

VU Research Portal

Studies into train suicide

van Houwelingen, C.A.J.

2011

document version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

citation for published version (APA)

van Houwelingen, C. A. J. (2011). *Studies into train suicide: The contribution of psychopathology, railway parameters and environmental factors*. [PhD-Thesis – Research external, graduation internal, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam].

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

E-mail address:

vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl

Chapter 6

Difference in train suicide mortality between the Netherlands and Germany: the impact of availability of trains

Cornelis AJ van Houwelingen^a, Jens Baumert^b, Ad JFM Kerkhof^c,
Domien GM Beersma^d, Karl-Heinz Ladwig^{b,e}

^a Integrated Mental Health Services Eindhoven (GGzE), Eindhoven, the Netherlands

^b Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health,
Institute of Epidemiology II, Neuherberg, Germany

^c Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Department of Clinical Psychology, EMGO⁺ Institute,
Amsterdam, the Netherlands

^d Rijksuniversiteit Groningen, Research unit of Chronobiology, Groningen, the Netherlands

^e Technische Universität München, Department of Psychosomatic Medicine and
Psychotherapy, Klinikum rechts der Isar, München, Germany

ABSTRACT

Background

It has been observed for a long time that, when compared to Germany, train suicides in the Netherlands have made up a larger proportion of the total number of suicides. This study examines whether this difference is attributable to the availability of railway tracks or trains, familiarity with rail transport, or population density.

Methods

Data on suicides occurring on the Dutch and German railways were obtained from railway company records. Dutch and German train suicide rates from 2000–2007 were compared by means of Poisson regression analyses. Overall train suicide rate ratios were calculated and related to railway density, train traffic intensity, passenger traffic intensity or population density.

Findings

the Netherlands and Germany had an average general suicide rate of 9.3 and 12.8 per 100,000 inhabitants respectively (general suicide rate ratio = 0.72) over the observation period. In contrast, the train suicide rate in the Netherlands exceeded the German rate by 1.23 ($p < 0.001$). In the Poisson regression analyses, where suicide rate was related to railway density or passenger traffic intensity, the Dutch-German train suicide rate ratios became 1.49 and 1.20 respectively. However, when related to train traffic intensity or population density, rate ratios turned into 0.74 and 0.59 respectively.

Interpretation

Train traffic intensity contributes to train suicide frequency. Population density also accounts for the higher Dutch train suicide rate, whereas railway density and familiarity with rail transport do not. In a cross-national comparison the availability hypothesis regarding the number of trains passing was confirmed, which leads to the recommendation of limiting access to the railway tracks.

1. INTRODUCTION

The extent to which train suicides contribute to the total suicide mortality varies considerably between countries (Krysinska and De Leo, 2008; Ladwig et al, 2009). The proportion of train suicides to the total number of suicides found in the Netherlands is 1.6 times higher than in Germany, 11.5 % and 7.0 % respectively (Van Houwelingen et al, 2010; Baumert et al, 2005). As the two neighbouring Western European countries are culturally and socio-economically quite similar, the question arises whether this discrepancy could be caused by a higher availability of trains in the Netherlands as a means to commit suicide. Such a relationship between availability and use as a means for suicide has convincingly been demonstrated in the case of firearms, especially handguns, and toxic coal-based gas for domestic purposes (Miller and Hemenway, 2008; Clarke and Lester, 1989). As early as 1882, the association between the incidence of train suicides and the availability of railway tracks was noticed by Enrico Morselli who reported that the highest proportion of train suicides in Italy occurred in the region of Piedmont, the Italian region with more railways than any other in his time (Morselli, 1882). A few years later, Durkheim wrote that “the more the land is covered with railroads, the more general becomes the habit of seeking death by throwing one’s self under a train” (Durkheim, 1897), a hypothesis that was supported by Clarke’s study on train suicides in the era of railway development in England and Wales (Clarke M, 1994). However, Clarke had also noticed that the size of the increase in train suicides was closer to the increase in the number of passengers than to the increase in the amount of railway track. According to Clarke, the number of passengers indicates how familiar the public is with this suicide method (Clarke M, 1994). As clusters of train suicides were found near major cities or towns (Abbott et al, 2003), the number of people living near railways, expressed in terms of population density may also have an influence on the number of train suicides.

