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Abstract

The 5-HT₃ receptor, a pentameric ligand-gated ion channel (pLGIC), is an important therapeutic target. During a recent fragment screen, 6-chloro-N-methyl-2-(4-methyl-1,4-diazepan-1-yl)quinazolin-4-amine (1) was identified as a 5-HT₃ hit fragment. Here we describe the synthesis and Structure-Activity Relationships (SAR) of a series of (iso)quinoline and quinazoline compounds that were synthesized and screened for 5-HT₃ affinity using a [³H]granisetron displacement assay. These studies resulted in the discovery of several high affinity ligands of which compound 22 showed the highest affinity (pKi > 10) for the 5-HT₃ receptor. The observed SAR is in agreement with established pharmacophore models for 5-HT₃ ligands and is used for ligand-receptor binding mode prediction using homology modeling and in silico docking approaches.


**Introduction**

5-HT3 receptor antagonists like alosetron and granisetron are in clinical use to prevent emesis during chemotherapy-induced, radiotherapy-induced and post-operative nausea and vomiting, and to alleviate the effects of irritable bowel syndrome (IBS).[1, 2] Recent studies have also indicated that the 5-HT3 receptor is involved in depression[3], and may play a role in a range of other indications such as schizophrenia, anxiety, substance abuse and addiction, bulimia and pruritus. Moreover, the 5-HT3 receptor is thought to modulate analgesia, inflammation and cognitive processes.[4] The 5-HT3 receptor belongs to the Cys-loop receptor family of ion channels[5], which include nicotinic acetylcholine (nACh), GABA_A, and glycine receptors. All these receptors consist of five subunits that surround a central ion conducting pore.[6] At present, no high resolution structures are available for Cys-loop receptors, but the availability of crystal structures of the closely related acetylcholine binding protein (AChBP)[7] has significantly improved our understanding of the extracellular domain. Together with the results from mutagenesis studies[8-15] it is now acknowledged that the ligand binding site is situated in the extracellular domain at the interface of two subunits. The principal subunit contributes three loops (A-C), while the complementary side contributes three beta-sheets (‘loops’ D-F) from the adjacent subunit (Figure 1a). 5-HT3 receptors can be homomeric (all A subunits) or heteromeric (A + B to E), but the exact subunit composition/stoichiometry of the latter type is not yet clear.[16, 17] As early as 1989, a ligand-based pharmacophore for 5-HT3 receptor ligands was constructed.[18] During the last two decades this pharmacophore has been continuously refined.[19-25] The consensus is that 5-HT3 receptor ligands share three pharmacophore features; an aromatic part (A), a basic moiety (B) and an intervening hydrogen bond acceptor (C) moiety (Figure 1b). Interestingly, Jørgensen and co-workers recently published 5-HT3A receptor ligands that lack a positively charged basic moiety, and it is suggested that these compounds exert their effects via an allosteric site of the receptor.[26]
Recently, we performed a cell-based fragment screen of 1010 fragments on the human 5-HT₃A receptor resulting in a total of 70 hits.[27] Within this set, the higher affinity ligands contained bicyclic heteroaromatic structures, i.e., quinoxalines, quinazolines or quinolines. In the literature, fused six-membered aromatic rings have been described as 5-HT₃ receptor ligands, such as quipazine which contains a quinoline scaffold.[28] Quipazine analogues have also been described as multicyclic aryl piperazine 5-HT₃R ligands by Anzini and co-workers.[29-32] In our screen the quinazoline scaffold, VUF10434 (Figure 1c) was identified as having the highest affinity (18 nM) and a ligand efficiency (LE) of 0.5 (calculated as the ratio of Gibbs free energy in kcal to the amount of non-hydrogen atoms). Here, we examine the SAR of VUF10434 (1) by designing, synthesizing and testing a range of new derivatives, and exploring their putative water-mediated binding modes in a 5-HT₃A receptor binding site homology model.
Chemistry

Quinazolines and (iso)quinolines were either obtained from our in-house compound library or were synthesized as described below. 2,4-Dichloroquinazoline (2) was reacted with methylamine to yield the corresponding 4-substituted intermediate (5) which was directly used without further purification in the substitution reaction with N-methylpiperazine to form compound 21 \( (R^2 = \text{NMe}, R^3 = \text{H}) \) (Scheme 1). 2,4,6-Trichloroquinazoline (3) was reacted with tributyltin hydride and tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) to yield 2,6-dichloroquinazoline (4) which in turn was substituted with N-methylpiperazine under microwave irradiation to yield 11 \( (R^2 = \text{H}, R^3 = 6\text{-Cl}) \).

Scheme 1. Preparation of quinazoline compounds 6-22

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{2} & \quad R^3 = \text{H} \\
\text{3} & \quad R^3 = 6\text{-Cl} \\
\text{4} & \quad R^2 = \text{H}, R^3 = 6\text{-Cl} \\
\text{5} & \quad R^2 = \text{NMe}, R^3 = \text{H} \\
\text{11-22} & \\
\text{6-10}
\end{align*}
\]

\textit{Reagents:} (a) amine \( (R^1\text{H}) \) EtOH or EtOAc, \textit{rt}; (b) Bu\(_3\)SnH, Pd(PPh\(_3\))\(_4\) toluene, 100 °C; (c) N-methylpiperazine, EtOAc, 140 °C; (d) (i) MeNH\(_2\), EtOAc, DiPEA, \textit{rt}, (ii) amine \( (R^1\text{H}) \), EtOAc, DiPEA, 120 °C; (e) MeNH\(_2\), N-methylpyrrolidone, DiPEA, \textit{rt}, (ii) amine \( (R^1\text{H}) \), N-methylpyrrolidone, DiPEA, 150 °C.

Starting from 2-aminobenzoic acid (23), 2-thioxo-2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1H)-one (24) was synthesized using a procedure described in literature [33] (Scheme 2). This intermediate is allowed to react with methyl iodide to create a more reactive intermediate (25) that is used in the subsequent substitution reaction with N-methylpiperazine which results in the formation compound 26 (Scheme 2).
Compounds 30 and 31 (Scheme 3) were synthesized by reacting commercially available 2,4-dibromoquinoline (27) with either ammonium hydroxide or methylamine followed by coupling of N-methylpiperazine. This synthesis route yielded in the first step mixtures of both the 2- as well as the 4-substituted regioisomers (for 28 and 29). Since these two regioisomers were difficult to separate it was decided to use them as regioisomeric mixtures in the substitution reaction with N-methylpiperazine. The obtained regioisomeric mixtures (for 30 and 31) could be separated by column chromatography. Both compound 30 and 31 were obtained as pure regioisomers whose identity was confirmed by 2D $^1$H-NMR (NOESY, see “Materials and methods” section, Figure 4).

