

# VU Research Portal

## Human Rights and the Critiques of the Public-Private Distinction

Amaya Castro, J.M.

2010

### **document version**

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

### **citation for published version (APA)**

Amaya Castro, J. M. (2010). *Human Rights and the Critiques of the Public-Private Distinction*.

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

### **E-mail address:**

[vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl](mailto:vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl)

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>xi</b>
1. Introduction	1
<b>PART I: LIBERALISM AND THE PUBLIC-PRIVATE DISTINCTION</b>	
2. The Public-Private Distinction in Liberal Political Philosophy	15
2.1. Introduction	15
2.2. The Public-Private Distinction in the History of Western Political Thought	16
2.2.1. Thomas Hobbes and the Leviathan	16
2.2.2. John Locke and the Body Politic	17
2.2.3. Jean-Jacques Rousseau and the Transformation of Man	20
2.2.4. Immanuel Kant, Private Happiness and Public Welfare	22
2.2.5. G.W.F. Hegel and the Spirit	24
2.2.6. Jeremy Bentham and the Logic of Obedience	26
2.2.7. Jürgen Habermas and the Public Sphere	27
2.3. Concluding	33
<b>PART II: CRITIQUES OF LIBERALISM'S PUBLIC-PRIVATE DISTINCTION</b>	
3. Marx's Early Critique	37
3.1. Introduction: Away from, or Against, the Liberal Public-Private Divide	37
3.2. Karl Marx and the Critique of Alienation	39
3.3. Concluding	42
4. The American Legal Realist Critique	43
4.1. Introduction	43
4.2. The Critique of Laissez-Faire Economics	45
4.3. The Critique of Contract Doctrine	46
4.4. The Critique of Property Law	47
4.5. Evaluating the Legal Realist Critique and its Impact	49

5. Critical Legal Studies (CLS) and its Critique	52
5.1. Introduction	52
5.2. CLS and the Public-Private Distinction: Selected Works	55
5.2.1. Duncan Kennedy on the Structure of Blackstone's Commentaries	55
5.2.2. Gerald Frug on the City as a Legal Concept	68
5.2.3. Karl Klare on the Public-Private Distinction in Labor Law	77
5.2.4. Paul Brest on State Action and Liberal Theory	83
5.2.5. Frances Olsen on the Family and the Market	87
5.2.6. Duncan Kennedy on the Decline of the Public-Private Distinction	96
5.3. Common Traits in the CLS Critique of the Public-Private Distinction	101
5.3.1. An Emphasis on Logical Contingency or Indeterminacy	101
5.3.2. An Emphasis on Historical/Contextual Embeddedness	108
5.3.3. An Emphasis on Ideological Function	110
5.3.4. Structuralism and the CLS Critiques	115
5.4. Concluding: The Legacy of CLS for our Thinking About the Public-Private Distinction	121
6. New Approaches to International Law (NAIL) and its Critique	123
6.1. Introducing NAIL	123
6.2. Koskenniemi's Structural Analysis of International Legal Argument	126
6.3. Concluding: the Public-Private Distinction as Structuring a Grammar	132
7. Feminist Critiques of the Public-Private Distinction	133
7.1. Introduction	133
7.2. Feminism in a Nutshell	134
7.3. "The Sexual Contract": Feminist Critiques of Liberalism's Public-Private Divide	142
7.4. Feminist Public-Private Critiques of Human Rights	155
7.5. Feminist Pursuit of Social Change and the Theory-Action Dichotomy	167
7.6. Concluding: Living Within and Against a Conceptual Framework	177

