

VU Research Portal

Exploring young children's voices

Tertoolen, J.M-L.

2017

document version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

citation for published version (APA)

Tertoolen, JM.-L. (2017). *Exploring young children's voices: A study on the content of children's expressions related to school contexts*. [PhD-Thesis - Research and graduation internal, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam].

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

E-mail address:

vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl

Exploring the content of young children's multidimensional voice related to school contexts⁵

Chapter 4



⁵ Based on:

Tertoolen, A., van Oers, B., Geldens, J., & Popeijus, H. (2016). Exploring the Content of Young Children's Multidimensional Voice Related to School Contexts. *Research & Reviews: Journal of Educational Studies*, 2(1), 6-17.



Introduction

School is one of the environments children are engaged in from an early age. In order to promote children's learning in school, teachers build learning environments for (young) children that take children's characteristics (such as learning prerequisites and personality traits) into account. Besides teachers, children also have their images about the aims in schools, what they can or have to do, and what is and is not allowed. It is important for children's further development to understand how the specific school environment affects their learning and development, as well as to gain insight in children's personal ideas about the learning context itself, and their perspectives on school (Hedegaard & Fler, 2008). Moreover, the "Convention on the Rights of the Child" (United Nations, 1989) states that every child, who is capable in forming his own views, has the right to express his opinions freely in all matters affecting the child.

The potential value of the research project is to contribute to teachers' insights in children's voice. A deeper insight in the content of children's voice (their expressed views on their educational contexts, their feelings and beliefs, meaning and sense-making, as well as the "hidden texts" in their (non)-verbal expressions) could contribute to teachers' understanding of children's educational needs and to do justice to children's human rights.

It is important for children's further development to understand how the specific school environment may affect their learning and development, as well as to gain insight in and how children contribute to these educational practices (Hedegaard & Fler, 2008).

Ways in which individuals speak and act out their personal views on reality are understood in this research project as expressions of persons' voices. Bakhtin pointed out that a voice is always populated by voices of others, and meanings acquired by someone, at the same time have traces of both cultural content and meaning of others (Bakhtin, 1981). A child's voice refers to the child's personal potential (both ability and attitude) to express his⁶ view in a highly personalized way.

In our research about young children's perspectives, we have raised the following question: What is the content of young children's voice concerning school contexts? In this chapter we share the first results about what the children in our research have expressed, exchanged and discussed about their views. In the last section of this chapter these results will be discussed. The exploration of these elements of voice content offers us a direction for our continued research on the content of children's voice as described in the next chapters.

From a Vygotskian/Bakhtinian point of view (see Wertsch, 1991) we report upon how children's perspectives about school can be characterized from an outsider's perspective (researcher, teacher, parent). Especially by focusing on their voice as expressed in their everyday utterances and actions. We will answer our research question by exploring (analyzing and comparing) young children's expressions concerning school contexts. We focus on children, aged 5-6, who have already made their entrance into school. To

6 With the child 'himself' or every time the child is referred to as 'he', is also meant the child 'herself' or the child as 'she'.

explore the notions as well as the underlying meanings of young children's expressions in a transparent, consistent and reliable way, we have to interpret their utterances expressed in situations that make sense to them. For this purpose we developed our own and validated coding system and we formulated theory-based indicators of young children's voice (see also chapters 2 and 3).

Our research consists of five case studies. Each case study comprises one individual school-child – interacting with peers –, his parents, and teacher(s). In this chapter we first describe one of these five case study children, to look for the typicality of our studied phenomenon, in relation to other comparable or contrasting phenomena within this case (Ruzzene, 2012). This focal case is chosen, as it shows the most variation in the different settings and roles, as compared to the other four cases. The results of the other case study children are then briefly summarized in relation to this focal case. We will discuss the outcomes and their value for the understanding of children's voice, and provide some conclusions.

Theoretical framework

Vygotsky (1994) once pointed out, that environments are not objective settings exerting their influence on children's actions and development. The role, meaning, and influence of the environment change during the different phases in children's development, depending on the emotional experiences children lived through, as well as their understanding of the sense and meaning of situations, and events in these environments. Children perceive their school environments through the prism of their emotions ("perezhivanie", Vygotsky, 1994, p. 339). Hence, an educational environment in the school-context is always the environment as interpreted by the child. On the basis of interactions with others, children create their own personal meanings of activities and learning in school, and consequently develop personal voices about school.

A voice is a social construction, multidimensional and always subject to change (Komulainen, 2007; Wertsch, 1991). Opinions or perspectives acquired by children have a personal dimension (sense), connected with each child's own life history, and a collective dimension (cultural meaning) constructed in social interaction (Warming, 2011). On the one hand, there is the cultural (conventional) meaning, which defines the shared cultural content of the terms we use in generally accepted ways, like "the most important thing in school is learning". On the other hand, there is also the personal sense, based on the personal values of someone. This sense is based on a person's values ascribed to objects, actions and goals, in the light of personal motives and interests. For example, a young child may express about school: "[I would like to have a school in which] the classrooms are round and have strawberry red walls" (Burke & Grosvenor, 2003, p. 26). Both, cultural meaning and personal sense, are appropriated in interaction with others. An important difference between conventional meaning and personal sense, according to Leont'ev

(1981), is that conventional meaning can be transmitted by instruction, whereas sense develops gradually as a result of interactions, experiencing, and personal interpretations. Both are integrated in a person's voice. Attribution of meaning and sense are always partly shared with others. Hence, from a cultural-historical perspective, we assume that the expressions of young children cannot be attributed only to the opinions or voice of young children alone, but have to be partly attributed to the voices of others as well: their parents/caregivers, teachers and peers.

