

VU Research Portal

Posttraumatic stress disorder in late life

van Zelst-Kwakkel, W.H.

2007

document version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

citation for published version (APA)

van Zelst-Kwakkel, W. H. (2007). *Posttraumatic stress disorder in late life*.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

E-mail address:

vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl





**The longitudinal course and new onset
of PTSD in an older population:
a community-based prospective study**

Willeke H. van Zelst, Edwin de Beurs, Aartjan T.F. Beekman,
Dorly J.H. Deeg and Richard van Dyck

Abstract

Objective The longitudinal course and new onset of PTSD have never been studied in an older population. The present study has a prospective design using a three year follow up of a population based sample of older citizens in the Netherlands and describes the course and incidence of PTSD.

Methods Data were derived from the large, random age and sex stratified sample of older persons (55-85 years) of the Longitudinal Aging Study Amsterdam (LASA). PTSD was measured with the Self-Rating Inventory for PTSD (SRIP) and in a sub-sample of respondents with scores above the threshold, the diagnosis of the disorder was assessed with the Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI).

Results PTSD persisted in 2/3 of the respondents who had (subthreshold) PTSD at baseline. Selective attrition was prominent in PTSD cases. New onset subthreshold PTSD was found in 10% of the respondents and new onset of twelve month-CIDI diagnosis was observed in 0.4% of the respondents without PTSD at baseline. Examination of the traumas reveals only old index traumas that happened decades before.

Conclusion Late life PTSD is a chronic waxing and waning disorder which mostly persists. The role of PTSD enhancing factors and memory in this age group is discussed.

Introduction

Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) is a far more prevalent disorder than formerly presumed¹, but there is a dearth of information concerning PTSD in the community based older population²⁻⁴. This certainly applies for the onset of new cases. Especially as people live longer and hope to prosper in good health it is surprising that so little is known about PTSD in old age while it has considerable impact on disability and wellbeing⁵. It is hard to formulate treatment expectations or organize health care services with limited knowledge on the natural course of PTSD in older persons. In particular the course and onset of subthreshold PTSD is important, because in older age subthreshold disorders are far more prevalent and have equally grave consequences for functioning and wellbeing⁵.

From the extant literature we know that PTSD can emerge at an earlier age and continue till old age, sometimes subsiding temporarily, to reappear again after new triggers that provoke symptoms of PTSD by the old (index) trauma⁶⁻⁹. It is even possible that PTSD emerges for the first time in old age, but is associated with a trauma that happened a long time ago. Such delayed onset is only described in anecdotal reports⁶. However, mostly these cases may not be really first onset, but may be recurrent cases or cases with delayed recognition¹. Retirement and deteriorating health may affect traumatized older subjects, being stressful triggers because of the potential losses they entail¹⁰⁻¹². Finally, new traumas in old age can cause PTSD. The nature of these traumas may be the same as in younger age, but the risk to acquire age related traumas rises. For instance, elderly mistreatment¹³ and medical emergencies¹⁴ are events that can precipitate PTSD.

Whether PTSD emerges for the first time in community dwelling older persons or reappears, because PTSD is a chronic waxing and waning condition which oscillates between syndromal and subsyndromal levels, has never been studied prospectively. What is more, it is unknown which longitudinal course PTSD runs in this population. The only study regarding the longitudinal course in a community based population was done in adolescents and young adults¹⁵. Except for one¹⁶ the few prospective studies that are done study younger victims of disasters, emergencies and war or younger adults in primary care^{17,18}.

The present study reports on prospective findings in older persons with PTSD in a representative sample of older inhabitants of the Netherlands in the Longitudinal Aging Study Amsterdam (LASA).

Research-questions are:

1. What is the course of PTSD and subthreshold PTSD after three years? In how many subjects does PTSD persist and how many recover?

2. How many new onset cases of PTSD and subthreshold PTSD occur in a period of three years?
3. What is the nature of traumas in new onset cases and what was the age at the index trauma and the age at onset of PTSD? What is the proportion of subjects with a trauma between the measurements and of subjects with delayed onset of PTSD?