2-Methoxynaphtalene (32) was substituted with N-methylpiperazine using n-butyllithium as the base to create compound 33 in a good yield (Scheme 4).
Scheme 4: Preparation of 1-methyl-4-(naphthalen-2-yl)piperazine (33)\(^a\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{32} & \xrightarrow{a} \text{33}
\end{align*}
\]

\(^a\)Reagents: (a) N-methylpiperazine, n-BuLi, 0 °C, then 2-methoxynaphthalene, THF, rt.

To investigate the role of the position of the hetero atom in the aromatic ring, 34 was reacted with deprotonated N-methylpiperazine in order to obtain 35 (Scheme 5).

Scheme 5. Preparation of 3-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)quinoline (35)\(^a\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{34} & \xrightarrow{a} \text{35}
\end{align*}
\]

\(^a\)Reagents: (a) NaNH\(_2\), t-BuOH, THF, 0 °C, N-methylpiperazine, 40 °C, then 3-bromoquinoline (34), 0 °C to rt.

For the synthesis of compounds 39 and 40 a similar approach was used as for the synthesis of compounds 30 and 31. First, the commercially available 1,3-dichloroisooquinoline (36) was reacted with either ammoniumhydroxide or methylamine to yield 37 or 38 respectively as the single 1-substituted regioisomers. Both intermediates were then used without purification in the reaction with N-methylpiperazine to yield 39 and 40 as the single regioisomers (Scheme 6).

Scheme 6. Preparation of isoquinoline compounds 39 and 40\(^a\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{36} & \xrightarrow{a \text{ or } b} \text{37, 38} & \xrightarrow{c} \text{39, 40}
\end{align*}
\]

\(^a\)Reagents: (a) NH\(_3\) in MeOH, 120 °C; (b) MeNH\(_2\), DiPEA, EtOH, 100 °C; (c) N-methylpiperazine, 220 °C.

Compound 41 was reacted with a mixture of potassium nitrate and sulfuric acid that in situ yields the reactive nitric acid. This yielded a mixture of regioisomers with the 5- and 8-nitro-compounds (42, 43) as the main products. These regioisomers were separated by column
chromatography and each individual regioisomers was subsequently reacted with N-methylpiperazine to yield nitro analogs 44 and 45. These intermediates in turn were reduced to the corresponding anilines (46, 47) with palladium on carbon and hydrogen gas.

**Scheme 7. Preparation of quinolines 46 and 47**

![Scheme 7](image)

aReagents: (a) KNO₃, H₂SO₄, 0 °C to rt; (b) N-methylpiperazine, 140 °C; (c) H₂, Pd/C, MeOH, rt.

### Biochemical Evaluation and SAR Studies

Target compounds were evaluated using competition with the 5-HT₃-specific radioligand [³H]granisetron[34] and most compounds displayed high affinity (expressed here as pKᵢ) for the 5-HT₃A receptor (Tables 1-5). With the quinazoline scaffold of compound 1 as a starting point, the role of the basic moiety as a key feature in the 5-HT₃ pharmacophore first was explored. A series of N-methylhomopiperazine replacements were investigated (Table 1) by introducing several other cyclic amines. The N-methylpiperazine analogue 6 shows a 16-fold increase in affinity. All other compounds, including rigid tertiary amine 7, rigid secondary amines (8, 9) and flexible tertiary amine 10, show reduced affinity for the 5-HT₃A receptor. Thus, for this series of compounds, the N-methyl piperazine moiety at the R³ position results in the highest affinity.
Table 1. 5-HT₃A Receptor binding affinities (pKᵢ) of compounds 1, 6-10.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>R¹</th>
<th>pKᵢᵃ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>7.74 ± 0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>8.95 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>6.33 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>5.63 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>5.45 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td><img src="image6.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>4.93 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ᵃDetermined by radioligand competition using [³H]granisetron.

Next, keeping the N-methylpiperazine moiety at the R¹-position, we explored the SAR associated with the R² position (Table 2). Removal of the substituent in the R² position (i.e. R² = H), leading to compound 11, results in a ~10-fold reduction in affinity. The same reduction in affinity is observed for the removal or addition of a methyl group on the aniline nitrogen atom (compounds 12, 13). Interesting is the ~500-fold lower affinity of compound 48 (Table 2). This compound can adopt distinct tautomeric states (Figure 2) and consequently nitrogen atom N3 of compound 48b is no longer capable of interacting as a HBA.
Figure 2. Tautomers of compound 48.

Analogs that contain more bulky groups at position R², including saturated ring systems (15) and aromatic moieties (17), all show lower affinities than the lead compound (Table 2). Introduction of a large polar group results in high affinity 5-HT₃ compounds 18 and 20. The SAR at both the R¹ and R² position follow similar trends, as observed for the histamine H₄R[35-37], and agrees with our earlier reports that fragment library screening indicates a remarkable degree of binding site similarities of the 5-HT₃AR and H₄R proteins.[38]

Table 2. 5-HT₃A Receptor binding affinities (pKᵢ) of compounds with different substituents at R².

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>pKᵢᵃ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>8.95 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>8.13 ± 0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>6.24 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>NH₂</td>
<td>7.92 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.18 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.78 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.78 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In Table 3 the effect of the 6-chloro atom on 5-HT₃ receptor affinity is shown. Replacement of the 6-chloro atom by a hydrogen atom results in a ~5-fold reduction in affinity (compare 6 and 21 respectively) when R² = NMe. Replacement of R³ = 6-Cl by R³ = H results in analogs that have a higher affinity for the 5-HT₃A receptor when R² = H, OH or NH₂ (the affinity increases ~5, ~10, and ~100 fold respectively). Interestingly, for the H₄R the latter modification leads to a reduction in affinity of more than 10-fold, marking a clear difference in SAR for 5-HT₃ and H₄R receptors.[35] As a result, compound 22 is identified as a 5-HT₃ ligand with subnanomolar affinity and ~40,000 fold selectivity for the 5-HT₃A receptor over the H₄R.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Affinity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>7.86 ± 0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>7.10 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>8.57 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>7.83 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>8.55 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Determined by radioligand competition using [³H]granisetron.
Table 3. The effect of $R^3 = \text{Cl}$ or $R^3 = \text{H}$ on the 5-HT$_3$AR affinity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>$R^3$</th>
<th>$pK_i^a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>8.13 ± 0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>8.87 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>6.24 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>7.33 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>NH$_2$</td>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>7.92 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>NH$_2$</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>10.29 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>NMe</td>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>8.95 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>NMe</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>8.53 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Determined by radioligand competition using [3H]granisetron.