On the basis of the evidence described, we formulated four separate hypotheses that might explain the observed difference in train suicide mortality between the Netherlands and Germany: 1. the availability hypothesis regarding railway density, where railway density is an indication of the average distance people have to travel to reach railway tracks; 2. the availability hypothesis regarding train traffic intensity, i.e. the number of trains passing; 3. the familiarity hypothesis regarding passenger traffic intensity, indicating the familiarity of the wider public with rail transport; 4. the population density hypothesis, which relates train suicide mortality in a certain area to the number of potential users of this means.

We investigated the difference in train suicide rates between the Netherlands and Germany in a comparative study and we assessed the validity of these four hypotheses.

2. METHOD

2.1. Sample and data source

In order to investigate the contribution of railway and population parameters to the incidence of train suicides, an ecological study was carried out involving the entire railway system in the Netherlands and Germany in 2000–2007 using the two following datasets:

2.1.1. Dutch data

Data regarding Dutch train suicides were obtained from the Department of Corporate Communication of the *NV Nederlandse Spoorwegen* (the Netherlands Railways), who keep records of all suicidal behaviour on the national railway network, with the exception of underground, light rail and tram systems. Records are based on statutory investigations of every unnatural death by the local police and coroner. The railway infrastructure manager ProRail and the Netherlands Railways provided data on the length of the national railway network, national and international passenger train and freight train kilometres as well as on passenger kilometres by all carriers on Dutch territory. Passenger kilometres by other companies were estimated by the Netherlands Railways and included in the dataset. National suicide statistics, national population figures, population density and data on surface area were obtained from the *Centraal Bureau voor de Statistiek* (Statistics Netherlands; <http://statline.cbs.nl>). Annual train suicide rates and general suicide rates (per 100,000 inhabitants) were calculated on the basis of the January 1 census. In the study period the Netherlands had 16.2 million inhabitants on average, which corresponded to a population density of 478 inhabitants per km².

2.1.2. German data

Data regarding German train suicides in the study period were obtained from the German Event Database Safety (EDS), a national central registry of personal accidents on the German Railway Company network (*Deutsche Bahn AG*). Misclassifications or missing records were unlikely to occur, as, just as in the Netherlands, every unnatural death is investigated by the local police and coroner. Fatal outcome was defined as “death within 30 days”.

Deutsche Bahn AG provided data on the length of the national railway network and on national and international passenger train and freight train kilometres by all carriers on German territory. Data on passenger kilometres were obtained from the *Statistisches Bundesamt Deutschland* (Federal Statistical Office of Germany). National suicide statistics, national population figures, population density and data on surface area were obtained from the Federal Statistical Office of Germany. Annual train suicide rates and general suicide rates (per 100,000 inhabitants) were calculated on the basis of the census records of December 31 of each preceding year. The average German population size was 82.4 million with a population density of 231 inhabitants per km².

In both countries train suicides were defined as all suicides caused by train-person collisions or deliberate car crashes into moving trains, including those of non-residents.

2.2. Description of parameters examined

- a. *Railway density* was defined as railway length in kilometres x 1,000 divided by km² surface area, excluding water surface (for the Netherlands: excluding water surfaces with a width of > 6 metres). Railway length was defined as the number of kilometres of railway in use for scheduled passenger or freight trains, regardless the number of tracks. Railway tracks on private industrial plants and harbour complexes with non-scheduled low-speed freight trains exclusively were not included in this study (availability hypothesis 1).
- b. *Train traffic intensity* was defined as the number of train kilometres divided by railway length in kilometres, calculated per year. Train kilometres were defined as the actual annual number of kilometres run by national and international passenger and freight trains, by all companies, on the Dutch and German territories respectively (availability hypothesis 2).
- c. *Passenger traffic intensity* was defined as passenger kilometres divided by the national population as a measure of the use of railway transport and as an indication of familiarity with overground railway transport. Passenger kilometres were defined as the distance covered by individual passengers per year (familiarity hypothesis).
- d. *Population density* is the number of inhabitants per km² surface area, indicative of the number of potential users in an area (population density hypothesis).