Consequently, if somebody is talking, we must always wonder whose voice we are actually hearing (see for instance Oppenheim et al., 1996). Therefore, in a content analysis of young children's voice we must be aware that we may be hearing to some extent the resonance of the voices of others too.

We focus on attributions of meanings and senses by young children in situations and events in school, as can be seen and heard in their acting and speaking in interactions with other children and adults. Each individual child is conceived as a *speaking personality*, using language as a personal way to express himself (Bakhtin, 1981). At the same time, we must also take the context into account in our research, for children can never be separated from their contexts if we want to study them and their voice in an ecologically valid way (Meadows, 2010). For this reason, the socio-cultural context of the children in which teachers, peers, and parents/caregivers are important others, is part of the research as well.

Research method

In our research we studied young children's voice in real life situations. According to Yin (2009), case studies are particularly appropriate for empirical research of contemporary phenomena in meaningful real life contexts. We used a qualitative-interpretative approach in a flexible design, with multiple sources of evidence (Robson, 2011). This design, consisting of multiple case studies, provides a methodological approach, which made it possible to study speaking, as well as acting, but also the social-cultural context in which the children are involved. We considered each case study as a separate unit of investigation, enabling us to investigate the dynamics of the specific context in which each child is involved. Children's utterances are interpreted as windows on the content of their voice and attribution of meaning.

Analysis

We used cross-case analysis as a technique to look for the extent of possible generalizability of findings, as well as for the conditions under which those findings occur (Miles & Huberman, 1984). As our research consists of five case studies, generalizability in this sense is expected to be limited. At the same time, we do expect our case studies to be representative for the phenomenon we want to study, by looking into the typicality, as

well as the comparability of the studied phenomenon (Ruzzene, 2012), using a theory-based description of voice characteristics as a reference. We looked for patterns, repeated and ordered structures, in the (non-)verbal expressions of each child in different settings (Miles & Huberman, 1984). After this individual pattern analysis, we carried out cross-case matching (Yin, 2009), looking for similarities and differences in patterns among the different children, to describe the individual and inter-relational components in their expressions.

Most activities of the focal children are carefully observed and videotaped during a week in school:

- Their play in the play area (an area designed, together with the children, to play “school”, but the children were free to choose whatever they wanted to play).
- Their talk and behavior during semi-structured interviews about how their “ideal school” would look like.
- Their expressions about their feelings in and on school. Questions about how they felt about school, were answered by the children by choosing a picture (glad, neutral or sad emoticon) which represented their feelings best, and children often commented their choices.
- Photographs the children have taken and were discussed afterwards in response to the researcher’s question: “Can you show me what you think is important here in and around school?”

These four settings were especially arranged for this research project. Other observations were made during the regular school activities (see also chapter 3).

The collected data were transcribed verbatim. Qualitative data analysis software was used for the ongoing comparative qualitative data analysis. We followed the basic assumptions of the grounded theory approach. By means of this approach we identified concepts (building blocks) for systematic data analysis, through organizing, classifying, and relating the data (Strauss & Corbin, 1998). Thus, we built a coding system for analyzing young children’s expressions with categories, subcategories and properties, and we dealt with the issues of consistency and reliability. Two independent coders went through the same analyzing and coding processes. We compared the outcomes of the independent coders with the results of the researcher, looking for similar and rival interpretations in coding. We met our standard of an 80% agreement among the two coders and the researcher in the coding processes (see chapter 3).

We formulated sensitizing concepts in line with theoretically identified elements of the school context, and labeled them as the three main categories in our coding system: attitude towards school activities, school organization, and teacher’s roles (see Appendix C.1, Coding System 2). Then we attributed subcategories to our main categories. We labeled affect, cognition and behavior as the three subcategories of category 1: Attitude towards School activities. Adoption of rules and routines, and modification of rules and routines as the two subcategories of school organization (category 2). We distinguished several teacher’s roles: the teacher as instructor, facilitator, educator, and cultural mediator as four subcategories of category 3. After labeling the categories and subcategories of our coding system, we defined properties (verbs) as aspects of the subcategories, so we

could code elements of the young children's (non-)verbal expressions. Examples of these properties are: preferring, rejecting, and demonstrating. At the same time, we were able to add elements of conation (thinking, feeling and wanting) to the different properties in our coding system. These elements are related to the personality of a subject, and play their part in the acting person.

In addition to this formal system, we also needed another, external, theory-based tool for the analysis of voice content. We formulated characteristics, or indicators, as possible manifestations of young children's voice within the school context (see Christensen & James, 2008; Hedegaard & Fler, 2008; Kjørholt, 2005):

- expressing feelings and choices;
- sharing ideas about competences and needs;
- showing knowledge by pointing out, investigating, confirming, opposing; and
- intending to gain something related to others.

(see also chapter 3). We assumed that if we were able to connect specific sets of codes to each indicator, as well as elements of conation (thinking, feeling, wanting), we would be able to analyze and compare children's school related expressions, and the way they express them, in a systematic and transparent way, and to explore voice content.

