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Aim. To identify risk factors for urticaria, to determine the relative proportion of the susceptibility to urticaria that is due to genetic factors in an adult clinical twin sample, and to further determine whether the genetic susceptibility to urticaria overlaps with the genetic susceptibility to atopic diseases.

Methods. A total of 256 complete twin pairs and 63 single twins, who were selected from sibships with self-reported asthma via a questionnaire survey of 21,162 adult twins from the Danish Twin Registry, were clinically interviewed about a history of urticaria and examined for atopic diseases. Data were analysed with Cox proportional hazards regression and variance components models.

Results. A total of 151 individuals (26%) had a history of urticaria, whereas 24 (4%) had had symptoms within the past year. Female sex, HR = 2.09 (1.46–2.99), P = 0.000; hay fever, HR = 1.92 (1.36–2.72), P = 0.000; and atopic dermatitis, HR = 1.44 (1.02–2.06), P = 0.041 were significant risk factors for urticaria. After adjustment for sex and age at onset of urticaria in the index twin, the risk of urticaria was increased in MZ cotwins relative to DZ cotwins, HR = 1.42 (0.63–3.18), P = 0.394. Genetic factors explained 45% (16–74%), P = 0.005, of the variation in susceptibility to urticaria. The genetic correlation between urticaria and hay fever was 0.45 (0.01–0.89), P = 0.040. Conclusions. Susceptibility to urticaria is partly determined by genetic factors. Urticaria is more common in women, and in subjects with hay fever and atopic dermatitis, and shares genetic variance with hay fever.

1. Introduction

Urticaria is a common complex disease characterised by disseminated or local eruption of migrating itching wheals sometimes complicated by swelling of mucosal membranes; angioedema. Urticaria carries a lifetime risk of about 20% and is a troublesome disease that significantly impacts on quality of life. The mainstay of treatment of urticaria is nonsedating antihistamines. However, in many patients treatment with immunosuppressive drugs such as corticosteroids, azathioprine, cyclosporine, and biological therapies is necessary in order to obtain symptomatic control [1, 2].

Urticaria can be divided into acute and chronic types arbitrarily based on whether symptoms have been present for more than six weeks. Acute urticaria is usually triggered by allergic reactions to foods, insect venoms, or drugs, or it can be triggered by infections. However, in many cases the cause remains unknown. Likewise, chronic urticaria can be classified into several clinical and aetiological subtypes of for example allergic, autoimmune, physical, or idiopathic origin. Particularly, chronic urticaria that has no detectable cause is termed chronic idiopathic urticaria, whereas chronic autoimmune urticaria is present in those with antibodies against the high-affinity IgE receptor (FceRI) on mast cells and basophils [3].

Genetic studies of urticaria have shown very diverse results, in part due to the heterogeneous nature of the disease. Notably, polymorphisms in multiple genes such as
the TNF-alpha promoter, the IL18, the thromboxane A2 receptor, the leukotriene C4 synthase, and the FcεRI genes, have been associated with aspirin-sensitive urticaria [4–9]. Moreover, studies have associated several variants in the HLA, the FPR1 promoter, and the TGF-beta1 promoter and cyclooxygenase and 5-lipoxygenase-activating protein genes, with chronic urticaria [10–14]. Finally, hereditary angioedema has an obvious genetic cause being transmitted autosomal dominantly (disease types I and II) via mutations in the C1NH gene; disease type III is more heterogeneous and is caused by mutations in the Factor XII gene [15]. Taken together, these findings suggest a genetic component in the pathogenesis of several urticaria subtypes. However, to what extent genetic factors account for variation in overall urticaria susceptibility is less well-determined. One previous twin study of 1480 Swedish children, 7–9 years of age, found a heritability of urticaria of 54% in boys and 60% in girls [16]. However, the urticaria diagnosis relied on questionnaire responses and was not confirmed by clinical examination. We identified risk factors for urticaria and determined the relative proportion of the susceptibility to urticaria that was due to genetic factors in an adult clinical twin sample selected from sibships with self-reported asthma. Furthermore, we studied whether the genetic susceptibility to urticaria overlaps with the genetic susceptibility to atopic diseases.

