VU VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT AMSTERDAM

VU Research Portal

Connecting heart and brain

Leeuwis, A.E.

2019

document version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication in VU Research Portal

citation for published version (APA)
Leeuwis, A. E. (2019). *Connecting heart and brain: vascular determinants of cognitive impairment and depressive symptoms*.

General rights
Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy
If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

E-mail address:
vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl

Download date: 18. Jan. 2022

Chapter 7

FREQUENT COGNITIVE IMPAIRMENT IN PATIENTS WITH DISORDERS ALONG THE HEART-BRAIN AXIS

Astrid M. Hooghiemstra, **Anna E. Leeuwis,** Anne Suzanne Bertens, Geert Jan Biessels, Michiel L. Bots, Hans-Peter Brunner-La Rocca, Jacoba P. Greving, L. Jaap Kappelle, Robert J. van Oostenbrugge, Albert C. van Rossum, Heart-Brain Connection Consortium, Wiesje M. van der Flier
Submitted

ABSTRACT

Background and purpose: Patients with cardiovascular disease are at increased risk for cognitive decline. We studied the occurrence and profile of cognitive impairment in three patient groups as exemplar conditions of hemodynamic disturbances at different levels of the heart-brain axis, including patients with heart failure (HF), carotid occlusive disease (COD), and patients with cognitive complaints and vascular brain injury on MRI (possible VCI).

Methods: In 555 participants (160 HF, 107 COD, 160 possible VCI, 128 reference participants; 68±9yrs; 36%F; MMSE 28±2), we assessed cognitive functioning with a comprehensive test battery. Test scores were transformed into z-scores. Compound z-scores were constructed for: memory, language, attention/psychomotor speed, executive functioning, and global cognitive functioning. We rated cognitive domains as impaired when z-score≤–1.5. Based on the number of impaired domains, patients were classified as cognitively normal, minor, or major cognitive impairment. We used general linear models and χ^2-tests to compare cognitive functioning between patient groups and the reference group.

Results: Age, sex and education adjusted global cognitive functioning z-score was lower in patients with COD (*Beta [B] Standard error [SE]*) = -0.46(.10), p<.001) and possible VCI (B(SE) = -0.80(.09), p<.001) compared to reference participants. On all domains, z-scores were lower in patients with possible VCI and COD compared to reference participants. Patients with HF had lower z-scores on attention/speed and language compared to reference participants. Cognitive impairment was observed in 18% of HF, 36% of COD, and 45% possible VCI. There was no difference in profile of impaired cognitive domains between patient groups. Memory and attention-psychomotor speed were most commonly affected, followed by executive functioning and language.

Conclusions: A substantial part of patients with HF and COD had cognitive impairment, which warrants vigilance for the occurrence of cognitive impairment. These results underline the importance of minding the brain in patients presenting with disorders in the heart-brain axis and call for a more integrative approach in medicine.

BACKGROUND

Increasing age is associated with increasing health problems, such as cardiovascular diseases, cognitive impairment and dementia.[1,2] These disorders pose a large burden on patients, caregivers, and society.[3,4] Population-based cohorts and cross-sectional studies have shown that cardiovascular factors, such as decreased cardiac functioning, atherosclerotic changes, and arterial stiffness are related to cognitive impairment in the elderly.[5–10] The term 'vascular cognitive impairment' (VCI) has been introduced to describe the complete spectrum of cognitive impairment, including minor and major cognitive impairment, related to vascular brain injury.[3,11] In recent years attention is shifting to even earlier stages, i.e. subjective cognitive decline.[12,13] At this moment, vascular brain injury is the only cause of dementia that might be preventable or modifiable,[14] in contrast to Alzheimer's disease (AD) and other neurodegenerative diseases.

The present study is part of the Heart-Brain Study,[15] that focuses on hemodynamic factors along the heart-brain axis, as a connecting mechanism between cardiovascular diseases and cognitive functioning. The Heart-Brain Study includes patients with heart failure (HF), symptomatic carotid occlusive disease (COD), and patients with cognitive complaints and vascular brain injury on MRI (possible VCI), as three exemplar conditions of hemodynamic disturbances at different levels of the heart-brain axis. Former studies have investigated the prevalence of cognitive impairment in cardiovascular disorders in isolated patient groups using mostly cognitive screening tests or limited neuropsychological assessment. This hampers comparison of prevalence and profiles of cognitive impairment. In the general population, studies suggest that executive functioning and information processing speed, and to a lesser extent memory, are related to cardiovascular factors.[5–10] Literature in patients with cardiovascular disorders is heterogeneous. In patients with HF, up to 50% of patients may have some degree of cognitive impairment,[16] across different cognitive domains,[16,17] An estimate of 10% has been provided for major cognitive impairment.[18] In population-based studies, it has been found that patients with asymptomatic carotid stenosis are at risk of cognitive impairment,[19–21] which might be stronger related to left than the right internal carotid artery.[22] The profile of cognitive impairment in COD was reported to be diffuse,[23] including most cognitive domains.[24] In the current study, we aimed to study cognitive functioning with an extensive and standardized neuropsychological examination in three patient groups as exemplar conditions of hemodynamic disturbances at different levels of the heart-brain axis. This allowed us to directly compare the occurrence and profile of cognitive impairment.

