

# Measuring and modeling negative emotions for virtual training

JEROEN DE MAN



VU University *Amsterdam*

Promotor:

prof.dr. J. Treur

VU University Amsterdam  
*Agent Systems Research*

Co-promotor:

dr. T. Bosse

VU University Amsterdam  
*Agent Systems Research*

Reading committee:

dr. K. van den Bosch

TNO Soesterberg  
*Training and Performance Innovations*

dr.ir. W.P. Brinkman

Delft University of Technology  
*Interactive Intelligence*

prof.dr. J. Gratch

University of Southern California  
*Institute for Creative Technologies*

prof.dr. F.A.H. van Harmelen

VU University Amsterdam  
*Knowledge Representation & Reasoning*

prof.dr. D.K.J Heylen

University of Twente  
*Human Media Interaction*



SIKS Dissertation Series No. 2016-07.

The research reported in this thesis has been carried out under the auspices of SIKS, the Dutch Research School for Information and Knowledge Systems.



Furthermore, the research has been carried out in collaboration with the NSCR, the Netherlands Institute for the Study of Crime and Law Enforcement.

Copyright 2016, Jeroen de Man. All rights reserved.

Cover artwork by Gisella Goppel.

VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT

# Measuring and modeling negative emotions for virtual training

ACADEMISCH PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad Doctor aan  
de Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam,  
op gezag van de rector magnificus  
prof.dr. V. Subramaniam,  
in het openbaar te verdedigen  
ten overstaan van de promotiecommissie  
van de Faculteit der Exacte Wetenschappen  
op maandag 29 februari 2016 om 15.45 uur  
in de aula van de universiteit,  
De Boelelaan 1105

door

Jeroen de Man

geboren te Ottweiler, Duitsland

promotor: prof.dr. J. Treur  
copromotor: dr. T. Bosse

In crisis situations, policemen and other emergency workers regularly face difficult decision making problems. Even though clear instructions on how to act are usually given, they often have difficulties in making appropriate decisions, due to a combination of factors including time pressure and heavy emotions. Learning to cope with these situations is done with on-the-job training or using role-play where either co-students or professional actors recreate similar scenarios. Although reasonably successful, these types of training have important drawbacks. First, they are very costly, both in terms of money and time. As a result, the frequency by which they are offered is low. And second, there are large differences in the successfulness of role-play-based training: for some students the learning effect is substantial, whereas for others it is minimal.

The project Simulation-based Training of Resilience in Emergencies and Stressful Situations (STRESS) aims at developing a Virtual Reality training as a supplement to these methods. Trainees will be placed in a virtual emergency scenario, in which they have to make difficult decisions while negative emotions are induced. During the scenario, Human Computer Interaction techniques will be applied to measure aspects of their mental state. An important asset of the VR approach is that the system can adapt various aspects of the training (e.g. scenarios or difficulty level) at runtime based on an estimation of the trainees mental state. In this way, by selecting training scenarios with an appropriate context in terms of difficulty level and providing useful feedback, the system can improve the trainee's decision making behavior, and by selecting scenarios with an appropriate context in terms of stress level, the system can improve the trainee's emotion regulation skills.

The research presented in this thesis revolves around these objectives, focused on evoking and measuring negative emotions and using models thereof in a virtual training. In the first part, various experiments are described to investigate the potential for inducing negative emotions with virtual stimuli. Physiological measurements such as heart rate, skin conductance or brain activity are compared with subjective ratings of a participant's mental state. Subsequently, the results acquired using virtual stimuli are compared with those that arise in a real-life setting. A first implementation of a virtual training for aggression de-escalation is evaluated by target users who worked with the system during a period of 4 weeks. The chapters of the second part discuss the use of (cognitive) models in a virtual training as well as methods to include adaptivity and physiological measurements.



