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Abstract

Purpose: The aim of this survey was to review the different studies regarding the occurrence of second primary tumors (SPT) among survivors of retinoblastoma. Methods: Ovid (Medline, Current contents life, Psychlit, Embase) was searched for the years 1966-1995 using the mesh headings: 'retinoblastoma', 'second primary neoplasms', and 'multiple primary neoplasms'. The inclusion criteria were: the study should involve 50 patients or more and should not be limited to one specific SPT. A checklist with criteria regarding the study design and the results was applied to each study. Results: Eleven studies were identified which met the inclusion criteria. Thirty-five different types of SPT \( \text{N}_{\text{total}}=43 \) were reported. Most of them were osteosarcomas (37.0%), followed by melanomas (7.4%), soft-tissue sarcomas (6.9%), brain tumors (4.5%), fibrosarcomas (3.3%), chondrosarcomas (3.3%), and sarcomas (3.3%). Less frequently reported were leukemias (2.4%), sebaceous cell carcinomas (1.6%), and non-Hodgkin lymphomas (1.6%). Pineoblastoma, which in fact is a trilateral retinoblastoma and not an SPT, was found in 2.4%. Despite the differences, all 11 studies showed a higher incidence of SPT compared to the general population. Only 4 studies were judged to be free from selection bias, reporting a cumulative incidence of SPT of 8.4% 18 years after diagnosis, 15.7% at the age of 20 years, 19% at the age of 35 years, and a relative risk of 15.4 for SPT, respectively. Conclusion: SPT is a serious problem for the survivors of hereditary retinoblastoma and its importance should be recognized in (genetic) counseling of patients.
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Introduction

Retinoblastoma is a malignant tumor of the retina in children. It can occur either unilaterally or bilaterally and may be familial or sporadic. Patients in whom the tumor is known to be familial and those with bilateral retinoblastoma have the hereditary form (30-40%). Patients with
unilateral retinoblastoma without a family history mostly have the nonhereditary form (60-70%).\textsuperscript{1} In the hereditary form of retinoblastoma, a mutation of the \textit{RB1} gene on chromosome 13q14 is present in all cells of the body, whereas in the nonhereditary form this mutation is only seen in the tumor cells of the retina.\textsuperscript{2} Retinoblastoma is curable in most patients.\textsuperscript{3-5} However, hereditary retinoblastoma patients have a high risk of developing second primary tumor (SPT) in their childhood or adolescence. A high risk for SPT is also reported for adult hereditary retinoblastoma patients.\textsuperscript{6,7} This high risk for SPT in hereditary retinoblastoma patients is probably due to the germline mutation of the retinoblastoma gene (\textit{RB1}) in all body cells. Consequently, the first step in the oncogenesis pathway is already present in all cells.\textsuperscript{8} Numerous articles have been published regarding the occurrence of SPT in retinoblastoma patients since the first publication by Reese et al.\textsuperscript{9} in 1949. These studies differ substantially in design and size, and partly for this reason there is a considerable variety in the reported incidence of SPT.

In (genetic) counseling of retinoblastoma patients or their parents, it is difficult to provide adequate information regarding the incidence of SPT based on the literature. Therefore, the aim of this review is to evaluate critically the different articles regarding SPT among survivors of retinoblastoma.

\textbf{Methods} A search was done in Ovid (Medline, Current contents life, Psychlit, Embase) for the years 1966-1995 using the mesh headings: 'retinoblastoma', 'second primary neoplasms', and 'multiple primary neoplasms'. The inclusion criteria were: the study should involve 50 patients or more and should not be limited to one specific SPT. Consequently, case reports and small case series were excluded. The references of the articles identified were checked. A checklist with criteria regarding the study design and the results was applied to each study. With respect to study design, the following issues were checked: origin of the patient group (clinic(s) and country), whether it was population-based or not, the primary retinoblastoma treatment, the number of patients included, whether it concerned a population with different types of retinoblastoma (percentage of the retinoblastoma types in terms of laterality or heredity), the follow-up period, the number of patients with completed follow-up, and the statistical method. Data extraction regarding the results concerned the cumulative incidence of SPT, death due to SPT, and the number of different types of SPT.