The role of the H-bond acceptor moiety was explored using the ligands in Table 4. As already illustrated by the pharmacophore model in Figure 1B, a distance of ~5Å between the basic moiety and the H-bond acceptor is essential. This is in line with our present findings, as the distance between these pharmacophore features in the minimal global energy conformation of our new compounds is calculated to be ~5Å. The highest affinity compounds are those where the heteroaromatic nitrogen atom is positioned next to the $N$-methylpiperazine group. These are compound 49 ($N1=4.96\text{Å}$, $N3=4.97\text{Å}$), 51 (4.71Å) and 52 (4.75Å), with the highest affinity compound 51 having a $pK_i > 9$. The affinity of compound 50 is 3-10 fold lower than compounds 49, 51 and 52; here the distance of $N1$ to the basic nitrogen atom is 4.79Å whilst the distance for $N4$ is 6.42Å. The distance between the basic nitrogen atom and the H-bond acceptor in compound 35 is 6.53Å, and affinity drops >250 fold when compared to (iso)quinolines 51 and 52. The importance of this pharmacophore feature, is supported by the fact that compound 33, which has no H-bond acceptor in the ring, shows similar affinity as compound 35.
Table 4. 5-HT₃A Receptor binding affinities (pKᵢ) of compounds 33, 35 and 49-52.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>pKᵢᵃ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>8.87 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>8.33 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>9.34 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>8.79 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>6.39 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>6.27 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ᵃDetermined by radioligand competition using [³H]granisetron.

For quinazolines (Table 3) R² = NH₂ in combination with R³ = H results in a significant increase in affinity (22). Therefore, the same derivatization strategy was applied to the corresponding (iso)quinolines (Table 5). Here, 4-NMe substitution of the quinoline scaffold (31) gives a ~30-fold drop in affinity. In addition, the 4-NH₂ analogue (30) also shows a loss of affinity although to a lesser extent. In order to explore the effect of the aniline moiety when positioned on the second aromatic ring of the quinoline moiety, two additional compounds were synthesized. The compound that has the aniline functionality at the 5-position of the quinoline scaffold resulted in compound 46. The 5-HT₃AR affinity dropped ~1000-fold and ~100-fold when compared to compounds 51 and 30 respectively. The 8-aniline (47) derivative shows a comparable affinity to compound 46. Finally, a similar approach for the isoquinoline scaffold results in compounds 40 and 39. Here, addition of a 2-NMe moiety (compound 40) results in a ~5-fold lower affinity. The 2-NH₂ analogue (compound 39), on the other hand shows a 140-fold decrease in affinity when compared to the parent isoquinoline compound 52.
Table 5. 5-HT₃A Receptor binding affinities (pKᵢ) of different (methyl)aniline compounds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>pKᵢ ¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure 21" /></td>
<td>8.53 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure 22" /></td>
<td>10.29 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure 31" /></td>
<td>7.87 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure 30" /></td>
<td>8.84 ± 0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure 40" /></td>
<td>8.23 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure 39" /></td>
<td>6.66 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure 46" /></td>
<td>6.69 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure 47" /></td>
<td>6.48 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Determined by radioligand competition using [³H]granisetron.
Chapter 6

Although protein structural information of cys-loop receptors is very limited, the emerging crystallographic data on AChBP structures, in combination with 5-HT₃R site directed mutagenesis studies allow some preliminary considerations with respect to protein-ligand interactions. A homology model of the 5-HT₃A receptor binding site was constructed using the tropisetron bound AChBP crystal structure (PDB ID: 2WNC) as a template.[39] The derived binding orientation of tropisetron is in agreement with published site-directed mutagenesis studies. The basic tropane moiety of tropisetron interacts with the carbonyl backbone of W183 and is positioned in an aromatic cavity consisting of W183 [11, 40] and Y234 [8, 41] from the principle subunit, and W90 [13, 42] of the complementary subunit. The basic nitrogen atom of tropisetron is positioned at 4.5Å from E129 [9], a residue that is critical for both serotonin and granisetron binding. The indole ring of tropisetron is in close proximity to R92 [13, 42], which can interact with this moiety through a cation-π interaction, and has been previously identified to interact with the indazole ring of granisetron.[15, 42] Finally, five water molecules from the template co-crystal structure (wat₁ – wat₅) were included; these form a structural water network that interacts with both the carbonyl moiety of tropisetron and the receptor.[39] We found that these water molecules have a high level of conservation across the AChBP structures that are co-crystallized with small antagonists (Figure 3a).[43] Compounds were docked into the homology model with GOLD [44] using standard settings and the resulting poses were scored with GOLDscore.[45]

From the observed docking poses of compounds 22 and 35 (Figure 3c-d), and other novel ligands (data not shown) we speculate that the basic moiety of these ligands interacts with the same residues as observed for the basic moiety of the reference ligand tropisetron (Figure 3b). In the binding model, heteroaromatic HBA (N3) of the quinazoline ring of compound 22 can interact with a structural water molecule (wat₂) in the binding site (Figure 3c) similar to the carbonyl oxygen atom of tropisetron. This interaction is also possible for other ligands where the distance between the basic nitrogen atom and the HBA is ~5Å. Therefore, this protein-ligand model is able to accommodate the classic ligand-based 5-HT₃R pharmacophore (figure 1b) by suggesting that the hydrogen bond acceptor feature (C) of the ligands bind to the protein via conserved water molecules, thereby explaining the subtle differences in SAR with respect to this pharmacophore feature. Future crystallization studies of 5-HT₃R ligands with AChBP might lead to addition insights with respect to binding mode, although the ultimate proof via crystallization studies of the actual 5-HT₃R remains a scientific challenge. Nevertheless, careful SAR studies and ligand-based design approaches in combination the use of AChBP derived structural information and site-directed mutagenesis might lead to insights that enable structure-based drug design approaches that have proven to be efficient for water soluble drug targets.
Figure 3. AChBP-based homology model of the human 5-HT₃A receptor binding site (protein carbon atoms and cartoon representation in white) (a) Overlay of the waters participating in the structural water network in several different AChBP crystal structures (red: 2WNC; blue: 2BYR; cyan: 2PGZ; green: 2BYS; yellow: 2XYT). (b - d) Binding poses for the 5-HT₃ receptor antagonists. (b) Tropisetron (gold sticks). (c) Compound 22 (green sticks). (d) Compound 35 (green sticks).
**Conclusion**

