Notch1 signalling in pyramidal neurons regulates synaptic connectivity and experience-dependent modifications of acuity in the visual cortex
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Abstract

How the visual cortex responds to specific stimuli is strongly influenced by visual experience during development. Monocular deprivation, for example, changes the likelihood of neurons in the visual cortex to respond to input from the deprived eye and reduces its visual acuity. As these functional changes are accompanied by extensive reorganization of neurite morphology and dendritic spine turnover, genes regulating neuronal morphology are likely to be involved in visual plasticity. In recent years Notch1 has been shown to mediate contact inhibition of neurite outgrowth in postmitotic neurons and implicated in the pathogenesis of various degenerative diseases of the CNS. Here, we provide the first evidence for the involvement of neuronal Notch1 signalling in synaptic morphology and plasticity in the visual cortex. By making use of the Cre/Lox system we expressed an active form of Notch1 in cortical pyramidal neurons several weeks after birth. We show that neuronal Notch1 signals reduce dendritic spine- and filopodia densities in a cell-autonomous fashion and limit long-term potentiation in the visual cortex. Upon monocular deprivation, these effects of Notch1 activity predominantly affect responses to visual stimuli with higher spatial frequencies. This results in an enhanced effect of monocular deprivation on visual acuity.
Introduction

The primary visual cortex (V1) responds selectively to various features of visual stimuli such as orientation, direction and spatial frequency [Hubener, 2003]. Moreover, most neurons in V1 are more responsive to stimuli from one eye than from the other. How neurons respond in adulthood is strongly influenced by visual experience during postnatal development. When during a critical period of development one eye is deprived for example, responsiveness of V1 to the deprived eye decreases while that of the non-deprived eye increases resulting in a shift of ocular dominance (OD) [Wiesel et al., 1963; Gordon et al., 1996]. Monocular deprivation (MD) also counteracts the development of responsiveness to stimuli with high spatial frequencies that occurs during the critical period, thus reducing visual acuity of the deprived eye [Giffin et al., 1978; Fagliolini et al., 1994; Prusky et al., 2003]. These functional changes are accompanied by extensive structural changes involving growth and retraction of thalamocortical and intracortical axons and turnover of dendritic spines, suggesting that signalling cascades regulating neuronal morphology play an important role in visual plasticity [Shatz et al., 1978; Antonini et al., 1999; Majewska et al., 2003; Mataga et al., 2004; Oray et al., 2004; Pizzorusso et al., 2006].

One of these cascades is signalling through the transmembrane receptor Notch1. Although Notch1 [Bray, 2006; Weinmaster et al., 2006] is mostly known for its role in cell-fate decisions during development, it has in recent years been shown to also regulate structural changes in developing neurons. Notch1 is activated upon interacting with ligands on neighbouring cells, resulting in release of its intracellular domain (NICD) [de Strooper et al., 1999] which together with CBF1/RBP-Jκ activates transcription of target genes [Lu et al., 1996]. This cascade stimulates neurite branching but inhibits neurite growth in postmitotic cortical neurons [Berezovska et al., 1999; Sestan et al., 1999; Redmond et al., 2000], neuroblastoma cells [Franklin et al., 1999] and newborn neurons in the dentate gyrus [Breunig et al., 2007]. Also the primary transcriptional target of Notch1, Hes1, has been shown to restrict neurite outgrowth [Jessen et al., 2003]. Because Notch1 signalling is upregulated with increasing contacts between neurons in the developing brain [Sestan et al., 1999] and downregulated during a hippocampus dependent learning task [Conboy et al., 2007], Notch1 has been suggested to provide a negative feedback signal controlling neuronal growth and connectivity.
In this study we used V1 to investigate whether neuronal Notch1 signalling plays a role in plasticity of the mammalian brain. Several studies suggest that it may [Costa et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2004; Costa et al., 2005] but do not exclude the possibility that the observed effects are caused by developmental deficits and/or altered Notch1 signals in non-neuronal cell types. To circumvent these issues we used the Cre/Lox system to target expression of constitutively active Notch1 to individual- or all pyramidal neurons of the cortex, starting in the fourth postnatal week just before the beginning of the critical period of OD plasticity. Using this approach we show for the first time that neuronal Notch1 signalling cell-autonomously regulates synaptic connectivity of cortical neurons and affects experience-dependent plasticity in V1.

**Materials and Methods**

**DNA Constructs and Transgenic Mice**

A construct for Cre-dependent expression of NICD and GFP was created by cloning cDNA encoding amino acids 1741–2531 of mouse Notch1 into pCDNA3.1 containing the poliovirus internal ribosome entry site (IRES) followed by GFP. The fragment encoding NICD-IRES-GFP was cloned into pThy-LSL [Chakravarthy et al., 2006] rendering pThyLSL-NICD-IRES–GFP. Transgenic mice were created by pronuclear injections of linearised DNA into fertilized C57BL/6 oocytes. New founders were crossed to the G35-3-Cre line [Sawtell et al., 2003] which had been kept on a C57BL/6 background for at least 6 generations. Transgenic mice expressing membrane-associated GFP (mGFP) in a Cre dependent fashion have been described previously (line TLG498 [Chakravarthy et al., 2006]). All experiments involving mice were approved by the institutional animal care and use committee of the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences.

