

VU Research Portal

Hierarchical Routing in Low-Power Wireless Networks

Iwanicki, K.S.

2010

document version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

citation for published version (APA)

Iwanicki, K. S. (2010). *Hierarchical Routing in Low-Power Wireless Networks*. [PhD-Thesis - Research and graduation internal, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam].

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

E-mail address:

vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl

Contents

Abstract	3
Acknowledgments	5
1 Introduction	19
1.1 Wireless Sensor Networks	20
1.1.1 Immense Potential	20
1.1.2 Novel Challenges	22
1.2 Networking Low-Power Wireless Nodes	23
1.2.1 Decade of Research	23
1.2.2 Networking Fabric	24
1.3 Problem Statement	26
1.4 Thesis	28
1.5 Contributions and Outline	30
2 Background	33
2.1 Sensornet Applications	34
2.1.1 Examples of Application Domains	34
2.1.2 Common Features	38
2.2 Consequences for Routing	40
2.2.1 Hardware and Physical Limitations	40
2.2.2 Deployment Constraints	46
2.2.3 Putting Everything Together	48
2.3 Related Work on Routing	50
2.3.1 Shortest-Path Routing	51
2.3.2 Constant-State Routing	52
2.3.3 Compact Routing	59
2.3.4 Hierarchical Routing	60

3	Enabling Hierarchical Routing in Sensornets	63
3.1	Routing Protocol Skeleton	64
3.2	Basic Terms and Definitions	65
3.2.1	Area Hierarchy Model	66
3.2.2	Hierarchical Routing Address	70
3.2.3	Hierarchical Routing Table	71
3.3	Hierarchical Packet Forwarding	74
3.3.1	Forwarding Algorithm	75
3.3.2	Remarks	79
3.4	Routing State Maintenance	81
3.4.1	Principal Idea	81
3.4.2	Routing Table Maintenance	83
3.4.3	Routing Address Maintenance	85
3.5	Link Quality Estimation	100
3.5.1	Measuring Link Quality	101
3.5.2	Using Link Quality Measurements	103
3.6	Concluding Discussion	104
4	Evaluating Hierarchical Routing in Sensornets	107
4.1	High-Level Simulation	108
4.1.1	Simulation Settings	108
4.1.2	Routing State	110
4.1.3	Routing Stretch	112
4.1.4	Robustness and Self-Management	114
4.2	Implementing Hierarchical Routing	119
4.2.1	Sample Design Points	120
4.2.2	Routing Protocol Scheme	122
4.2.3	Design Point: Hierarchy Type	125
4.2.4	Design Point: Propagating Hierarchy Information	129
4.2.5	Implementation Summary	132
4.3	Low-Level Implementation-Based Simulation	133
4.3.1	Routing State	134
4.3.2	Routing Stretch	137
4.3.3	Comparison of Area and Landmark Hierarchies	139
4.3.4	Robustness and Self-Management	144
4.3.5	Comparison of Information Propagation Methods	149
4.4	Testbed Experiments	154
4.4.1	Micro-Benchmarks	155
4.4.2	Long-Term Network Dynamics	155

4.5	Concluding Discussion	161
5	Comparing Routing Techniques Spectrum	163
5.1	Motivation	164
5.2	Selected Routing Techniques	166
5.3	Routing Technique Implementations	168
5.3.1	Link Quality Estimation	169
5.3.2	Routing State Maintenance	169
5.3.3	Packet Forwarding	171
5.3.4	Routing Code Breakdown	172
5.4	Low-Level Implementation-Based Simulation	173
5.4.1	Routing State	174
5.4.2	Routing Stretch	177
5.5	Testbed Experiments	184
5.6	Concluding Discussion	185
6	Conclusion	189
6.1	Research Results	190
6.2	Future Work	194
	Bibliography	197
A	Lemma Proofs	211
A.1	Proof of Lemma 3.1	211
A.2	Proof of Lemma 3.2	214
A.3	Proof of Lemma 3.3	217
A.4	Proof of Lemma 3.4	219
A.5	Proof of Lemma 3.5	220
A.6	Proof of Lemma 3.6	225
A.7	Proof of Lemma 3.7	247
A.8	Invariants of Labels and Update Vectors	248
B	Experimental Testbed	251
B.1	Hardware and Organization	251
B.2	Basic Network Properties	254
B.2.1	Experimental Setup	254
B.2.2	Experimental Results	255
B.3	Conclusions	258

C	Accompanying Software	261
C.1	Hierarchical Routing Library	261
C.2	General Routing Library	264
D	Foreign-Language Summaries	0
D.1	Samenvatting (Dutch Summary)	0
D.2	Streszczenie (Polish Summary)	7