<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Motivation . . . . .	1
1.2	Context . . . . .	2
1.3	Research . . . . .	11
1.4	Thesis type and outline . . . . .	15
<b>I</b>	<b>Evoking and measuring negative emotions</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Exploring subjective and physiological stress responses to virtual stimuli</b>	<b>31</b>
2.1	Introduction . . . . .	32
2.2	Background . . . . .	33
2.3	Video . . . . .	34
2.4	Games . . . . .	37
2.5	Injustice . . . . .	43
2.6	Comparison . . . . .	47
2.7	Conclusion . . . . .	51
<b>3</b>	<b>Analyzing emotional video using consumer EEG hardware</b>	<b>55</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	56
3.2	Background . . . . .	57
3.3	Method . . . . .	58
3.4	Results . . . . .	59
3.5	Discussion . . . . .	64
<b>4</b>	<b>Are aggressive agents as scary as aggressive humans?</b>	<b>67</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	68
4.2	Aggression de-escalation training . . . . .	69
4.3	Credible aggressive agents . . . . .	72
4.4	Anxiety . . . . .	74
4.5	Experiment . . . . .	75
4.6	Results . . . . .	78
4.7	Discussion . . . . .	81
4.8	Conclusion . . . . .	84
<b>5</b>	<b>Towards virtual training of emotion regulation</b>	<b>89</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	90
5.2	Theoretical background . . . . .	91
5.3	Experimental design . . . . .	94

5.4	Results . . . . .	97
5.5	Discussion . . . . .	102
<b>6</b>	<b>Evaluation of a virtual training environment for aggression de-escalation</b>	<b>107</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	108
6.2	Learning goals . . . . .	109
6.3	Training environment . . . . .	110
6.4	Method . . . . .	112
6.5	Results . . . . .	116
6.6	Discussion . . . . .	119
6.7	Conclusion . . . . .	120
<b>II</b>	<b>Using computational models in virtual training</b>	<b>123</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Learning emotion regulation strategies: A cognitive agent model</b>	<b>125</b>
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	126
7.2	Background . . . . .	127
7.3	Computational model . . . . .	128
7.4	Simulation results . . . . .	132
7.5	Mathematical analysis . . . . .	136
7.6	Preliminary results . . . . .	137
7.7	Discussion . . . . .	139
<b>8</b>	<b>Agent-based simulation as a tool for the design of a virtual training</b>	<b>145</b>
8.1	Introduction . . . . .	146
8.2	Project overview . . . . .	148
8.3	Modeling approach . . . . .	152
8.4	Computational model . . . . .	154
8.5	Simulation results . . . . .	159
8.6	Formal analysis . . . . .	160
8.7	Conclusion . . . . .	163
<b>9</b>	<b>A cognitive model for social role compliant behavior of virtual agents</b>	<b>167</b>
9.1	Introduction . . . . .	168
9.2	Background research . . . . .	169
9.3	Social compliant behavior model . . . . .	171
9.4	Model implementation . . . . .	175



---

9.5	Exploring the model's validity . . . . .	177
9.6	Conclusion . . . . .	178
<b>10</b>	<b>Adaptive training for aggression de-escalation</b>	<b>183</b>
10.1	Introduction . . . . .	184
10.2	Aggression de-escalation . . . . .	185
10.3	Adaptive training . . . . .	186
10.4	Conceptual model . . . . .	187
10.5	Implementation . . . . .	192
10.6	Preliminary evaluation . . . . .	194
10.7	Discussion . . . . .	196
<b>11</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	<b>201</b>
11.1	Research questions . . . . .	201
11.2	Software . . . . .	207
11.3	Ethical considerations . . . . .	211
11.4	Future work . . . . .	213
	<b>Samenvatting (Dutch)</b>	<b>217</b>
	<b>Appendices</b>	<b>219</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>L2-matlab</b>	<b>221</b>
A.1	Manual . . . . .	221
A.2	Introductory examples . . . . .	236
<b>B</b>	<b>SIKS dissertation series</b>	<b>251</b>