**RNA isolation and qPCR**

Male mice were anaesthetised by intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of 0.1ml/10g body-weight pentobarbital (Nembutal; www.ceva.com). Occipital cortex was dissected, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until RNA isolation using Trizol (www.invitrogen.com). RNA yield was quantified on a Nanodrop (http://www.nanodrop.com) and quality assessed using an Agilent Bioanalyser (http://www.chem.agilent.com/Scripts/PDS.asp?lPage=51). cDNA was synthesized using the SuperScript® III First-Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (www.invitrogen.com). Oligonucle-
otide primers were designed with Primer Express 2.0 software and purchased from Eurogentec (www.eurogentec.com) or Biolegio (www.biolegio.com).

Forward and reverse primer sequences for qPCR were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>primer</th>
<th>sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACTG1-fw</td>
<td>CATTGCTGACAGGATGCAGAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTG1-rv</td>
<td>ACATCTGCTGGAAGGTGGACA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF1alpha-fw</td>
<td>AAGAAGATCGGCTACAACCCAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF1alpha-rv</td>
<td>TTACGCTCTACCTTTCCAGCCCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH-fw</td>
<td>ATGTGTCCGTCGTGGATCTGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH-rv</td>
<td>ATGCCTGCTTCACCACCTTCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G6PDX-fw</td>
<td>GTCCAGAATCTCATGGTGCTGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G6PDX-rv</td>
<td>GCAATGTTGTCTCGATTCACCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPRT-fw</td>
<td>GCAAACCTTTGCTTTCCCTGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPRT-rv</td>
<td>TTCGAGAGGTCTTTCCACCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polr2a-fw</td>
<td>TTTGCGCTGTGTCTGCTTCTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polr2a-rv</td>
<td>TGCCCTTCTAGATTGGCCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rs27a-fw</td>
<td>GGCCAAGATCCAGGATAAGGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rs27a-rv</td>
<td>CCATCTTCCAGCTGTGCTTACCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBP-fw</td>
<td>CACGGACAACCTCGTTGATTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBP-rv</td>
<td>GCCCAACTTTCGACAACTCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NICD-fw</td>
<td>CGTACTCCGTATACATGACAGCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NICD-rv</td>
<td>AGGATCAGTTGAGTTGTGCCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hes1-fw</td>
<td>TCAACACGACACCAGGACAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hes1-rv</td>
<td>CCTTCCGCTTTTCCATGAT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

qPCR reactions were run on the 1/20 diluted cDNA for genes of interest and candidate reference genes. SYBR® Green technology was used on a 7300 Real-Time PCR System (http://www.appliedbiosystems.com). Using GeNorm software (http://medgen.ugent.be/~jvdesomp/genorm/) an optimal set of reference genes was chosen based on similar expression patterns across samples [Vandesompele et al., 2002]. A geometrical mean of the set was used to normalize results of the genes of interest.
**Lentiviral injections**

NICD+mGFP+ or mGFP+ transgenic males were injected with a lentiviral vector mediating expression of a CreGFP fusion protein (LV-CreGFP) at P22-25. Mice were anaesthetised with isoflurane (www.abbott.com). The eyes were protected using artificial tears (Methocel; www.novartis.com) and patches. Holes were drilled 2.8mm caudal to Bregma and 2.5mm lateral to the midline. 200nl of LV-CreGFP was injected bilaterally into V1 250-300μm below the cortical surface using a Nanoject II pump (http://www.drummondsci.com). Mice were analyzed at P38.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Mice were anaesthetised by i.p. injection with pentobarbital and perfused with 4% PFA (http://www.sigmaaldrich.com) in phosphate buffered saline (PBS). Following 2h postfixation, 50μm coronal sections of V1 were made using a Leica VT1000S vibratome (http://www.leica.com). To enhance the EGFP signal and allow long-term storage, free-floating sections were stained using monoclonal mouse-anti-GFP (1:500; Chemicon; http://www.millipore.com), followed by goat-anti-mouse-Cy3 (1:500; http://www.jireurope.com/home.asp). Sections were embedded in Mowiol (10% w/v Mowiol 4-88 (Calbiochem; http://www.merckbiosciences.co.uk); 25% glycerol; 0.1M Tris HCl; pH 8.5) and stored at 4°C in the dark until imaging. For analysing parvalbumin (PV)-containing boutons surrounding pyramidal neurons, sections were stained using rabbit-anti-PV (1:1000; http://www.swant.com) and mouse-anti-NeuN (1:500; www.millipore.com), followed by goat-anti-rabbit-Alexa568 and goat-anti-mouse-Alexa488 (both 1:500; http://probes.invitrogen.com).