List of Figures

1.1	A sample wireless sensor node, Mica2Dot	21
2.1	An overview of hardware components constituting a sensor node	40
2.2	Technological divergence of sensor nodes from Internet routers	49
2.3	The routing techniques spectrum w.r.t. the state-stretch trade-off	62
3.1	Routing abstractions comprising a routing protocol	65
3.2	An example of a connected network	66
3.3	An example of an area hierarchy in the network from Figure 3.2	67
3.4	The hierarchy from Figure 3.3 with central subclusters marked	70
3.5	The label tree for the hierarchy from Figure 3.4	71
3.6	The routing table of node <i>P</i> from Figure 3.4	72
3.7	Routing a packet from node <i>P.O.L</i> from Figure 3.4 to node <i>C.A.L</i>	79
3.8	The stretch of the routing path from Figure 3.7	80
3.9	A example of routing without involving cluster heads	81
3.10	A example of a label and a corresponding update vector	89
3.11	A example of the label extension operation	90
3.12	A example of the label cut operation	91
3.13	A example of the label combination operation	93
4.1	The cluster hierarchy height (high-level simulation)	111
4.2	The routing table size (high-level simulation)	112
4.3	The routing stretch (high-level simulation)	113
4.4	The hierarchy bootstrap latency (high-level simulation)	115
4.5	Hierarchy bootstrap in <i>PL-Gossip</i> vs. an optimal centr. approach	116
4.6	<i>PL-Gossip</i> under network dynamics (high-level simulation)	118
4.7	The routing table size (TOSSIM)	135
4.8	The routing stretch (TOSSIM)	138

4.9	The routing state in area and landmark hierarchies (TOSSIM) . . .	141
4.10	The routing stretch in area and landmark hierarchies (TOSSIM) . .	143
4.11	The hierarchy bootstrap latency (TOSSIM)	145
4.12	The bootstrap traffic (TOSSIM)	146
4.13	The stable-state maintenance traffic (TOSSIM)	147
4.14	The hierarchy recovery latency (TOSSIM)	148
4.15	The energy consumed with low-power listening (TOSSIM)	151
4.16	The energy efficiency of different solutions (TOSSIM)	152
4.17	A sample area hierarchy (testbed)	156
4.18	A sample landmark hierarchy (testbed)	157
4.19	A sample evolution of the pairwise internode reachability (testbed)	159
4.20	A Byzantine evolution of the internode reachability (testbed) . . .	160
5.1	The routing state vs. the network size (TOSSIM)	175
5.2	The routing state vs. the network density (TOSSIM)	177
5.3	The routing stretch vs. the network size (TOSSIM)	178
5.4	The routing stretch vs. the network density (TOSSIM)	181
5.5	The stretch and state of BVR in various configurations (TOSSIM)	183
B.1	A TelosB node with the sensor suite (TMote Sky)	252
B.2	The node placement in our testbed	254
B.3	An RSSI matrix for the testbed (RF power of -25 dBm)	256
B.4	An LQI matrix for the testbed (RF power of -25 dBm)	257
B.5	A PLR matrix for the testbed (RF power of -25 dBm)	258
B.6	The testbed node degree distribution (RF power of -25 dBm) . .	259
B.7	The testbed path length distribution (RF power of -25 dBm) . . .	260
C.1	A screenshot of the user interface of the visualization software . .	263

List of Tables

2.1	Representative examples of MCUs suitable for sensornets	41
2.2	Representative examples of radios suitable for sensornets	44
3.1	Entries in the routing tables of sample nodes from Figure 3.3	74
4.1	Different hierarchy types in proposed protocols	125
4.2	Different update propagation methods in proposed protocols	130
4.3	The routing state and routing stretch (testbed)	158
4.4	Comparison of different information propagation methods (testbed)	158
5.1	The code breakdown of routing protocols with static addresses . . .	173
5.2	The performance of different routing techniques (two testbeds) . .	185
B.1	The main hardware components of the experimental testbed	252
B.2	The specification of a TelosB sensor node	253
B.3	The neighbor relation graph in the testbed depending on RF power	259

List of Listings

3.1	The most important fields of a routing entry	73
3.2	The routing packet header initialization function	76
3.3	The next-hop lookup routing function for an area hierarchy	78
3.4	A highly simplified function for refreshing a routing entry	86
3.5	The label extension and label cut functions	92
3.6	The label combine function	94
3.7	The function for synthesizing the hierarchy	97
3.8	The function for recovering the hierarchy	99
3.9	The function for initializing node routing state	100
3.10	The function for periodically refreshing and broadcasting node state	101
3.11	The function for handling a heartbeat message reception	102
4.1	The next-hop lookup routing function for a landmark hierarchy . .	128