

# VU Research Portal

## Integrated observations of greenhouse gas budgets at the ecosystem level

Hendriks, D.M.D.

2009

### **document version**

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

### **citation for published version (APA)**

Hendriks, D. M. D. (2009). *Integrated observations of greenhouse gas budgets at the ecosystem level: changing environment and management practices in peat meadows*. Vrije Universiteit.

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

### **E-mail address:**

[vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl](mailto:vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl)

## List of figures

	page
Figure 2.1: Principal components of the radiative forcing.	18
Figure 2.2: Atmospheric concentrations of important long-lived greenhouse gases	19
Figure 2.3: The global carbon cycle for the 1990 <sup>s</sup> .	22
Figure 2.4: Extent and location of global mires and peatlands.	23
Figure 2.5: Peatland areas and their greenhouse gas balance.	24
Figure 2.6: Schematic comparison of flux directions and relative magnitudes of net CO <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>4</sub> and N <sub>2</sub> O fluxes in peatlands.	25
Figure 2.7: Current distribution of peat soils in the western Netherlands.	26
Figure 2.8: Schematic of the continuous land subsidence and sea level rise in the Netherlands.	27
Figure 2.9: Overview of a typical peat meadow area.	27
Figure 2.10: Example of an enclosure for flux chamber measurements.	29
Figure 2.11: Eddy covariance system with ultrasonic anemometer and gas analyser.	30
Figure 3.1: Current distribution of peat soils in the western part of the Netherlands.	46
Figure 3.2: Power-spectra of $w$ , $T$ and CO <sub>2</sub> -concentration and co-spectra of $w'T'$ and $w'CO_2'$ for 10 Hz eddy covariance data.	54
Figure 3.3: Diurnal pattern of energy balance components net radiation, latent heat, sensible heat and ground heat flux and CO <sub>2</sub> flux.	54
Figure 3.4: Energy balance closure for daytime periods during the spring of 2005.	55
Figure 3.5: Daily NEE, GEP, R <sub>eco</sub> and cumulative NEE over 2004, 2005, and 2006.	56
Figure 3.6: Relation between soil temperature and respiration.	57
Figure 3.7: Measured CH <sub>4</sub> fluxes at the Horstermeer site over 2004 to 2006.	59
Figure 3.8: Modelled daily CH <sub>4</sub> fluxes over 2005.	60
Figure 4.1: Schematic overview of the integrated cavity output analysis (ICOS) technique used in the FMA.	72
Figure 4.2: Time series of CH <sub>4</sub> concentration measurements with 10Hz sampling rate and the Allan variance plot for these data.	74
Figure 4.3: Results of the laboratory experiment on the effect of T <sub>cell</sub> and P <sub>cell</sub> on CH <sub>4</sub> concentration measurements.	76

	page
Figure 4.4: Schematic overview of the combined eddy covariance field set-up for CH <sub>4</sub> , CO <sub>2</sub> and water vapour.	77
Figure 4.5: Averaged and normalised time series of CH <sub>4</sub> concentration data showing the instrument response with 20Hz sampling rate.	78
Figure 4.6: Results of the spectral analyses of the FMA eddy covariance set-up for two moderately turbulent days.	79
Figure 4.7: Results of the analyses of the effect of low turbulence on nightly CH <sub>4</sub> fluxes.	83
Figure 4.8: CH <sub>4</sub> flux and CO <sub>2</sub> flux (NEE) data series over a two week period in the summer of 2006.	84
Figure 4.9: Hourly CH <sub>4</sub> flux data at July 10 and October 3 (both 2006) plotted in combination with flux chamber data from various land elements in the footprint of the eddy covariance tower.	85
Figure 4.10: Time series of CH <sub>4</sub> fluxes for a one day period: half hourly averages of 10Hz eddy covariance and simulated 1Hz eddy covariance, disjunct eddy covariance, and REA.	86
Figure 5.1: Overview of the Horstermeer site including all measurement locations	97
Figure 5.2: Satellite images of the south west part of the Horstermeerpolder with the average 99% footprint area of the eddy covariance tower and the land elements.	98
Figure 5.3A: Flux chamber data (CH <sub>4</sub> fluxes and R <sub>eco</sub> ), T <sub>s1</sub> and WL <sub>soil</sub> data at sites A, B, C, D and E between January 2004 and October 2007.	105
Figure 5.3B: Flux chamber data (CH <sub>4</sub> fluxes and R <sub>eco</sub> ), T <sub>s1</sub> and WL <sub>soil</sub> data at sites F, G, d1 and d2 between January 2006 and October 2007.	106
Figure 5.4: Temperature-corrected CH <sub>4</sub> fluxes plotted over WL <sub>soil</sub> of the chamber data and eddy covariance data.	109
Figure 5.5: Seasonal averages of chamber measurements (CH <sub>4</sub> flux and R <sub>eco</sub> ), T <sub>s1</sub> and WL <sub>soil</sub> per group of sites.	110
Figure 5.6: Results from the measurements of dissolved CH <sub>4</sub> concentrations in the pore water over a soil profile at flux chamber sites A, C and E.	111

	page
Figure 5.7: Comparison of surfaces fluxes observed with the soil CH <sub>4</sub> gradient method in the upper part of the soil profile and the chamber method.	112
Figure 5.8: Data series of CH <sub>4</sub> fluxes, NEE and LE measured with eddy covariance as well as continuous data series of SW <sub>in</sub> , U, u*, T <sub>s1</sub> and WL <sub>soil</sub> for the three measurement periods.	113
Figure 5.9: Results from the regression analyses of daytime data from the footprint analyses for the 2006 summer period.	116
Figure 5.10: Diurnal cycles of eddy covariance data (CH <sub>4</sub> fluxes, NEE, LE, ET), SW <sub>in</sub> , T <sub>s1</sub> and WL <sub>soil</sub> per measurement period.	117
Figure 5.11: Schematic of the processes affecting CH <sub>4</sub> surface fluxes of four different physical soil profiles observed in the measurement area.	122
Figure 6.1: Overview of the Horstermeer peat area site with distribution of vegetation types and flux chamber sites.	137
Figure 6.2: Results of all measurements and analyses of soil variables for all vegetation types during the growing seasons of 2006 and 2007.	141
Figure 6.3: Results of all measurements and analyses of vegetation variables for all vegetation types during the growing seasons of 2006 and 2007.	142
Figure 6.4: Results of the measurements of CH <sub>4</sub> fluxes and R <sub>eco</sub> for all vegetation types over the growing seasons of 2006 and 2007.	144
Figure 6.5: Overview of C-balance, ΔRM, ΔCS, ΔLB, R <sub>eco</sub> and CH <sub>4</sub> flux for all vegetation types per growing season.	146
Figure 6.6: C-balance versus GHG balance of all vegetation types for both growing seasons.	148
Figure 6.7: Factor loadings of the soil and vegetation variables on the three important factor axes.	153
Figure 7.1: Results of the comparison between the different flux chamber set-ups	169