In choosing our cases we had to make sure that they actually would open a window on the phenomena we wanted to study. Relevant criteria as accessibility, geographic proximity, and the willingness of the teachers to make some special arrangements for the research, were also taken into account for the selection of our cases (Yin, 2009).

Participants

The children in the five cases were aged 5-6, and performed on an average cognitive and social-emotional level (as documented in the school's student monitoring systems). Their social economic background was middle class, and they all lived in a family setting with both their parents. Four of them had siblings.

The focal case study concerned Bernadette, whilst Tom, Irfan, Margareta, and Lennart participated in the other case studies. Irfan and Margareta attended the same school and the same class. Lennart and Bernadette did so as well, but they attended a school in another town. All five children were grouped in school classes with peers, aged 4-6 (mixed-aged groups). Each school had two or more of such mixed-aged groups, besides year groups for children from 6 till 12 years old.

We will describe Bernadette's expressions, and the expressions of the children in the other case studies on three, separate, aspects (the main categories in our coding system, see Appendix C.1, Coding System 2): school activities, school organization and teacher's roles. In addition to these aspects, we will discuss some of the corresponding, and some of the diverging results on the basis of cross-case analysis.

We started each case study by informing the parents about the research and asking for their (written) consent for their children's participation. All names of the children in this dissertation are pseudonyms. Children participated on a voluntary basis and their (verbal)

consent was requested for the use of whatever they wanted to share with the researcher at the start and at the end of all the organized activities, including the use of video or taking pictures of their drawings, for instance. We took care that the children in the case studies felt as comfortable as possible, by organizing activities in a small group of children (Einarsdóttir, 2007; Formosinho & Araújo, 2006). The researcher explained to the children that they had every right to withdraw from the organized activities, whenever they wanted to (Harcourt, Perry & Waller, 2011; Ethical Code, 2014).

Reporting

In the following section we will illustrate the main findings in the case study of Bernadette, by examples from the coded data. The chosen examples provide “rich” data abstracts with a variety of properties in different categories (see Appendix C.1, Coding System 2). The examples are presented as tables from the verbatim transcriptions of the observations in the four different arranged settings, as well as the regular school activities (see Tables 1 - 7).

As already mentioned, expressions of children are always multidimensional and polyphonic (Bakhtin, 1981). Therefore, we assumed that a form of *thick description* was necessary to present our findings (Geertz, 1973). By analyzing the whole of a cultural (school-)context, as well as its constituent, meaningful elements, a researcher develops a thick description about the verbal and non-verbal expressions of people within that certain culture. At the same time the researcher gives an interpretation of the expressions of the people involved, as the researcher is not part of the cultural context, that is being studied.

By taking the context into account as well in our research, we aim to explore, and interpret those expressions of our case study children in context in an ecologically sound and valid way.

Results

Bernadette as a paradigm case

Bernadette shows a variety of daily school activities, often with peers, and in interaction with her teachers (setting 1). Bernadette chooses to play school in the play area (setting 2) several times and adopts different roles, as a teacher as well as a school child. She is also actively involved in the three other settings. Information from those three settings (talking about feelings, interview, and taking and discussing photographs) is meant to support the findings in the first two settings (regular daily activities and playing school in the play area) as a form of data triangulation. The following general pattern in expressions (summarized in the title) can be distilled from Bernadette's expressions in different settings:

Bernadette: "As a teacher you can tell them what they'll have to do."

Background. Bernadette is 5.7 and attends a primary school in a suburban city. She has an older half-sister, who is living with her own mother. Her father runs a local business. Her mother has an academic background.

School environment. Bernadette's primary school has eight parallel classes for young children (aged 4-6). The classes for the children aged 10-12, are accommodated in another street, nearby the main building.

Interpretation of Bernadette's expressions in relation to school activities (Category 1; subcategories 01-03, see Appendix C.1, Coding System 2). In general, Bernadette shows involvement in obligatory school activities, as well as in activities of free choice (setting 1: regular school activities). She volunteers to take part in activities, offered by the teacher, like cutting and sticking a daffodil, answering teacher's questions, or willing to make a name tag for the new play area. When she is allowed to choose activities herself, she chooses drawing and coloring, jigsaw puzzles (see Table 1, lines: 2-8), and sometimes Lego.

During the interview about her ideal school (setting 4), Bernadette also mentions "pricking" and "working" as favorite activities. To the researcher's question: "Are there things, you would like to have removed from the classroom?", Bernadette answers: "Well, the block play area and the home corner [may be] removed, for they are a bit childish." On the other hand, the new play area, where children are allowed to play school, is a favorite place to play.

Table 1
Bernadette's Involvement in Daily Activities of Free Choice (Setting 1)

Setting 1. Regular School Activities			Coding			
			C	P / F / O	SC	Properties
(1)	Teacher C.	"Let's take a look in another classroom."		P	06	Adding i
(2)	Bernadette	returns with Elza, holding a large box together.		P	03	Collaborating
(3)	Bernadette	takes out the pieces of a jigsaw floor puzzle.		P	02	Demonstrating
(4)	Bernadette	(to Elza) "Now, get aside!"		P	01	Assigning
(5)	Bernadette	and Erna start making the frame and they lean over each other, trying to fit pieces in.		P	02 03	Demonstrating Showing
(6)	Bernadette	and Elza are laughing.		P	01	Revealing
	(...)					
(7)	Bernadette	and Elza show teacher C. de cover of the box.		P	04 08	Following Attending i
(8)	Bernadette	"We have already finished, miss!"		P	02	Commenting
(9)	Teacher C.	"You are much too good in doing jigsaw puzzles! Just take another one in the classroom."		P	08 06	Complimenting i Obliging i

Note. C: conation (thinking, feeling, wanting). P: peers. F: family. O: others, including the researcher. SC: subcategory. i: in interaction with the teacher.

