

# VU Research Portal

## Cooperative Decision Making in River Water Allocation Problems

Moes, N.

2013

### **document version**

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

### **citation for published version (APA)**

Moes, N. (2013). *Cooperative Decision Making in River Water Allocation Problems*. Tinbergen Institute.

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

### **E-mail address:**

[vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl](mailto:vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl)

# Contents

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
Overview of the dissertation . . . . .	3
<b>1 On the law of international watercourses</b>	<b>7</b>
1.1 Definitions and aim . . . . .	7
1.2 The 1895 Harmon doctrine . . . . .	9
1.3 The 1966 Helsinki rules . . . . .	12
1.4 The 1997 UN convention . . . . .	20
1.5 The 2004 Berlin rules . . . . .	26
1.6 Territorial integration of all basin states . . . . .	29
<b>2 Preliminaries</b>	<b>33</b>
2.1 Cooperative games . . . . .	33
2.2 River water allocation problems . . . . .	41
<b>3 Independence axioms for river water allocation</b>	<b>51</b>
3.1 Introduction . . . . .	51
3.2 River benefit problems with concave benefit functions . . . . .	52
3.3 Basic axioms for river benefit problems . . . . .	54
3.4 Independence of benefits . . . . .	56
3.5 Independence of inflows . . . . .	61
3.6 A special case: the river claim problem . . . . .	68
3.7 Comparison of the four solutions . . . . .	75
<b>4 River games with multiple springs and the TIBS principle</b>	<b>79</b>
4.1 Introduction . . . . .	79
4.2 River benefit problems and river games with multiple springs . . . . .	81
4.3 Solutions for river games with multiple springs . . . . .	84
4.4 Weighted hierarchical solutions for river games with multiple springs and externalities . . . . .	93
<b>5 A strategic implementation of the weighted hierarchical solutions</b>	<b>99</b>
5.1 Introduction . . . . .	99
5.2 An implementation of the hierarchical outcomes . . . . .	101
5.3 An implementation of the weighted hierarchical solution . . . . .	107

5.4	Application to river games . . . . .	113
<b>6</b>	<b>River basin games</b>	<b>115</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	115
6.2	River basin benefit problems and games . . . . .	117
6.3	The graph-partition and partition-graph restricted games . . . . .	120
6.4	Two Shapley-type values for TU-games with coalition and graph structure	126
6.5	Solutions for river basin games . . . . .	134
<b>7</b>	<b>River pollution models</b>	<b>139</b>
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	139
7.2	River pollution problems . . . . .	142
7.3	Distribution of the cooperative gains . . . . .	150
7.4	Two values for the river pollution problem . . . . .	152
7.5	TIBS values for the river pollution problem . . . . .	161
7.6	Rivers with multiple springs and multiple sinks . . . . .	167
	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>171</b>
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>175</b>
	<b>Samenvatting (summary in Dutch)</b>	<b>183</b>
	<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>187</b>