METHODS

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request, within the privacy legislation of the Netherlands and after permission of the Heart-Brain Connection (HBC) steering committee.

Participants

We included all participants of the baseline assessment (HBC baseline data version 2, 1-1 2018, *n*=559) of the ongoing Heart-Brain Study[15] with available neuropsychological assessment. Four participants were excluded due to missing neuropsychological assessment. Reasons were 'study procedures discontinued due to inability to undergo MRI' (*n*=2) and 'illness' (*n*=1), and 'participant refused neuropsychological assessment' (*n*=1). This yielded a total sample size of 555 participants (160 HF, 107 COD, 160 possible VCI, and 128 reference participants). Patients were recruited from cardiology, memory, and neurology outpatient clinics from four hospitals: Leiden University Medical Center (LUMC) in Leiden, Maastricht University Medical Center (MUMC) in Maastricht, University Medical Center Utrecht (UMCU) in Utrecht, and Amsterdam UMC, location VUmc (VUmc) in Amsterdam, The Netherlands. Inclusion criteria for all participant groups were: 1) age 50 years or older, 2) able to undergo cognitive testing, and 3) independence in daily life. We included patients with HF in accordance with the European Cardiology Society guidelines,[25] irrespective of left ventricular ejection fraction and coronary artery disease and with a stable clinical situation. We included patients with COD with a significant stenosis (>80%) or occlusion of the internal carotid artery as assessed with MR angiography. For possible VCI, inclusion criteria were cognitive complaints, a Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) scale score of ≤1, and a Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) score of ≥20, combined with moderate to severe vascular brain injury on MRI - operationalized as white matter lesions (Fazekas >1) and/or (lacunar) infarct(s) and/or intracerebral (micro-) hemorrhage(s), or mild vascular brain injury - operationalized as white matter lesions (Fazekas = 1) - with the presence of vascular risk factors. A reference group was recruited among spouses of patients and through advertisements. Participants in the reference group had no history of VCI. Group-specific inclusion and exclusion criteria have been described in detail previously.[15]

Level of education was assessed with the system of Verhage, ranging from 1 to 7 (low to high education).[26] The presence of cardiovascular risk factors (i.e. hypertension, hypercholesterolemia and diabetes mellitus) were determined based on self-reported medical history and medication use. Smoking status was dichotomized into current versus never or former smoker. Alcohol use was dichotomized into <15 units/week

versus ≥15 units/week. Finally, body mass index (BMI) was dichotomized into higher and lower than 30 kg/m2.

Written informed consent was obtained prior to participation in the study. The Medical Ethics Review Committee of the LUMC performed central approval. Local medical ethical committees of all sites approved the local performance of the study.

