

VU Research Portal

An archaeoseismological approach to seismic hazard assessment

Yerli, B.

2011

document version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

citation for published version (APA)

Yerli, B. (2011). *An archaeoseismological approach to seismic hazard assessment: A case study of the Esen Basin Fethiye-Burdur Fault Zone, SW Turkey.*

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

E-mail address:

vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl

Contents

Acknowledgements	vii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Archaeoseismology.....	1
1.2 Interdisciplinary archaeoseismological studies.....	3
1.3 Objectives.....	5
1.4 Organisation of the thesis.....	6
2 Tectonosedimentary evolution of the Eşen Basin, SW Turkey	7
2.1 Introduction.....	7
2.2 Geological setting of SW Turkey.....	7
2.3 Geological outline of the Eşen Basin.....	10
2.4 Age determination.....	12
2.4.1 Macro/micromammal palaeontology.....	12
2.4.2 U ²³⁰ /Th ²³⁴ dating.....	14
2.5 Structural analysis.....	15
2.5.1 Pliocene faults.....	17
2.5.2 Pleistocene faults.....	17
2.5.3 Holocene faults.....	17
2.5.4 Kabağaç fault.....	17
2.6 Synthesis of the structural development of the Eşen Basin.....	20
3 Seismotectonic background for archaeoseismologic studies in the Eşen Basin, SW Turkey	23
3.1 Introduction.....	23
3.2 Geology and tectonic setting of SW Turkey.....	25
3.2.1 Tectonics and geology of the Eşen Basin.....	25
3.2.2 Archaeoseismology in the Eşen Basin.....	28
3.3 Seismicity.....	28
3.3.1 The Gutenberg-Richter model.....	30
3.3.2 Depth distribution of earthquake hypocentres.....	30
3.4 Focal mechanisms.....	32
3.5 Inversion of stress field.....	34
3.5.1 The Gephard and Forsyth method.....	34
3.5.2 Intensity distribution.....	35
3.6 Results and discussion.....	35
4 Testing a logic tree approach for archaeoseismology to the ancient city of Pınara (SW Turkey)	39
4.1 Introduction.....	39
4.2 Stage 1: Tectonic setting.....	41
4.3 Stage 2: Site environment.....	44
4.4 Stage 3: Site potential.....	47
4.4.1 Site potential factor (SPF).....	47
4.5 Stage 4: Identification of damage.....	49

4.5.1	Interpretation of damage.....	49
4.6	Stage 5: Dating of damage	51
4.7	Stage 6: Regional correlation	52
4.7.1	Determination of site confidence level (SCF) and archaeoseismic quality factor (AQF).....	53
4.8	Discussion and conclusion.....	54
5	Assessment of seismically induced damage using LIDAR: the ancient city of Pınara (SW Turkey) as a case study	57
5.1	Introduction	57
5.2	Tectonic setting.....	58
5.2.1	Neotectonics of SW-Turkey	58
5.2.2	Recent earthquake activity in SW Turkey.....	58
5.3	The Pınara site.....	61
5.3.1	The Eşen Basin.....	61
5.3.2	Geology of Pınara and surroundings	61
5.4	Archaeoseismological potential	61
5.5	Quantitative assessment of damage.....	64
5.5.1	Methods	64
5.5.2	Results	67
5.5.3	Data interpretation	67
5.6	Conclusions	70
6	The Lycian sarcophagus of Arttumpara, Pınara, SW Turkey: Testing seismogenic and anthropogenic damage scenarios	71
6.1	Introduction	71
6.2	The Pınara site	73
6.2.1	Topographic, geological and tectonic setting.....	73
6.2.2	History and archaeological setting	74
6.3	The sarcophagus of Arttumpara.....	75
6.3.1	3D laser-scan model	77
6.3.2	Rigid block model	77
6.3.3	Friction	78
6.3.4	Model tests.....	79
6.4	Modelling anthropogenic action	83
6.4.1	Back calculation of charge weight.....	83
6.4.2	Reaction of the sarcophagus.....	84
6.5	Modelling earthquake ground motion effects.....	85
6.5.1	Scaled ground motions	85
6.5.2	Dynamic reaction of the sarcophagus.....	86
6.6	Discussion and conclusions.....	88
6.7	Data resources and acknowledgements	90
7	Conclusions and perspectives	91
7.1	Conclusions	91
7.2	Perspectives	93
	References	95
	Abstract	105
	Samenvatting	107