

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1: GENERAL INTRODUCTION	11
1.1 Problem and aim of the research	11
1.2 Research question	14
1.3 Theoretical background	14
1.3.1 Learning to inquire	14
1.3.2 Meaning of accuracy, reliability and validity in quality of inquiries	16
1.3.3 Transfer of evaluation of accuracy, reliability and validity	18
1.3.4 Formative feedback with self-evaluation instruments as rubrics	19
1.3.5 Content of a self-evaluation instrument	21
1.3.6 Use of a self-evaluation instrument in a teaching-learning process	22
1.4 Thesis outline	24
1.5 Context and relevance	29
References	30
CHAPTER 2: KNOWLEDGE ABOUT ACCURACY, RELIABILITY AND VALIDITY BY PRE-UNIVERSITY STUDENTS AND SCIENCE TEACHERS	35
2.1 Theoretical background	36
2.2 Key objectives and hypothesis	37
2.3 Method	38
2.3.1 Participants	38
2.3.2 Materials and procedure	38
2.3.3 Data collection	39
2.3.4 Data analysis	39
2.4 Results	40



2.4.1 Judging the quality of an inquiry	40
2.4.2 Differences between the teachers	42
2.4.3 Knowledge of the students	43
2.5 Conclusion and interpretation	45
2.6 Limitations	46
2.7 Implications	47
References	49

CHAPTER 3: FEASIBILITY OF A SET OF RUBRICS AS SELF-EVALUATION

INSTRUMENT FOR PRE-UNIVERSITY STUDENTS	51
3.1 Introduction	52
3.2 Theoretical perspective on design characteristics of rubrics	53
3.2.1 Content	53
3.2.2 Extent of complexity	54
3.2.3 Extent of detail	56
3.2.4 Extent of general application	56
3.3 Method	58
3.3.1 Participants	59
3.3.2 Materials and procedure	60
3.3.3 Data collection	62
3.3.4 Data analysis	63
3.4 Findings	64
3.4.1 Actual use of the set of rubrics	64
3.4.2 Experience of use of the set of rubrics	65
3.4.3 Determination of support needed by students	68
3.4.4 The use of CoE by students	68
3.5 Discussion and conclusion	69
References	74

CHAPTER 4: FEASIBILITY OF REVISED INSTRUMENT AS SELF-EVALUATION

INSTRUMENT FOR PRE-UNIVERSITY STUDENTS	77
4.1 Introduction	78
4.2 Theoretical framework	79
4.2.1 Content	80
4.2.2 Extent of complexity	80
4.2.3 Extent of detail	82
4.2.4 Extent of general application	82
4.3 Research question	83
4.3.1 Determining feasibility	84
4.4 Method	84
4.4.1 Description of the EQI instrument	84





4.4.2	Intended use of the EQI instrument	85
4.4.3	Participants	87
4.4.4	Materials and procedure	87
4.4.5	Data collection and data analysis	88
4.5	Findings	89
4.5.1	Actual use of the EQI instrument (criterion a)	89
4.5.2	Students can work with the EQI (criterion b)	90
4.5.3	EQI supports determination of the level of student understanding (criterion c)	91
4.5.4	Increase in 'scientific' terminology (criterion d)	92
4.6	Discussion and conclusion	93
	References	97

CHAPTER 5: TEACHING-LEARNING PROCESS TO FULFIL FUNCTIONS

	OF REVISED SELF-EVALUATION INSTRUMENT	101
5.1	Introduction	102
5.2	Theoretical framework	103
5.2.1	Function 1: Self-evaluation	105
5.2.2	Function 2: Support of self-evaluation by peers and teacher	106
5.2.3	Function 3: Facilitating transfer	108
5.3	Design of teaching-learning process	109
5.3.1	Inquiry tasks	109
5.3.2	Supporting tasks	110
5.4	Research question	112
5.5	Method	113
5.5.1	Participants	113
5.5.2	Micro design cycles	114
5.5.3	Procedure	114
5.5.4	Data collection	115
5.5.5	Data analysis	117
5.5.6	Set norms for indicators	120
5.6	Findings	120
5.6.1	Function 1: Self-evaluation of ARV	120
5.6.2	Function 2: Support by peers and teacher	123
5.6.3	Function 3: Facilitating transfer	126
5.7	Discussion	128
5.7.1	Function 1: Self-evaluation of ARV by students	129
5.7.2	Function 2: Support by peers and teacher	130
5.7.3	Function 3: Facilitating transfer	132
5.8	Implications for the design characteristics	133
	References	137





CHAPTER 6: EFFECTIVENESS OF USING THE REVISED INSTRUMENT	
BY PRE-UNIVERSITY STUDENTS: LEARNING OUTCOMES	141
6.1 Introduction	142
6.2 Theoretical framework	143
6.3 Method	147
6.3.1 Participants	147
6.3.2 Materials and procedure	147
6.3.3 Data collection	149
6.3.4 Data analysis	150
6.4 Findings	152
6.4.1 Learning outcomes regarding aim 1: Relevance	152
6.4.2 Learning outcomes regarding aim 2: Knowledge	153
6.4.3 Learning outcomes regarding aim 3: Application	157
6.4.4 Learning outcomes regarding aim 4: Transfer	158
6.5 Discussion, conclusion and implications	160
References	164
CHAPTER 7: CONCLUSION, DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	167
7.1 Aim of this study and main research question	167
7.2 Results and conclusions of studies	169
7.2.1 Explorative study: Knowledge about accuracy, reliability and validity by pre-university students and science teachers	169
7.2.2 First research cycle: Feasibility of a set of rubrics as self-evaluation instrument for pre-university students	170
7.2.3 Second research cycle: Feasibility of the revised instrument as self-evaluation instrument for pre-university students	171
7.2.4 Second research cycle: Teaching-learning process to fulfil functions of revised self-evaluation instrument	172
7.2.5 Second research cycle: Effectiveness of using the revised instrument by pre-university students: learning outcome	174
7.3 Conclusions about feasibility and effectiveness of the EQI instrument	175
7.4 Reflections on this study	176
7.4.1 Reflection on the conclusion	176
7.4.2 Reflection on methodology	176
7.5 Limitations of this research	177
7.6 Implications for research on science education	178
7.6.1 Learning to inquire with CoE model	178
7.6.2 Understanding of accuracy, reliability and validity in inquiries	179
7.6.3 Flexible transfer of evaluating accuracy, reliability and validity to new subjects	180
7.6.4 Formative feedback with self-evaluation instruments	181





7.6.5 SOLO taxonomy for levels of self-evaluation instrument	182
7.6.6 Use of a self-evaluation instrument in a teaching-learning process	183
7.7 Implications for pre-university science education	184
7.7.1 Learning to inquire in school science subjects	184
7.7.2 Teacher training on evaluation of ARV in inquiries	185
7.8 Recommendations for future research	186
References	188
APPENDIX A	191
APPENDIX B	201
APPENDIX C	205
APPENDIX D	225
SUMMARY	229
SAMENVATTING	235
DANKWOORD	241
PUBLICATIONS AND CONFERENCE CONTRIBUTIONS	245
CURRICULUM VITAE	249