Sometimes Bernadette can hardly wait to put her name on the board to opt for favorite activities, referring to the new play area, and shouting: "Who is going to be the teacher? I am going to be the teacher!" She mentions Molly as her favorite classmate (setting 4: interview). Whether or not she is playing the teacher herself, or decides that Molly is going to be teacher, it is Bernadette who proclaims the program of activities, and the rules which should be followed (see Table 2, lines 1-9).

Most of the time Molly and Linda follow Bernadette's instructions. Sometimes they come up with alternatives. When Bernadette is playing school with Elza, Lennart, and Jan in the playing area, she chooses to act as a school child.

Bernadette is positive about going to school: "I feel glad" (setting 3: about feelings in and on school). Outside-play, thinking about school, or talking about school at home is, "mwah, mwah", according to Bernadette. Going home after school instead makes her "glaaaaad". Important things for Bernadette at school are the art works on the wall (setting 5: taking and discussing pictures), particularly with her name on it. According to Bernadette, the play area for playing school, some peers in other classes, and her teacher

are special too. It would be fun if her family, her cat and Molly's family would attend school too (setting 4: interview). It would also be nice if her school would be beside her house, then she would be able to, "up you go", straight to school.

Table 2
Bernadette's Involvement in Playing School (Setting 2), Proclaiming the Program of Activities

Setting 2. Playing School			Coding			
			C	P / F / O	SC	Properties
(1)	Bernadette	"And now put it in a file. You have to put your name on it. Where is your work?"		P	01	Assigning
(2)	Linda	"I am also miss."				
(3)	Bernadette	"Noho, one miss. Molly is miss."		P	01	Rejecting
(4)	Bernadette	"Now, at the table!! And work!"		P	01	Assigning
(5)	Bernadette	(to Linda) "I am going to draw a triangle and cut it. And then you are going to color it and very nicely."		P	01	Assigning
(6)	Bernadette	"And not just a bit, for I am going to do a little round. Okay?"		P	01	Suggesting
(7)	Molly	"We are strict teachers."				
(8)	Bernadette	"Have you heard? Play!"		P	01	Assigning
(9)	Bernadette	"This afternoon: play, seal and this. Moon. Mooooon." shows the letter case.		P	01 03	Assigning Showing

Note. C: conation (thinking, feeling, wanting). P: peers. F: family. O: others, including the researcher. SC: subcategory.

Interpretation of Bernadette's expressions in relation to school organization (Category 2, subcategories 04-05, see Appendix C.1, Coding System 2). Bernadette is well aware of the school and classroom rules, and most of the time she acts accordingly. This is also the case when she is playing school in the play area (setting 2), and instructs other children what to do and how (see Table 3, lines 3-4, and 6).

Table 3

Bernadette's Involvement in Playing School (Setting 2), Referring to School Rules

Setting 2. Playing School			Coding				
			C	P / F / O	SC	Properties	
(1)	Bernadette	sits down on the teacher's chair, where Linda is sitting already.			03	Postulating	
(2)	Linda	gets up a bit later and sits down at the table with Molly and Barbara.					
(3)	Bernadette	"You are the oldest. You are allowed to use the felt-tips."		P	04 07	Accepting Initiating	r
(4)	Bernadette	"You have to work in a minute."		P	06	Obliging	r
(5)	Bernadette	"Which color? You are allowed to choose."		P	07	According	r
(6)	Bernadette	"Not just like that... First ask, then take, Molly."		P	04 07	Imposing Correcting	r

Note. C: conation (thinking, feeling, wanting). P: peers. F: family. O: others, including the researcher. SC: subcategory. r: in the role of the teacher.

Interpretation of Bernadette's expressions in relation to teacher's roles (Category 3, subcategories 06-09, see Appendix C.1, Coding System 2). Bernadette requests, invited and uninvited, for activities proposed by the teacher. She is keen to show peers, but most of all her teachers, what she knows and she is able to perform. She likes to show results of finished activities (setting 1: regular school activities).

"In school you have to work", at least that is the opinion of the teachers, according to Bernadette (setting 4: interview), but "you don't have to do everything they tell you to do." Bernadette says she feels happy when the teacher is listening to her, and when she has the opportunity to show her something (a necklace, for instance). When the teacher "has time to help you out, or when you are allowed to decide yourself what you would like to do", then Bernadette feels glad too (setting 3: feelings in and about school).

Our analysis of Bernadette's expressions in the various settings allows us to draw a few intermediate conclusions. First, it is evident that not all expressions are school-related. Secondly, when we concentrate on the school-related expressions (regarding school activities, school organization, and teacher's roles, see Appendix C.1, Coding System 2) a key message can be read in Bernadette's expressions, that characterizes the pattern of her expressions about school, as a place that is strongly adult led and rule governed. The title of the case referred to this: "*As a teacher you can tell them what they'll have to do*" (see Table 4, line 6: the teacher as instructor, see also Appendix C.1, Coding System 2). On the other hand, she is sometimes also strong enough to resist the rules.