Cognitive functioning

All participants underwent an extensive and standardized neuropsychological assessment. We used the neuropsychological measurement set that was developed in the context of the Dutch Parelsnoer Initiative.[27] As cognitive screening instruments, we used the MMSE[28] and the Clinical Dementia Rating scale (CDR).[29] Memory was assessed with the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (RAVLT)[30,31] immediate recall, delayed recall and recognition, and the Visual Association Test (VAT)[32] part A. We assessed language with the VAT-naming condition and the 1-minute animal fluency test.[33,34] The attention and psychomotor speed domain was assessed with digit span forward,[35] the Letter-Digit Substitution Test (LDST),[36] Trail Making Test (TMT)[37] A, and the Stroop Color Word Test (SCWT)[38–40] card 1 and 2. Finally, we assessed executive functioning with digit span backward, TMT B/A index and a SCWT interference score, calculated as 'card 3 / ([card 1 + card 2] / 2))'. For an overview of the neuropsychological test protocol see Supplementary Table I. Missing scores on TMT B were 4.1% across all groups (2.7% test aborted, 1.1% test not started, 0.4% otherwise). We replaced missing TMT B scores by imputation of the mean B/A-index multiplied by TMT A, after which missing values were 0.9% on TMT B. Missing values on others tests ranged from 0.5% (MMSE) to 3.9% (Stroop interference). These scores were not imputed. To compare test scores between groups, neuropsychological test scores were standardized into z-scores adjusted for age, sex, and education, and using reference participants as reference group. First, age and education were centered around the mean (value minus mean) (step 1). Second, we used multiple linear regression analysis in reference participants to calculate coefficients for age, sex, and education, necessary to calculate expected test scores (step 2). We used the cognitive test scores as dependent variables (separate analysis for each cognitive test). Covariates were age, sex, and education. The regression coefficients of age, gender, and education were used in the next step. Third, in the total group, expected test scores were calculated (step 3) by a regression equation where age, sex, and education were weighted by the estimated regression coefficients that were generated in step 2. Finally, z-scores were calculated (step 4) by '(actual test score – expected score) / standard deviation of the residuals'. Age, sex, education-adjusted compound scores were constructed per cognitive domain. These domain scores were based on available tests. Global cognitive functioning was calculated as an average z-score across domains. Please note that due to use of

z-scores, by definition the reference group has mean 0 ± SD 1. This further implies that for each cognitive domain 7% of the reference participants is classified as cognitively impaired. Missing scores on cognitive domains were 0.9% on language and 0.7% on memory, attention-psychomotor speed, and executive functioning as well as global cognitive functioning. We rated a cognitive domain as impaired when the z-score was ≤1.5 or lower; i.e. 1.5 standard deviation below the mean. Subsequently, patients were classified as cognitively normal (no impairment), minor cognitive impairment (1 domain impaired) or major cognitive impairment (>1 domain impaired).

Supplementary Table I. Neuropsychological assessment

Cognitive test	Cognitive Domain
Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE)	Global cognition
Clinical Dementia Rating scale (CDR)	Global cognition
Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (RAVLT)	Episodic memory
· Total recall	
· Delayed recall	
· Recognition	
Visual Association Test, short version (VAT)	Implicit associative visual learning
Fluency, 60 seconds (animals)	Verbal fluency, semantic memory
Digit span	Attention
· Forward	Working memory
· Backward	
Letter-Digit Substitution Test (LDST)	Information processing speed, attention
Trail Making Test (TMT)	Information processing speed, attention
· Part A	Response inhibition, executive functioning
· Part B	
Stroop Color-Word Test (SCWT)	Information processing speed, attention
· Card I and II	Concept shifting, executive functioning
· Card III	

Statistical analysis

For statistical analysis, SPSS 22.0 was used (IBM for Windows, NY, USA). Characteristics and vascular risk factors per patient group were compared with analyses of variance (ANOVA) and χ^2-tests when appropriate. To compare cognitive domains and global cognitive functioning between patient groups and reference participants we used general linear models. We used compound z-scores of cognitive domains as dependent variables (each in separate model), and patient group (using dummy variables), age, sex, and educational level as independent variables. To investigate whether center influenced the results we included center in the model (using dummy variables). When the coefficients for center were not significant, we repeated the analysis without

center in the model. we used χ^2-tests to compare classification of cognitive impairment (no, minor, major) between patient groups.

RESULTS

Patient characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Patients with HF and possible VCI were older compared to patients with COD and reference participants. Patients with HF and COD were less often women and had a lower education than reference participants. Mean MMSE was lower in patients with COD and possible VCI than in patients with HF and reference participants. A CDR of 0.5 or 1 was more frequent in patient groups than in reference participants, and most frequent in patients with possible VCI. Compared to reference participants, patient groups had more vascular risk factors (i.e. hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, and diabetes mellitus) and were more often current smokers.

Table 1. Characteristics in patients with heart failure, carotid occlusive disease, possible vascular cognitive impairment and reference participants.