Methods

Sampling and procedures

The Longitudinal Aging Study Amsterdam (LASA) is an ongoing study of changes in autonomy and well being with aging in the Netherlands. Full details on sampling and response are described elsewhere^{19,20}. In short, a random sample of older (55-85) persons, stratified for age and sex was drawn from the population registers in 11 municipalities in the Netherlands. The sample was used in two studies. Respondents were first interviewed for the NESTOR program Living arrangements and Social Networks of older adults (response 62.3%²¹). About ten months later 3107 (81.7%) of the 3805 respondents of the NESTOR-LSN study took part in the LASA baseline interview in the first LASA cycle (1992/1993). Non-response was related to age ($P < 0.001$), but not to sex. Subjects 85 years and older, were more often found to be too ill or cognitively impaired to participate. The LASA sample was interviewed every three years. For the present study data were collected in 1998/1999 (T1, total number of respondents $n = 1714$) and 2001/2002 (T2, total number of respondents $n = 1339$), when PTSD symptoms were measured with the Self-Rating Inventory for Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (SRIP)²². Reasons for dropout are precisely known. The majority of respondents who could not be included on T1 had died (761 respondents from the 3107); a minority refused (160), were unable (81), or could not be contacted (29). From another 362 the interview did not include the PTSD inventory because the interview was by telephone or was stopped before reaching this questionnaire.

On T2, 1339 respondents were available for PTSD inventory. Another 260 respondents had died between T1 and T2, 62 refused, 31 were unable and 2 not contacted. In 352 respondents the interview was done by telephone or stopped earlier and did not include the PTSD inventory. See figure 1. Only respondents with both SRIP assessments were included for this study ($N = 1271$).

Figure 1. Collection of respondents with PTSD



*either PTSD screen positive (153) or depression screen positive (256); 95 overlapped.

**either PTSD screen positive (188) or depression screen positive (152); 93 overlapped.

¹2076 available for follow up.


A subgroup was selected for diagnostic interviews in a two-phase sampling procedure²³ using the SRIP to optimize case-finding. In every respondents with a SRIP score above the cut-off the presence of a PTSD diagnosis was examined using the Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI)-version 2.1²⁴. In this design also a random sample with low SRIP scores received CIDI interviews. Next, an inventory of the traumas was made and their temporal relation to the symptoms (i.e. age when the trauma took place, time span till start of symptoms, age at onset of symptoms, duration and recency of symptoms) was established. Once identified as PTSD cases on T1, respondents were interviewed with the CIDI again at T2. All others were followed up three years later with the SRIP and the two stage sampling procedure. Interviews were conducted by trained interviewers in the homes of respondents. Different interviewers administered the screening-instruments and diagnostic interviews in order to prevent expectation bias. Interviews were tape-recorded in order to control data quality. Informed consent was obtained before the study, in accordance with legal requirements in the Netherlands.

Measures

Symptoms of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder were measured with the Self-Rating Inventory for Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (SRIP)²². This inventory registers symptoms of PTSD independent of the level of traumatization. The questions of the SRIP correspond to 22 items from the DSM-IV, for example: “I had the feeling that past events were happening again” or “I had recurrent unpleasant memories” or “I was easily frightened”. The answers were indicated on a 4-point-scale as ‘not at all’ (one point), ‘slightly’ ‘seriously’ or ‘extremely’ (4 points). Subthreshold PTSD was considered present if the SRIP score was ≥ 39 (screen-positive) and there was no PTSD diagnosis. This cut-off was chosen, as earlier research in the same sample has shown that it had the best criterion validity for PTSD²⁵.

A twelve month diagnosis of PTSD was established using the Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI)-version 2.1²⁴. Respondents referred to the most serious traumatic event (index event) that happened to them (or to their beloved ones) out of a list of 10 events recorded in the CIDI when answering the CIDI questions. PTSD-criteria were applied strictly according to DSM-IV-rules. The only criterion that was omitted for the present study was whether participants still attended social events or parties, because this question was not considered relevant for most older people.

Figure 2. Schema of the course of PTSD in 5 hypothetical cases



Note: Events were depicted across a schematically life line; 65 reflects the age of retirement. T1 and T2 reflect the first and second assessment, respectively.