\textbf{Results}
### STUDY DESIGN OF THE 11 STUDIES

Table 1 shows an overview of the 11 studies that included reports on the occurrence of second primary tumors in retinoblastoma patients. These studies differed substantially in design and population size. All 11 studies dealt with a historical cohort. The cohorts were identified within a single institution, in multiple centers, or in population-based registries. The reported periods occurred between 1914 and 1990. Two studies were restricted to bilateral and four to hereditary retinoblastoma, i.e., bilateral or unilateral with a positive family history. One study was unclear with regard to the laterality. The other studies included information regarding different mixed bilateral/unilateral or hereditary/nonhereditary retinoblastoma patient series. The proportion of patients with a complete follow-up was indicated explicitly in seven studies and the mean follow-up period ranged from 7.2 to 31 years. Only the median was given in three studies.

In one series, the endpoint of follow-up was mortality due to second primary tumors, in the other series the endpoint of follow-up was the diagnosis of second primary tumors. The case fatality rate is given in seven series. Different statistical methods were used: actuarial methods, Kaplan-Meier, and life-table analysis are difficult to compare with relative risk.

One study also included pineoblastoma (trilateral retinoblastoma) as an SPT. Another study included three brain tumors, one of which was probably a pineoblastoma, as suggested by the authors. The other studies did not regard pineoblastoma as an SPT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Clinic (country)</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>SPT</th>
<th>Stat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jensen &amp; Miller</td>
<td>6 centers (USA)</td>
<td>1914-1969</td>
<td>1623</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeSutter et al.</td>
<td>Gent (Belgium)</td>
<td>1950-1984</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>40%bil</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lueder et al.</td>
<td>Essen (Germany)</td>
<td>1960-1984</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>66%bil</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draper et al.</td>
<td>Iowa City (USA)</td>
<td>1924-1985</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>100%her</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DerKinderen et al.</td>
<td>Centers (UK)</td>
<td>1962-1977</td>
<td>882</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>44%her</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>25y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lueder et al.</td>
<td>Populat (Holland)</td>
<td>1945-1970</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>100%her</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>25y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roarty et al.</td>
<td>AFIP (USA)</td>
<td>1922-1973</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>100%bil</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>7.2y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winther et al.</td>
<td>Populat (Denmark)</td>
<td>1943-1984</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>34%her</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>24y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith et al.</td>
<td>Stanford (USA)</td>
<td>1954-1986</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>79%her</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>11y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desjardin et al.</td>
<td>Paris (France)</td>
<td>1922-1965</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>100%bil</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minoda et al.</td>
<td>Populat (Japan)</td>
<td>1975-1982</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>100%her</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eng et al.</td>
<td>NY / Boston (USA)</td>
<td>1914-1984</td>
<td>1603</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>57%bil</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>17y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n, number of patients; AFIP, Armed Forces Institute of Pathology; NY, New York; y, years; SPT, second primary tumor; RB, retinoblastoma; bilateral/her, hereditary; RR, relative risk in comparison to the population; populat, population-based; KM, Kaplan-Meier; LT, life table; Act, actuarial; pat, patients, Cum Inc, cumulative incidence for the total period at issue; death, case fatality rate of SPT; stat, statistical method; ?, was not stated in the publication and could also not be inferred or calculated.

**TABLE 1.** Overview of the literature regarding second primary tumors among survivors of retinoblastoma.
CONTENTS OF THE 11 STUDIES WITH RELATION TO SPT

Jensen et al. reported that radiotherapy was associated with an increased risk of osteosarcomas, soft-tissue neoplasms, or carcinomas of the skin (n=30 radio-induced SPT), but they also reported 11 SPT which were considered not to be radiogenic.

The studies in Gent (Belgium) and Essen (Germany) by De Sutter et al. showed a cumulative incidence of second primary tumors ranging from 10% to 30% in a retinoblastoma population (40% had bilateral retinoblastoma), using a life-table analysis. They concluded that the incidence of SPT was independent of radiotherapy. In Gent, only six patients were treated with chemotherapy, so that the authors were not able to determine its influence on SPT. In Essen, chemotherapy did not seem to influence the risk of SPT.

In 50 hereditary retinoblastoma patients, Lueder et al. found a cumulative incidence of SPT of 6%, 14%, and 14% after 10, 20, and 30 years, respectively. Pineoblastoma was the probable cause of death in three of the five patients in this series who died of SPT. They did not find a (osteos)arcoma.

Draper et al. found a cumulative incidence of SPT of 8.4% for all SPT in hereditary retinoblastoma patients 18 years after initial treatment; this figure was 6.0% for osteosarcoma only. Their results also suggested that the use of cyclophosphamide might increase the risk of SPT in hereditary retinoblastoma patients. The six pineoblastomas were not considered as SPT, but were reported separately in an article on ectopic intracranial retinoblastoma. These authors also mentioned strong evidence for an association between retinoblastoma and malignant melanoma.

