

# VU Research Portal

## The Influence of Rapid Identification Technologies on CSI Behaviour

de Gruijter, M.

2017

### **document version**

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

### **citation for published version (APA)**

de Gruijter, M. (2017). *The Influence of Rapid Identification Technologies on CSI Behaviour*.

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

### **E-mail address:**

[vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl](mailto:vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl)

## REFERENCES

- ACPO. (2006). *Murder investigation manual*. Wybeston.
- Annual report of the Government Chief Scientific Adviser. (2015). *Forensic Science and Beyond: Authenticity, Provenance and Assurance. Evidence and Case Studies*.
- Ask, K., & Granhag, P. A. (2005). Motivational sources of confirmation bias in criminal investigations: the need for cognitive closure. *Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling*, 2(1), 43–63. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jjip.19>
- Baber, C., & Butler, M. (2012). Expertise in crime scene examination: comparing search strategies of expert and novice crime scene examiners in simulated crime scenes. *Human Factors*, 54(3), 413–423.
- Barash, M., Reshef, A., & Brauner, P. (2010). The Use of Adhesive Tape for Recovery of DNA from Crime Scene Items. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 55(4), 1058–1064. Doi: 10.1111/j.1556-4029.2010.01416.x
- Bitzer, S., Albertini, N., Lock, E., Ribaux, O., & Delémont, O. (2015). Utility of the clue — From assessing the investigative contribution of forensic science to supporting the decision to use traces. *Science & Justice*, 55(6), 509–513. Doi: /10.1016/j.scijus.2015.05.005
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. Doi: 10.1191/1478088706qp0630a
- Broeders, A. P. A. (2003). *Op zoek naar de bron: Over de grondslagen van de criminalistiek en de waardering van het forensisch bewijs*. Deventer: Kluwer.
- Broeders, A. P. A. (2008). Forensische wetenschap: de stand van zaken. In A. P. A. Broeders & E. R. Muller (Eds.), *Forensische wetenschap. Studies over forensische kennis en organisatie* (pp. 11–49). Deventer: Kluwer.
- Broeders, A. P. A., & Muller, E. R. (2008). *Forensische wetenschap. Studies over forensische kennis en organisatie*. Deventer: Kluwer.
- Butler, J. M. (2015). The future of forensic DNA analysis. *Philosophical Transactions Royal Society B*, 370(1674). Doi: /10.1098/rstb.2014.0252.
- Byford, L. (1982). *Report by Sir Lawrence Byford into the police handling of the Yorkshire Ripper case*. London.
- Carson, D. (2009). The abduction of Sherlock Holmes. *International Journal of Police Science & Management*, 11(2), 193–202. Doi: 10.1350/ijps.2009.11.2.123
- Centraal Bureau voor de Statistiek. (2017). Bevolkingsteller. Retrieved June 24, 2017, from <https://www.cbs.nl/nl-nl/visualisaties/bevolkingsteller>