**Confocal microscopy and morphological analysis**

Cy3-stained mGFP expressing layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons in V1 were imaged on a Zeiss LSM510 Meta confocal microscope (http://www.zeiss.com) using a He/Ne (543nm) laser. Dendritic protrusions on basal dendrites distal to the first branch point were imaged if the distance to either the next branch point or the end of the dendrite was at least 20μm. Z-stacks were made with 200nm Z-intervals (63x oil objective, NA 1.4. Optical zoom was adjusted for each dendrite). For each neuron of which a dendrite segment was imaged, a single-plane image of the largest cross-sectional area of its soma was acquired (63x objective, 2.5x optical zoom). An 80μm pinhole
was used. Laser intensity, detector gain, and amplifier offset were adjusted for each individual image acquisition to allow use of the entire detector range and avoid saturation. 3D Reconstruction of image stacks was done to facilitate analysis. Morphological analysis was carried out using Zeiss LSM Image Browser (http://www.embl-heidelberg.de/eamnet/html/body_image_browser.html). Dendritic protrusions of V1 pyramidal neurons expressing mGFP (473 protrusions, 29 dendrites, 26 neurons, 8 mice) or NICD and mGFP (518 protrusions, 39 dendrites, 32 neurons, 10 mice) were analysed. Spine neck length and the longest straight line in the spine head were determined. Statistical significance of differences in protrusion density, spine neck length, head size, soma size and densities of filopodia (longer than 2\(\mu\)m, no head), mushroom spines (clear neck and head), stubby spines (wider than 0.30\(\mu\)m, no neck) and thin spines (no head, shorter than 2\(\mu\)m and a width less than 0.30\(\mu\)m) was determined by student’s t test. At all stages the investigator was blind to the experimental group.

For analysis of perisomatic inhibitory boutons, PV-containing puncta around NeuN positive neurons of layer 2/3 cells from V1 were imaged using a 488nm Argon laser and a 543nm He/Ne laser. The same settings were used for imaging sections of control and NICD+Cre+ transgenic animals. Single-plane confocal images were converted into TIFF images and analysed in ImagePro 6 (http://www.mediacy.com). NeuN positive cells were marked with a free-drawing tool. Using customized macros, a 2\(\mu\)m ring was drawn around NeuN-positive cells. The number, intensity and size of PV-puncta within the ring were counted automatically. Analysis was carried out blind to genotype. A total of 10 mice were used for the analysis with 5 NICD+Cre+ mice (64 cells; 221 puncta) and 5 littermate controls (55 cells; 181 puncta).

**Slice electrophysiology**

Coronal slices (325\(\mu\)m thickness) of V1 were prepared from 29-34 day old NICD+Cre+ male mice (10 slices; 6 animals) or littermate controls (24 slices; 12 animals). Slices were cut in ice-cold slicing artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) containing the following (in mM): 125 NaCl, 3 KCl, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 26 NaHCO3, 10 glucose, 3 MgSO4, 1 CaCl2 (0.300mOsm) and carboxygenated with 95%O2/5%CO2. Slices were allowed to equilibrate for one hour at room temperature in carboxygenated ACSF containing (in mM): 125 NaCl, 3 KCl, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 26 NaHCO3, 10 glucose, 1 MgSO4, 3 CaCl2, 0.01 glycine (300mOsm).
Slices were put onto a 64-channel multi-electrode recording probe (MED64; http://www.med64.com/products/medprobe.html) with the electrodes covering layers 1-5 and superfused with 4ml/min carboxygenated ACSF at 32°C. Layer 4 was stimulated with a bipolar extracellular electrode (0.15ms; current was adjusted per experiment to evoke half-maximum response) and synaptic response was quantified as the maximum amplitude of the field EPSP (fEPSP) response. After 20min baseline recording at 0.1 Hz, long-term potentiation (LTP) was induced by theta burst stimulation (TBS; 8 trains of 4 pulses at 100Hz, delivered at 200ms intervals). TBS was carried out 3 times at 10s intervals. After LTP induction, fEPSP’s were recorded for 40min at 0.1 Hz. The number of electrodes in layer 2/3 recording a clear synaptic response was not different between transgenic and control animals (not shown).

Synaptic responses were analyzed off-line using the recording software Performer 2.0, Igor Pro (WaveMetrics), Excel, and SPSS. Only recordings showing baselines stable within 1.5% were included. For statistical comparisons, the magnitude of LTP was taken as the average of the 10-40min post-TBS time frame. Normality of the distribution was assessed using Kolmogorov-Smirnov testing, followed by two-tailed student’s t-test or Kruskal-Wallis analysis. A p-value <0.05 indicates statistically significant differences. At all stages the investigator was blind to experimental conditions.

For input/output (I/O) curves, the smallest stimulus size yielding a detectable synaptic response was designated threshold stimulus. Multiples of this stimulus size (generally 10-15μA) were then used to characterize the input-output relationship. For each stimulus amplitude, 6 repetitions were performed, yielding an average response size per slice.

