and females could be that this finding is related to pregnancy-related changes in BMI in women, as most women in the reproductive age range were categorized within the younger age group.

While thus far only a few studies have reported that non-additive genetic effects contribute to the variation in metabolic syndrome traits<sup>18, 22, 27</sup>, an important part of the heritability of all metabolic syndrome traits was ascribed to non-additive genetic effects in our study, particularly in the older age group. To our knowledge, our study is the first that specifically looked at the variation of non-additive genetic effects across age groups. The overall estimates of narrow-sense and broad-sense heritability from our study are largely similar to the estimates reported previously based on an analysis of extended Sardinian pedigrees that included siblings, parent-offspring pairs, grandparent-grandchild pairs, avuncular relationships and more distant relatives (e.g. for BMI;  $H^2=0.78$  and  $h^2=0.41$  in our study, versus  $H^2=0.78$  and  $h^2=0.36$  in the study by Pilia *et al*<sup>22</sup>). In our study, the information about non-additive genetic effects comes from the difference between the phenotypic correlation in MZ twins compared to DZ twins and siblings and from the difference between the phenotypic correlation among parents and offspring compared to DZ twins and siblings. The variation ascribed to non-additive genetic effects may include genetic dominance effects and epistasis, as well as other genetic effects not acting in an additive manner (causing e.g. lower

parent-offspring similarity compared to sib-pair similarity), such as interactions between genetic effects and age or generation. We found no evidence for a difference in the set of genes influencing metabolic syndrome traits in subjects of different age, suggesting that interactions between genetic effects and age or generation are not a likely explanation for the non-additive effects observed in this study. Our finding that part of the broad-sense heritability of metabolic syndrome traits is ascribed to non-additive genetic effects may explain some of the variation between heritability estimates reported by previous studies.

When looking at the data from spouse pairs, we noticed significant spouse correlations for all traits except for triglycerides and hypothesized that the similarity between spouses may reflect shared household effects (e.g. similarity in diet, leisure time activities etc. in subjects who live together). To explore this hypothesis, we tested whether the similarity of spouses increases over time, but found no evidence for an increase in the similarity of spouse pairs with increasing duration of their relationship. This observation does not rule out, however, that shared household effects do account for the similarity of metabolic syndrome traits in spouse pairs, without inducing an increase in the resemblance of spouses over time, which could be interesting to assess in future studies. In addition to shared household effects, spousal similarity for anthropometric traits may, at least to some extent, be related to assortative mating. Assortative mating refers to the phenomenon that individuals tend to choose a partner whose phenotype is similar to their own and has been demonstrated to contribute to spousal similarity in height and BMI <sup>52</sup>.

To summarize, our findings indicate that in a representative population-based sample including multiple types of family relationships, individual metabolic syndrome traits are moderately to highly heritable. Representative heritability estimates are informative to obtain an estimate of the total genetic variation of traits that can be explained by currently identified loci based for example on genome-wide association studies (GWAS). Significant GWAS hits identified to date together explain between 1 and 2 % of the variation in BMI, 10% of the variation in height and 10 % of the variation in HDL cholesterol <sup>53</sup>. Accordingly, a large part of the heritability of these traits still remains to be identified.