- Charlton, D., Fraser-Mackenzie, P. A. F., & Dror, I. E. (2010). Emotional experiences and motivating factors associated with fingerprint analysis. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 55(2), 385–393. doi: 10.1111/j.1556-4029.2009.01295.x
- Chi, M. T. ., Glaser, R., & Rees, E. (1982). Expertise in problem solving. In R. Stenberg (Ed.), *Advances in psychology of human intelligence* (pp. 7–75). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Chun, M. M., & Wolfe, J. M. (2008). Visual attention. In E. B. Goldstein (Ed.), *Blackwell handbook of sensation and perception* (pp. 272–310). Malden, MA: Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
- Cockcroft, T., & Beattie, I. (2009). Shifting cultures: managerialism and the rise of “performance.” *Policing: An International Journal of Police Strategies & Management*, 32(3), 526–540. Doi: 10.1108/13639510910981644
- Cohen, J. (1977). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences (rev. ed.)*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Inc.
- Creton, J., & Pakes, F. (2013). Adversarial or inquisitorial justice. In T. Ellis & S. Savage (Eds.), *Debates in criminal justice: key themes and issues*. (pp. 52–61). New York: Routledge.
- Crombag, H. F. M. (2010). Over tunnelvisie. In P. J. van Koppen, H. Merckelbach, M. Jelicic, & J. W. de Keijser (Eds.), *Reizen met mijn rechter* (pp. 387–399). Deventer: Uitgeverij Kluwer.
- Crombag, H. F. M., Van Koppen, P. J., & Wagenaar, W. A. (2006). *Dubieuze zaken. De psychologie van strafrechtelijk bewijs*. Olympus.
- Custers, R., & Aarts, H. (2010). The unconscious will: how the pursuit of goals operates outside of conscious awareness. *Science*, 329(5987), 47–50. Doi: 10.1126/science.1188595
- De Gruijter, M., De Poot, C. J., & Elffers, H. (2016a). The Influence of New Technologies on the Visual Attention of CSIs Performing a Crime Scene Investigation. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 61(1), 43–51.
- De Gruijter, M., De Poot, C. J., & Elffers, H. (2016b). Reconstructing with trace information: does rapid identification information lead to better crime reconstructions? *Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling*, 61(1), 43–51. Doi: 10.1002/jip.1471
- De Gruijter, M., Nee, C., & De Poot, C.J. (2017). Identification at the crime scene: the sooner, the better? Constructing scenarios with rapid identification information at the crime scene. *Science & Justice*, 57(4), 296-306. Doi: 10.1016/j.scijus.2017.03.006
- De Maillard, J., & Savage, S. P. (2016). Comparing performance: the development of police performance management in France and Britain. *Policing and Society*, 22(4), 363–383. Doi: 10.1080/10439463.2012.718777

- De Poot, C. J. (2011). *Wetenschap op de plaats delict*. Apeldoorn: Politieacademie.
- De Poot, C. J., Bokhorst, R. J., Van Koppen, P. J., & Muller, E. R. (2004). *Rechercheportret. Over dilemma's in de opsporing*. Alphen aan den Rijn: Kluwer.
- Delémont, O., Lock, E., & Ribaux, O. (2014). Forensic Science and Criminal Investigation. In G. Bruinsma & D. Weisburd (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Criminology and Criminal Justice* (pp. 1754–1763). New York, NY: Springer New York. Doi: 10.1007/978-1-4614-5690-2\_145.
- Drew, T., Vo, M. L. H., & Wolfe, J. M. (2013). The invisible gorilla strikes again: Sustained inattentive blindness in expert observers. *Psychological Science*, 24(9), 1848–1853. Doi: 10.1177/0956797613479386
- Dror, I. (2011). The paradox of human expertise: why experts get it wrong. In N. Kapur (Ed.), *The paradoxical brain* (pp. 177–188). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Doi: 10.1007/978-1-61779-095-9
- Dror, I. (2013). The ambition to be scientific: Human expert performance and objectivity. *Science & Justice*, 53(2), 81–82. Doi: 10.1016/j.scijus.2013.03.002
- Dror, I. (2015). Cognitive neuroscience in forensic science : understanding and utilizing the human element. *Philosophical Transactions Royal Society B*, 370. Doi: 10.1098/rstb.2014.0255
- Dror, I., & Charlton, D. (2006). Why experts make errors. *Journal of Forensic Identification*, 56(4), 600–616. Doi: 10.1016/S1355-0306(98)72118-5
- Dror, I., Charlton, D., & Péron, A. E. (2006). Contextual information renders experts vulnerable to making erroneous identifications. *Forensic Science International*, 156(1), 74–78. Doi: 10.1016/j.forsciint.2005.10.017
- Dror, I., & Hampikian, G. (2011). Subjectivity and bias in forensic DNA mixture interpretation. *Science and Justice*, 51(4), 204–208. Doi: 10.1016/j.scijus.2011.08.004
- Dror, I., Morgan, R. M., Rando, C., & Nakhaeizadeh, S. (2017). The bias snowball and the bias cascade effects: Two distinct biases that may impact forensic decision making. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*. Doi: 10.1111/1556-4029.13496
- Dror, I., Peron, A. E., Hind, S. L., & Charlton, D. (2005). When emotions get the better of us: The effect of contextual top-down processing on matching fingerprints. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 19(6), 799–809. Doi: 10.1002/acp.1130
- Dror, I., & Stoel, R. (2014). Cognitive Forensics: Human cognition, contextual information, and bias. In G. Bruinsma & D. Weisburd (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Criminology and Criminal Justice* (pp. 353–363). New York: Springer New York.