X= index trauma = trauma that was worst and had given the symptoms of PTSD

//////// = symptoms of PTSD

t = (hypothetical) triggers that worsen the course of PTSD

Data analysis

In figure 2 several possibilities of the course of PTSD are reflected schematically. Data were coded and analysed according to these possibilities. *New onset* PTSD was determined when respondents did not qualify for PTSD disorder or subthreshold PTSD on T1, but did so on T2 (i.e. possibility b, d and e). N.B. Possibility e is a *first new onset diagnosis*, when the index trauma occurred between T1 and T2. Possibility d is a form of *delayed onset diagnosis*, where the index trauma was in the past, but never had given symptoms of PTSD. *Persistent* PTSD was determined when PTSD disorder or subthreshold PTSD was present at T1 as well as T2 (i.e. possibility a). *Recovered PTSD* were determined when PTSD disorder or subthreshold PTSD was present at T1 but not at T2 (i.e. possibility c).

Descriptive analyses were performed with SPSS version 12.0. Differences in missing data and differences in mortality between the three groups (i.e. PTSD disorder, subthreshold PTSD and no PTSD) were calculated with a 2x2 table using Chi-square tests.

Results

The characteristics of the sample were: 585 males and 686 females. Their mean age was 72.2 (minimum 60.8 and maximum 91.0) years.

The data concerning the course of PTSD (first research question) are summarised in table 1.

Table 1. Transition of respondents with twelve month PTSD in a three year period

State on T1	State on T2			Total
	PTSD	Subthreshold	No PTSD	
PTSD	0	10	3	13
Subthreshold	6	95	54	155
No PTSD	4	109	990	1103
Total	10	214	1047	1271

Table 2. Missing data compared to all respondents with available SRIP on T1

	Missing data (%)	Present data
PTSD	8 (38.1)*	13
Subthreshold	74 (32.3)**	155
No PTSD	361 (13.2)***	1103
Total	443 (25.8)	1271

* Reasons for missing: 3 deceased, 5 only telephone. Complete interview in 13 (61.9%).

** Reasons for missing: 32 deceased, 1 refusal, 1 ineligible, 1 not contacted, 19 short interview, 3 terminated interview, 16 only telephone. Complete interview in 155 (67.7%).

*** Reasons for missing: 155 deceased, 24 refusal, 11 ineligible, 69 only short interview, 6 terminated interview, 96 only telephone. Complete interview in 1103 (86.8%).

Table 3. Description of new onset cases of PTSD

Gender	Age	Index trauma	Other traumas	Age at onset
Female	80	Concentration Camp*	-	19 ^a
Male	68	Combat	Accident, natural disaster, witnessed killing/injury, attacked, threatened with a weapon	37 ^b
Male	66	Accident	Combat, threatened with a weapon, other	59 ^a
Female	70	Witnessed killing/injury	-	14 ^a

* trauma not mentioned in the first assessment

^a starting the same day

^b starting within six months

It shows that none of those with a full-blown PTSD at baseline also had PTSD three years later. However, the majority (77%) did have persistent symptoms of PTSD, severe enough to reach the level of subthreshold PTSD. *Persistent* PTSD disorder or subthreshold PTSD was present in 66%. Subjects with subthreshold PTSD became the disorder in 6 cases (4%). Only a minority of cases (23%) and subthreshold cases (35%) recovered.

Regarding the incidence of PTSD (second research question) the results indicate that *new onset* of PTSD is 10% in three year ($113/(1271-168) = 0.10$). Because respondents with subthreshold PTSD at baseline were excluded as possible new

onset cases, a strict estimation for the new onset of twelve month PTSD in this cohort is 0.4% in three years ($4/(1271-168) = 0.004$).

The nature and temporal aspects of the index traumas of new onset disorders (third research question) are presented in table 3. No *first new onset* cases were found. The index traumas of four PTSD cases all occurred earlier in life, but had given a full blown PTSD (again) in de past three years with symptoms within the past year. Also, no *delayed onset* cases were found.