**PART III: THROUGH THE LOOKING GLASS: INTERNATIONAL  
HUMAN RIGHTS LAW OBSERVED IN THE PRISM OF  
THE CRITIQUES**

8. The General Human Rights Theory and Idea	183
8.1. Introduction: Through the Looking Glass	183
8.2. International Law, Human Rights, and the Public-Private Distinction	184
8.3. Human Rights and its Histories of the Public-Private Distinction	189
8.4. CLS Critiques of Rights	192
8.5. Indeterminacy and the Public-Private Distinction as Deferral	195
8.6. Ideology and Structural Bias in Human Rights	199
8.7. Concluding Observations	206
9. The Pursuit of Coherence: the Public-Private Distinction in Human Rights Doctrines	207
9.1. Introduction	207
9.2. Verticality Lost and Regained: Horizontal Effect and Positive Obligations	211
9.3. Managing Europe's Public-Private Distinction: the Margin of Appreciation	222
9.4. Concluding	245
10. The Distinction at its Most Concrete: Reading the Public-Private in the European Court of Human Rights' Case Law on Homosexuality	247
10.1. Introduction: Practices of Reading	247
10.2. Common Readings	250
10.3. Alternate Readings	254
10.3.1. Public, Private, and Gay: Dudgeon, Norris, and Modinos	254
10.3.1.1. The General Structure of the Cases	254
10.3.1.2. The Applicants and Their Facts	255
10.3.1.2.1. Dudgeon	255
10.3.1.2.2. Norris	257
10.3.1.2.3. Modinos	262
10.3.1.3. The Domestic Law	263
10.3.1.3.1. Dudgeon	263
10.3.1.3.2. Norris	267

10.3.1.3.3. Modinos	268
10.3.1.4. The Merits of the Cases	270
10.3.1.4.1. Interference with an Article 8 Right	270
10.3.1.4.2. A Legitimate Aim	275
10.3.1.4.3. Necessity in a Democratic Society	276
10.3.2. After Decriminalization: Salgueiro da Silva Mouta, Lustig-Prean & Beckett, Smith & Grady, and A.D.T.	288
10.3.2.1. The Applicants and Their Facts	289
10.3.2.1.1. Salgueiro da Silva Mouta: Homosexuality and Family Law as Private and Public Politics	289
10.3.2.1.2. Lustig-Prean & Beckett, and Smith & Grady: Dragged Out of the Army Locker	298
10.3.2.1.2.1. The Applicants, Their Ordeal, and Their Politics	298
10.3.2.1.2.2. The Policy Concerning Homosexuals in the Army	304
10.3.2.1.3. A.D.T. v. U.K.: Group Sex and Videotapes	309
10.3.2.2. Selected Public-Private Themes	311
10.3.2.2.1. Salgueiro: the Politics of Difference	311
10.3.2.2.2. The Army Cases	313
10.3.2.2.2.1. Unity and Fragmentation in the Domestic Constitutional Setting	313
10.3.2.2.2.2. Who Knows Best? The Locus of Authority and the Public-Private Distinction	316
10.3.2.2.2.3. Gays, Women & Racial Minorities: Private Conduct vs. Public Categories	319
10.3.3. The 'Rather Curious Activities' of Laskey, Jaggard, and Brown	323
10.3.3.1. Laskey, Jaggard, and Brown in Dialogue with the Other Cases	323
10.3.3.2. The Question of Consent	328
10.3.3.3. Sadomasochism and Homosexual Behavior	335
10.4. Concluding	337
11. Conclusion: The Public-Private Distinction After the Critiques	345
11.1. Cumulative Critiques	345
11.2. The (Non-)Responses to the Critiques	350
11.2.1. The Critiques Are Wrong	352

11.2.2. The Critiques Are Not Sufficiently Right	353
11.2.3. The Critiques Are 'Merely' Right	353
11.2.4. The Critiques Are Too Right	358
11.3. Through the Looking Glass	359
11.4. The Public-Private Distinction: Past, Present, and Future	362
<b>LIST OF ACRONYMS</b>	<b>365</b>
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	<b>367</b>
<b>SAMENVATTING</b>	<b>399</b>
<b>SUMMARY</b>	<b>413</b>
<b>CURRICULUM VITAE</b>	<b>421</b>