Table 4

Bernadette Expressing Feelings About Playing School and Teacher's Roles (Setting 4)

Setting 4. Semi-Structured Interview			Coding			
			C	P / F / O	SC	Properties
(1)	Researcher	"Is there something you would like to do, which you can't do in school now?"				
(2)	Bernadette	nods.	X	O	03	Showing
(3)	Researcher	"What would you like to do, Bernadette?"				
(4)	Bernadette	"To play school."	X	O	01	Preferring
	(...)					
(5)	Researcher	"What is so nice about playing school?"				
(6)	Bernadette	"That I am the teacher and then you may tell them what they'll have to do."		P O	01 06	Revealing Obliging

Note. C: conation (thinking, feeling, wanting). P: peers. F: family. O: others, here the researcher. SC: subcategory. r: in the role of the teacher.

Comparison among cases

We described the background and school environment of all the case study children, and analyzed all the expressions of these children, the same way as we did with Bernadette's expressions. We now summarize what we found in the four remaining case studies, concentrating on expressions directly related to school issues.

Tom (6.5) – Irfan (6.0) – Margareta (5.6) – Lennart (6.6)

School activities. Tom, Irfan, Margareta, Lennart, as well as Bernadette, are actively involved in school activities, such as during circle time, in small group activities, and during outside-play. In this school-context all children feel free to express their personal interests, like play, handicraft, and language and numeracy activities. Like Bernadette, Lennart prefers to play with Lego and jigsaw puzzles. Lennart is keen to show what he prefers and knows, like the other focal children.

Asked about school (setting 3: propositions about feelings in and about school), Lennart expresses that everything in school is fun, except for playing and working alone. Unlike Lennart, Margareta feels glad when she is allowed to play or work without peers, "so it is possible to work quietly for a while", although in class and outside she plays with several children. Asked how he feels about school (setting 3), Irfan says: "nasty?" When the researcher replies that she cannot know how he feels, Irfan is laughing: "You know, but you won't tell. Yes, you know, you made up the game!" Eventually he decides: "I'll go for glad." Tom mentions that some things in school are difficult (setting 4: interview), but "that is quite good. That is what learning is about."

All children, besides Bernadette, like playing outside on the playground very much (setting 4: interview). Irfan, Margareta, and Lennart would like to climb trees, if it were up to them: “to the top” (Margareta), and “like a monkey” (Lennart). In class and on the playground Tom often takes the lead, telling other children what to do and how: “You are not allowed [to take sand from the sandpit], for I am in charge.” Margareta states she does not like going to school, at least not very much (setting 3: propositions about feelings). When she is allowed to choose activities herself, she feels glad, like Bernadette. On the playground she discovers a piece of polystyrene (foam), and starts to rub it on the ground: “miss, look, snow!” This activity is performed every time outside during the next days (setting 1: regular school activities).

School organization. All children are well aware of the school and classroom rules. Tom, Margareta, and Lennart sometimes correct peers, like Bernadette, about how to tidy up, for instance. Irfan offers children a helping hand several times, such as when a peer accidentally drops a bottle filled with beads. Irfan raises his voice when he explains that at school they are supposed not to hit other children, nor going into the barn and the bushes outside (setting 3: propositions about feelings). Margareta, as well as Irfan, expresses that those rules make her feel sad. Lennart, on the contrary, says he feels glad about those rules, just like Bernadette.

Although Lennart is not performing as a teacher while playing school, he is strictly acting to the classroom rules. He is arranging what has to be done in what way, saying several times: “you have to.” Tom, like Bernadette and Lennart, also instructs peers during playing school (setting 2): “clapping your hands, when the clock says 12, means tidying up.” Tom also takes the lead when a peer is playing the teacher, telling him what to do and how, just like Lennart, and – sometimes – Bernadette do.

Teacher's roles. The children raise their hands many times when the teacher is asking questions. They often respond, invited and uninvited, to subjects brought in by the teacher. According to Lennart, a “teacher wants you to work”, which makes him feel glad, and, like Bernadette, he says: “but you don't have to do everything they tell you to do” (setting 4: interview). Irfan also says that teachers “tell you what to do” (setting 3: propositions about feelings). Like Bernadette, he expresses that it is nice to tell the teacher something personal (setting 3), and he is enthusiastic when he is chosen to be the teacher's assistant for a week. Irfan opposes once, when he has to cut a teardrop: “I can't, I can't, I won't.” When he is assisted at the start, he continues the activity on his own, without any complaint (setting 1: regular school activities).

Margareta sometimes negotiates the amount of work she has to fulfill. When the teacher-assistant is telling her to do two pages in her workbook, she says: “okay, one page!” She once brings in a bag with autumn leaves, found on her way to school, and which she wants to take home. The teacher insists on using the leaves for a creative activity, insuring her the leaves will remain undamaged. Margareta tries to prevent the teacher from taking the leaves, and eventually she starts to cry. It takes some time before Margareta settles down, and participates in the activity.