**In vivo intrinsic signal imaging**

Intrinsic signal was imaged transcranially in 62 NICD+Cre+ or control mice (NICD+Cre-, NICD-Cre+ or NICD-Cre- littermates) as previously described [Heimel et al., 2007]. Mice were anaesthetised by i.p. injection of urethane (http://www.sigmaaldrich.com; 20% in saline, 2g/kg). Heads were fixed and scalps resected. Atropine sulphate (http://www.pharmachemie.com; 0.05mg/ml in saline, 0.1mg/kg) was injected subcutaneously to reduce mucous excretions. A monitor covered the mice’ visual field from -15 to 75 deg horizontally and from -45 to 45 deg vertically. The screen was divided in 2x2 patches and drifting gratings were used to map the retinotopic representation of V1. The representation of the upper nasal screen
patch was used to calculate responses to subsequent tests. For OD measurements, computer-controlled shutters alternated visual stimulation of the eyes using drifting square wave gratings (0.05 cpd). An Imaged Ocular Dominance Index was defined as the iODI = (contra response – ipsi response)/(contra response + ipsi response). A response to the contralateral eye only corresponds to an iODI of 1, iODI = -1 indicates only ipsilateral response. Acuity was determined with 90% contrast sinusoidal gratings of 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5 and 0.7 cpd, phase reversing at 2Hz and changing orientation every 0.75s. A spatial frequency tuning curve was fit to a linear-threshold function using a least-mean-squares procedure. The intersection with zero response strength was our measure of spatial acuity. Student’s t-tests were used to compute significance levels.

Eye lid suturing
Right eyelids were sutured at P28 under isoflurane anaesthesia (www.abbott.com) as previously described [Heimel et al., 2007]. At P35 the eyelid was reopened at the start of the imaging session.

Golgi impregnation
Adult (P72-88), male mice (NICD+Cre+ or control) were anaesthetised by i.p. injection of a mixture of 0.36mg/kg fentanyl citrate, 11.25mg/kg fluanisone (Hypnorm; http://www.hypnorm.com) and 2.8mg/kg midazolam (http://www.roche.com). The brain was rapidly removed, cut midsagittally and placed in Golgi-Cox solution (1% potassium dichromate, 1% mercuric chloride, 0.8% potassium chromate in water). The solution was refreshed once. After 3-4 weeks the tissue was dehydrated and embedded in celloidin (www.merckbiosciences.co.uk). 200μm coronal sections were cut (Reichert Jung Polycut S microtome; www.leica.com), developed, fixed, dehydrated and mounted. Slides were coded to render the analyser blind to experimental condition.

Sholl analysis
Analysis of dendritic branching was done on layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons (controls 42 neurons, 4 mice; NICD+Cre+ 17 neurons, 4 mice). Camera lucida drawings were made using a Zeiss binocular microscope, with a 40x oil-immersion objective and a camera lucida drawing tube. Selected neurons showed a fully impregnated dendritic
tree and spines and no obscuring of branches by blood vessels, precipitate or other artefacts.

Sholl analysis was performed using an overlay of concentric circles centred on the soma [Sholl, 1953]. The number of dendritic intersections crossing each circle (diameters of 25, 50, 75, 100, 125, 150, 200, 250 and 400 μm) was counted.

Figure 1. Schematic for mediating Cre-dependent expression of the Notch Intracellular Domain (NICD) and EGFP in transgenic mice. Mice carry a transgene mediating Cre-dependent expression of membrane-associated GFP (mGFP; top half; transgenic line TLG498) and/or of NICD and EGFP (bottom half). The NICD transgene (TLNic403) consists of the neuronal Thy1-promoter, followed by a STOP cassette flanked by loxP-sites which inhibits transcription of the adjacent sequence encoding NICD, an internal ribosomal entry site and EGFP. In the absence of Cre-recombinase, the transcriptional STOP cassette prevents transgene expression. Providing Cre results in excision of the STOP cassette and subsequent activation of transcription.
Results

Production of transgenic mice expressing NICD in V1 pyramidal neurons

To activate Notch1 signalling in excitatory neurons of mouse V1, we produced a mouse line carrying a transgene driving expression of NICD, a constitutively active form of Notch1 (missing the extracellular and transmembrane domains) followed by an internal ribosomal entry site (IRES) and GFP. The transgene contained the Thy1-promoter, restricting expression to neuronal cell types, followed by a “stop-cassette” flanked by loxP sites, rendering it Cre-dependent (figure 1). Founders were crossed with Cre transgenic line G35-3, expressing Cre-recombinase specifically in all excitatory neurons of cortex and hippocampus [Sawtell et al., 2003]. One founder (TLNic403) showed broad and detectable GFP expression in the visual cortex and will be referred to as NICD transgenic mice. In NICD+Cre+ offspring, the transgene was expressed in >80% of pyramidal neurons of cortical layers 2/3 and 5/6 (figure 2A), as well as in the dentate gyrus and areas CA1 and CA3. No transgene expression was detected in layer 4, probably due to the lack of Thy1-promoter activity in this layer (figure 2A). To confirm that NICD was expressed and functionally active, we used qPCR to analyse expression of NICD and Hes1 (a classical Notch target) at different ages. By P26, expression of Notch1 was twice as high in the cerebral cortex of NICD+Cre+ animals as in control littermates, 4-5 times as high by P29 and 8 times as high in adults (2-3 months old; figure 2B). As expected, Cre-dependent transgene expression occurred in cortex but not in the cerebellum or retina (figure 2B). Hes1 expression was about doubled in the cortex of NICD+Cre+ mice confirming the NICD transgene was functional (figure 2C).
Figure 2. Expression of NICD-IRES-EGFP in visual cortex. A, In NICD+Cre+ mice (in this example 61 days old), the transgene is expressed in pyramidal neurons of cortical layers 2/3 and 5/6. B, Quantification of cortical NICD expression at different ages by qPCR. Transcript levels of NICD+Cre+ animals are plotted relative to age-matched controls. Transgene expression starts during the fourth postnatal week. A lack of increased NICD expression in the adult cerebellum (cbl) confirms that transgene expression requires Cre-recombinase. No transgene expression was detected in the retina. C, Expression of NICD-IRES-EGFP results in increased transcription of Notch1 target genes, as demonstrated by increased Hes1 mRNA levels. Error bars indicate sem. * p<0.05; ** p<0.01; *** p<0.001. P26 and P29: 3 controls, 3 transgenics; adult: 19 controls, 22 transgenics. Scale bar 200μm (A).
**Notch1 activity cell-autonomously reduces dendritic spine- and filopodium density but leaves perisomatic inhibitory boutons unaffected**