Attrition

We found evidence for selective attrition of PTSD in this study. As Table 2 shows the number of respondents with a PTSD disorder or subthreshold PTSD who were missed for follow up was significantly higher than the number with no PTSD ($\chi^2(2) = 7.720$; $p = 0,021$). PTSD cases had significantly more often incomplete interviews such as short or telephone interviews that did not include the SRIP, which indicated a poor physical condition ($\chi^2(1) = 6.946$; $p = 0,008$). Mortality rates, grouped by the clinical status at T1 (PTSD, subthreshold PTSD, and no PTSD) were 14.3%, 14.0%, and 10.6% respectively, which did not reach statistical significance. ($\chi^2(2) = 2.525$; $p = 0.283$).

Discussion

Course of PTSD

PTSD appears to fluctuate between the disorder and the subthreshold disorder when measured again after three years. The disorder infrequently disappears entirely but in at least 2/3 of the respondents with a PTSD disorder or subthreshold PTSD no remission occurred. Our results indicate a more chronic course in older persons when compared to the study of Perkonigg²⁶ who reported no remission in 48% of the (subthreshold) PTSD respondents in a population aged 14-24 years. This was found also in a primary care study reporting a full remission in 18% and partial remission in 69% for severe and longstanding PTSD¹⁷. The only other epidemiologic study on exposure to trauma and reassessment was reported by Breslau²⁷. She focussed on the conditional risk of PTSD after circumscribed events and found that the probability of PTSD after a random event was 9.2% concentrated largely in 12% of the population. From this research it can be calculated that the typical person with PTSD has 3.3 episodes of PTSD in his or her life with each period lasting for more than seven years²⁸. Although Breslau et al. addressed a young (18-45 years) urban population and her figures may not be generalised to older persons, it highlights the

long duration of each period of PTSD which is in line with the low remission rate we found after three years. Compared to persistence rates in depression, which was 50% after three years²⁹, PTSD appears to be a more persistent disorder.

New onset of PTSD

This is the first community based study of new onset PTSD in the older population. New onset of PTSD disorder or subthreshold PTSD occurred in 10% in three years. There are hardly any prospective studies for comparison. Stein reported a cumulative incidence of PTSD and subthreshold PTSD of 5% in a three year follow up of adolescents and young adults³⁰. Therefore, an increase in new onset of PTSD in older persons is suggested by our study. Although they used CIDI- diagnoses, not exactly the same definitions of subthreshold PTSD were used. They considered as subthreshold cases those respondents who had a trauma from the CIDI-list and reported horror or fear from it and persistent re-experiences that endured more than a month. In our study subthreshold PTSD was considered when respondents had scores equal or above 39 on the SRIP, which was found as a threshold using a ROC-curve and comparing it with CIDI diagnoses of PTSD in older persons²⁵.

The new onset of PTSD is comparable to new onset of depression which emerges in 9.7% in a 55+ population in three years²⁹. This is not surprising, because there is comorbidity between both disorders and their onset may be triggered by the same events³¹.

Concerning the selective attrition which we found, it could be attributed to poor physical condition. This is in line with earlier studies, reporting that in all ages persons with PTSD have more physical morbidity^{6,9,32-34} and higher mortality³⁴ than persons without PTSD. Older persons with PTSD are even more at risk after their retirement^{11,35}.

The nature of traumas and their remembrance

Our participants exclusively suffered from a new emergence of a full blown PTSD from which they had suffered already in the past. First new onset cases from a recent trauma or delayed onset from a remote trauma that never had caused symptoms before, was not found in this study. The finding of only old cases that re-emerge and the lack of first new onset of PTSD offers room for several speculations:

Firstly, other factors causing distress may be responsible for the re-emergence of PTSD, because traumatic events happened in the remote past, but only recently caused enough distress to trigger the onset of the disorder (again). This strengthens the idea that PTSD may remain dormant at a subsyndromal level, but is easily kindled back to life when the person experiences life events that cause stress. This nicely is in line with Ormel's diathesis stress model³⁶. Factors influencing that stress

may be retirement^{10;11} loneliness^{11;37}, distressing events^{11;31;37;38} especially physical ill health^{11;39}, negative perceived health³⁷ and depression¹¹. Furthermore, it is known that the start of a war⁴⁰ or showing of violence on TV¹¹ may increase symptoms in those already traumatized. Some found also (unrelated) medical complaints⁴¹ and (mild) cognitive impairment^{41;42} contributing to emergence of PTSD.