Tom likes to show his peers and his teacher, what he knows and what he is capable of, and asks the teacher to clap her hands so he can demonstrate peers the hula hoop. During playing school (setting 2), Tom acts as a teacher who is instructing and organizing. He sometimes raises his voice, just like Bernadette, in his role of a teacher during circle time: "There! Get work! It is your turn. Which day was it the day before yesterday?" Like Bernadette, he says it is fun to play a teacher, "for you can tell children what to do."

We analyzed the expressions of the four case study children, and the following general patterns in expressions (summarized in an overall expression as a key message) could be distilled:

"Can I show it?"

According to Tom, schools are places where adults should offer children opportunities to show their school competences.

"You know the answers, but you won't tell."

According to Irfan, teachers confront children frequently with school issues, formulated as questions, while these adults have the right answers already.

"Working without peers is nice, for then I am able to work quietly."

According to Margareta, schools are places where children have to perform in a proper way as instructed by adults.

"All children have to sit first, and listen to the teacher first."

According to Lennart, schools are strictly adult led and rule governed (see also Bernadette).

Linking children's expressions to indicators of voice content

What is the relationship between school related expressions as described above and our research question on the content of the children's voice? We return to our four formulated indicators of children's voice within a school context. We assumed that those theory-based indicators were helpful in exploring patterns of expressions and to distinguish related unities of voice content. It proved to be possible to associate certain codes from our coding system (see Appendix C.1, Coding System 2) to the four indicators of voice content. For example:

1. expressing feelings and choices: preferring, revealing;
2. sharing ideas about competences and needs: demonstrating, collaborating;
3. showing knowledge by pointing out, investigating, confirming, opposing: commenting, exchanging; and
4. intending to gain something related to others: rejecting, assigning.

Expressions of the children (see Tables 5–7) related to the four indicators are used to show examples of voice content on the categories: school activities, school organization and teacher's roles.

School activities

Table 5

Examples of Voice Content Related to the Four Indicators on the Category School Activities

Indicator	Category 1. School Activities	Coding					Table
		C	P / F / O	SC	Properties		
1	Bernadette	X	O	01	Preferring	4	
	Lennart			01	Revealing		
2	Tom		P	02	Commenting		
3	Margareta	P	P	03	Showing		
	Irfan			02	Demonstrating		
4	Bernadette	P	P	01	Assigning	2	
	Tom			03	Rejecting Postulating		

Note. C: conation (thinking, feeling, wanting). P: peers. F: family. O: others, here the researcher. SC: subcategory.

All the expressions in Table 5, connected to the four indicators, are obviously related to one of the three subcategories of category 1 (affect, cognition, and behavior). Expressions linked to indicator 1 refer to playing school and to grade 3. They showed to be relevant for children like Bernadette and Lennart, for these items were mentioned several times. Demonstrating capabilities (indicator 2), like being able to read or to perform certain skills is shown by Irfan and Margareta in Table 5, but are often expressed by all children. Tom and Nico (peer) are both busy on a numeracy activity with beads, when Tom reflects on Nico's performance (indicator 3), and advises Nico to start all over again. It is an instruction given by their teacher on several, comparable, occasions (in the voice of the other). The utterance of Bernadette (indicator 4) is expressed, while she is playing the teacher – together with a peer - in the play area.

School organization

Table 6

Examples of Voice Content Related to the Four Indicators on the Category School Organization

Indicator	Category 2. School Organization	Coding				
		C	P / F / O	SC	Properties	Table
1	Margareta "We have to perform our weekly task. And I think, that's sad!"	X	O	04	Accepting	
2	Irfan offers a helping hand when a child has dropped a bottle with beads.		P	04	Following	
3	Bernadette "You are the oldest. You are allowed to use the felt-tips." Lennart "All children have to sit first, and listen to the teacher first."		P	04	Accepting	3
4	Bernadette "Not just like that... First ask, then take, Molly."		P	04	Imposing	3

Note. C: conation (thinking, feeling, wanting). P: peers. F: family. O: others, here the researcher. SC: subcategory.

All the examples of expressions in Table 6, connected to the four indicators, are related to properties in subcategory 04: adoption of rules and routines, and none to subcategory 05: modification of rules and routines (see Appendix C.1, Coding System 2). This is in line with what we found in all the analyzed material. The focal children go along, mostly, with the rules and routines in school, even when they do not really approve (see Margareta expressing her feelings, indicator 1). Lennart is expressing his key message about school by focusing on the rules and routines in school, as well as on teacher's roles (indicator 3). Bernadette's expressions in Table 6 on the adoption of rules and routines refer to school rules and routines too. According to herself, she is not playing the teacher, but acting as a child.

Teacher's roles

Table 7

Examples of Voice Content Related to the Four Indicators on the Category Teacher's Roles

Indicator	Category 3. Teacher's Roles	Coding					
		C	P / F / O	SC	Properties	Table	
1	Lennart	(children who have finished their drawing about a story book, may copy the book title on their drawing) "I would like to write also. Where is de i?"	X		06	Adding	i
2	Irfan	has to cut a paper teardrop. "I can't, I can't, I won't!"	X		07	Assisting	i
	Tom	wants the teacher to clap her hands, so he can show the children how to trundle the hula hoop. "Can I?"	X	P	08	Mediating	i
3	Bernadette	(and Erna) shows the teacher the cover of the box with the finished jigsaw puzzle.		P	08	Attending	i 1
	Margareta	rubs polystyrene on the ground. "Look, miss, snow!"			08	Attending	i
4	Bernadette	"That I am the teacher, and then you may tell what they'll have to do."		P	O 06	Obliging	r 4
	Margareta	has to do two pages in her workbook. "Okay, one page!"			06	Obliging	i

Note. C: conation (thinking, feeling, wanting). P: peers. F: family. O: others, here the researcher. SC: subcategory. i: in interaction with the teacher. r: in the role of the teacher.