## Reference List

1. Alberti, K.G., Zimmet, P., & Shaw, J. Metabolic syndrome--a new world-wide definition. A Consensus Statement from the International Diabetes Federation. *Diabet. Med* **23**, 469-480 (2006).
2. Cornier, M.A. *et al.* The metabolic syndrome. *Endocr. Rev.* **29**, 777-822 (2008).
3. Eckel, R.H., Grundy, S.M., & Zimmet, P.Z. The metabolic syndrome. *The Lancet* **365**, 1415-1428 (2005).
4. Grundy, S.M. The Metabolic Syndrome in *Atlas of Atherosclerosis and Metabolic Syndrome* (ed. Grundy, S.M.) 1-26 (Springer, New York, 2011).
5. National Heart Lung and Blood Institute Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III) final report. *Circulation* **106**, 3143-3421 (2002).
6. Alberti, K.G.M.M. & Zimmet, P. Definition, diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus and its complications. Part 1: diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. Provisional report of a WHO consultation. *Diabetic medicine* **15**, 539-553 (1998).
7. Balkau, B. & Charles, M.A. Comment on the provisional report from the WHO consultation. European Group for the Study of Insulin Resistance (EGIR). *Diabet. Med.* **16**, 442-443 (1999).
8. Després, J.P. & Lemieux, I. Abdominal obesity and metabolic syndrome. *Nature* **444**, 881-887 (2006).
9. Ding, E.L., Smit, L.A., & Hu, F.B. The metabolic syndrome as a cluster of risk factors: is the whole greater than the sum of its parts?: comment on "The metabolic syndrome, its component risk factors, and progression of coronary atherosclerosis". *Arch. Intern. Med* **170**, 484-485 (2010).
10. Kahn, R., Buse, J., Ferrannini, E., & Stern, M. The metabolic syndrome: time for a critical appraisal. *Diabetes care* **28**, 2289-2304 (2005).
11. VOGELZANGS, N. *et al.* Metabolic depression: a chronic depressive subtype? Findings from the InCHIANTI study of older persons. *J Clin. Psychiatry* **72**, 598-604 (2011).
12. Cameron, A.J., Shaw, J.E., & Zimmet, P.Z. The metabolic syndrome: prevalence in worldwide populations. *Endocrinology and metabolism clinics of North America* **33**, 351-375 (2004).
13. Bos, M.B. *et al.* The prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in the Netherlands: increased risk of cardiovascular diseases and diabetes mellitus type 2 in one quarter of persons under 60. *Nederlands tijdschrift voor geneeskunde* **151**, 2382-2388 (2007).
14. Ford, E.S. Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome defined by the International Diabetes Federation among adults in the U.S. *Diabetes care* **28**, 2745-2749 (2005).
15. Stubbe, J.H. *et al.* Genetic influences on exercise participation in 37,051 twin pairs from seven countries. *PLoS One* **1**, e22 (2006).
16. Teucher, B. *et al.* Dietary patterns and heritability of food choice in a UK female twin cohort. *Twin Res. Hum. Genet.* **10**, 734-748 (2007).
17. Argyropoulos, G., Smith, S., & Bouchard, C. Genetics of the Metabolic Syndrome in *Insulin Resistance : Insulin Action and Its Disturbances in Disease* (eds. Kumar, S. & O'Rahilly, S.) 401-450 (John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester, UK, 2005).
18. Maes, H.H.M., Neale, M.C., & Eaves, L.J. Genetic and environmental factors in relative body weight and human adiposity. *Behav. Genet* **27**, 325-351 (1997).

19. Snieder,H., van Doornen,L.J.P., & Boomsma,D.I. Dissecting the genetic architecture of lipids, lipoproteins, and apolipoproteins: lessons from twin studies. *Arterioscler. Thromb* **19**, 2826-2834 (1999).
20. Teran-Garcia,M. & Bouchard,C. Genetics of the metabolic syndrome. *Appl. Physiol Nutr. Metab* **32**, 89-114 (2007).
21. Elks,C.E. *et al.* Variability in the heritability of body mass index: a systematic review and meta-regression. *Front Endocrinol. (Lausanne)* **3**, (2012).
22. Pilia,G. *et al.* Heritability of cardiovascular and personality traits in 6,148 Sardinians. *PLoS Genet* **2**, e132 (2006).
23. Elder,S.J. *et al.* Genetic and environmental influences on factors associated with cardiovascular disease and the metabolic syndrome. *J. Lipid Res.* **50**, 1917-1926 (2009).
24. Li,S. *et al.* Heritability of eleven metabolic phenotypes in Danish and Chinese twins: A cross-population comparison. *Obesity*(2013).
25. Zarkesh,M. *et al.* Heritability of the metabolic syndrome and its components in the Tehran Lipid and Glucose Study (TLGS). *Genet. Res. (Camb.)* **94**, 331-337 (2012).
26. Poulsen,P., Kyvik,K.O., Vaag,A., & Beck-Nielsen,H. Heritability of type II (non-insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus and abnormal glucose tolerance--a population-based twin study. *Diabetologia* **42**, 139-145 (1999).
27. Rahman,I. *et al.* Genetic dominance influences blood biomarker levels in a sample of 12,000 Swedish elderly twins. *Twin Res Hum Genet* **12**, 286-294 (2009).
28. McCarthy,J.J. Gene by sex interaction in the etiology of coronary heart disease and the preceding metabolic syndrome. *Nutr. Metab Cardiovasc. Dis.* **17**, 153-161 (2007).
29. Snieder,H., van Doornen,L.J., & Boomsma,D.I. The age dependency of gene expression for plasma lipids, lipoproteins, and apolipoproteins. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **60**, 638-650 (1997).
30. Schousboe,K. *et al.* Sex differences in heritability of BMI: a comparative study of results from twin studies in eight countries. *Twin Research* **6**, 409-421 (2003).
31. Evans,A. *et al.* The genetics of coronary heart disease: the contribution of twin studies. *Twin Res.* **6**, 432-441 (2003).
32. Silventoinen,K. *et al.* Heritability of adult body height: a comparative study of twin cohorts in eight countries. *Twin. Res.* **6**, 399-408 (2003).
33. Zillikens,M.C. *et al.* Sex-specific genetic effects influence variation in body composition. *Diabetologia* **51**, 2233-2241 (2008).
34. Vink,J.M. *et al.* Sex differences in genetic architecture of complex phenotypes? *PLoS One* **7**, e47371 (2012).
35. Evans,A. *et al.* The genetics of coronary heart disease: the contribution of twin studies. *Twin Res.* **6**, 432-441 (2003).
36. Snieder,H. Familial aggregation of blood pressure in *Clinical hypertension and vascular disease: Pediatric hypertension* (eds. Portman,R.J., Sorof,J.M., Ingelfinger & J.R.) 265-277 (Humana Press, Totowa, NJ, 2004).
37. Eaves,L. *et al.* Comparing the biological and cultural inheritance of personality and social attitudes in the Virginia 30,000 study of twins and their relatives. *Twin Res* **2**, 62-80 (1999).
38. Maes,H.H. *et al.* Flexible Mx specification of various extended twin kinship designs. *Twin Res Hum Genet* **12**, 26-34 (2009).