The Dutch population-based study showed a cumulative incidence of SPT of 19% in hereditary retinoblastoma patients at the age of 35 years.

Roarty et al. reported a cumulative incidence of SPT in patients with bilateral retinoblastoma of 4.4% ten years after diagnosis, 18.3% after 20 years, and 26.1% after 30 years, using the life-table method. They concluded that the cumulative incidence of SPT was higher (although not significantly) in patients who received radiation therapy (the cumulative incidence of SPT was 35.1% after 30 years).

A population-based study in Denmark by Winther et al. found three SPT in 175 retinoblastoma patients (34% had hereditary retinoblastoma) and compared this risk with the risk in the general population. They reported a relative risk for SPT of 4.2 for all retinoblastoma patients, 15.4 for hereditary and 1.7 for nonhereditary retinoblastoma patients. These results, however, were based on one reported osteosarcoma, one Ewing sarcoma, and one anaplastic tumor only. One pineoblastoma was also found, but it was not incorporated into the study results.

Smith et al. described the incidence of SPT among 53 retinoblastoma patients (79% had the hereditary form) seen in Stanford. Fifty of these 53 infants received irradiation, and eight patients of this subgroup developed 11 SPT. The actuarial incidence of SPT was 6% ten years after diagnosis, 19% after 20 years, and 38% after 30 years. They reported one pineoblastoma, but excluded this tumor from the analysis.

Desjardin et al. was able to follow up 24 of the 80 bilateral retinoblastoma patients in Paris for more than 30 years and found nine SPT, six of which were potentially radiation-induced. They calculated a percentage of SPT between 18% and 68%, depending on the occurrence of SPT in the 24 patients lost to follow-up (32 deceased due to retinoblastoma).
The Japanese population-based study\(^{23}\) found a cumulative incidence among the 409 hereditary cases of 0% at the age of 5 years, 4.8% at 10 years, and 15.7% at 20 years. They also reported two unilateral nonfamilial cases with SPT.

The large study by Eng et al.\(^{6}\) in 1603 retinoblastoma patients with a follow-up of 91% of the patients showed 96 deaths from SPT (relative risk of 30). With respect to the 919 bilateral retinoblastoma patients, the relative risk for SPT was 60 in comparison with that of the general population. After a 40-year follow-up, the cumulative mortality for all SPT combined was 26.0% for the bilateral group and 1.5% for the unilateral group in comparison with the general population.

**DIFFERENT TYPES OF SPT IN PERCENTAGES** The 11 reviewed articles\(^{6,10,14-16,18-23}\) reported a total of 243 SPT divided into 35 different types. Most of them were osteosarcomas (37.0%), followed by melanomas (7.4%), soft-tissue sarcomas (6.9%), brain tumors (4.5%), fibrosarcomas (3.3%), chondrosarcomas (3.3%), and sarcomas (3.3%). Less frequently reported were leukemias (2.4%), sebaceous cell carcinoma (1.6%), and non-Hodgkin lymphomas (1.6%). Ten different SPT types (squamous cell carcinoma, rhabdomyosarcoma, fibrous histiocytoma, Ewing sarcoma, liposarcoma, glioblastoma, anaplastic cell carcinoma, transitional cell carcinoma, meningioma, astrocytoma) were mentioned two or three times only. Fifteen different SPT types were found only once. A high number of SPT types were unknown (9.8%). Twice, a metastasis was qualified as SPT. Pineoblastomas, in fact a trilateral retinoblastoma and not an SPT, was found in 2.4%.

**Discussion**

**STUDY DESIGN OF THE 11 STUDIES** Only 11 articles\(^{6,10,14-16,18-23}\) on retinoblastoma and SPT fulfilled the inclusion criteria. The design of these studies, especially of those conducted in the past, was not very sophisticated. In the older studies, patients were drawn from the registries of one of a few departments of ophthalmology specializing in retinoblastoma, which probably led to an overrepresentation of complex patients. Difficult patients (mostly with hereditary retinoblastoma), possibly with a greater risk for SPT (due to the RB\(^1\) mutation and/or excessive radiation therapy or chemotherapy), therefore, were more likely to be included in these studies, which may have caused a higher cumulative incidence of SPT. Population-based studies have the advantage of avoiding this type of selection bias. Three studies\(^{18,20,23}\) were nationwide and free of this kind of selection bias.