As Notch1 has been shown to restrict neuronal growth with increasing neuronal contacts, we asked if Notch1 also restricts neuronal connectivity at the synaptic level. To study cell-autonomous effects of Notch1 on morphology in post-mitotic neurons in V1 in vivo, we activated the Cre-dependent NICD transgene by lentivirus-mediated CreGFP expression (LV-CreGFP [Ahmed et al., 2004]). Because the level of GFP expression from the NICD(-IRES-GFP) transgene alone was not sufficient for visualizing dendritic protrusions and the GFP of the CreGFP fusion protein was localized to the nucleus, we crossed NICD transgenic animals with mice expressing a Cre-dependent, membrane-associated form of GFP (line TLG498, referred to as mGFP transgenic mice) [Chakravarthy et al., 2006]. Bilateral, intracranial LV-CreGFP injections were made in NICD+mGFP+ mice or in mGFP+ single transgenic littermates at P22-25. The resulting Golgi-staining-like transgene expression pattern allowed detailed morphological analyses (figure 3A) of dendritic protrusions from basal dendrites of layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons (figure 3B, 3C).

NICD expression until P38 resulted in an 18.6% reduction in total protrusion density (16.31 ± 1.08 in controls vs 13.28 ± 0.93 in transgene expressing cells; p=0.037; figure 3D), caused mostly by a 22.0% reduction in mushroom spine density (12.83 ± 0.97 in controls vs 10.00 ± 0.82 in transgene-expressing cells; p=0.024; figure 3D) and a 59.1% reduction in filopodial density (0.69 ± 0.17 per 15μm in controls vs 0.28 ± 0.07 in NICD transgene expressing cells; p=0.016; figure 3D). The cross-section of the soma was reduced by 8.1% in NICD expressing neurons (177.46μm² ± 3.42, vs 193.02μm² ± 4.36 in controls; p=0.006; figure 3E).

No changes were observed in the spine head size between neurons expressing NICD and mGFP (0.57μm ± 0.01) and neurons expressing mGFP only (0.56μm ± 0.01; p=0.37; figure 3F), nor did NICD expression significantly affect spine neck length (0.80μm ± 0.03, vs 0.87μm ± 0.03 in controls; p=0.096; figure 3F). Dendrite thickness was not affected by transgene expression (data not shown).
Figure 3 (above and opposite page). Notch1 activity cell-autonomously reduces spine- and filopodium densities in V1, as well as neuronal soma size. A, Lentiviral CreGFP-vector injection into V1 results in transduction of neuronal (white arrows) and non-neuronal (red arrows) cells, causing CreGFP expression. The Thy1 promoter is only active in neuronal cells, driving expression of the transgene and membrane-associated EGFP (mGFP; white arrows). Basal dendrites of layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons were imaged and analysed. B and C, High magnification projection of confocal images showing basal dendrites of pyramidal neurons expressing mGFP (B) or both NICD-IRES-EGFP and mGFP (C). D, Neurons expressing NICD-IRES-GFP have 59% fewer filopodia than control neurons, 22% fewer mushroom spines, and a 19% reduction in total protrusion density. Stubby and thin protrusions are unaffected. E, The cross-section through the soma of neurons expressing NICD-IRES-EGFP is 8% smaller than for control neurons. F, A correlation plot of spine head diameter and spine neck length shows that in V1, expression of NICD-IRES-EGFP does not affect dendritic spine neck length or spine head size. G, Parvalbumin puncta number and area, representing inhibitory input to the pyramidal neurons, are not different between control animals and NICD transgenic animals. On the left an example (control) cell is shown with the analysis ring in white indicated around the soma. Green channel intensity has been reduced to facilitate punctum visualisation. Error bars indicate sem. * p<0.05; ** p<0.01. n=473 dendritic protrusions for control mGFP-expressing neurons and 518 protrusions for neurons expressing NICD-IRES-EGFP and mGFP. F: filopodia; T: thin; S: stubby; M: mushroom; TOT: total protrusion numbers. Scale bars 50µm (A) and 5µm (B, C). A colour version of this figure is available in chapter 12.
Next, we addressed the question whether increased Notch1 activity in pyramidal neurons also affected the number or size of boutons providing perisomatic inhibitory input. This form of inhibitory input is considered a crucial determinant of plasticity during the critical period, and is provided predominantly by PV-expressing basket cells [Fagiolini et al., 2004]. To this end, PV-puncta surrounding layer 2/3 pyramidal neuron somata were analysed. Sections of broad-expressing transgenic animals (figure 2A) and littermate controls were stained for the neuronal nucleus marker NeuN and PV, after which PV-puncta in a ring surrounding the pyramidal neurons were quantified.