- Edelman, G.J., Van Leeuwen, T. G., & Aalders, M. C. G. (2013). Hyperspectral imaging of the crime scene for detection and identification of blood stains. In *Proc. SPIE 8743. Algorithms and Technologies for Multispectral, Hyperspectral, and Ultraspectrals Imagery XIX*, 87430A. doi:10.1117/12.2021509
- Edelman, G. J., & Aalders, M. C. G. (2017). Blood Degradation and Bloodstain Age Estimation. In E. M. J. Schotsmans, N. Márquez-Grant, & S. L. Forbes (Eds.), *Taphonomy of Human Remains: Forensic Analysis of the Dead and the Depositional Environment* (pp. 53–64). Oxford: John Wiley & Sons LTD.
- Edelman, G. J., van Leeuwen, T. G., & Aalders, M. C. (2015). Visualization of latent blood stains using visible reflectance hyperspectral imaging and chemometrics. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 60(s1), S188–S192. Doi: 10.1111/1556-4029.12591
- Eerland, A., & Rassin, E. (2012). Biased evaluation of incriminating and exonerating (non)evidence. *Psychology, Crime & Law*, 18(4), 351–358. Doi: 10.1080/1068316X.2010.493889
- Ericsson, K. A., Prietula, M. J., & Cokely, E. T. (2007). The Making of an Expert. *Harvard Business Review*, 85(7–8), 114–121.
- Fahsing, I., & Ask, K. (2016). The making of an expert detective: the role of experience in English and Norwegian police officers' investigative decision-making. *Psychology, Crime & Law*, 22(3), 203–223. Doi: 10.1080/1068316X.2015.1077249
- Findley, K. A., & Scott, M. S. (2006). The Multiple Dimensions of Tunnel Vision in Criminal Cases. *Wisconsin Law Review*, 2, 292–397.
- Fisher, B., & Fisher, D. (2012). *Techniques of crime scene investigation*. Boca Raton: CRC Press.
- Fiske, S. T., & Taylor, S. E. (1991). *Social cognition*. McGraw-Hill. KLOPT DIT?
- Forensic Science Regulator. (2015). *Cognitive bias effects relevant to forensic science examinations*. Birmingham.
- Gardner, R. M. (2012). *Practical crime scene processing and investigation*. Boca Raton: CRC Press.
- Gigerenzer, G., & Brighton, H. (2009). Homo Heuristicus: Why Biased Minds Make Better Inferences. *Topics in Cognitive Science*, 1(1), 107–143. Doi: 10.1111/j.1756-8765.2008.01006.x
- Gigerenzer, G., Todd, P. M., & ABC Research Group. (1999). *Simple heuristics that make us smart*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Granhag, R. A., Ask, K., & Rebelius, A. (2008). The "Elasticity" of Criminal Evidence: A Moderator of Investigator Bias. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 22, 1245–1259. Doi: 10.1002/acp