Secondly, from the fact that no recent events from the last three years were brought up as index traumas for new cases of PTSD it may be concluded that the CIDI list is too strict and some real new cases may have been overlooked^{30;43}. Just a few extreme events are acknowledged to be an CIDI index trauma, and not the most upsetting events that bother older persons, such as the death of a loved one or medical emergencies. This underpins the importance of the inclusion of subthreshold PTSD in this study as well, because it contains a broader definition of PTSD and may reflect the real life situation more appropriately.

Strength of the study

As far as we know this is the first prospective study in a community based older population regarding the course and new onset of PTSD due to varying traumatic events. The use of validated instruments make this study feasible. Also, the precise knowledge of reasons for attrition and the low rate of attrition due to refusal, ineligibility, or loss of contact is a necessary and strong point in PTSD research.

Limitations

Due to attrition the study population may have changed from the representative sample of the population in the Netherlands that it initially was. This is inevitable in an ongoing study such as LASA is. The consequence of attrition for health reasons leads most likely to an underestimation of prevalence rates, because the most frail persons are overrepresented in the missed cases and they have greater chance on PTSD. The attrition of the population due to refusal, ineligibility, or not being contacted was very low, namely 0% in the PTSD, 1.2% in the subthreshold PTSD, and 2.1% in the group without PTSD, respectively. This balances the underestimation, because especially respondents who were lost because they could not be contacted, tend to have PTSD⁴⁴.

Furthermore, the numbers of respondents with the full disorder were low and may have been underestimated because they were obtained by a screening method with reasonable but not perfect psychometric properties and an odd case may have been missed. The low number of respondents with full PTSD disorder may also be caused by the conservative inclusion of potential cases. We included only respondents who reported complaints within the last twelve months in order to preclude recall bias. In addition, all former subthreshold cases were excluded as potential new disorders,

because we thought the difference between subthreshold PTSD and full PTSD were only gradual and we wanted to highlight rigorous changes.

Finally, the possibility to obtain information on trauma history was reduced to respondents with the full disorder. Trauma history was not checked, which is usual in trauma research and appears to be reliable⁴⁵. For the remembrance of the trauma it was important that exact the same CIDI interview was administered at both assessments to optimize consistency of the report⁴⁶. However, in one respondent the trauma had been not mentioned the first time. Mood at the time of reporting the event or discussing the event may influence the consistency of the reported information⁴⁷, but not thinking about a trauma for a long time is not the same as being unable to remember it⁴⁸. Traumas are generally well remembered⁴⁵, even when memory is impaired⁴⁸. Nevertheless, in this case cognitive impairments might have precluded mentioning the trauma in the first assessment and might also have contributed to the re-emergence of PTSD⁴¹.

In conclusion this population based study underpins the chronic course and re-emergence of PTSD facing the vulnerabilities of older age. It lacks cases in which PTSD emerged for the first time due to age related traumas reflecting the strict CIDI criteria. With an onset of 10% the importance of subthreshold PTSD is highlighted.

