

VU Research Portal

Challenges and perspectives in modelling biosphere-atmosphere exchange of ammonia

Schrader, F.

2019

document version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

citation for published version (APA)

Schrader, F. (2019). *Challenges and perspectives in modelling biosphere-atmosphere exchange of ammonia*.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

E-mail address:

vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl

CONTENTS

1	GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Ammonia in the environment	1
1.2	Quantifying dry deposition	4
1.3	Aims of this thesis	8
2	DEPOSITION VELOCITIES	13
2.1	Introduction	14
2.2	Materials and Methods	15
2.3	Results	18
2.4	Discussion and concluding remarks	18
3	NON-STOMATAL EXCHANGE	23
3.1	Introduction	24
3.2	Methods	26
3.3	Results and discussion	37
3.4	Conclusions	49
4	STOMATAL EXCHANGE	53
4.1	Introduction	54
4.2	Modelling biosphere-atmosphere exchange of NH ₃	57
4.3	Case study	62
4.4	Conclusions and future perspectives	69
5	TEMPORAL RESOLUTION ISSUES	71
5.1	Introduction	72
5.2	Methods	73
5.3	Results and Discussion	82
5.4	Conclusions	89
6	SUMMARY	91
6.1	Key findings	91
6.2	Uncertainties	97
6.3	Implications	102
6.4	Recommendations	103
	REFERENCES	107

A	SUPPLEMENT TO CHAPTER 2	131
A.1	Literature review	131
A.2	Raw data for reanalysis	138
A.3	IPython code	145
B	SUPPLEMENT TO CHAPTER 3	147
B.1	List of symbols	147
C	SUPPLEMENT TO CHAPTER 5	149
C.1	Additional case studies	149
C.2	Sensitivity to the sampling rate	152

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Simplified view of the nitrogen cascade	3
Figure 2.1	Distribution of ammonia deposition velocities grouped into different land-use categories	19
Figure 3.1	Structure of the single-layer model of NH_3 surface-atmosphere exchange used in this study	27
Figure 3.2	Theoretical considerations about the non-stomatal resistance parameterisations' response to changes in micrometeorological conditions	33
Figure 3.3	Measured and modelled ammonia dry deposition fluxes (F_t) during near-neutral or slightly stable nighttime conditions	38
Figure 3.4	Differences in measured and modelled 30 min nighttime non-stomatal resistances and conductances	39
Figure 3.5	Differences between modelled and measured 30 min nighttime non-stomatal resistances (ΔR_w) as a function of T and / or χ_a	41
Figure 3.6	Non-stomatal emission potentials inferred from measurements (Γ_w) as a function of backward-looking moving averages of measured air ammonia concentrations (χ_a)	43
Figure 3.7	Measured and modelled ammonia dry deposition fluxes (F_t) during near-neutral or slightly stable nighttime conditions	45
Figure 3.8	Sensitivity of differences in measured and modelled non-stomatal resistances to the use of measured air vs. surface temperature and relative humidity estimates	46

Figure 4.1	Common structure of a canopy compensation point model with a unidirectional non-stomatal and a bidirectional stomatal NH_3 exchange pathway; serial bidirectional simplification with foliar resistance and foliar compensation point; and serial unidirectional simplification with effective foliar resistance	59
Figure 4.2	Results from the Bourtanger Moor case study	66
Figure 4.3	Linear regression of observed daily mean daytime NH_3 dry deposition velocities vs. daytime ratio of CO_2 flux-derived stomatal conductance to total modelled foliar conductance	67
Figure 4.4	Theoretical explanation of the results from the Bourtanger Moor case study	68
Figure 5.1	Common structure of a bidirectional one-layer canopy compensation point model for biosphere-atmosphere exchange of NH_3 and simplification of to a serial resistance structure	75
Figure 5.2	Hourly and monthly averaged air NH_3 concentrations for the year 2016 of synthetic data predicted from LOTOS-EUROS for one grid cell in the Allgäu region in Germany and measured data from a flux tower in the Bavarian Forest	81
Figure 5.3	Predicted cumulative monthly NH_3 deposition for the four scenarios <i>control</i> , <i>direct</i> , <i>monthly</i> , and <i>corrected</i> of the synthetic dataset	84
Figure 5.4	Linear regressions as an estimate for the monthly standard deviation of air NH_3 concentrations and the monthly Pearson correlation of exchange velocities and air NH_3 concentrations	86

Figure 5.5	Variation of the individual error terms in equation (5.9) with the magnitude of the error for synthetic and measured data . . .	88
Figure C.1	Similar to Figure 5.3b,d, for four different sites and five different land-use types . . .	150
Figure C.2	Similar to Figure 5.3 for a Scottish moorland site	152
Figure C.3	Sensitivity of errors to an increasing sampling interval, exemplarily calculated for the Scottish moorland site previously shown in Figure C.2	154

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Medians, weighted averages and ranges of ammonia deposition velocities categorised by land-use	19
Table 3.1	Summary of the five datasets used to examine non-stomatal exchange in two state-of-the-art models	36
Table 5.1	Performance of the different averaging strategies	85
Table A.1	List of ammonia deposition velocities sorted by land-use category	131
Table B.1	List of frequently used symbols	147
Table C.1	Site characteristics of the additionally tested four synthetic datasets	151

LISTINGS

Listing A.1	Raw .csv data used for the calculation of statistics	138
Listing A.2	IPython Notebook for data analysis . . .	145