In summary, optimization of fragment hit (1) has lead to the identification of several novel 5-HT$_3$ receptor ligands with (sub)nanomolar affinities that are comparable to some of the most potent 5-HT$_3$ ligands described to date. These ligands match the known pharmacophore descriptors for 5-HT$_3$ ligands. We found that the N-methylpiperazine group at the R$^1$ position is favorable, and that a hydrogen bond acceptor at $\sim$5Å from the basic nitrogen is essential for high affinity binding. Compound 22 was identified as the ligand with the highest 5-HT$_3$ receptor affinity in this study ($pK_i =$ 10.29). The high affinity and previously reported receptor specificity of closely related compounds [46] suggests that some of these compounds will make ideal candidates for future *in vivo* studies.
Materials and methods

![Diagram of molecules 30 and 31](image)

**Figure 4.** NOESY couplings that were observed using 2D $^1$H NMR measurements on compounds 30 and 31. (a) The correct regio isomer of compound 30. The $^1$H NMR signal at 6.16 ppm (s, 1H) can be ascribed to proton 3 since it couples to both the multiplet of 4 at 3.72-3.63 ppm (m, 4H) as well as to the signal of the aniline protons of 2 at 4.51 ppm (br s, 2H). Furthermore, the aniline proton of 2 at 4.51 ppm (br s, 2H) couples with the aromatic proton of 1 at 7.43-7.31 ppm (m, 1H). In addition, there is no coupling observed between the signals of the aromatic proton of 1 7.43-7.31 ppm (m, 1H) and the multiplet of 4 at 3.72-3.63 ppm (m, 4H). (b) The correct regio isomer of compound 31. The $^1$H NMR signal at 5.92 ppm (s, 1H) can be ascribed to proton 3 since it couples to both the multiplet of 4 at 3.79-3.69 ppm (m, 4H) as well as to the signal of the methylaniline protons of 5 at 3.00 ppm (d, 3H). Furthermore, the aniline proton of 2 at 4.89 ppm (br s, 1H) couples with the aromatic proton of 1 at 7.52-7.43 ppm (m, 2H). Interestingly, there is no coupling observed between proton 1 and the methylaniline protons of 5. In addition, no coupling is observed between aniline proton 2 and aromatic proton 3 suggesting that the rotamer drawn above is preferred. Finally, there is no coupling observed between the signals of the aromatic proton of 1 7.43-7.31 ppm (m, 1H) and the multiplet of 4 at 3.72-3.63 ppm (m, 4H).

**Chemistry**

Chemicals and solvents were purchased from Aldrich and used as received. Unless indicated otherwise, all reactions were carried out under an inert atmosphere of dry $N_2$. TLC analyses were performed with Merck F254 alumina silica plates using UV visualization or staining. Column purifications were carried out automatically using the Biotage equipment. All HRMS spectra were recorded on Bruker microTOF mass spectrometer using ESI in positive ion mode. $^1$H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker 250 (250 MHz) or a Bruker 500 (500 MHz) spectrometer. Data are reported as follows: chemical shift, integration, multiplicity (s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, br = broad, m = multiplet), and coupling constants (Hz). Chemical shifts are reported in ppm with the natural abundance of deuterium in the solvent as the internal reference (CDCl$_3$: δ 7.26 and CH$_3$OH in CH$_3$OD: δ 3.31, (CH$_3$)$_2$SO in (CD$_3$)$_2$SO: δ 2.50). $^{13}$C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker 500 (126 MHz) spectrometer with complete proton decoupling. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm with the solvent resonance resulting from incomplete deuterium as the internal reference (CDCl$_3$: δ 77.16, CH$_3$OD: δ 49.00, (CD$_3$)$_2$SO: δ 39.52). Systematic names for molecules according to IUPAC rules were generated using the Chemdraw AutoNom program.
Purity was determined using a Shimadzu HPLC/MS workstation with a LC-20AD pump system, SPD-M20A diode array detection, and a LCMS-2010 EV liquid chromatography mass spectrometer. The column used was an Xbridge C18 5 μm column (100 mm × 4.6 mm). Compound purities were calculated as the percentage peak area of the analyzed compound by UV detection at 230 nm. Solvents used were the following: solvent B = MeCN 0.1% Formic Acid; solvent A = water 0.1%. The analysis was conducted using a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min, start 5% B, linear gradient to 90% B in 4.5 min, then 1.5 min at 90% B, linear gradient to 5% B in 0.5 min and then 1.5 min at 5% B, total run time of 8 min. Compounds 6, 8-10, 12-20, 22 and 48-52 were synthesized by our group as described by Smits et al.[36, 37]

**2-chloro-N-methylquinazolin-4-amine (5).** Methanamine in ethanol (40%, w/v, 0.24 mL, 2.76 mmol) and DiPEA (357 mg, 2.76 mmol) were added to a suspension of 2,4-dichloroquinazoline (2) (500 mg, 2.51 mmol) in EtOH (20 mL) and stirred at rt. After 4.5 hours the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure to a volume of 1 mL. The resulting mixture was diluted with water (25 mL) and extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to yield 444 mg of 5 (2.29 mmol, 91%) as a white solid. $^1$H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ ppm 7.86 – 7.61 (m, 3H), 7.44 (m, 1H), 6.11 (br s, 1H), 3.22 (d, $J$ = 4.9, 3H).

**6-chloro-2-(3-(dimethylamino)azetidin-1-yl)-N-methylquinazolin-4-amine (7).** Methanamine in ethanol (33%, w/v, 0.11 mL, 0.86 mmol) and DiPEA (0.61 mL, 3.5 mmol) were added to a suspension of 2,4,6-trichloroquinazoline (3) (200 mg, 0.86 mmol) in EtOAc (2 mL) and stirred at rt until TLC indicated complete conversion. Then N,N-Dimethyl-3-azetidinamine dihydrochloride (150 mg, 0.87 mmol) was added and the resulting suspension was heated by microwave irradiation at 120°C for 30 minutes. The resulting mixture was diluted with water and extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude oil was purified over SiO$_2$ (EtOAc/MeOH/Et3N = 90/5/5, v/v/v) to yield 98 mg of 7 (2.29 mmol, 91%) as a beige solid. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ ppm 7.47 – 7.38 (m, 3H), 5.54 (br s, 1H), 4.23 – 4.16 (m, 2H), 4.06 – 3.99 (m, 2H), 3.24 – 3.14 (m, 1H), 3.11 (d, $J$ = 4.8 Hz, 3H), 2.22 (s, 6H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ ppm 160.56, 159.70, 150.40, 132.90, 127.22, 125.65, 120.25, 111.31, 55.99, 54.31, 41.91, 28.01; LCMS: ret. time 2.06 min, purity <99%, [M+H]$^+$ 292.05; HRMS m/z: [M+H]$^+$ calcld for C$_{19}$H$_{19}$ClN$_5$: 292.1323, found: 292.1312.