References

1. Schnurr PP, Friedman MJ, Bernardy NC. Research on posttraumatic stress disorder: epidemiology, pathophysiology, and assessment. *J Clin Psychol* 2002; 58(8):877-889.
2. Averill PM, Beck JG. Posttraumatic stress disorder in older adults: a conceptual review. *J Anxiety Disord* 2000; 14(2):133-156.
3. Cook JM, O'Donnell C. Assessment and psychological treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder in older adults. *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol* 2005; 18(2):61-71.
4. Busuttill W. Presentations and management of Post Traumatic Stress Disorder and the elderly: a need for investigation. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2004; 19(5):429-439.
5. van Zelst WH, de Beurs E, Beekman AT, van Dyck R, Deeg DD. Well-being, physical functioning, and use of health services in the elderly with PTSD and subthreshold PTSD. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2006; 21(2):180-188.
6. Aarts PGH, op den Velde W, Falgar PRJ, Hovens JE, de Groen JHM. Late onset of posttraumatic stress disorder in aging resistance veterance in the Netherlands. In: Ruskin PE, Talbot JA, editors. *Aging and Posttraumatic Stress Disorder*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press, 1996: 53-76.
7. Bramsen I, van der Ploeg HM. Fifty years later: the long-term psychological adjustment of ageing World War II survivors. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1999; 100(5):350-358.
8. Koren D, Arnon I, Klein E. Long term course of chronic posttraumatic stress disorder in traffic accident victims: a three year prospective follow-up study. *Behav Res Ther* 2001; 39(12):1449-1458.
9. Falk B, Hersen M, Van Hasselt V. Assesment of posttraumatic stress disorder in older adults: a critical review. *Clin Psychol Rev* 1994;(14):383-415.
10. Schnurr PP, Lunney CA, Sengupta A, Spiro A, III. A longitudinal study of retirement in older male veterans. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 2005; 73(3):561-566.
11. Macleod AD. The reactivation of post-traumatic stress disorder in later life. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 1994; 28(4):625-634.
12. Kaup BA, Ruskin PE, Nyman G. Significant Life Events and PTSD in elderly World War II Veterans. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 1994; 2:239-243.
13. Comijs HC, Pot AM, Smit JH, Bouter LM, Jonker C. Elder abuse in the community: p revalence and consequences. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1998; 46(7):885-888.
14. Alonzo AA. The experience of chronic illness and post-traumatic stress disorder: the consequences of cumulative adversity. *Soc Sci Med* 2000; 50(10):1475-1484.
15. Perkonigg A, Pfister H, Stein MB, Hofler M, Lieb R, Maercker A et al. Longitudinal course of posttraumatic stress disorder and posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms in a community sample of adolescents and young adults. *Am J Psychiatry* 2005; 162(7):1320-1327.

16. Port CL, Engdahl B, Frazier P. A longitudinal and retrospective study of PTSD among older prisoners of war. *Am J Psychiatry* 2001; 158(9):1474-1479.
17. Zlotnick C, Rodriguez BF, Weisberg RB, Bruce SE, Spencer MA, Culpepper L et al. Chronicity in posttraumatic stress disorder and predictors of the course of posttraumatic stress disorder among primary care patients. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 2004; 192(2):153-159.
18. Zlotnick C, Warshaw M, Shea MT, Allsworth J, Pearlstein T, Keller MB. Chronicity in posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and predictors of course of comorbid PTSD in patients with anxiety disorders. *J Trauma Stress* 1999; 12(1):89-100.
19. Deeg DJH, Westendorp-de Serière M. Autonomy and well-being in the aging population I: report from the Longitudinal Aging Study Amsterdam 1992-1993. VU University Press, 1994.
20. Deeg DJH, Beekman ATF, Kriegsman DMW, Westendorp-de Serière M. Autonomy and well-being in the aging population II report from the Longitudinal Aging Study Amsterdam 1992-1996. Amsterdam: VU University Press, 1998.
21. Knipscheer CP, Dykstra PA, van Tilburg TG, Jong-Gierveld J. [Living arrangements and social networks of elders. A selection of findings from a NESTOR-Study]. *Tijdschr Gerontol Geriatr* 1998; 29(3):110-119.
22. Hovens JE, van der Ploeg HM, Bramsen I, Klaarenbeek MT, Schreuder JN, Rivero VV. The development of the Self-Rating Inventory for Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1994; 90(3):172-183.
23. Dunn G, Pickles A, Tansella M, Vazquez-Barquero JL. Two-phase epidemiological surveys in psychiatric research. *Br J Psychiatry* 1999; 174:95-100.
24. Wittchen H-U. Reliability and validity studies of the WHO-Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI) a critical review. *Journal of Psychiatric Research* 1994; 28(1):57-84.
25. van Zelst WH, de Beurs E, Beekman AT, Deeg DJ, Bramsen I, van Dyck R. Criterion validity of the self-rating inventory for posttraumatic stress disorder (SRIP) in the community of older adults. *J Affect Disord* 2003; 76(1-3):229-235.
26. Perkonigg A, Kessler RC, Storz S, Wittchen HU. Traumatic events and post-traumatic stress disorder in the community: prevalence, risk factors and comorbidity. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2000; 101(1):46-59.
27. Breslau N, Kessler RC, Chilcoat HD, Schultz LR, Davis GC, Andreski P. Trauma and posttraumatic stress disorder in the community: the 1996 Detroit Area Survey of Trauma. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1998; 55(7):626-632.
28. Kessler RC. Posttraumatic stress disorder: the burden to the individual and to society. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2000; 61 Suppl 5:4-12.
29. Beekman AT, Deeg DJ, Geerlings SW, Schoevers RA, Smit JH, van Tilburg W. Emergence and persistence of late life depression: a three year follow-up of the Longitudinal Aging Study Amsterdam. *J Affect Disord* 2001; 65(2):131-138.