39. Neale, M.C., Walters, E.E., Eaves, L.J., Maes, H.H., & Kendler, K.S. Multivariate genetic analysis of twin-family data on fears: Mx models. *Behav. Genet* **24**, 119-139 (1994).
40. Boomsma, D.I. *et al.* Netherlands Twin Register: from twins to twin families. *Twin Res Hum Genet* **9**, 849-857 (2006).
41. Willemsen, G. *et al.* The adult Netherlands twin register: twenty-five years of survey and biological data collection. *Twin. Res. Hum. Genet.* **16**, 271-281 (2013).
42. Willemsen, G. *et al.* The Netherlands Twin Register biobank: a resource for genetic epidemiological studies. *Twin Res Hum Genet* **13**, 231-245 (2010).
43. Friedewald, W.T., Levy, R.I., & Fredrickson, D.S. Estimation of the concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. *Clin. Chem.* **18**, 499-502 (1972).
44. Hottenga, J.J. *et al.* Heritability and stability of resting blood pressure. *Twin Res Hum Genet* **8**, 499-508 (2005).
45. de Geus, E.J.C., Kupper, N., Boomsma, D.I., & Snieder, H. Bivariate genetic modeling of cardiovascular stress reactivity: Does stress uncover genetic variance? *Psychosomatic Medicine* **69**, 356-364 (2007).
46. Kupper, N. *et al.* Heritability of daytime ambulatory blood pressure in an extended twin design. *Hypertension* **45**, 80-85 (2005).
47. Mancia, G. & Parati, G. Office compared with ambulatory blood pressure in assessing response to antihypertensive treatment: a meta-analysis. *Journal of hypertension* **22**, 435 (2004).
48. Wright, J.M., Lee, C.H., & Chambers, G.K. Systematic review of antihypertensive therapies: Does the evidence assist in choosing a first-line drug? *Canadian Medical Association Journal* **161**, 25-32 (1999).
49. Falconer, D.S. *Introduction to quantitative genetics* (Ronald Press Co., New York, 1960).
50. Neale, M.C., Boker, S.M., Xie, G., & Maes, H.H. *Mx: Statistical Modeling*. (Department of Psychiatry, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA, 2006).
51. Chen, W.M. & Abecasis, G.R. Estimating the power of variance component linkage analysis in large pedigrees. *Genet. Epidemiol.* **30**, 471-484 (2006).
52. Silventoinen, K., Kaprio, J., Lahelma, E., Viken, R.J., & Rose, R.J. Assortative mating by body height and BMI: Finnish twins and their spouses. *Am. J. Hum. Biol.* **15**, 620-627 (2003).
53. Visscher, P.M., Brown, M.A., McCarthy, M.I., & Yang, J. Five years of GWAS discovery. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **90**, 7-24 (2012).