	Reference participants	**HF**	**COD**	**Possible VCI**
n = 555	*n* = 128	*n* = 160	*n* = 107	*n* = 160
Age, years	65.6 (7.4)[b,d]	69.6 (9.8)[a,c]	66.2 (8.0)[b,d]	68.8 (8.4)[a,c]
Women, *n* (%)	60 (46.9)[b,c]	53 (33.1)[a]	25 (23.4)[a,d]	61 (38.1)[c]
Education*, score	5.4 (1.1)[b,c]	5.0 (1.3)[a,d]	5.0 (1.2)[a]	5.3 (1.2)[b]
Mini-Mental State Examination, points	28.8 (1.3)[c,d]	28.6 (1.3)[c,d]	27.7 (2.2)[a,b]	27.4 (2.7)[a,b]
Clinical dementia rating scale ≥ 0.5, *n* (%)	6 (4.8)[b,c,d]	27 (16.9)[a,c,d]	39 (37.1)[a,b,d]	113 (70.6)[a,b,c]
Vascular risk factors				
Hypertension, *n* (%)	36 (28.1)[b,c,d]	128 (80.0)[a]	83 (77.6)[a]	119 (74.4)[a]
Hypercholesterolemia, *n* (%)	39 (30.5)[b,c,d]	103 (64.4)[a,c]	99 (92.5)[a,b,d]	117 (74.1)[a,c]
Diabetes Mellitus, *n* (%)	3 (2.3)[b,c,d]	29 (18.1)[a,c]	31 (29.0)[a,b,d]	19 (11.9)[a,c]
Currently smoking, *n* (%)	8 (6.3)[b,c,d]	23 (14.4)[a,c]	30 (28.0)[a,b]	29 (18.1)[a]
Alcohol ≥15 units, *n* (%)	12 (9.4)[c]	14 (8.8)[c]	25 (23.4)[a,b,d]	21 (13.1)[c]
BMI ≥ 30 kg/m2, *n* (%)	20 (15.6)[b,c]	42 (26.4)[a]	29 (27.1)[a]	28 (17.6)

Abbreviations: HF: heart failure, COD: carotid occlusive disease, possible VCI: possible vascular cognitive impairment; BMI: body mass index.
NOTE: Data are presented as mean (SD) and number (percentage). Continuous variables analyzed with analysis of variance (ANOVA) adjusted for age and sex. Categorical variables with Chi-square tests. $p < .05$: [a 1] reference participants; [b 1] HF; [c 1] COD; [d 1] VCI. * Education was assessed with the system of Verhage, ranging from 1 to 7 (low to high education). Presence of vascular risk factors was determined based on self-reported medical history and medication use.

Cognitive functioning

Table 2 shows the cognitive domain z-scores per patient group. Raw neuropsychological test scores are presented in Supplementary Table II. Age, sex and education adjusted global cognitive functioning z-score was lower in patients with COD (*Beta [B]* (*Standard Error [SE]*) = -0.46 (.10), $p < .001$) and possible VCI (*B*(*SE*) = -0.80 (.09), $p < .001$) compared to reference participants. No difference was found between patients with HF and reference participants. On all cognitive domains, z-scores were lower in patients with possible VCI and COD compared to reference participants (possible VCI: *B [SE]* = -1.28 (.20) – -.0.49 (.11), all $p < .001$) and COD: (*B [SE]* = -0.83 (.14) – -0.25 (.12), all $p < .05$). Patients with HF had lower z-scores on attention/psychomotor speed and language compared to reference participants (*B [SE]* = -0.28 (.13) – -0.24 (.10), both $p < .05$), but not on memory and executive functioning. We found minor and major cognitive impairment more frequently in all patient groups compared to reference participants (minor cognitive impairment: HF 14.5%, COD, 26.2%; possible VCI 22.5%; major cognitive impairment: HF 3.1%, COD, 9.3%; possible VCI 22.5%, all p<0.05). Figure 1 shows the profile of impaired cognitive domains in all participant groups. On visual inspection, the profile of impaired cognitive domains was similar across participant groups. Overall, the memory and attention-psychomotor speed domain were most commonly affected, followed by executive functioning and language.

Table 2. Cognitive domain z-scores of in patients with heart failure, carotid occlusive disease, and possible vascular cognitive impairment

	Reference participants	**HF**	**COD**	**Possible VCI**
n = 555	*n* = 128	*n* = 160	*n* = 107	*n* = 160
		B (SE)	*B (SE)*	*B (SE)*
Global cognitive functioning, z-score*	Ref	-0.17 (.09)	-0.46 (.10)***	-0.80 (.09)***
Memory, z-score†	Ref	-0.13 (.20)	-0.50 (.22)*	-1.28 (.20)***
Attention/speed, z-score‡	Ref	-0.28 (.13)*	-0.83 (.14)***	-0.83 (.12)***
Language, z-score§	Ref	-0.24 (.10)*	-0.26 (.10)*	-0.59 (.09)***
Executive functioning, z-score‖	Ref	-0.10 (.11)	-0.25 (.12)*	-0.49 (.11)***

Abbreviations: COD: carotid occlusive disease, HF: heart failure, possible VCI: possible vascular cognitive impairment.