**6-chloro-2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)quinazoline (11).** Tributyltin hydride (312 mg, 1.07 mmol) was added dropwise to a round-bottom flask containing 2,4,6-trichloroquinazoline (3) (250 mg, 1.07 mmol) in dry toluene (5 mL). Then tetrakis (triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (60 mg, 0.05 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 1 hour. Next, toluene was removed under reduced pressure, the residue was dissolved in DCM (5 mL) and hydrolyzed with a saturated solution of potassium fluoride. The mixture was stirred vigorously for 30 minutes, filtered over a pad of Celite® and washed with DCM. The aqueous phase was extracted with
DCM and the combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified over SiO₂ (Hept/DCM = 50/50 to 0/100, v/v) yielding 30 mg of 4. This crude intermediate was then added to a microwave tube containing N-methylpiperazine (1 mL, 9.0 mmol) and EtOAc (3 mL). The resulting mixture was heated at 140 °C for 30 minutes under microwave irradiation. The solvent and excess of N-methylpiperazine were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified over SiO₂ (KPNH) (DCM/EtOAc = 90/10 to 60/40, v/v) yielding 20 mg of 11 (0.08 mmol, 7% over two steps) as a yellow solid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 8.92 (s, 1H), 7.62 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.59 – 7.56 (m, 1H), 7.50 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 4.02 – 3.93 (m, 4H), 2.56 – 2.47 (m, 4H), 2.36 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 160.47, 159.23, 150.89, 134.80, 127.40, 127.37, 126.01, 119.87, 55.01, 46.22, 43.92; LCMS: ret. time 2.71 min, purity 97%, [M+H]^+ 263.05, HRMS m/z: [M+H]^+ calcd for C₁₃H₁₆ClN₄: 263.1058, found: 263.1048.

N-methyl-2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)quinazolin-4-amine (21). 5 (400 mg, 2.07 mmol) was added to a microwave tube containing N-methylpiperazine (1.6 mL, 14.5 mmol) and EtOAc (5 mL). The resulting mixture was heated at 140 °C for 120 minutes under microwave irradiation. The reaction mixture was diluted with H₂O (10 mL) and extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure and purified over SiO₂ (EtOAc/Hept/Et₃N = 80/15/5, v/v) yielding 330 mg of compound 21 (1.28 mmol, 62%) as a white solid. ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 7.60 – 7.33 (m, 3H), 7.03 – 6.96 (m, 1H), 5.74 (br s, 1H), 4.09 – 3.80 (m, 4H), 3.07 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 3H), 2.60 – 2.36 (m, 4H), 2.31 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 160.46, 159.09, 151.99, 132.38, 125.69, 120.85, 120.78, 110.66, 55.23, 46.29, 43.84, 27.97; LCMS: ret. time 1.49 min, purity <99%, [M+H]^+ 258.05; HRMS m/z: [M+H]^+ calcd for C₁₄H₁₆N₄: 258.1713, found: 258.1681.

2-thioxo-2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1H)-one (24). A suspension of anthranilic acid (23) (10.0 gram, 73.0 mmol) in thionyl chloride (40.0 mL) was heated at reflux for 2 hours. The thionyl chloride was removed under reduced pressure, DCM (20 mL) was added to the residue and was also removed under reduced pressure (repeated three times). Next, the crude acid chloride was dissolved in acetone (20 mL) and added dropwise to a suspension of NH₄SCN (5.75 gram, 75.5 mmol) in acetone (10 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 45 minutes and the solid was collected by filtration over a Büchner filter. This solid was then suspended in an aqueous solution of NaOH (10% w/w) (70mL) and filtered over a Büchner filter. Water (70mL) was added to the residue and the resulting mixture was acidified to pH 2 with aqueous 2N HCl, the resulting solid was collected via filtration over a Büchner funnel. After washing with a H₂O/MeO (50:50) solution (100 mL) and subsequent drying under vacuum at 35 °C. The product, 10.0 gram of 24, was obtained as a beige solid and used in the next step without further purification.

2-(methylthio)quinazolin-4(3H)-one (25). A solution of methyl iodide (17.9 gram, 126 mmol) in MeOH (80 mL) was added to a suspension of 24 (10.0 gram, 56.2 mmol) in an aqueous solution of NaOH (1% w/w) (w/v) (80 mL). After 1 hour at rt the pH was adjusted to pH 7 with an aqueous
solution of HCl (1M) and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. A total of 7.0 gram 25 was obtained as an off white solid and used in the synthesis of 26 without further purification.

2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)quinazolin-4(3H)-one (26). Compound 25 (2.00 gram, 10.4 mmol) was added to a microwave tube containing N-methylpiperazine (10.0 mL, 111 mmol). The resulting mixture was heated at 160 °C under microwave irradiation for 30 minutes. The excess of N-methylpiperazine was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was suspended in H2O (30 mL). The insoluble material was dissolved by addition of an aqueous NaOH solution (10% w/w, 10 mL). After filtration over a Büchner filter to remove insoluble’s the filtrate was reduced under reduced pressure and purified over SiO₂ (KPNH) (EtOAc) yielding 1.08 gram of 26 (4.43 mmol, 43%) as an off white solid. ¹H NMR (250 MHz, MeOD) δ ppm 8.04 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.70 - 7.60 (m, 1H), 7.46 – 7.39 (m, 1H), 7.33 – 7.22 (m, 1H), 3.85 – 3.73 (m, 4H), 2.65 – 2.55 (m, 4H), 2.39 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 165.68, 151.35, 150.44, 134.97, 126.25, 125.35, 122.68, 116.85, 54.79, 46.20, 45.14; LCMS: ret. time 2.04 min, purity >99%, [M+H]+ 244.95; HRMS m/z: [M+H]+ calcd for C₁₃H₁₇N₄O: 245.1397, found: 245.1401.

2-bromoquinolin-4-amine and 4-bromoquinolin-2-amine (28) (mixture of both regio isomers). 2,4-dibromoquinoline (27) (860 mg, 3.00 mmol) was added to a microwave tube containing an aqueous solution of ammonium hydroxide (28-30%, w/v, 10 mL). The resulting mixture was heated at 140 °C under microwave irradiation for 120 minutes. The reaction mixture was diluted with water (15 mL) and subsequently extracted with DCM (3x15 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting crude product was purified over SiO₂ (Hept/EtOAc = 50/50, v/v) yielding 378 mg (1.70 mmol, 57%) of a regioisomeric mixture containing 2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)quinolin-4-amine and 4-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)quinolin-2-amine.