2.3. Statistical analysis

In order to assess the significance of possible differences in suicide rates between the Netherlands and Germany, a Poisson regression analysis was performed (McCullagh and Nelder, 1989). First, we calculated the rate ratio for general suicides and train suicides in the Netherlands compared to Germany. Second, the train suicide rate ratio was related to the amount of railway density, train traffic intensity, passenger traffic intensity or population density by using the annual values of each parameter as offset term in the Poisson regression model. This approach relates changes in the suicide rate to changes in the examined parameters in the observation period and it can explain possible reasons for differences in train suicide rates. The suicide rate ratios with their 95% confidence interval (CI) and p-values were calculated from the resulting models. In case of over-dispersion of the Poisson regression model, the dispersion parameter was estimated by using the ratio of the deviance to its associated degrees of freedom. In all statistical analyses, a p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All evaluations were performed with the statistical software package SAS 9.1 for Windows.

3. RESULTS

A total number of 1,475 Dutch and 6,105 German train suicides were observed over an 8-year observation period from the years 2000–2007.

3.1. Railway parameters

Rail infrastructure and the use of the networks differed between the countries. In the study period mean railway length in the Netherlands was 2,816 km and in Germany 35,124 km. The mean number of train kilometres was 134 million and 997.5 million km respectively. Railway density in Germany, which has half the population density of the Netherlands, was 20 % higher than railway density in the Netherlands, whereas train traffic intensity was substantially higher in the Netherlands (Table 1). With the exception of the year 2001, passenger traffic intensity was slightly higher in the Netherlands (Table 1).

Table 1. Railway system and population parameters in the Netherlands and Germany in 2000–2007.

Year	the Netherlands				Germany			
	Railway density ^a	Train traffic Intensity ^b	Passenger traffic intensity ^c	Population density ^d	Railway density ^a	Train traffic Intensity ^b	Passenger traffic intensity ^c	Population density ^d
2000	83	47,216	936	468	105	26,900	918	230
2001	83	46,280	914	472	103	27,152	921	230
2002	83	45,937	910	475	103	27,019	866	231
2003	83	46,781	879	479	102	27,764	864	231
2004	83	48,275	894	481	100	28,824	879	231
2005	83	47,743	931	483	98	29,163	908	231
2006	82	49,027	976	483	98	29,788	955	231
2007	86	49,378	984	484	97	30,870	961	231

^a Railway length in metres per km² surface area.

^b Average number of train movements per kilometre railway.

^c Number of passenger km per inhabitant.

^d Number of inhabitants per km² surface area.

3.2. Train suicide and general suicide figures

General suicide rates were lower in the Netherlands than in Germany (Table 2). Correspondingly, the regression analysis resulted in a Dutch-German general suicide rate ratio of 0.72 (95% CI 0.68–0.77, $P < 0.001$; Table 3). On the other hand, train suicide rates were higher in the Netherlands when compared to Germany (Table 2). The Dutch-German train suicide rate ratio was 1.23 (95% CI 1.15–1.32, $P < 0.001$; Table 3). The proportion of train suicides to all suicides (general suicides) appeared to be substantially higher in the Netherlands (mean 12.3%) than in Germany (mean 7.2%).

Table 2. Train suicides and general population suicide in the Netherlands and Germany in 2000–2007.

Year	the Netherlands				Germany					
	Train suicides N	Train suicide rate ^a	General suicides N	General suicide rate ^a	Train/general suicides in %	Train suicides N	Train suicide rate ^a	General suicides N	General suicide rate ^a	Train/general suicides in %
2000	184	1.16	1,500	9.5	12.3	807	0.98	11,065	13.5	7.3
2001	202	1.26	1,473	9.2	13.7	832	1.01	11,156	13.6	7.5
2002	177	1.10	1,567	9.7	11.3	843	1.02	11,163	13.5	7.6
2003	175	1.08	1,500	9.3	11.7	779	0.94	11,150	13.5	7.0
2004	170	1.05	1,514	9.3	11.2	762	0.92	10,733	13.0	7.1
2005	184	1.13	1,572	9.6	11.7	705	0.85	10,260	12.4	6.9
2006	190	1.16	1,524	9.3	12.5	673	0.82	9,765	11.8	6.9
2007	193	1.18	1,353	8.3	14.3	704	0.86	9,402	11.4	7.5

^a Per 100,000 inhabitants.