- Granhag, R. A., Rebelius, A., & Ask, K. (2011). Investigators Under Influence: How Social Norms Activate Goal-Directed Processing of Criminal Evidence, *25*(4), 548–553.
- Groen, M., & Berger, C. (2017). Crime scene investigation, archaeology and taphonomy: reconstructing activities at crime scenes. In E. Schotmans, N. Marquez-Grant, & S. Forbes (Eds.), *Taphonomy of human remains: forensic analysis of the dead and the depositional environment* (pp. 476–494). Oxford, UK: Wiley-blackwell.
- Groenendaal, J., & Helsloot, I. (2014). Tunnel vision on tunnel vision? A preliminary examination of the tension between precaution and efficacy in major criminal investigations in the Netherlands. *Police Practice and Research, 16*(3), 224–238. Doi: 10.1080/15614263.2014.928622
- Heuer, R. J. J. (1999). *Psychology of intelligence analysis*. Washington, DC: Center for the study of Intelligence, Central Intelligence Agency.
- Hirt, E. R., & Markman, K. D. (1995). Multiple explanation: a consider-an-alternative strategy for debiasing judgments. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 69*(6), 1069–1086. Doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.69.6.1069
- Holland, M., & Wendt, F. (2015). Evaluation of the RapidHIT 200, an automated human identification system for STR analysis of single source samples. *Forensic Science International: Genetics, 14*, 76–85. Doi: 10.1016/j.fsigen.2014.08.010
- Home Office. (2017). National DNA Database statistics, Q4 2016 to 2017. Retrieved June 24, 2017, from <https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/national-dna-database-statistics>
- Inman, K., & Rudin, N. (2001). *Principles and practice of criminalistics: the profession of forensic science*. Boca Raton: CRC Press.
- Innes, M. (2003). *Investigating murder: Detective work and the police response to criminal homicide*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Jamieson, A. (2004). A rational approach to the principles and practice of crime scene investigation: I. principles. *Science & Justice, 44*(1), 3–7.
- Jones, D., Grieve, J., & Milne, B. (2008). The Case to Review Murder Investigations. *Policing, 2*(4), 470–480. Doi: 10.1093/police/pan053
- Jovanovich, S., Bogdan, G., Belcinski, R., Buscaino, J., Burgi, D., Butts, E. L. R., ... Williams, S. (2015). Developmental validation of a fully integrated sample-to-profile rapid human identification system for processing single-source reference buccal samples. *Forensic Science International: Genetics, 16*, 181–194. Doi: 10.1016/j.fsigen.2014.12.004
- Kahneman, D. (2012). *Thinking fast and slow*. London: Penguin.
- Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect Theory: An Analysis of Decision under Risk. *Econometrica, 47*(2), 263–292.

- Kassin, S. M., Dror, I. E., & Kukucka, J. (2013). The forensic confirmation bias: Problems, perspectives, and proposed solutions. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, 2(1), 42–52. Doi: 10.1016/j.jarmac.2013.01.001
- Kassin, S. M., Goldstein, C. C., & Savitsky, K. (2003). Behavioral confirmation in the interrogation room: on the dangers of presuming guilt. *Law and Human Behavior*, 27(2), 187–203.
- Kelty, S. F., Julian, R., & Robertson, J. (2011). Professionalism in Crime Scene Examination : The Seven Key Attributes of Top Crime Scene Examiners. *Forensic Science Policy & Management*, 2(4), 175–186. Doi: 10.1080/19409044.2012.693572
- Kerstholt, J., Eikelboom, A., Dijkman, T., Stoel, R., Hermsen, R., & Van Leuven, B. (2010). Does suggestive information cause a confirmation bias in bullet comparisons? *Forensic Science International*, 198(1–3), 138–142. Doi: 10.1016/j.forsciint.2010.02.007
- Kerstholt, J., Paashuis, R., & Sjerps, M. (2007). Shoe print examinations: Effects of expectation, complexity and experience. *Forensic Science International*, 165(1), 30–34. Doi: 10.1016/j.forsciint.2006.02.039
- Klayman, J. (1995). Varieties of Confirmation Bias. *Psychology of Learning and Motivation - Advances in Research and Theory*, 32, 385–418. Doi: 10.1016/S0079-7421(08)60315-1
- Klein, G. A. (1993). *A recognition-primed decision (RPD) model of rapid decision making*. New York: Ablex publishing corporation New York.
- Kloosterman, A., Mapes, A., Geradts, Z., van Eijk, E., Koper, C., van den Berg, J., ... van Asten, A. (2015). The interface between forensic science and technology: how technology could cause a paradigm shift in the role of forensic institutes in the criminal justice system. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences*, 370(20140264). Doi: 10.1098/rstb.2014.0264
- Komter, M. J., & Malsch, M. (2012). The language of criminal trials in an inquisitorial system: the case of the Netherlands. In L. M. Solan & P. Tiersma (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Language and Law* (pp. 408–420). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Koehler, D. J. (1991). Explanation, imagination, and confidence in judgment. *Psychological bulletin*, 110(3), 499-519.
- Kurpershoek, J. (2009). Indicatief onderzoek: steeds sneller. *Blauw*, 32–35.
- Landis, J. R., & Koch, G. G. (1977). An Application of Hierarchical Kappa-type Statistics in the Assessment of Majority Agreement among Multiple Observers. *Biometrics*, 33(2), 363–374.
- Lee, H. C., & Pagliaro, E. M. (2013). Forensic Evidence and Crime Scene Investigation. *Journal of Forensic Investigation*, 1(1), 1–5. Doi: 10.13188/2330-0396.1000004
- Liedenbaum, C. M. B., De Poot, C. J., Van Straalen, E. K., & Kouwenberg, R. F. (2015). *Focus in de opsporing*. Den Haag: Boom Lemma.