The number of PV-puncta per pyramidal cell was not affected by transgene expression (3.29 ± 0.27 in controls versus 3.45 ± 0.31 in transgenics; p=0.93; figure 3G), nor was their size, as determined by the percentage of the ring area covered by PV-puncta (controls 3.89% ± 0.43; transgenics 3.95% ± 0.37; p=0.87; figure 3G). Punctum intensity was the same between controls and transgenics.
Postsynaptic Notch1 activity reduces LTP

The finding that NICD expression reduced spine and filopodia density prompted the question whether NICD expression would also affect LTP induction, which is associated with formation of new spines, probably through filopodia growth and stabilization [Engert et al., 1999; Maletic-Savatic et al., 1999]. LTP induction in connections from layer 4 to layer 2/3 of V1 was analysed in NICD+Cre+ mice and control animals.

Figure 4. Postsynaptic Notch1 activity reduces LTP. Extracellular stimulation was delivered to layer 4 of V1. Field potentials were recorded in layer 2/3. A, fEPSP amplitude as a function of stimulus intensity (multiples of threshold stimulus). Input-output curves of control and transgenic animals overlap completely. B, Example traces of field potentials collected before (1) and after (2) induction of LTP by TBS. Time in ms is indicated along the x-axis. C, TBS-induced LTP is reduced in transgenic mice compared to controls (p<0.05). Black circles, data from controls (24 slices); light grey circles, data from NICD+Cre+ transgenic animals (10 slices); dark grey, error bars indicating sem.

Synaptic transmission from layer 4 to layer 2/3 was recorded in coronal V1 slices of 29-34 day old mice, before and after induction of LTP by theta-burst stimulation (TBS). In NICD+Cre+ mice, TBS resulted in a smaller increase in synaptic responses (104.9 ± 0.9%) than in littermate controls (109.3 ± 1.3%; p=0.045; figure 4C, example traces in figure 4B). No differences in basic physiology were observed.
Neither the I/O curve was affected by transgene expression (figure 4A), nor the stimulus strength required for obtaining a half-maximal response. As in NICD+Cre+ mice transgenic NICD was expressed in layer 2/3 but not in layer 4 (figure 2A), these data indicate that restriction of LTP is caused by increased Notch1 signalling in the postsynaptic neurons.

**Notch1 activity does not affect the magnitude of ocular dominance plasticity determined using a low spatial frequency stimulus**

The reduction in LTP, filopodia formation and total spine numbers suggested that NICD expression in pyramidal neurons reduces their capacity to form new connections. To test whether this had any consequences for OD plasticity in NICD transgenic mice, they were subjected to monocular eyelid suture at the peak of the critical period (P28) and analysed at P35.

Seven days of MD in control animals resulted in an OD shift, measured by optical imaging of intrinsic signal in the visual cortex using moving square wave grating at 0.05 cycles/degree (cpd) as a visual stimulus. Examples of ocular dominance maps under the different experimental conditions are shown in figure 5A. Following 7 days of MD, the iODI was reduced in monocularly deprived animals (0.07 ± 0.05; 6 animals) compared to non-deprived littermate controls (0.35 ± 0.02; n=8 animals; p<0.0001; figure 5B). This was not different in NICD+Cre+ transgenic animals (0.31 ± 0.03 in non-deprived transgenics (n=11), 0.04 ± 0.06 after MD (n=5); p=0.001; figure 5B). Interestingly, we noticed that in control animals, the OD shift was caused by both a decrease in deprived eye responses (0.74 ± 0.17 (value normalized to non-deprived control)) and an increase in open eye responses (1.47 ± 0.37; figure 5C; consistent with previous imaging literature [Hofer et al., 2005; Heimel et al., 2008]), whereas in NICD+Cre+ animals the shift seemed to be caused predominantly by decreased responses of the deprived eye (0.62 ± 0.10) while open eye responses remained unchanged (1.05 ± 0.19; figure. 5C). Although the differences between the genotypes were not significant, the observation suggested that more subtle differences in visual responsiveness could be occurring between control and NICD+Cre+ animals after MD.
Notch1 activity does not affect OD or the OD shift, but changes the underlying physiology. A, Example responses to stimulation of each of the eyes for a non-deprived and a monocularly deprived NICD+Cre+ mouse and wild type controls. B, OD in young (p35) animals with or without 7d of prior MD. Expression of NICD does not affect OD in non-deprived animals, nor does it affect the size of the MD-induced OD shift. C, Responses to the open- and to the deprived eye following MD, relative to the corresponding eye in a non-deprived mouse. 7d of MD results in a decreased response to the deprived eye; this is only significant in NICD transgenic mice. 7d of MD results in an increased response to the open eye, but only in control animals. Error bars indicate sem. * p<0.05; *** p<0.001