Documentation of the follow-up period varied from not indicated,\(^{10,14,19,23}\) to incomplete,\(^{6,16,20-22}\) to complete.\(^{15,18}\) The reasons for loss to follow-up were not given. An incomplete follow-up of the series may influence the cumulative incidence of SPT. The Japanese population-based study\(^{23}\) covered the period 1975-1982 with a relatively short follow-up to 1990. Therefore, SPT such as melanomas, which occur in later life, were probably not found. Some studies did not clearly distinguish a metastasis from an SPT,\(^{16,20}\) which could also lead to an overestimation of the cumulative incidence of SPT. Eng et al.\(^{6}\) reported nine brain tumors, which were not specified further: possibly the brain tumors were pineoblastomas or late metastases from retinoblastoma. Another problem of this study was that the authors only reported on deaths
due to SPT. Therefore, they probably missed some nonfatal SPT and it is difficult to compare their results with others. Only the study of Winther et al.\textsuperscript{20} presented relative risks in comparison with the general population. Despite the differences, all 11 studies showed an increased number of SPT compared with the general population. Four of the 11 studies were judged free from selection bias, reporting cumulative incidences of SPT of 8.4% 18 years\textsuperscript{15} after diagnosis, 15.7% at the age of 20 years,\textsuperscript{23} 19% at the age of 35 years\textsuperscript{18} and a relative risk of 15.4 for SPT\textsuperscript{6} in comparison with the general population, respectively.

**HEREDITARY VERSUS NONHEREDITARY RETINOBLASTOMA AND SPT** It seems clear from the data reported that the high risk for SPT is virtually confined to those with the hereditary form of retinoblastoma. As mentioned in the introduction section, the high risk for SPT in hereditary retinoblastoma patients is probably due to the germline mutation of the RB\textsubscript{1} gene in all body cells. Consequently, the first step in the oncogenesis pathway is already present in all cells.\textsuperscript{8} Eng et al.\textsuperscript{6} reported in their series five SPT in 684 children with unilateral retinoblastoma; the overall risk was significant (RR=3.1; 95% CI=1.0-7.3). However, as they discussed, the increased risk was not entirely surprising, since a proportion of the patients with unilateral retinoblastoma could have in fact hereditary retinoblastoma, which placed them at a higher risk. The two unilateral nonfamilial retinoblastoma patients with SPT mentioned in the Japanese study\textsuperscript{23} could also be hereditary cases with unilateral occurrence like other cases reported by Abramson et al.\textsuperscript{13} and Hausmann and Stefani.\textsuperscript{21}

**DIFFERENT TYPES OF SPT** All in all, 35 different SPT types were reported in the 11 studies. As was expected, most of the SPT types were osteosarcomas and soft-tissue sarcomas. Hereditary retinoblastoma patients harbor the somatic mutation in the RB\textsubscript{1} gene. This mutation also appears to play a pathogenetic role in a substantial proportion of osteosarcomas and soft-tissue sarcomas.\textsuperscript{27-29} Another important finding is the high incidence of malignant melanomas (7.4%) which do not appear to harbor RB\textsubscript{1} mutations.\textsuperscript{27} In an overview of the literature regarding melanoma and retinoblastoma, Traboulsi et al.\textsuperscript{30} reported that cutaneous malignant melanoma accounts for about 7\% (range 4.2%-14.3\%) of SPT. Pineoblastomas, trilateral retinoblastomas of the pineal gland,\textsuperscript{31,32} do not meet the definition of SPT, because pineoblastomas cannot be distinguished histologically from primary tumor retinoblastomas. Despite this, two studies regarded pineoblastoma as an SPT.\textsuperscript{10,14} Some authors only mentioned the finding of a pineoblastoma in their study.\textsuperscript{15,20,21} A valid cumulative incidence of pineoblastoma has not yet been reported in the literature. Holladay et al.\textsuperscript{33} reported that 68\% of the pineoblastoma patients had the familial hereditary form of retinoblastoma.

**REVIEW** Mitchell\textsuperscript{24} gave a critical review of the literature of retinoblastoma and SPT, but discussed fewer patient series. He also concluded that the overall incidence of SPT is difficult to assess, because of the different methods and study groups, but that it is probably closer to 15\% than to the 68\% proposed by Abramson et al.\textsuperscript{13}
CONCLUSION  SPT is a serious problem for the survivors of hereditary retinoblastoma and its importance should be recognized in (genetic) counseling of retinoblastoma patients.
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