2. Methods

2.1. Study Population. The sample consisted of twins born between 1953 and 1982, who were registered in the nationwide Danish Twin Registry [17]. A total of 21,162 twin individuals, 20–49 years of age, answered a questionnaire concerning health and lifestyle. The response rate was 75%. Of these, 7,317 twin pairs were intact and 6,528 subjects were single responders. In total 6,791 pairs had known zygosity (33% monozygotic (MZ) twins, and 67% dizygotic (DZ) twins) and complete data on asthma. Among these, 438 pairs who lived in the geographical region surrounding the study site, and of whom at least one proband had self-reported asthma based on an affirmative response to a screening question, were invited to take part in a clinical examination. The examination included an interview and paraclinical tests for allergy (measurement of serum total IgE and skin prick test for common aeroallergens). The asthma-screening question was “Do you have, or have you ever had asthma?” Twin zygosity was determined using four questions of similarity between the twins, which assign zygosity correctly in more than 95% of the cases [18]. The Scientific Ethics Committee of Copenhagen approved the study.

2.2. Clinical Interview. At the clinical interview, subjects were asked about a lifetime history of urticaria, age at first episode, presence of symptoms within the past year, and current symptoms. Specific questions were “Have you ever had nettle rash/hives/urticaria?” “Have you had nettle rash/hives/urticaria within the past year?” “How old were you when you got nettle rash/hives/urticaria?” The interviewing physician explained symptoms of nettle rash/hives/urticaria and made sure that each patient understood the term and provided as qualified an answer as possible. In cases of doubt, photographic material was used as diagnostic aid. By means of similar questions subjects were also asked about the presence of asthma [19], hay fever [20], and atopic dermatitis [21].

2.3. Skin Prick Test and Measurement of Serum Total IgE. Skin prick tests were performed using standard dilutions birch, grass, mugwort, horse, dog, cat, house dust mite (Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus and Dermatophagoides farinae), and mould (Alternaria iridis and Cladosporium herbarium) allergens (Soluprick SQ system; ALK-Abelló, Denmark). Reactions were read after 15 minutes. A positive result was defined as a positive reaction to at least one of the allergens, and a reaction was considered positive if the mean wheal diameter was at least 3 mm. Serum total IgE was measured with an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) on Immulite 2500 (DPC, New York, USA). Results were expressed as KIU/L.

2.4. Statistical Analysis. First, risk factors for urticaria were determined with a Cox proportional hazards regression model with age as the underlying time ignoring the familial relationships between the twins. Covariates were sex, BMI, zygosity, asthma, hay fever, atopic dermatitis, smoking ever, positive skin prick test, and serum total IgE. Next, a Cox proportional hazards regression model was fitted with the time to onset of urticaria in the cotwin of an affected twin (the index twin) as the underlying time, and zygosity, sex, and age at onset of urticaria in the index twin as covariates. In this analysis an increased hazard ratio (HR) in MZ twins relative to DZ twins would signal a genetic susceptibility to urticaria. Regression analyses were performed in SPSS 16.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Using the classical twin method [22], the variation in the susceptibility to urticaria was partitioned into components representing latent genetic and environmental factors with sex as covariate. Subsequently, bivariate variance components analyses were performed between urticaria and atopic diseases. These bivariate analyses allow one to test to what extent the correlation between diseases is of genetic or environmental origin [23]. Variance components analyses were performed in the statistical package SOLAR, in which estimates are adjusted for ascertainment due to the selection in this study through a twin proband with asthma.

3. Results

A total of 575 subjects (256 intact pairs; 89 MZ pairs, 167 DZ pairs, and 63 single twins) underwent the clinical examination (individual response rate 67%; pair-wise response rate 60%). Characteristics of the studied subjects are given in Table 1. The mean age was 36 years, 58% of the subjects were females, and 46% had a history of smoking.
Table 1: Descriptive characteristics of a sample of 575 Danish twins, 20–51 years of age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demographic data</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>35.9 (8.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>240 (41.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>335 (58.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MZ twins</td>
<td>191 (33.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DZ twins</td>
<td>384 (66.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>25.3 (4.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking ever</td>
<td>262 (45.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical traits</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urticaria ever</td>
<td>151 (26.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urticaria in the past 12 months</td>
<td>24 (4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urticaria currently</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma</td>
<td>337 (58.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hay fever</td>
<td>309 (53.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atopic dermatitis</td>
<td>137 (23.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Paraclinical traits</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive skin prick test</td>
<td>318 (55.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum total IgE</td>
<td>54.4 (4.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MZ: monozygotic; DZ: dizygotic; BMI: body mass index.
All numbers are prevalence (%) except age (years, mean); BMI (kg/m², mean); and serum total IgE (KIU/L, geometric mean).