2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)quinolin-4-amine (30). The regioisomeric mixture (28) (378 mg, 1.70 mmol) was added to a microwave tube containing THF (4 mL) and N-methylpiperazine (1.20 g, 12.0 mmol). The resulting mixture was heated at 160 °C for 90 minutes under microwave irradiation. The reaction mixture was quenched with a saturated aqueous solution of NaHCO₃ (4 mL) and extracted with EtOAC (100 mL). The combined organic extracts were then washed with H₂O. The combined organic extracts were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified over SiO₂ (KPNH) (DCM/MeOH = 95/5, v/v) yielding 100 mg (0.41 mmol) of 30 as an off white solid. ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 7.66 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.52 – 7.45 (m, 1H), 7.19 – 7.13 (m, 1H), 6.16 (s, 1H), 4.51 (br s, 2H), 3.72 – 3.63 (m, 4H), 2.57 – 2.45 (m, 4H), 2.34 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 158.65, 150.48, 148.63, 129.63, 127.23, 121.30, 119.91, 115.41, 90.34, 55.13, 46.24, 45.14; LCMS: ret. time 5.24 min, purity >99%, [M+H]+ 243.10; HRMS m/z: [M+H]+ calcd for C₁₄H₁₉N₄: 243.1604, found:243.1596.
**N-methyl-2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)quinolin-4-amine (31).** Methanamine in MeOH (40%, w/v, 0.6 mL, 7.00 mmol) was added to a microwave tube containing 1,4-dibromoquinoline (27) (100 mg, 0.35 mmol), DiPEA (50 mg, 0.38 mmol) and EtOAc (2 mL). The resulting mixture was heated at 100 °C under microwave irradiation for 6 hours. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, dissolved in N-methylpiperazine (5 mL) and heated at 160 °C under microwave irradiation for 1 hour. Then the mixture was diluted with H₂O (10 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (3 x 30 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with water, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure and purified over SiO₂ (EtOAc/MeOH/Et₃N = 96/2/2, v/v/v) yielding 46 mg of 31 (0.18 mmol, 51%) as a white solid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 7.65 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.52 – 7.43 (m, 2H), 7.20 – 6.93 (m, 1H), 5.92 (s, 1H), 4.89 (s, 1H), 3.79 – 3.69 (m, 4H), 3.00 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 3H), 2.58 – 2.51 (m, 4H), 2.35 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 158.99, 151.51, 148.11, 129.21, 127.30, 121.08, 118.92, 115.39, 85.14, 55.21, 46.27, 45.25, 30.06. LCMS ret. time 1.83 min, purity 97%, [M+H]⁺ 257.05; HRMS m/z: [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₅H₂₁N₄: 257.1761, found: 257.1767.

**1-methyl-4-(naphthalen-2-yl)piperazine (33).** A solution of n-butyllithium in hexanes (2.5 N, 8.8 mL, 22.0 mmol) was added drop wise to a stirred solution of N-methylpiperazine (2.0 mL, 18.1 mmol) in THF while maintaining a temperature around 0 °C. The reaction mixture was kept at 0 °C for another 30 minutes and subsequently stirred at rt for 1 hour. Then 2-methoxynaphtalene (32) (3.16 gram, 20.0 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for another 16 hours. The reaction mixture was poured over an aqueous solution of NaOH (2.5 M) and extracted with DCM. The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure and purified over SiO₂ (EtOAc/MeOH/Et₃N = 95/5, v/v) yielding 3.56 gram (15.7 mmol, 79%) of 33 as a white solid. ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 7.79 – 7.59 (m, 3H), 7.43 – 7.31 (m, 1H), 7.30 – 7.18 (m, 2H), 7.10 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.36 – 3.21 (m, 4H), 2.69 – 2.49 (m, 4H), 2.36 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 149.16, 134.66, 128.71, 128.55, 127.45, 126.77, 126.28, 123.38, 119.38, 110.27, 55.19, 49.51, 46.20. LCMS: ret. time 3.01 min, purity >99%, [M+H]⁺ 226.95; HRMS m/z: [M+H]⁺ calcd for C₁₄H₁₃N₂: 227.1543, found: 227.1536.

**3-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)quinoline (35).** t-BuOH (1.48 gram, 20.0 mmol) in THF (6 mL) was slowly added to a stirred suspension of NaN₃ (1.56 gram, 40.0 mmol) in THF (6 mL) at 0 °C. The resulting suspension was stirred at 40 °C for 2h. N-methylpiperazine (2.0 gram, 20.0 mmol) was added and the resulting suspension was stirred at 40 °C for 2 hours. Then, the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C and a solution of 3-bromoquinoline (34) (2.08 gram, 10.0 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was added. The resulting mixture was stirred at rt for 1 hour. Next, the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C and hydrolyzed with H₂O (20 mL). The resulting mixture was extracted with DCM, the combined organic extracts were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure and purified over SiO₂ (EtOAc/MeOH = 99/1 to 90/10, v/v) yielding 890 mg (3.91 mmol, 19%) of 35 as an off white solid. ¹H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm 8.85 (d, J = 2.8, 1H), 8.08 – 7.97 (m, 1H), 7.72 (m, 1H), 7.60 – 7.45 (m, 2H), 7.40 (d, J = 2.8, 1H), 3.48 – 3.32 (m, 4H), 2.79 – 2.65
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(m, 4H), 2.46 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (63 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ ppm 144.96, 144.79, 142.99, 128.96, 128.84, 126.92, 126.59, 126.39, 116.73, 54.87, 49.14, 46.13. LCMS: ret. time 2.18 min, purity 99%, [M+H]$^+$ 227.95; HRMS m/z: [M+H]$^+$ calcld for C$_{14}$H$_{18}$N$_3$: 228.1495, found: 228.1483.