Notch1 activity increases effects of MD on visual acuity

Apart from changing OD, MD also counteracts the development of high visual acuity in the deprived eye that normally occurs during the critical period (from 0.3 cpd at P25 to 0.5 cpd at P35 [Heimel et al., 2007]). The findings that changes in visual acuity could still be induced in adult rats despite the absence of OD plasticity at this age [Iny et al., 2006] and do not always mirror OD in kittens [Murphy et al., 1986;
Faulkner et al., 2006] suggested to us that acuity may be the more sensitive measure for changes in visual responsiveness. We therefore assessed visual acuity in control- and NICD+Cre+ animals 7 days after MD at P35 using optical imaging of intrinsic signals [Heimel et al., 2007]. We determined that non-deprived control mice had a spatial acuity of 0.52 ± 0.02 cpd in the contralateral eye (n=3 animals; figure 6A).
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Figure 6. Notch1 activity increases the effects of MD on visual acuity. A, Visual acuity in young (p35) animals with or without 7d of prior MD. Notch1 activity does not affect acuity in non-deprived mice, but causes enhanced deterioration of visual acuity upon MD. B, Deprived-eye response after MD, relative to the response in a non-deprived animal. Responsiveness to low salience stimuli decreased more in NICD+Cre+ animals after MD, also at lower spatial frequencies. Error bars indicate sem. * p<0.05; ** p<0.01

MD from P28-35 resulted in a lower acuity (0.41 ± 0.02 cpd; n=8; p=0.03). In NICD+Cre+ mice, the acuity under control conditions was unaffected (0.51 ± 0.05 cpd; n=9). However, following MD the acuity was strongly reduced (0.30 ± 0.02 cpd; n=7; p=0.001), significantly lower than in deprived control mice (p=0.004; figure 6A). We noticed that while after MD, NICD+Cre+ mice showed especially weak responses to visual stimuli with the highest spatial frequencies (0.3-0.4 cpd), responses to lower spatial frequency stimuli (0.1-0.2 cpd) were also more affected than
in deprived control animals (figure 6B). Thus, Notch1 activity caused MD to have a greater impact on responses to visual stimuli with increasing spatial frequencies.

**NICD expression does not affect acuity in adult animals**

We next analyzed whether Notch1 activity had similar effects in the adult visual cortex. Like in juvenile animals, we did not see any reduction of acuity in non-deprived adult (2-6 months of age) NICD+Cre+ mice (0.52 ± 0.07; n=8) compared to controls (0.53 ± 0.03; n=11). But in contrast to the situation during the critical period, we did not observe differences in the effects of adult MD on visual acuity in NICD+Cre+ mice (0.39 ± 0.03; n=5 animals) or control animals (0.40 ± 0.04; n=6) (figure 7A).
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**Figure 7.** In adult animals, Notch1 activity does not affect acuity or dendritic morphology. A, Visual acuity in adult animals with or without 7d of prior MD. Notch1 activity does not affect acuity in NICD+Cre+ animals, neither in non-deprived animals nor after a week of MD. Error bars indicate sem. * p<0.05. B, Quantitative Sholl-analysis of pyramidal neurons in Golgi-stained adult V1 of NICD+Cre+ animals (4 mice, 17 cells) and controls (4 mice, 42 cells). The number of dendritic intersections with 8 concentric circles at different distances around the neuronal soma was not affected by prolonged NICD overexpression. Error bars indicate sem.
Notch1 signalling does not cause dendritic degeneration in adult V1

As it has been proposed that Notch1 activity could cause neuronal degeneration in the adult brain, we assessed whether prolonged NICD expression resulted in any changes in dendritic morphology of pyramidal neurons in adult NICD+Cre+ mice. Brains of 2.5 month old animals were Golgi-impregnated after which Sholl analysis was performed on layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons, using coronal slices through V1. The number of intersections of dendrites with respective concentric circles drawn at different distances around the soma was not significantly reduced in NICD+Cre+ mice compared to controls (figure 7B). Also when all intersections were added up per neuron, the difference between controls (75.38 ± 2.84) and NICD+Cre+ (66.71 ± 4.87) was not significant (p=0.116).

Discussion

Plasticity in the visual cortex is accompanied by structural rearrangements including the loss and gain of dendritic spines [Fifkova, 1968; Majewska et al., 2003; Mataga et al., 2004; Oray et al., 2004]. Signalling pathways regulating neuronal morphology are therefore likely to be involved in this form of plasticity. In this respect, the Notch1 signalling pathway is of particular interest. Apart from its well known function in cell-fate decisions and regulation of proliferation and apoptosis, it has also been implicated in limiting neurite outgrowth of post-mitotic neurons in the first weeks after birth [Berezovska et al., 1999; Sestan et al., 1999; Redmond et al., 2000]. Moreover, various interactions between Notch1 and Amyloid Precursor Protein processing have been observed [Song et al., 1999; Fischer et al., 2005; Fassa et al., 2005] and its expression is increased in Alzheimer’s disease [Selkoe, 2001] and other brain disorders [Berezovska et al., 1998; Fischer et al., 2005; Ishikura et al., 2005; Nagarsheth et al., 2006] suggesting it may play a role in neurodegeneration. Whether neuronal Notch1 signalling regulates synaptic plasticity [Costa et al., 2005] has remained an unanswered question with important clinical implications. In this study we addressed this question by analysing the consequences of increased Notch1 activity in cortical neurons on ocular dominance plasticity.