3.3. Train suicide rate related to railway density, train traffic intensity, passenger traffic intensity or population density

When train suicide rates were related to railway density, the Dutch-German train suicide ratio became 1.49, which was significantly higher than the unrelated train suicide rate ratio of 1.23 (Table 3). Obviously, when the differences in railway density were taken into account, this did not decrease but increase the difference in suicide rates between the two countries. Hence, railway density cannot be a cause of the higher suicide rate in the Netherlands. However, when train traffic intensity was taken into account, the higher train suicide mortality in the Netherlands (1.23) decreased substantially to a rate ratio of 0.74, which is very similar to the rate ratio comparing the Dutch and German general suicide rates (0.72). This finding suggests that train traffic intensity has a major impact on train suicide rates and that it explains the higher train suicide mortality in the Netherlands. When it was related to the distance travelled by train per inhabitant, i.e., to the passenger traffic intensity, the train suicide ratio did not change (Table 3). When population density was taken into account, a rate ratio of 0.59 (95% CI 0.55–0.64, $p < 0.0001$) was found. This value was significantly lower than the train suicide rate ratio related to train traffic intensity (0.74) and also significantly lower than the general suicide rate ratio of 0.72. This finding suggests that population density has an even stronger impact on train suicide rates than train traffic intensity.

Table 3. General suicide rate ratio, train suicide rate ratio and train suicide rate ratio related to railway system-related parameters and population density over 2000–2007 of the Netherlands ($n = 1,475$) compared to Germany ($n=6,105$) by Poisson regression analyses.

Model	Rate ratio (95% CI)	p-value
General suicide rate	0.72 (0.68–0.77)	< 0.001
Train suicide rate	1.23 (1.15–1.32)	< 0.001
Train suicide rate related to railway density	1.49 (1.40–1.58)	< 0.001
Train suicide rate related to passenger traffic intensity	1.20 (1.11–1.31)	< 0.001
Train suicide rate related to train traffic intensity	0.74 (0.67–0.81)	< 0.001
Train suicide rate related to population density	0.59 (0.55–0.64)	< 0.001

4. DISCUSSION

This is the first cross-national study evaluating the impact of railway-related parameters and population density on train suicide rates in the Netherlands and Germany. In a direct comparison between the two countries a substantial difference in train suicide proportions to total suicide mortality was confirmed. Although Germany had a substantially higher general suicide rate, the Netherlands showed a substantially higher train suicide rate.

4.1. Railway density as availability parameter

The outcome of the analysis suggests a positive effect of railway density. But as railway density is lower in the Netherlands, this parameter, indicative of the average distance people have to travel to reach railway tracks, did not explain the higher Dutch train suicide rates found in this study. The explanation of this may be that well-established railway networks in some countries have reached such high levels of saturation that relative railway density has ceased to matter, and that a ceiling effect exists. We therefore conclude that the results of this study do not support the availability hypothesis as once formulated by Durkheim (Durkheim, 1897).

4.2. Train traffic intensity as availability parameter

The most important finding of the present study was that the excess risk of train suicide in the Netherlands not only disappeared, but reversed when train traffic intensity was taken into account. This finding confirms the availability hypothesis indicating that higher train traffic intensity is associated with more train suicides. It means that a 10- instead of a 20-minute interval between trains would make a significant difference to a suicidal person. Higher availability, meaning shorter intervals between trains, would appeal to the impulsivity that characterizes many train suicide cases (Miller and Hemenway, 2008; O'Donnell et al, 1996). 24 % of the people who made near-lethal suicide attempts took less than 5 minutes between the decision to kill themselves and the actual attempt, and 70 % less than 1 hour (Miller and Hemenway, 2008). Furthermore, many suicidal crises are self-limiting, with the urge to attempt suicide subsiding as the acute phase of the crisis passes (Miller and Hemenway, 2008). Therefore, train frequency is crucial for suicidal persons who have found their way to the tracks and are waiting or loitering around there for some time (Rådbo et al, 2005). Trains passing at higher frequencies may elicit more impulsive responses, while lower frequencies allow for more reflection time, with greater chances that a potential suicide will refrain from jumping. The data also showed that related to train traffic intensity, the train suicide rate ratio reversed to a value of 0.74, which is similar to the general suicide rate ratio (0.72). This finding stresses the influence of the general suicide rate on the train suicide rate and corroborates the observed influence of general suicide figures on train suicide frequency found in a longitudinal study in the Netherlands (Van Houwelingen et al, 2010).