- Lounsbury, I., Bienvenue, J., & Landers, J. (2010). Sample-to-Result STR Genotyping Systems. In J. Shewale & R. Liu (Eds.), *Forensic DNA Analysis. Current practices and emerging technologies* (pp. 349–378). Boca Raton: CRC Press.
- Lynch, M. (2013). Science, truth, and forensic cultures: The exceptional legal status of DNA evidence. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological & Biomedical Sciences*, 44(1), 60–70. Doi: 10.1016/j.shpsc.2012.09.008
- Mack, A., & Rock, I. (1998). Inattentional Blindness: Perception without Attention. In R. D. Wright (Ed.), *Visual attention* (pp. 55–76). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Makrushin, A., Kiertscher, T., Hildebrandt, M., Dittmann, J., & Vielhauer, C. (2013). Visibility enhancement and validation of segmented latent fingerprints in crime scene forensics. In *Proceedings SPIE 8665*. Burlingame: Media Watermarking, Security, and Forensics 2013, 866508. doi:10.1117/12.2003661
- Mapes, A. A., Kloosterman, A. D., & De Poot, C. J. (2015). DNA in the Criminal Justice System: The DNA Success Story in Perspective. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 60(4), 851–856. Doi: 10.1111/1556-4029.12779
- Meissner, C. A., & Kassin, S. M. (2002). "He's guilty !": Investigator Bias in Judgments of Truth and Deception. *Law and Human Behavior*, 26(5), 469–480. Doi: 10.1023/A:1020278620751
- Miller, G. A. (1956). The magical number seven plus or minus two: some limits on our capacity for processing information. *Psychological Review*, 63, 81–97.
- Ministerie van Veiligheid en Justitie. (2015). *Veiligheidsagenda 2015-2018*. Den Haag.
- Moskowitz, G. B., & Grant, H. (2009). *The psychology of goals*. New York: Guildford Press.
- Murrie, D. C., Boccaccini, M. T., Guarnera, L. A., & Rufino, K. A. (2013). Are forensic experts biased by the side that retained them? *Psychological Science*, 24(10), 1889–1897. Doi: 10.1177/0956797613481812
- Nakhaeizadeh, S., Dror, I. E., & Morgan, R. M. (2014). Cognitive bias in forensic anthropology: Visual assessment of skeletal remains is susceptible to confirmation bias. *Science and Justice*, 54(3), 208–214. Doi: 10.1016/j.scijus.2013.11.003
- National institute of Justice/US department of justice. (2014). NIJ and NetBio-Advancing Rapid DNA Analysis: Bringing Automated Short Tandem Repeat Analysis to Forensics. (Report No 248938). Washington DC: National institute of Justice/US department of justice.
- Nee, C., & Ward, T. (2015). Review of expertise and its general implications for correctional psychology and criminology. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 20, 1–9. Doi: 10.1016/j.avb.2014.12.002
- Neisser, U., & Becklen, R. (1975). Selective Looking: Attending to Visually Specified Events. *Cognitive Psychology*, 7, 480–494.