Though not exclusively, children's expressions, connected to indicator 2, are often linked to subcategory 08: the teacher as educator or to subcategory 07: the teacher as facilitator in Table 7. Children's expressions, connected to the indicators 3 and 4, are often related to subcategory 06: the teacher as instructor or to subcategory 08: the teacher as educator. Bernadette is expressing her key message (connected to indicator 4) indicating the teacher acts (mainly) as instructor (see also Table 4, line 6). She is telling peers what to do, for "as a teacher you may tell what they'll have to do" (see also Table 4). In her voice we probably hear the echo of the voice of the other: (the interpretation of) a teacher (Harcourt et al., 2011).

Bernadette's key message is in line with Lennart's key message (see also Table 6, indicator 3) and what the other children reflect on as well: "a teacher tells you what to do."

Looking at the Tables 5-7 we see that expressions, connected to indicator 1, are often related to elements of conation: thinking, feeling, or wanting. Peers are often involved or

referred to in the expressions of the focal children, certainly in expressions connected to indicator 4. Taking the nature of indicator 4 into account, the relationship between focal child and peer could sometimes be described as hierarchical (see Tom in Table 5 and Bernadette in Tables 5-7). Tom's and Bernadette's acting could be described as taking part in an authoritative discourse (Bakhtin, 1981): their opinion is the only one that matters on those particular moments.



Discussion and conclusion

We explored contents of young children's voice related to their school contexts. We found, that the children are quite outspoken in what they prefer to do in school, and in what they do not like. They accept most rules in class and outside, and act accordingly, even when they do not approve of certain school rules. The children describe and appear to accept a number of teacher roles. Whilst playing a teacher in the play area, or instructing a peer to play a teacher, most children refer to a conventional image of a strictly governing teacher. Most children prefer being in charge in the play area to some extent, telling other children what to do. On the other hand, it is probably also a more rewarding role. Playing a leading teacher requires a more visible and active role, than playing a teacher who is (purely) acting as a facilitator. All the children accept – although their appreciations differ to some extent – that they have to go to school, and that education is considered important.

We were able to distinguish patterns of expressions for the children, summarized as characteristics. Those characteristics refer to the importance of own capabilities or performances (Tom and Margareta), or the role of teachers with an own agenda (Irfan, Lennart, and Bernadette). The children reflect on school activities, school organization, and teachers' roles from time to time, indicating that they have or take some freedom in what they (want to) do or do not, and how. In those reflections they show elements of voice content in the way they express their feelings, share ideas, show knowledge, and - sometimes - compete with others.

The precise content of children's personal voice is, however, different for each child, due to the diversity of personal interests and emotions that function as a prism through which children perceive a seemingly constant educational environment. Those school contexts influence children's perspectives at the same time. Our analyses show that the objects and subjects in these school contexts here and now have their influence on children's expressions (Kjørholt, 2005). The educational philosophies children encounter in their own school contexts do so as well (Vygotsky, 1997).

Another reflective remark, is about our research method. Our research comprises five cases, so it is impossible to generalize the outcomes of our research for all young children. As we wanted to study young children's voice in real life situations, we chose an empirical, qualitative research approach with a limited number of cases, with a researcher participating in the real life school contexts of the children. Our research method proved to be reliable and consistent for studying young children's voice in those real life school contexts in these five cases. A larger study on exploring the content of young children's voice could probably benefit, in the future, from the outcomes of this research.

Although our research outcomes show similarities in children's expressions in our cases, they show variations as well. Unanswered is the question how we can explain these variations. In the next phase of our research (see chapter 5), we will explore to what extent the voice of young children correspond to the voices of others (Harcourt et al., 2011), like the children's teachers, parents and peers.

When the children express that they have to go to school, and that education is considered important, we probably see perspectives, acquired by children, in their own (cultural) context. Children's reflective expressions about school, shown in the way they express their feelings, share ideas, show knowledge, and, in particular, compete with others, refer - most probably - to children's personal meanings or sense (Leont'ev, 1981; Warming, 2011).

It proved to be complex to determine to which extent children's expressions really reflect their own personal perspectives, for children develop perspectives on their circumstances too through the values and beliefs they receive from birth onward, inside as well as outside the school contexts (Vygotsky, 1994, 1997). In the expressions of the children in our cases we assume possible correspondences with the values and beliefs in the voices of the others (Harcourt et al., 2011). Those other voices can perhaps explain - partly - the variations we found in the voice of the five young children in our research.

In this chapter we raised the following question (see also chapter 1, research question 1.1). What is the content of young children's voice concerning school contexts? We started out our research from a paradigm case (Bernadette) and through our cross-case analyses, we arrived at the following answer to our research question 1.1: through systematic analysis it is possible to identify main ideas in children's expressions that may be interpreted as contents of their voice. As we noted before, we have found general patterns in the expressions of the case study children. Patterns, which we have summarized in children's key messages, like the key message of Bernadette: "As a teacher you can tell them what to do."