The different outcomes regarding availability for railway density and train traffic intensity suggest that these components may act differently at different stages in the era of railway development. In this day and age it may not be the actual number of tracks that determines train suicide, but the intensity with which these tracks are used. Retrospectively, railway density may never have been an important factor, not even in the time of Morselli and Durkheim. What was seen as a relationship between train suicide rates and railway network expansion may have been a relationship based on railway traffic intensity. Regardless the degree of railway

density, it is probably train traffic intensity that counts. Moreover, the relationship with the number of passengers, interpreted by Clarke as the outcome of familiarity with rail transport, may have been a relationship based on train traffic intensity as well.

4.3. Familiarity with the railway system

This study has also demonstrated that the part of the population that is familiar with the train system, as indicated by passenger traffic intensity, cannot explain the differences in train suicide rates between the Netherlands and Germany. This finding corroborates an earlier observation from the Netherlands which showed that a sudden increase in student railway transport due to the introduction of free transport for students in 1991, did not result in more train suicides (Van Houwelingen et al, 2010). The conclusion seems justified that the number of commuters on board of trains is not relevant to the train suicide problem.

4.4. Population density

Obviously, the number of train suicides depends on the presence of a potential public that can use this means. Population density reflects the number of people with potential physical exposure to railways in their environment. When train suicide rates were related to population density, we found that the train suicide rate ratio was reversed, as was the case with train traffic intensity. However, in this case it was reduced to a value (0.59) even smaller than the general suicide rate ratio (0.72) and the train suicide rate ratio after adjustment for train traffic intensity (0.74). As population density and train traffic intensity are obviously highly correlated (highly populated areas have more trains running), it is rather difficult to assess independently for each parameter which of the two does more to explain the differences in train suicide rates between the Netherlands and Germany. As population density is a parameter that cannot be manipulated, in this case it is better to target train traffic intensity for preventive action.

4.5. Other considerations

The impact of availability also depends on the accessibility of the railway tracks. The present railway networks in the Netherlands and Germany are the product of 150 years of railway history in which keeping people away from moving trains has not been an issue. In both countries railroads are easily accessible even today.

It has been observed that widely available means, like ropes for hanging, are applied in varying degrees in different countries. This might be caused by differences in the acceptability of the means (Farmer and Rohde, 1980). Regarding train suicide in the Netherlands and Germany, there is no empirical evidence that the acceptability of this suicide method may differ, although it could be argued that the higher proportion of train suicides in the Netherlands might act as a self-propelling phenomenon through a sustained awareness of this suicide method in the general population.

4.6. Strengths and limitations

As full datasets were employed, there was no sampling bias. Sampling bias due to culturally-determined differences in the certification of suicides has been described (Farmer and Rohde, 1980; Burrows and Laflamme, 2007). As medico-legal assessment procedures are similar in the two countries and no known negative financial or legal consequences of the act of train suicide exist that might influence the process of assessment in a subtle way, the authors do not consider assessment bias accountable for the rate differences that were found.

The finding that train suicide is dependent on train traffic intensity suggests that increased overground rail-based mobility, resulting in higher train traffic intensity and thus in increased availability, may elicit more train suicides. From this point of view we first of all recommend that the capacity of individual trains is increased. We should bear in mind, though, that this approach may not be adequate to meet the demand for high-frequency transport connected with socio-economic developments in our societies. However, the results of this study indicate that both availability and quantity are important: the number of trains passing and the number of people that potentially could make use of this method. In this scenario reducing the availability of trains is imperative, which can be reached most directly by limiting access to railway tracks.