- NFI. (2017). Groei DNA-databank laatste 12 maanden. Retrieved June 24, 2017, from <https://dnadatabank.forensischinstituut.nl/Resultaten/Groei-dna-databank-strafzaken.aspx>
- Nickels, E. L., & Verma, A. (2008). Dimensions of police culture: a study in Canada, India, and Japan. *Policing: An International Journal of Police Strategies & Management*, 31(2), 186–209. Doi: 10.1108/13639510810878686
- Nickerson, R. S. (1998). Confirmation bias: A ubiquitous phenomenon in many guises. *Review of General Psychology*, 2, 175–220. Doi: 10.1037/1089-2680.2.2.175
- Nisbett, R. E., & Ross, L. D. (1980). *Human inference: Strategies and shortcomings of social judgment*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- O'Brien, B. (2009). Prime suspect: An examination of factors that aggravate and counteract confirmation bias in criminal investigations. *Psychology, Public Policy and Law*, 15(4), 315–334.
- Office for National Statistics. (2017). Population estimates. Retrieved June 24, 2017, from <https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/populationestimates>
- Openbaar Ministerie Politie & NFI. (2005). *Versterking opsporing en vervolging: naar aanleiding van het evaluatierapport van de Schiedammer parkmoord*. n.p.
- Osborne, N. K. P., Woods, S., Kieser, J., & Zajac, R. (2014). Does contextual information bias bitemark comparisons? *Science & Justice*, 54(4), 267–273. Doi: 10.1016/j.scijus.2013.12.005
- Pennington, N., & Hastie, R. (1991). Cognitive theory of juror decision making: The story model, *A. Cardozo Law Rev.*, 13, 519-557.
- Pennington, N., & Hastie, R. (1993). The story model for juror decision making. In R. Hastie (Ed.), *Inside the jury: the psychology of juror decision making* (pp. 192–221). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Popper, K. R. (1986). *The logic of scientific discovery*. London: Hutchinson.
- Rassin, E., Eerland, A., & Kuijpers, I. (2010). Let's find the evidence: An analogue study of confirmation bias in criminal investigations. *Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling*, 7(3), 231-246.
- Ribaux, O., Baylon, A., Lock, E., Delémont, O., Roux, C., Zingg, C., & Margot, P. (2010). Intelligence-led crime scene processing. Part II: Intelligence and crime scene examination. *Forensic Science International*, 199(1–3), 63–71. Doi: 10.1016/j.forsciint.2010.03.011
- Ribaux, O., Girod, A., Walsh, S. J., Margot, P., Mizrahi, S., & Clivaz, V. (2003). Forensic intelligence and crime analysis. *Law, Probability and Risk*, 2, 47–60.

- Risinger, D. M., Michael, D., Saks, M. J., & William, C. (2002). The Daubert / Kumho Implications of Observer Effects in Forensic Science: Hidden Problems of Expectation and Suggestion. *California Law Review*, *90*(1), 1–56. Doi: 10.15779/Z38GM7K
- Rossmo, D. K. (2008). *Criminal investigative failures*. Boca Raton: CRC Press.
- Roux, C., Crispino, F., & Ribaux, O. (2012). From Forensics to Forensic Science. *Current Issues in Criminal Justice*, *24*(1), 7–24.
- Sachs, L. (1974). *Angewandte Statistik. Planung und Auswertung - Methoden und Modelle*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Saks, M. J., Risinger, D. M., Rosenthal, R., & Thompson, W. C. (2003). Context effects in forensic science: A review and application of the science of science to crime laboratory practice in the United States. *Science & Justice*, *43*(2), 77–90.
- Semin, G. R., & De Poot, C. J. (1997). Bringing partiality to light: question wording and choice as indicators of bias. *Social Cognition*, *15*(2), 91–106.
- Shanteau, J. (1992). How much information does an expert use? Is it relevant? *Acta Psychologica*, *81*(1), 75–86. Doi: 10.1016/0001-6918(92)90012-3
- Simons, D. J., & Chabris, C. F. (1999). Gorillas in our midst: sustained inattentional blindness for dynamic events. *Perception*, *28*, 1059–1074.
- Snook, B., & Cullen, R. M. (2008). Bounded rationality and criminal investigations: Has tunnel vision been wrongfully convicted? In D. K. Rossmo (Ed.), *Criminal investigative failures* (pp. 69–96). Oxford, UK: Taylor & Francis.
- Stoel, R. D., Berger, C. E., Kerkhoff, W., Mattijssen, E., & Dror, I. E. (2015). Minimizing contextual bias in forensic casework. In K. J. Strom & M. J. Hickman (Ed.), *Forensic Science and the Administration of Justice* (pp. 67–86). Los Angeles: Sage.
- Strom, K. J., & Hickman, M. J. (2010). Unanalyzed evidence in law-enforcement agencies. *Criminology and Public Policy*, *9*(2), 381–404.
- Tetlock, P. E. (1983). Accountability and the Perseverance of First Impress. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, *46*(4), 285–292.
- Turingan, R. S., Vasantgadkar, S., Palombo, L., Hogan, C., Jiang, H., Tan, E., & Selden, R. F. (2016). Rapid DNA analysis for automated processing and interpretation of low DNA content samples. *Investigative Genetics*, *7*, 1–12. Doi: 10.1186/s13323-016-0033-7
- Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1974). Judgment under Uncertainty: Heuristics and Biases. *Science (New York, NY)*, *185*(4157), 1124–1131.
- Van Amelsvoort, A., & Groenendal, H. (2013). *Handleiding optreden plaats delict*. Amsterdam: Reed Business BV.
- Van Amelsvoort, A., Groenendal, H., & Van Manen, J. (2004). Werkwijze bij het onderzoek op de Plaats Delict ( PD ). *Justitiële Verkenningen*, *30*(4), 72–83.