What we hear children expressing in and about their educational context has relations with adults' theories on child development, as we have noted before in the theoretical framework.

As a situated phenomenon, *voice* may have explanatory value for the understanding of children's current perceptions of school. We have to take into account, that children develop their views on school, even before they enter the school system, through their interactions with proximal others. We found that the content of voice differs for each child, probably partly due to the different influences children encounter in their lives. The diversity of personal interests and emotions that function as a prism through which children perceive a seemingly constant educational environment, is also an explanation for children's differences in content of voice (see also Kjørholt, 2005). This is precisely the working of what Vygotsky called "perezhivanie".

Though we have identified elements of voice about school issues in our research with the case study children, we still have to explore the ways in which those voices are related to different participants in varying contexts, to gain more insight into young children's personal voice. This multidimensionality of voice, and the possible echo of the voices of the other (Harcourt et al., 2011), make it necessary to study more closely the notions of the parents, the teachers, and peers regarding school, and to look for explanations of variations and corresponding notions of the case study children, and proximal others in their educational environments.

In the next chapter we will look into the narratives of the parents and teachers of the case study children, and we will compare the notions of the adults with the children's notions. Which correspondences are to be found and how could we explain the correspondences, as well as the non-correspondences among these notions?

References

Bakhtin, M. M. (1981). *The Dialogic Imagination. Four Essays by M.M. Bakhtin*. Edited by M. Holquist. Austin: University of Texas Press.

Burke, C., & Grosvenor, I. (2003). *The School I'd Like. Children and Young People's Reflections on an Education for the 21st Century*. London: RoutledgeFalmer.

Christensen, P., & James, A. (2008). *Research with Children. Perspectives and Practices* (2nd ed.). London: Routledge.

Einarsdóttir, J. (2007). Research with Children: Methodological and Ethical Challenges. *European Early Childhood Education Research Journal*, 15(2), 197–211. doi:10.1080/13502930701321477

Ethical Code for Early Childhood Researchers (2014) www.eecera.org.uk/docs/EECERA_ethical_code.pdf

Formosinho, J., & Araújo, S. B. (2006). Listening To Children As A Way To Reconstruct Knowledge About Children: Some Methodological Implications. *European Early Childhood Education Research Journal*, 14(1), 21–31. doi:10.1080/13502930685209781

Geertz, C. (1973). *The Interpretation of Cultures. Selected Essays*. New York: Basic Books, Inc.

Harcourt, D., Perry, B., & Waller, T. (2011). *Researching Young Children's Perspectives. Debating the ethics and dilemmas of educational research with children*. London and New York: Routledge.

Hedegaard, M., & Fler, M. (2008). *Studying Children. A Cultural-Historical Approach*. Maidenhead: Open University Press.

Kjørholt, A. T. (2005). The competent child and 'the right to be oneself': reflections on children as fellow citizens in an early childhood centre. In A. Clark, A. T. Kjørholt, & P. Moss (Eds.), *Beyond listening. Children's perspectives on early childhood services*. Bristol: The University Press.

Komulainen, S. (2007). The Ambiguity of the Child's 'Voice' in Social Research. *Childhood*, 14(1), 11–28. doi:10.1177/0907568207068561

Leont'ev, A. N. (1981). The Problem of Activity in Psychology. In J. V. Wertsch (Ed.), *The*

Concept of Activity in Soviet Psychology. N.Y.: M. E. Sharpe.

Meadows, S. (2010). *The Child as Social Person*. London: Routledge.

Miles, M. B., & Huberman, H. M. (1984). *Qualitative Data Analysis* (2nd ed.). London: Sage.

Oppenheim, D., Emde, R. N., & Wamboldt, F. S. (1996). Associations between 3-Year-Olds' Narrative Co-constructions with Mothers and Fathers and their Story Completions about Affective Themes. *Early Development and parenting*, 5(3), 149–160. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1099-0917(199609)5:3<149::AID-EDP127>3.0.CO;2-J

Robson, C. (2011). *Real World Research* (3rd ed.). Chichester: Wiley.

Ruzzene, A. (2012). Drawing Lessons from Case Studies by Enhancing Comparability. *Philosophy of the Social Sciences*, 42(1), 99–120. doi:10.1177/0048393111426683

Strauss, A. L., & Corbin, J. (1998). *Basics of Qualitative Research. Techniques and Procedures for Developing Grounded Theory* (2nd ed.). London: Sage Publications.

United Nations. (1989). *The United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child*. New York: UNICEF.

Vygotsky, L. S. (1994). The Problem of the Environment. In R. van der Veer & J. Valsiner (Eds.), *The Vygotsky Reader*. Oxford: Blackwell.

Vygotsky, L. S. (1997). *Educational Psychology*. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press LLC.

Warming, H. (2011). Getting under their Skins? Accessing Young Children's Perspectives through Ethnographic Fieldwork. *Childhood*, 18(1), 39–53. doi:1177/0907568210364666

Wertsch, J. V. (1991). *Voices of the Mind. A Sociocultural Approach to Mediated Action*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.

Yin, R. K. (2009). *Case Study Research. Design and Methods* (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, California: Sage.