3-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)isoquinolin-1-amine (39). A solution of ammonia in methanol (7N, 10 mL, 70.0 mmol) was added to a microwave tube containing 1,3-dichloroisooquinoline (36) (400 mg, 2.02 mmol). The resulting mixture was heated at 120 °C for 6 hours under microwave irradiation. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and the crude product (37) (180 mg) was added to a microwave tube containing N-methylpiperazine (5.0 mL, 45.0 mmol). The resulting mixture was heated at 220 °C for 2 hours under microwave irradiation. Then the excess N-methylpiperazine was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified over SiO$_2$ (EtOAc/Et$_3$N = 98/2, v/v) to yield 102 mg of 39 (0.42 mmol, 21% over 2 steps) as a dark green oil. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ ppm 7.60 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.44 – 7.40 (m, 1H), 7.17 – 7.12 (m, 1H), 6.22 (s, 1H), 4.97 (s, 2H), 3.69 – 3.32 (m, 4H), 2.65 – 2.46 (m, 4H), 2.36 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ ppm 155.50, 155.21, 140.46, 130.05, 125.86, 122.63, 122.10, 112.78, 91.00, 55.02, 46.25, 46.19. LCMS: ret. time 1.86 min, purity >99%, [M+H]$^+$ 243.00; HRMS m/z: [M+H]$^+$ calcld for C$_{14}$H$_{18}$N$_3$: 243.1604, found: 243.1604.

N-methyl-3-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)isoquinolin-1-amine (40). Methanamine in ethanol (33%, w/v, 1.3 mL, 15.0 mmol) was added to a microwave tube containing 1,3-dichloroisooquinoline (36) (500 mg, 2.52 mmol), DiPEA (522 mg, 4.04 mmol) and EtOH (5 mL). The resulting mixture was heated at 100 °C under microwave irradiation for 6 hours and at rt for 16 hours. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, diluted with H$_2$O (10 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (3 x 100 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product (38) (450 mg) was added to a microwave tube containing N-methylpiperazine (3.5 g, 35.0 mmol). The resulting mixture was heated at 220 °C for 20 minutes under microwave irradiation. Then the mixture was diluted with H$_2$O (10 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (3 x 100 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with water, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure and purified over SiO$_2$ (DCM/EtOAc/Et$_3$N = 50/49/1, v/v/v) yielding 228 mg of 40 (0.89 mmol, 35% over 2 steps) as a light brown solid. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ ppm 7.54 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.42 – 7.35 (m, 1H), 7.15 – 7.06 (m, 1H), 6.10 (s, 1H), 5.17 (s, 1H), 3.63 – 3.54 (m, 4H), 3.13 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 3H), 2.63 – 2.53 (m, 4H), 2.37 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ ppm 155.53, 154.95, 140.16, 129.53, 125.88, 121.71, 121.34, 113.35, 88.60, 55.09, 46.33, 46.10, 28.68; LCMS: ret. time 1.94 min, purity 95%, [M+H]$^+$ 257.10; HRMS m/z: [M+H]$^+$ calcld for C$_{18}$H$_{22}$N$_4$: 257.1761, found: 257.1757.

2-chloro-5-nitroquinoline (42) and 2-chloro-8-nitroquinoline (43): 2-chloroquinoline (41) (9.47 g, 57.9 mmol) was dissolved in sulfuric acid (62 mL, 1.16 mol) while stirring at 0°C. After 15 minutes, potassium nitrate (6.23 g, 61.6 mmol) dissolved in sulfuric acid (20 mL) was slowly added to the solution. The mixture was stirred for 2h while maintaining the temperature below
10°C. Subsequently, the mixture was stirred overnight at rt and poured over ice (200 gram). The resulting suspension was filtered over a Büchner funnel and washed twice with ice water (200 mL) and ethanol (40 mL). The residual solid was dissolved in dichloromethane (50 mL) and dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The obtained 10.4 gram crude product was purified by column chromatography over SiO2 (EtOAc/Hept = 5/95, v/v) to obtain 42 (2.70 g, 13 mmol, 22%) as a white solid and 43 (4.06 g, 19.5 mmol, 34%) as an off-white solid. **2-chloro-5-nitroquiline (42):** 1H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl3) δ ppm 8.99 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 8.43 – 8.31 (m, 2H), 7.84 (t, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H); 13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl3) δ ppm 152.47, 148.02, 145.43, 135.54, 134.95, 128.82, 125.45, 124.89, 119.91; LCMS: ret. time 4.56 min, purity >99%, [M+H]+ 220.00; **2-chloro-8-nitroquiline (43):** 1H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl3) δ ppm 8.20 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 8.12 – 8.01 (m, 2H), 7.64 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H); 13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl3) δ ppm 153.56, 147.15, 138.98, 138.62, 131.75, 127.61, 125.78, 124.93, 124.55; LCMS: ret. time 4.35 min, purity >99%, [M+H]+ 208.90.

**2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-5-nitroquiline (44):** 2-chloro-5-nitroquiline (42) (800 mg, 3.84 mmol) was dissolved in N-methylpiperazine (10 mL). The solution was stirred at 140 °C overnight. The reaction mixture was quenched with water and extracted with ethyl acetate. The red organic layer was collected, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to obtain a brown oil which was purified by column chromatography over SiO2 (EtOAc/Th3N = 96/4, v/v) to obtain 44 (892 mg, 3.28 mmol, 85%) as a light brown solid. 1H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl3) δ ppm 8.68 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 8.00 – 7.90 (m, 2H), 7.55 (dd, J = 8.4, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.16 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 3.86 – 3.78 (m, 4H), 2.59 – 2.50 (m, 4H), 2.37 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl3) δ ppm 157.07, 148.77, 145.73, 133.34, 133.02, 127.56, 119.82, 115.52, 112.26, 54.91, 46.21, 44.66; LCMS: ret. time 3.62 min, purity >99%, [M+H]+ 273.10; HRMS m/z: [M+H]+ calcd for C14H17N4O2: 273.1333, found: 273.1337.

**2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-8-nitroquiline (45).** 2-chloro-8-nitroquiline (43) (800 mg, 3.84 mmol) was dissolved in N-methylpiperazine (10 mL). Potassium carbonate (530 mg, 3.84 mmol) was added. The solution was stirred overnight at 140 °C. The reaction mixture turned brown/dark red. The reaction mixture was quenched with water and extracted with ethyl acetate. The red organic layer was collected, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and concentrated under vacuum to obtain a brown oil which was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/Th3N = 96/4, v/v) to obtain 45 (989 mg, 3.63 mmol, 95%) as a light brown solid. 1H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl3) δ ppm 7.93 – 7.87 (m, 2H), 7.74 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.23 – 7.15 (m, 1H), 7.04 (d, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 3.85 – 3.78 (m, 4H), 2.56 – 2.48 (m, 4H), 2.35 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl3) δ ppm 157.56, 145.42, 139.94, 137.29, 131.48, 124.53, 124.17, 120.03, 110.60, 54.85, 46.16, 44.57; LCMS: ret. time 2.70 min, purity >99%, [M+H]+ 273.05; HRMS m/z: [M+H]+ calcd for C14H17N4O2: 273.1333, found: 273.1341.