- Van den Eeden, C. A. J., De Poot, C. J., & Van Koppen, P. J. (2016). Forensic expectations: Investigating a crime scene with prior information. *Science & Justice*, 56(6), 475–481. Doi: 10.1016/j.scijus.2016.08.003
- Van Koppen, P. J. (2003). *De schiedammer parkmoord. Een rechtspsychologische reconstructie*. Nijmegen: Ars Aequi Libri.
- Van Koppen, P. J. (2011). *Overtuigend bewijs. Indammen van rechterlijke dwalingen*. Amsterdam: Nieuw Amsterdam.
- Van Oorschot, R. A., Ballantyne, K. N., & Mitchell, R. J. (2010). Forensic trace DNA: a review. *Investigative Genetics*, 1(1), 14. Doi: 10.1186/2041-2223-1-14
- Van Someren, M. W., Barnard, Y. F., & Sandberg, J. A. (1994). *The think aloud method: A practical guide to modelling cognitive processes. Department of Social Science Informatics, University of Amsterdam*. London: Academic Press London. Doi: 10.1016/0306-4573(95)90031-4
- Wagenaar, W. A., Crombag, H. F., & Israels, H. (2010). Ook rechters maken menselijke fouten. In P. J. van Koppen, H. Merckelbach, M. Jelicic, & J. W. de Keijser (Eds.), *Reizen met mijn rechter. Psychologie van het recht* (pp. 875–895). Deventer: Kluwer.
- Wagenaar, W. A., Van Koppen, P. J., & Crombag, H. F. (1993). *Anchored narratives: The psychology of criminal evidence*. New York: St Martins's Press.
- Wason, P. C. (1968). Reasoning about a rule. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 20(3), 273–281. Doi: 10.1080/14640746808400161
- Wastell, C., Weeks, N., Wearing, A., & Duncan, P. (2012). Identifying hypothesis confirmation behaviors in a simulated murder investigation: implications for practice. *Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling*, 9(2), 184-198.
- Weston, P. B., & Lushbaugh, C. (2009). *Criminal investigation: basic perspectives*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Williams, R., Johnson, P., & Martin, P. (2004). *Genetic Information & Crime Investigation. Social, Ethical and Public Policy Aspects of the Establishment, Expansion and Police Use of the National DNA Database*. Durham. Retrieved from <http://dro.dur.ac.uk/2555/>
- Winsor, T. P. (2016). *State of Policing. The Annual Assessment of Policing in England and Wales*. Retrieved from <http://www.justiceinspectorates.gov.uk/hmic/wp-content/uploads/state-of-policing-2015.pdf>
- Wright, M. (2013). Homicide detectives' intuition. *Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling*, 10(2), 182–199. Doi: 10.1002/jip.1383
- Wyatt, D. (2014). Practising crime scene investigation: trace and contamination in routine work. *Policing and Society : An International Journal of Research and Policy*, 24(4), 443–458. Doi: 10.1080/10439463.2013.868460

Yantis, S. (1998). Control of visual attention. In H. Pashler (Ed.), *Attention* (pp. 223–256). East Sussex, UK: Psychology Press.