NOTE: Data are represented as Beta *[B]* (Standard Error *[SE]*). General linear models, adjusted for age, sex, and educational level. *$p < .05$; **$p < .01$; ***$p < .001$. * Global cognitive functioning is the average z-score across the compound z-scores of memory, language, attention/speed, and executive functioning. Z-scores were individually adjusted for age, sex, and educational level, using reference participants as reference group. †Memory is based on RAVLT immediate and delayed recall, and recognition, and VAT A. ‡Attention / speed is based on digit span forward, LDST, TMTA, and the mean of Stroop I and II. §Language is based on VAT naming and 1-minute animal fluency. ‖Executive functioning is based on digit span backward, TMTB/A index, and Stroop interference.

Supplementary Table II. Raw neuropsychological test scores

	Reference participants	HF	COD	Possible VCI
N = 555	*n* = 128	*n* = 160	*n* = 107	*n* = 160
RAVLT total immediate	42.1 (9.1)[b,c,d]	38.1 (9.5)[a,d]	36.0 (10.6)[a]	34.5 (12.7)[a,b]
RAVLT delayed	8.7 (3.1) [b,c,d]	7.6 (3.1)[a,d]	7.0 (3.2)[a,d]	6.1 (4.1)[a,b,c]
RAVLT recognition*	1.4 (1.6) [c,d]	1.9 (2.3)[d]	2.5 (2.4)[a,d]	3.4 (3.7)[a,b,c]
VAT A	11.7 (0.6)[d]	11.3 (1.5)[d]	11.5 (1.6)[d]	10.4 (3.0)[a,b,c]
Digit span forward	8.7 (2.1)[c]	8.3 (1.9)	8.1 (2.2)[a]	8.3 (2.0)
LDST	48.3 (8.4) [b,c,d]	42.2 (10.0)[a,c,d]	38.4 (11.5)[a,b]	37.2 (10.8)[a,b]
TMTA, seconds*	37.7 (15.2) [b,c,d]	45.6 (17.4)[a,d]	50.6 (25.0)[a]	53.2 (34.2)[a,b]
Stroop I, seconds*	48.2 (9.4) [b,c,d]	52.1 (12.1)[a,c,d]	57.0 (13.0)[a,b]	55.4 (18.0)[a,b]
Stroop II, seconds*	62.6 (12.6) [b,c,d]	69.3 (15.5)[a,c,d]	76.1 (20.3)[a,b]	74.0 (24.1)[a,b]
VAT naming	11.9 (0.6)	11.9 (0.9)	11.9 (0.3)	11.8 (0.7)
Animal fluency	25.9 (6.0) [b,c,d]	22.2 (6.0)[a,d]	22.0 (5.5)[a,d]	19.2 (6.1)[a,b,c]
Digit span backward	5.9 (2.0)[c]	5.8 (1.9)	5.3 (1.8)[a]	5.5 (1.9)
TMTB, seconds*	86.6 (40.0) [b,c,d]	114.5 (55.0)[a,c,d]	135.0 (78.9)[a,b]	147.2 (105.9)[a,b]
Stroop III, seconds*	103.5 (28.4) [b,c,d]	120.5 (38.3)[a,d]	132.0 (40.7)[a]	142.5 (79.9)[a,b]

Abbreviations: COD: carotid occlusive disease, HF: heart failure, LDST: letter-digit substitution test, Possible VCI: possible vascular cognitive impairment, RAVLT: Rey auditory verbal learning test, Stroop: Stroop color word test, TMT: trail making test, VAT: visual association test.
NOTE: M(SD) unless otherwise specified. Continuous variables analyzed with analysis of variance (ANOVA). $p < .05$: [a] [1] reference participants; [b] [1] HF; [c] [1] COD; [d] [1] VCI. * Higher score reflects worse performance.



Figure 1. Profile of cognitive functioning in patients with heart failure, carotid occlusive disease, possible vascular cognitive impairment, and reference participants. Bars represent the percentage of participants with a cognitive domain z-score of -1,5 or lower.

DISCUSSION

The main finding of this study is that a substantial part of patients with HF and COD have cognitive impairment. The profile of impaired cognitive domains in patients with HF and COD was similar to that in patients with possible VCI, with the memory and attention-psychomotor speed domain most commonly affected.