30. Stein MB, Hofler M, Perkonig A, Lieb R, Pfister H, Maercker A et al. Patterns of incidence and psychiatric risk factors for traumatic events. *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res* 2002; 11(4):143-153.
31. Kraaij V, Arensman E, Spinhoven P. Negative life events and depression in elderly persons: a meta-analysis. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci* 2002; 57(1):87-94.
32. Frayne SM, Seaver MR, Loveland S, Christiansen CL, Spiro A, III, Parker VA et al. Burden of medical illness in women with depression and posttraumatic stress disorder. *Arch Intern Med* 2004; 164(12):1306-1312.
33. Long N, Chamberlain K, Vincent C. The health and mental health of New Zealand Vietnam war veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder. *N Z Med J* 1992; 105(944):417-419.
34. Boscarino JA. Posttraumatic stress disorder and physical illness: results from clinical and epidemiologic studies. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2004; 1032:141-153.
35. Schnurr PP, Spiro A, III, Aldwin CM, Stukel TA. Physical symptom trajectories following trauma exposure: longitudinal findings from the normative aging study. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 1998; 186(9):522-528.
36. Ormel J, Schaufeli WB. Stability and change in psychological distress and their relationship with self-esteem and locus of control: a dynamic equilibrium model. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 1991; 60(2):288-299.
37. van Zelst WH, de Beurs E, Beekman AT, Deeg DJ, van Dyck R. Prevalence and risk factors of posttraumatic stress disorder in older adults. *Psychother Psychosom* 2003; 72(6):333-342.
38. Dohrenwend BP. The role of adversity and stress in psychopathology: some evidence and its implications for theory and research. *J Health Soc Behav* 2000; 41(1):1-19.
39. Shalev AY, Schreiber S, Galai T, Melmed RN. Post-traumatic stress disorder following medical events. *Br J Clin Psychol* 1993; 32(Pt 2):247-253.
40. Solomon Z, Prager E. Elderly Israeli Holocaust survivors during the Persian Gulf War: a study of psychological distress. *Am J Psychiatry* 1992; 149(12):1707-1710.
41. Ruzich MJ, Looi JC, Robertson MD. Delayed onset of posttraumatic stress disorder among male combat veterans: a case series. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2005; 13(5):424-427.
42. Lantz MS, Buchalter EN. Posttraumatic stress. Helping older adults cope with tragedy. *Geriatrics* 2001; 56(12):35-36.
43. Resnick HS, Falsetti SA, Kilpatrick DG. Assessment of Rape and Other Civilian Trauma-Related Post-traumatic Stress Disorder: Emphasis on Assessment of Potentially Traumatic Events. In: Miller TW, editor. *Theory and assessment of stressful life events*. Madison CT: International Universities Press, Inc, 1996: 235-271.
44. Weisaeth L. Importance of high response rates in traumatic stress research. *Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl* 1989; 355:131-7.:131-137.

45. Goodman LA, Thompson KM, Weinfurt K, Corl S, Acker P, Mueser KT et al. Reliability of reports of violent victimization and posttraumatic stress disorder among men and women with serious mental illness. *J Trauma Stress* 1999; 12(4):587-599.
46. van Giezen AE, Arensman E, Spinhoven P, Wolters G. Consistency of memory for emotionally arousing events: a review of prospective and experimental studies. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2005; 25(7):935-953.
47. McNally RJ. Remembering trauma. The Belknap Press of Harvard University Press, Cambridge, M.A., 2003.
48. McNally RJ. Debunking myths about trauma and memory. *Can J Psychiatry* 2005; 50(13):817-822.