A total of 151 (26.3%) of the population; 43 (17.9%) of the men and 108 (32.2%) of the women had a history of urticaria, whereas 24 (4.2%); 6 (2.5%) of the men and 18 (5.4%) of the women had had symptoms within the past year. None of the subjects had urticaria at the day of examination. Among subjects with a history of urticaria, the median age at onset was 18.6 years (Figure 1). After proportional hazards regression, female sex, HR = 2.09 (1.46–2.99), *P* = 0.000; hay fever, HR = 1.92 (1.36–2.72), *P* = 0.000; and atopic dermatitis, HR = 1.44 (1.02–2.06), *P* = 0.041 were retained as significant risk factors for urticaria (Table 2).

Figure 2 shows the risk of urticaria in the cotwin of an affected twin for MZ and DZ twins separately, as a function of age of onset in the index twin. After adjustment for sex and age at onset of urticaria in the index twin, the risk of urticaria was increased in MZ cotwins relative to DZ cotwins, HR = 1.42 (0.63–3.18), *P* = 0.394, indicating that the variation in the susceptibility to urticaria is of genetic origin (Table 3). As this regression analyses only built on 34 MZ and 73 DZ twin pairs of whom 10 and 16, respectively, were discordant for urticaria, we proceeded with variance components analysis, which was based on the data of all twins in the sample.

Variance components analysis showed that genetic factors explained 45% (16–74%), *P* = 0.005 of the variation in susceptibility to urticaria, 64% (33–95%), *P* = 0.000 of atopic dermatitis, 40% (24–56%), *P* = 0.000 of hay fever, and 25% (13–37%), *P* = 0.000 of asthma. The genetic and environmental correlations, respectively, between urticaria and atopic dermatitis were −0.06 (−0.58–0.46), *P* = ns and 0.23 (−0.32–0.78), *P* = ns; between urticaria and hay fever were 0.45 (0.01–0.89), *P* = 0.040 and −0.15 (−0.16–0.47), *P* = ns; and between urticaria and asthma were −0.07 (−0.16–0.47), *P* = ns and −0.22 (−0.78–0.34), *P* = ns, indicating that urticaria shares some genetic variance with hay fever, but not with atopic dermatitis and asthma.

4. Discussion

This study provides one among just a few available estimates of the heritability of urticaria and to our knowledge is the only clinical investigation of the heritability of the disease; genetic factors were found to explain about half of the variation in the susceptibility to urticaria, whereas the other half could be ascribed to random nongenetic variation. The lifetime prevalence of urticaria in the population was 26%, whereas the 12-month prevalence was 4%. The disease was about two times more common in women than in
Delayed pressure urticaria and cholinergic urticaria, and physical urticarias such as symptomatic dermographism, had had several or just one episode of the disease. Common or anaphylaxis. Neither did we record whether the subjects to medications, or other factors that could have aided in the aetiological workup. Also, we did not record whether the capability of the interviewing physician to obtain the correct information about past symptoms. Although we the diagnosis relies chiefly on patient recollection and on the clinical interview did not allow discrimination between these different forms of chronic urticaria. Unfortunately the clinical interview did not allow discrimination between these different forms of chronic urticaria. However, a large proportion of the studied subjects had known type 1 allergy and associated atopic disorders, which makes it likely that their episodes of urticaria had an allergic basis. We therefore consider the main type of urticaria encountered in our population acute type 1 allergy-mediated urticaria and not chronic urticaria. This was also supported by our finding that the 12-month and current prevalence of the disease was much lower than the cumulative lifetime prevalence, and that the cumulative lifetime prevalence in this population with atopic predisposition was slightly higher than what could be expected in the general population. Our results may therefore only be applicable to an allergic population and not to the population as a whole, although we used statistical methods to correct for this skewed ascertainment of individuals.