We found that 18% of patients with HF and 36% of patients with COD had cognitive impairment. The occurrence of cognitive impairment in patients with HF and COD was lower in our study than in previous studies. Occurrence of cognitive impairment was previously reported in up to 50% in HF,[16,18] and in half to two-thirds of patients with COD.[23,24] The differences in occurrence of cognitive impairment between studies can be explained by differences in demographics, but also by varying neuropsychological (screening) tests and cut-off scores between studies. In the present study, we performed an extensive neuropsychological assessment, standardized between patient groups, with a performance of ≤1.5 standard deviation below the mean as cutoff demarcating cognitive impairment. Other studies might have used more lenient cutoffs (i.e. ≤1 standard deviation) which may lead to higher estimated prevalence of cognitive impairment.[41] In addition, we included relatively young and stable patients with HF, which could explain the lower prevalence of cognitive impairment in this patient group. Nevertheless, with our stringent cut-off we found high occurrence of cognitive impairment in both patients with HF and COD. This calls for further evaluation and warrants vigilance for the occurrence of cognitive impairment in these patient groups.

In patients with possible VCI, we reported cognitive impairment in about half of the patients. This reflects our inclusion criteria that allowed the participation of patients regardless of the severity of cognitive impairment. Hence, our sample included patients with evidence of vascular brain injury on MRI and cognitive complaints that could not be objectified by neuropsychological assessment.[12] The rationale behind our approach is that patients with cognitive complaints as a results of vascular brain injury may not always develop cognitive deficits that are severe enough to be classified as mild cognitive impairment (MCI). Even more, literature indicates that patients with cognitive complaints and white matter hyperintensities are at risk for clinical progression to MCI or dementia.[13]

We found similar profiles of impaired cognitive domains across patients with HF, COD, and possible VCI. Using an extensive and standardized neuropsychological assessment we found in all patient groups that domains of memory and attention-psychomotor speed were most commonly affected. Although patients with vascular brain injury are typically thought to show impairments in executive functioning,[11] our findings fit with other literature that show associations between cardiovascular

diseases, such as heart failure and carotid stenosis or occlusion, and a wide range of cognitive impairment.[17,20,21,23,24]. Moreover, the presence of vulnerability factors, for example co-occurring Alzheimer pathology, might have an additive effect on cognitive functioning.[42,43] The similarity in the profile of impaired cognitive domains between patient groups provides support for the notion of a heart-brain connection. Disorders that hinder haemodynamics along the heart-brain axis may contribute to cognitive impairment. These results underline the importance of minding the brain in patients presenting with disorders in the heart-brain axis and call for a more integrative approach in medicine.

Among the strengths of this study are the large cohort of patients that represent with exemplar conditions of hemodynamic disturbances of different levels of the heart-brain axis, whereas earlier studies mainly focused on single patient groups. In addition, most studies used global cognitive screening tests or limited neuropsychological assessment, whereas this study used a comprehensive and standardized neuropsychological test battery in all patients. Our data on cognitive functioning were nearly complete, with only few missing values meaning that potential bias that might have been introduced is minor. The use of cognitive domain z-scores enables comparison of cognitive functioning and cognitive profiles between patient groups.[44] Furthermore, the use of cognitive domain scores decreases the risk of multiple testing since it reduces the number of comparisons. On the other hand, by using domain scores, information of individual neuropsychological tests is combined, neglecting differences that may exist between neuropsychological tests within a domain. Also, the choice of including a particular test into a cognitive domain is arbitrary to a certain extent.

Also, some limitations have to be taken into consideration. First, the categorization of no, minor, and major cognitive impairment was based solely on neuropsychological testing. This method reflects a more actuarial neuropsychological method to define cognitive impairment with a cutoff demarcating cognitive impairment.[41] This categorization is not synonymous with a clinical diagnosis of MCI and dementia, as additional information on (instrumental) activities of daily living is needed, derived from history taking as well as the assessment of the development of symptoms over time.[45] Second, our patients with possible VCI were not included based on any of the established VCI criteria,[3,11,46] since we also included patients without cognitive impairment. Finally, this study is cross-sectional of nature, precluding statements on the course of cognitive impairments over time. However, the present study is part of a larger Heart-Brain Study with follow-up after 2 years.[15] Future research in this consortium will focus on progress of cognitive impairments over time.

Summary/Conclusions

A substantial part of patients with HF and COD had cognitive impairment, which warrants vigilance for the occurrence of cognitive impairment in these patient groups. The profile of impaired cognitive domains in patients with HF and COD was similar to that in patients with possible VCI, with memory and attention-psychomotor speed most commonly involved. These results underline the importance of minding the brain in patients presenting with disorders in the heart-brain axis and call for a more integrative approach in medicine.