**2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)quinolin-5-amine (46).** 2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-5-nitroquinoline (44) (206 mg, 0.76 mmol) was dissolved in methanol (50 mL). Pd/C 5 wt% (30 mg) was added to
the solution and the resulting suspension was stirred overnight at rt under a hydrogen gas atmosphere. Hereafter, the mixture was filtered over Celite® and concentrated under reduced pressure to obtain 46 (143 mg, 0.59 mmol, 81%) as a brown solid. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ ppm 7.93 (d, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 7.35 – 7.30 (m, 1H), 7.18 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.92 (d, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 6.53 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (s, 2H), 3.81 – 3.70 (m, 4H), 2.59 – 2.50 (m, 4H), 2.36 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ ppm 157.30, 148.82, 142.21, 130.97, 130.11, 117.84, 113.00, 107.81, 107.14, 55.05, 46.26, 45.02; LCMS: ret. time 0.96 min, purity 99%, [M+H]$^+$ 243.10; HRMS m/z: [M+H]$^+$ calcd for C$_{14}$H$_{19}$N$_4$: 243.1604, found: 243.1599.

2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)quinolin-8-amine (47). 2-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-8-nitroquinoline (43) (203 mg, 0.75 mmol) was dissolved in methanol (50 mL). Pd/C 5 wt% (30 mg) was added to the solution and the resulting suspension was stirred overnight at rt under a hydrogen gas atmosphere. Hereafter, the mixture was filtered over Celite® and was concentrated over vacuum to obtain 47 (168 mg, 0.69 mmol, 93%) as a brown solid. $^1$H NMR (250 MHz, MeOD) δ ppm 7.93 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.14 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.07 – 7.00 (m, 2H), 6.94 – 6.89 (m, 1H), 3.87 – 3.76 (m, 4H), 2.88 – 2.77 (m, 4H), 2.54 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CH$_3$OH+D$_2$O) δ ppm 156.95, 143.24, 139.14, 138.53, 124.87, 124.47, 117.51, 112.90, 111.07, 55.36, 45.36, 45.35; LCMS: ret. time 2.07 min, purity 98%, [M+H]$^+$ 243.10; HRMS m/z: [M+H]$^+$ calcd for C$_{14}$H$_{19}$N$_4$: 243.1604, found: 243.1599.
Radioligand Binding

HEK293 cells stably expressing 5-HT₃AR were scraped into 1 mL of ice-cold HEPES buffer (10 mM, pH 7.4) and frozen. After thawing, they were washed with HEPES buffer and homogenised using a fine-bore syringe. 50 μL of cell membranes were incubated in 0.5 mL HEPES buffer containing 0.7 nM [³H]granisetron (~ K_d) and differing concentrations of the test compound. Competition binding (8 point) was performed on at least three separate plates of transfected cells. Non-specific binding was determined using 1 mM quipazine. Reactions were incubated for at least 24 h at 4°C, to allow compounds with slow kinetics to equilibrate. Incubations were terminated by vacuum filtration using a Brandel cell harvester (Alpha Biotech Ltd., London, UK) onto GF/B filters pre-soaked in 0.3 % polyethyleneimine. Radioactivity was determined by scintillation counting. Data were fit according to Equation 1:

\[ B_L = B_{\text{min}} + \frac{B_{\text{max}} - B_{\text{min}}}{1 + 10^{n_H(\log L_{50} - \log L)}} \]

Equation 1

where \( L \) is the concentration of ligand present; \( B_L \) is the binding in the presence of ligand concentration \( L \); \( B_{\text{min}} \) is the binding when \( L = 0 \); \( B_{\text{max}} \) is the binding when \( L = \infty \), \( L_{50} \) is the concentration of \( L \) which gives a binding equal to \( (B_{\text{max}} + B_{\text{min}})/2 \); and \( n_H \) is the Hill coefficient. \( K_i \) values were estimated from \( IC_{50} \) values using the Cheng-Prusoff equation \cite{47} \( K_i = IC_{50}/(1+[L]/K_d) \) where \( K_i \) is the equilibrium dissociation constant for binding of the unlabeled antagonist, \( IC_{50} \) is the concentration of antagonist that blocks half the specific binding, \( [L] \) is the free concentration of radioligand and \( K_d \) is the equilibrium dissociation constant of the radioligand.

Homology modeling

A model of the 5-HT₃A receptor binding site was constructed by homology modeling using MOE (version 2010.10, Chemical Computing Group Montreal) \cite{48}, based on the tropisetron bound AChBP X-ray crystal structure determined at 2.20 Å resolution (PDB code: 2WNC).\cite{39} The sequence of the human 5-HT3A gene (Q7KZM7) was aligned with the *Aplysia californica* gene (Q8WSF8) using the “Protein Align” option in MOE (standard settings) and adjusted manually. The final sequence alignment is given in Figure 5. Chains A and E of the original PDB structure were selected to serve as the template. Structural waters located in this binding pocket of the 2WNC crystal structure form a conserved protein-ligand H-bond interaction network in several other AChBP crystals containing small competitive antagonists (e.g. 2BYR, 2PGZ, 2BYS, 2XYT[43]), and were included in the 5-HT₃A receptor model. The template backbone, the ligand and the water molecules were fixed and 10 preliminary receptor models were constructed based on the template backbone. During this construction, the ligand and waters were considered as an
additional restraint using the “Environment” option within MOE. The structural quality of the models was checked using the evaluation modules in MOE. During this evaluation the focus was on the binding site region of the model. The protein geometry of receptor atoms was evaluated for their bond lengths, bond angles, atom clashes and contact energies. Ramachandran plots were used to check the Phi and Psi angles of all residues. Model 1 was selected for further refinement, hydrogen atoms were added, partial atomic charges were calculated and the protein was minimized around the fixed ligand and static water molecules using the Amber99 force field in MOE.

Figure 5. Sequence alignment for Ac-AChBP (Q8WSF8) and 5-HT₃AR (Q7KZM7). 5-HT₃AR residues shown in Figure 3 and their corresponding residues in Ac-AChBP are highlighted.

Molecular docking

Ligands were protonated according to physiological pH using the MMFF94 force field and MOE software. Relevant tautomers of the ligands were created and subsequently the three dimensional structures were energy minimized and converted into mol2 files using Molecular Network’s Corina.[49] Docking studies were performed with the docking program GOLD (Version 5.0, CCDC, Cambridge, UK)[44] using default settings. The protein binding site was defined by a radius of 12 Å around W183 (atom NE1) of the principle subunit. A total of 30 dockings were set up for each ligand run with a root mean square deviation (RMSD) tolerance of 1.5 Å for early termination. Docking scores were calculated with the GoldScore scoring function.
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