Urticaria and hay fever were genetically correlated to a sizable extent; 0.45. Interestingly, in congruence with our finding, the genetic correlation between urticaria and hay fever was also exactly 0.45 among Swedish children [16]. In contrast, however, we did not find a significant genetic correlation between urticaria and atopic dermatitis or asthma, which is contradictory to the findings among Swedish children in whom the genetic correlation between urticaria and atopic dermatitis was 0.48, and the genetic correlation between urticaria and asthma was 0.48.

However, the Swedish study was based on questionnaire responses while our study was based on confirmed clinical examination. A recent finding among a Han Chinese population showed that polymorphisms in the high affinity receptor of IgE are associated with atopic dermatitis but not with urticaria, indicating that these diseases may have different genetic aetiologies, at least in respect to certain genetic polymorphisms [24].

We conclude that the susceptibility to urticaria is determined partly by genetic factors. Notably, half of all variance of urticaria susceptibility is ascribable to differences between men and in subjects with hay fever and atopic dermatitis. Furthermore, urticaria was found to share genetic variance with hay fever, but not with atopic dermatitis or asthma.

One of the clear strengths of this study is the systematic selection of subjects from a nationwide population of twins, and the subsequent collection of detailed clinical interview data on 575 adults. Urticarial rash is transient and is therefore often not present at the day of examination. Therefore, the diagnosis relies chiefly on patient recollection and on the capability of the interviewing physician to obtain the correct information about past symptoms. Although we collected data on the lifetime history of urticaria, we did not obtain information on the duration and specific exposures relating to the occurrence of symptoms, which limits our ability to distinguish between different subtypes of disease. Particularly, we had no information on food allergy, allergy to medications, or other factors that could have aided in the aetiological workup. Also, we did not record whether urticaria episodes were accompanied by allergic reactions such as angioedema, acute gastroenteritis, airway symptoms, or anaphylaxis. Neither did we record whether the subjects had had several or just one episode of the disease. Common physical urticarias such as symptomatic dermographism, delayed pressure urticaria and cholinergic urticaria, and chronic spontaneous urticaria are entirely different dermatoses which all manifest as clinically different types of hives. The nature and importance of genetic factors will predictably differ in these diverse subtypes. Unfortunately the clinical interview did not allow discrimination between these different forms of chronic urticaria. However, a large proportion of the studied subjects had known type 1 allergy and associated atopic disorders, which makes it likely that their episodes of urticaria had an allergic basis. We therefore consider the main type of urticaria encountered in our population acute type 1 allergy-mediated urticaria and not chronic urticaria. This was also supported by our finding that the 12-month and current prevalence of the disease was much lower than the cumulative lifetime prevalence, and that the cumulative lifetime prevalence in this population with atopic predisposition was slightly higher than what could be expected in the general population. Our results may therefore only be applicable to an allergic population and not to the population as a whole, although we used statistical methods to correct for this skewed ascertainment of individuals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zygosity</th>
<th>Crude HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Adjusted HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DZ</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MZ</td>
<td>1.57 (0.71–3.47)</td>
<td>0.267</td>
<td>1.42 (0.63–3.18)</td>
<td>0.394</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Crude HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Adjusted HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>1.86 (0.78–4.44)</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>1.86 (0.78–4.45)</td>
<td>0.165</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Age at onset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Crude HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Adjusted HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.98 (0.93–1.03)</td>
<td>0.328</td>
<td>0.98 (0.93–1.03)</td>
<td>0.346</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DZ: dizygotic twins; MZ: monozygotic twins. HR: hazard ratio; CI: confidence interval. Adjusted HR is multivariably adjusted.
subjects on a genetic level. Moreover, urticaria is more common in women and in subjects with hay fever and atopic dermatitis and has overlapping genetic features with hay fever. Future genetic studies distinguishing different subphenotypes of urticaria (e.g., chronic autoimmune or chronic idiopathic urticaria) could contribute to further unravelling of the aetiology of the disease.
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