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs). Fact sheet.
2. Patterson C. World Alzheimer Report 2018. The state of the art of dementia research: New frontiers. Alzheimer's Disease International.
3. Gorelick PB, Scuteri A, Black SE, et al. Vascular contributions to cognitive impairment and dementia: a statement for healthcare professionals from the american heart association/ american stroke association. *Stroke*. 2011;42(9):2672-2713.
4. Bots M, Buddeke J, van Dis I, Vaartjes I, Visseren F. Hart- en vaatziekten in Nederland 2017. *Hartstichting*. 2017;(November).
5. Jefferson AL, Himali JJ, Beiser AS, et al. Cardiac index is associated with brain aging: the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation*. 2010;122(7):690-697.
6. Frazier DT, Seider T, Bettcher BM, et al. The role of carotid intima-media thickness in predicting longitudinal cognitive function in an older adult cohort. *Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2014;38(6):441-447.
7. Mitchell GF, van Buchem MA, Sigurdsson S, et al. Arterial stiffness, pressure and flow pulsatility and brain structure and function: the Age, Gene/Environment Susceptibility-Reykjavik study. *Brain*. 2011;134(Pt 11):3398-3407.
8. Muller M, Grobbee DE, Aleman A, Bots M, van der Schouw YT. Cardiovascular disease and cognitive performance in middle-aged and elderly men. *Atherosclerosis*. 2007;190(1):143-149.
9. Elias MF, Robbins MA, Budge MM, Abhayaratna WP, Dore GA, Elias PK. Arterial pulse wave velocity and cognition with advancing age. *Hypertension*. 2009;53(4):668-673.
10. Waldstein SR, Rice SC, Thayer JF, Najjar SS, Scuteri A, Zonderman AB. Pulse pressure and pulse wave velocity are related to cognitive decline in the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging. *Hypertension*. 2008;51(1):99-104.
11. O'Brien JT, Erkinjuntti T, Reisberg B, et al. Vascular cognitive impairment. *Lancet Neurol*. 2003;2(2):89-98.
12. Jessen F, Amariglio RE, van Boxtel M, et al. A conceptual framework for research on subjective cognitive decline in preclinical Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2014;10(6):844-852.
13. Benedictus MR, Van Harten AC, Leeuwis AE, et al. White Matter Hyperintensities Relate to Clinical Progression in Subjective Cognitive Decline. *Stroke*. 2015;46(9):2661-2664.
14. Gorelick PB, Counts SE, Nyenhuis D. Vascular cognitive impairment and dementia. *Biochim Biophys Acta - Mol Basis Dis*. 2016;1862(5):860-868.
15. Hooghiemstra AM, Bertens AS, Leeuwis AE, et al. The Missing Link in the Pathophysiology of Vascular Cognitive Impairment: Design of the Heart-Brain Study. *Cerebrovasc Dis Extra*. 2017;7(3):140-152.
16. Pressler SJ. Cognitive functioning and chronic heart failure: A review of the literature (2002-July 2007). *J Cardiovasc Nurs*. 2008;23:239-249.
17. Vogels RLC, Oosterman JM, van Harten B, et al. Profile of cognitive impairment in chronic heart failure. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2007;55(11):1764-1770.
18. Huijts M, van Oostenbrugge RJ, Duits A, et al. Cognitive impairment in heart failure: results from the Trial of Intensified versus standard Medical therapy in Elderly patients with Congestive Heart Failure (TIME-CHF) randomized trial. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2013;15(6):699-707.
19. Romero JR, Beiser A, Seshadri S, et al. Carotid artery atherosclerosis, MRI indices of brain ischemia, aging, and cognitive impairment: The framingham study. *Stroke*. 2009;40(5):1590-1596.

