

VU Research Portal

Deux Poids, Deux Mesures

Arbaoui, Y.

2019

document version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

citation for published version (APA)

Arbaoui, Y. (2019). *Deux Poids, Deux Mesures: A critical frame analysis of the Dutch debate on family-related asylum claims*. [PhD-Thesis - Research and graduation internal, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam].

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

E-mail address:

vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl

Table of Contents

<i>Abstract</i>	<i>VII</i>
<i>Abbreviations</i>	<i>15</i>
Prologue: The Individual, Family and State	17
Chapter 1 <i>Mise en Scene</i>	25
<i>Section 1: Two Family-related Asylum Claims</i>	26
1.1 Family bond	27
1.1.1 Regular migration law	28
1.1.2 Refugee law	31
1.2 Forced marriage	37
1.2.1 International human rights law	37
1.2.2 Regular migration law and domestic law	39
1.2.3 Refugee law	41
<i>Section 2: Research aim</i>	44
<i>Section 3: Theoretical model</i>	45
3.1 Framing	45
3.2 Frames	48
3.3 Framing process	52
3.3.1 The voice/silence dimension	52
3.3.2 Frame alignment and reframing	53
3.3.3 Frozen frames	55
<i>Section 4: Research questions</i>	56
<i>Section 5: Data collection</i>	57
5.1 Foster children	58
5.2 Forced marriage	60
<i>Section 6: Data analysis</i>	64
<i>Section 7: Outline of the thesis</i>	67
Chapter 2 <i>Reconstructing the debate on foster children</i>	71
<i>Section 1: The debate on the family bond</i>	72
1.1 Political debate	72
1.1.1 Fraudulent parenthood	72
1.1.2 Fraud measures	74

1.1.3	Problematizing fraud-measures	75
1.1.4	Effects of fraud-measures	77
1.2	Legal debate	78
1.2.1	Breaking the family bond	78
1.2.2	The existence of the family bond	85
	Section 2: The debate on reunification interviews	94
2.1	Interview setting	94
2.1.1	Before the interviews	94
2.1.2	First interview	95
2.1.3	Second interview	95
2.2	Legal debate	96
2.3	Political debate	98
2.3.1	Critique from lawyers and NGOs	98
2.3.2	Critique from the Children’s Ombudsman	100
2.3.3	The Government’s response	101
2.3.4	Critique from the Parliament and the ACVZ	103
2.3.5	Policy change	105
	Section 3: Summary and conclusion	105
3.1	Family bond	106
3.2	Reunification interviews	106
3.3	Contrasting state approaches to family ties	106
Chapter 3	Reconstructing the debate on forced marriage	109
	Section 1: Forced marriage in the 2005 ACVZ report	110
1.1	‘Persecution for reasons of’	111
1.2	Failure of state protection	111
	Section 2: Forced marriage in country reports	113
2.1	Non-thematizing forced marriage	113
2.2	Thematizing forced marriage	115
2.3	Forced marriage in Nigeria reports	116
	Section 3: Forced marriage in Case Law	117
3.1	Three fundamental judgments	117
3.2	Two claims from Nigeria	120
3.2.1	‘Persecution for reasons of’	120
3.2.2	Failure of state protection	121
3.3	Other refugee cases	122
3.3.1	‘Persecution for reasons of’	122
3.3.2	Failure of state protection	130
3.4	Credibility	143

3.5	Sidelining and erasing forced marriage	148
3.5.1	Forced marriage and 'female genital mutilation'	148
3.5.2	Forced marriage and 'honour-based violence'	151
3.5.3	Forced marriage and 'homosexuality'	152
	Section 4: Summary and conclusion	153
4.1	Lack of political debate	154
4.2	Forced marriage in the 2005 ACVZ report	154
4.3	Forced marriage in country reports	154
4.4	Forced marriage in case law	154
4.5	Contrasting state approaches to forced marriage	154
Chapter 4	Closing Scene	157
	Section 1: Frames	158
1.1	The 'boy at the dike' frame	159
1.1.1	Foster children	161
1.1.2	Forced marriage	167
1.2	The vulnerability frame	171
1.2.1	Foster children	171
1.2.2	Forced marriage	174
1.3	The culture frame	177
1.3.1	Foster children	177
1.3.2	Forced marriage	178
	Section 2: The framing process	181
2.1	Foster children	182
2.2	Forced marriage	186
	Section 3: Contrasting the two debates	191
3.1	Reflection on the 'boy at the dike' frame	191
3.2	Reflection on the vulnerability frame	196
3.3	Reflection on the culture frame	199
3.4	Reflection on the framing process	203
3.4.1	Relation between frames	203
3.4.2	Strategies	204
3.4.3	Interaction between policy and legal framing	205
3.4.4	Bridging role of lawyers	206
	Section 4: Concluding words	207
4.1	Overall conclusion	207
4.2	Methodological reflections	208
4.3	Further research	209

Epilogue: <i>Deux poids, Deux mesures</i>	211
Bibliography	215
List of policy documents	229
1. Parliamentary documents	230
2. Government's policy letters	230
3. Aliens Circular	231
4. Immigratie-en Naturalisatiedienst	231
5. Country of Origin Information Reports	231
6. Advisory and monitoring reports	233
List of case law	235
1. European Court of Human Rights	236
2. Council of State (Afdeling bestuursrechtspraak van de Raad van State)	236
3. Regional Courts (Rechtbanken)	237
Acknowledgment	241
About the author	245