We first wanted to establish whether Notch1 activity affected spine density and morphology during the critical period. We found that cell-autonomous activation of Notch1 activity of neurons in the juvenile visual cortex (P23-P38) resulted in a reduction in mushroom spine densities (by 22%) while spine head sizes and neck lengths remained unchanged. In addition, there was a strong reduction in the number
of filopodia (by 59%) upon Notch1 activation. We observed a small reduction in the soma size of neurons expressing active Notch1, which we believe reflects the reduction of total synapse numbers. As perisomatic inhibitory innervation by PV-expressing basket cells is an important determinant of critical period plasticity, we also determined the size and numbers of PV-positive boutons surrounding pyramidal neurons but detected no differences between NICD transgenic and control mice. These results show that Notch1 not only restricts dendritic growth during early development, but that it also limits synaptic connectivity at later stages of cortical maturation. Our spine morphological analyses do not allow us to distinguish whether the reduced spine numbers are caused by reduced spine formation or increased spine loss. However, the concurrent reduction in the density of filopodia, which are believed to be precursors of dendritic spines, seems more consistent with the idea that Notch1 activity reduces the potential of neurons to form new excitatory synapses.

We next addressed the question of whether the effect of Notch1 on dendritic spine densities had any consequences for cortical plasticity. As the induction of LTP has been shown to result in the induction of filopodia- [Maletic-Savatic et al., 1999] and spine formation [Engert et al., 1999] we analyzed whether activation of Notch1 signalling in layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons in V1 reduced the level of LTP that can be induced in the connections between layers 4 and 2/3. We indeed found that postsynaptic NICD expression reduced LTP induction, confirming a functional correlate for the effects of Notch1 on neuronal morphology and providing for the first time direct evidence for a role of neuronal Notch1 signalling in synaptic plasticity.

In apparent contrast to our observation, a previous report has shown that ubiquitous transgenic expression of Notch1 antisense RNA (NAS) decreased LTP in the hippocampus, while addition of the Notch1 ligand Jagged-1 had the opposite effect [Wang et al., 2004]. In that report, however, Notch1 signalling was not only altered in pyramidal neurons but in many cell types, and already during development. As Notch1 signalling in oligodendrocytes interferes with their differentiation [Wang et al., 1998] and affects myelination [Givogri et al., 2002] it seems plausible that other (or additional) mechanisms are affected in NAS transgenic animals explaining the phenotypic difference.

Having confirmed the influence of Notch1 on neuronal morphology and plasticity we analyzed whether Notch1 would also affect OD plasticity. To our initial surprise, overexpression of NICD in V1 did not appear to affect the size of the OD shift that is induced by MD. However, further experiments revealed that while visual acuity
developed normally in NICD transgenic animals, after MD it was significantly lower than in deprived control animals. This seemed to be caused predominantly by the more strongly reduced responsiveness to the deprived eye in NICD transgenic mice, which became especially prominent with increasing spatial frequency, resulting in a more pronounced reduction of visual acuity after MD. Only because the increase in responsiveness to the non-deprived eye was more apparent in control- than in NICD transgenic mice, the relative change in OD was the same in both types of animals. Thus, neuronal Notch1 activity causes the development or stability of visual acuity to be more dependent on visual experience. What causes this increased vulnerability of the responses to high spatial frequencies in NICD transgenic animals? Currently we can only speculate about this, but the most parsimonious explanation seems to be that while responsiveness to low spatial frequencies is already high before the critical period (and develops even in the absence of experience [Fagiolini et al., 1994]), responsiveness to higher spatial frequencies increases strongly during the critical period [Heimel et al., 2007]. The reduced capacity for synaptic strengthening due to Notch1 activity could well cause the formation or stability of these developing responses to be more dependent on visual input. This idea is supported by our observation that also an increase in responsiveness to the non-deprived eye does not occur in NICD transgenic animals after MD. This explanation opens the interesting possibility that a relationship between LTP and visual plasticity [Daw et al., 2004] may be found more readily in the development of visual acuity than in altered OD plasticity assessed using optimal visual stimuli.

Our data provide clear evidence for the involvement of neuronal Notch1 signalling in experience-dependent synaptic plasticity during the critical period of OD plasticity, and support the idea that Notch1 signals limit neuronal connectivity. At the same time, we did not obtain convincing evidence for a possible role of Notch1 in dendritic degeneration. Dendritic morphology as assessed with Sholl-analysis was not different between adult NICD transgenic animals and controls. We did not find any evidence for a loss in visual performance in adult NICD transgenic mice up to 6 months of age, nor did monocular deprivation during adulthood affect visual acuity more in NICD transgenic animals than in control mice (figure 7). Of course, this does not rule out the possibility that Notch1 may have effects on neurite morphology or neuronal maintenance when neurons are at the same time otherwise affected by CNS pathology.
Apart from providing insight into the role of Notch1 in cortical plasticity, our data exemplify how apparently small changes in OD plasticity can have important implications for the development of visual acuity. This stresses the need for studying the mechanisms that underpin plasticity of visual acuity [Giffin et al., 1978; Murphy et al., 1986; Fagiolini et al., 1994; Faulkner et al., 2006; Iny et al., 2006; Fischer et al., 2007] especially when taking into consideration that loss of acuity is the major problem for people with amblyopia.
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