20. Lal BK, Dux MC, Sikdar S, et al. Asymptomatic carotid stenosis is associated with cognitive impairment. *J Vasc Surg*. 2017;66(4):1083-1092.
21. Mathiesen EB, Waterloo K, Joakimsen O, Bakke SJ, Jacobsen EA, Bonaa KH. Reduced neuropsychological test performance in asymptomatic carotid stenosis: The Tromso Study. *Neurology*. 2004;62(5):695-701.
22. Johnston SC, O'Meara ES, Manolio TA, Lefkowitz D, O'Leary DH. Cognitive impairment and decline are associated with carotid artery disease in patients without clinically evident cerebrovascular disease. *Ann Intern Med*. 2004;140:237-247.
23. Bakker FC, Klijn CJM, Jennekens-Schinkel A, van der Tweel I, Tulleken CAF, Kappelle LJ. Cognitive impairment in patients with carotid artery occlusion and ipsilateral transient ischemic attacks. *J Neurol*. 2003;250(11):1340-1347.
24. Oudeman EA, Kappelle LJ, Berg-Vos RM Van Den, Weinstein HC, Berg E Van Den, Klijn CJM. Cognitive functioning in patients with carotid artery occlusion: a systematic review. *J Neurol Sci*. 2018;394(August):132-137.
25. Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, et al. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure. *Eur Hear J*. 2016;37:2129-2200.
26. Verhage F. *Intelligentie En Leeftijd: Onderzoek Bij Nederlanders van 12-77 Jaar [in Dutch]*. Van Gorcum Assen; 1964.
27. Aalten P, Ramakers IHGB, Biessels GJ, et al. The Dutch Parelsnoer Institute--Neurodegenerative diseases; methods, design and baseline results. *BMC Neurol*. 2014;14:254.
28. Folstein M, Folstein S, McHugh P. "Mini-mental state": a practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res*. 1975;12(3):189-198.
29. Morris J. The Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR): Current vision and scoring rules. *Neurology*. 1993;42:2412-2414.
30. Van der Elst W, van Boxtel MPJ, van Breukelen GJP, Jolles J. Rey's verbal learning test: normative data for 1855 healthy participants aged 24-81 years and the influence of age, sex, education, and mode of presentation. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*. 2005;11(3):290-302.
31. Rey A. *L'examen Clinique En Psychologie*. Paris: Presse de Universitaire de France; 1965.
32. Lindeboom J, Schmand B, Tulner L, Walstra G, Jonker C. Visual association test to detect early dementia of the Alzheimer type. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2002;73(2):126-133.
33. Van der Elst W, Van Boxtel MPJ, Van Breukelen GJP, Jolles J. Normative data for the Animal, Profession and Letter M Naming verbal fluency tests for Dutch speaking participants and the effects of age, education, and sex. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*. 2006;12(1):80-89.
34. Luteijn P, Van der Ploeg F. Handleiding Groninger Intelligentie Test (Manual Groningen Intelligence Test). In: Lisse: Swets & Zeitlinger; 1983.
35. Wechsler D. *WAIS-III: Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale (3rd Ed.) Administration and Scoring Manual.* San Antionio, TX: Psychological Corporation/Harcourt Brace; 1997.
36. van der Elst W, van Boxtel MPJ, van Breukelen GJP, Jolles J. The Letter Digit Substitution Test: normative data for 1,858 healthy participants aged 24-81 from the Maastricht Aging Study (MAAS): influence of age, education, and sex. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol*. 2006;28(6):998-1009.
37. Reitan RM. The Relation of the Trail Making Test to Organic Brain Damage. *J Consult Psychol*. 1955;19(5):393-394.
38. Van der Elst W, Van Boxtel MPJ, Van Breukelen GJP, Jolles J. The Stroop color-word test: influence of age, sex, and education; and normative data for a large sample across the adult age range. *Assessment*. 2006;13(1):62-79.
39. Stroop J. Studies on interference in serial verbal reactions. *J Exp Psychol*. 1935;18(6):643-662.

40. Hammes J. *De Stroop-Kleur Woord Test: Handleiding [The Stroop Color-Word Test: Manual]*. Swets & Zeitlinger: Amsterdam; 1973.
41. Jak AJ, Bondi MW, Delano-Wood L, et al. Quantification of five neuropsychological approaches to defining mild cognitive impairment. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2009;17(5):368-375.
42. van der Flier WM, Skoog I, Schneider JA, et al. Vascular cognitive impairment. *Nat Rev Dis Prim*. 2018;4:18003.
43. Yang J, Wong A, Wang Z, et al. Risk factors for incident dementia after stroke and transient ischemic attack. *Alzheimer's Dement*. 2015;11(1):16-23.
44. Jonaitis EM, Koscik RL, Clark LR, et al. Measuring longitudinal cognition : Individual tests versus composites. *Alzheimer's Dement Diagnosis, Assess Dis Monit*. 2018;(December):1-11.
45. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (5th Edition)*. Washington, DC; 2013.
46. Sachdev P, Kalaria R, O'Brien J, et al. Diagnostic criteria for vascular cognitive disorders: a VASCOG statement. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord*. 2014;28(3):206-218.