

VU Research Portal

Reintroduction of vacuum extraction in a tertiary referral hospital in Uganda

Nolens-van der Horst, B.J.

2019

document version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

citation for published version (APA)

Nolens-van der Horst, B. J. (2019). *Reintroduction of vacuum extraction in a tertiary referral hospital in Uganda*. [PhD-Thesis - Research and graduation internal, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam].

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.


- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

E-mail address:

vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl



**Use of assisted vaginal birth to
reduce unnecessary caesarean
sections and improve maternal and
perinatal outcomes**

**Barbara Nolens
Manuela Capelle
Jos van Roosmalen
Glen Mola
Josaphat Byamugisha
John Lule
Arfang Faye
Thomas van den Akker**

Far too many birth-related maternal and perinatal deaths still occur in low-income and middle-income countries. Prolonged labour is a common cause of stillbirths, maternal deaths, and lifelong disabilities.¹⁻³ Prolonged second stage of labour is a common indication for caesarean section; however, many of these caesarean sections could be prevented by the use of assisted vaginal birth, with vacuum extraction being the method of first choice.¹⁻³ Compared with caesarean section, vacuum extraction is associated with a lower risk of infection and haemorrhage, and shorter decision-to-birth interval and therefore lower rates of birth asphyxia, intrapartum stillbirths, and severe maternal morbidity.^{2,3} Caesarean section is also associated with increased risks of pregnancy-related hysterectomy and, in future pregnancies, abnormal placentation and uterine rupture.⁴ Furthermore, caesarean section usually means slower physical and mental maternal recovery and higher health service costs as compared with assisted vaginal birth.⁴⁻⁶ When indications align, such as prolonged second stage of labour or fetal distress during the second stage of labour, use of vacuum extraction can lead to a reduction of unnecessary caesarean sections, an issue discussed at length in a 2018 Lancet Series on caesarean sections.^{4,5}

Risk reduction associated with assisted vaginal birth is larger in settings where safe surgery and anaesthesia cannot be taken for granted and where fertility rates are high.^{2,7} Studies in low-income and middle-income countries have shown that fewer than 1% of institutional births were by assisted vaginal birth compared with up to 16.4% in northwest Europe.^{1,8} This difference is largely because obstetric skills required for assisted vaginal birth have disappeared from many of the areas where these skills are most needed and could potentially have the highest beneficial effect. WHO states that skilled attendants at primary care levels should be able to do vacuum extraction as one of the basic obstetric functions. Inexperience with, or inadequate skills required for assisted vaginal birth have been associated with a greater frequency of caesarean section use.⁵ Other reported obstacles to assisted vaginal birth are a paucity of functioning equipment and exaggerated fear of scalp and brain injury for the neonate. Additionally, unjustified fear of mother-to-child transmission of HIV, policies forbidding available professional cadres such as midwives to do assisted vaginal birth, and fear of litigation also play a role.^{1-3,5}

At the same time, studies evaluating the re-introduction of vacuum extraction in several low-income and middle-income countries have revealed promising results.⁸⁻¹⁰ A 2018 study showed that it was feasible to re-introduce vacuum extraction in 15 health facilities in Tanzania with adequate training and supervision of staff.⁹ In the main referral hospital in Kampala, Uganda, a programme was implemented in 2012, consisting of the development of a guideline, supply of equipment, and training of staff. Among all births, vacuum extraction use rose from 0.6% to 3.7%. This was accompanied by a 23.6% ($P<0.01$) decrease in intrapartum stillbirths and a 25.5% ($P<0.01$) decrease of uterine rupture.⁸ Remarkably, mean decision-to-birth interval for vacuum extraction was 34 minutes versus 4 hours and 38 minutes for caesarean section: this reduction in time was the most likely explanation for the significant decrease ($P<0.01$) in intrapartum deaths from birth

asphyxia. Increased use of vacuum extraction also reduced the waiting time for women who really needed caesarean section, which in turn led to a reduction of uterine rupture and improved outcome for these women and neonates.^{2,8} In a cohort of 289 women who had vacuum-assisted vaginal birth in this hospital, 257 (91%) were satisfied with their birthing experience.⁶ Similarly, a series of interventions (training of staff, monitoring and evaluation, audit, and constructive feedback) were implemented in Mozambique in 2015. These interventions reversed the underutilisation of vacuum extraction, and led to a substantial reduction of maternal mortality and stillbirths.¹⁰ A 2018 report from Papua New Guinea documented four decades of audit in a large public hospital, where a focus on maintaining obstetric skills, including the use of vacuum extraction, has been associated with low perinatal mortality and caesarean sections.¹¹

Re-introduction of vacuum extraction in low-income and middle-income countries can play a major role in the prevention of mortality and morbidity related to prolonged labour and the reduction of unnecessary caesarean section in the second stage of labour. It is therefore of utmost importance to promote and support international and institutional efforts to work towards the re-introduction of vacuum extraction through intervention programmes.

References

- 1 Bailey PE, van Roosmalen J, Mola G, Evans C, de Bernis L, Dao B. Assisted vaginal delivery in low and middle-income countries: an overview. *BJOG* 2017; 124: 1335–44.
- 2 Nolens B, Namiro F, Lule J, van den Akker T, van Roosmalen J, Byamugisha J. Prospective cohort study comparing outcomes between vacuum extraction and second-stage cesarean delivery at a Ugandan tertiary referral hospital. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 2018; 142: 28–36.
- 3 Pattinson RC, Vannevel V, Barnard D, et al. Failure to perform assisted deliveries is resulting in an increased neonatal and maternal morbidity and mortality: an expert opinion. *S Afr Med J* 2018; 108: 75–78.
- 4 Sandall J, Tribe RM, Avery L, et al. Short-term and long-term effects of caesarean section on the health of women and children. *Lancet* 2018; 392: 1349–1357.
- 5 Betrán AP, Temmerman M, Kingdon C, et al. Interventions to reduce unnecessary caesarean sections in healthy women and babies. *Lancet* 2018; 392: 1358–1368.
- 6 Nolens B, van den Akker T, Lule J, Twinomuhangi S, van Roosmalen J, Byamugisha J. Birthing experience and quality of life after vacuum delivery and second-stage caesarean section: a prospective cohort study in Uganda. *Trop Med Int Health* 2018; 23: 914–922.
- 7 Biccard BM, Thandinkosi EM, Kluyts HL, et al. Perioperative patient outcomes in the African Surgical Outcomes Study: a 7-day prospective observational cohort study. *Lancet* 2018; 391: 1589–1598.
- 8 Nolens B, Lule J, Namiro F, van Roosmalen J, Byamugisha J. Audit of a program to increase the use of vacuum extraction in Mulago hospital, Uganda. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2016; 16: 258.
- 9 Dominico S, Bailey PE, Mwakatundu N, Kasanga M, van Roosmalen J. Reintroducing vacuum extraction in primary health care facilities: a case study from Tanzania. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2018; 18: 248.
- 10 Geelhoed D, de Deus V, Siteo M, et al. Improving emergency obstetric care and reversing the underutilisation of vacuum extraction: a qualitative study of implementation in Tete Province, Mozambique. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2018; 18: 266.
- 11 Mola GDL, Unger HW. Strategies to reduce and maintain low perinatal mortality in resource-poor settings—findings from a four-decade observational study of birth records from a large public maternity hospital in Papua New Guinea. *Aust NZ J Obstet Gynaecol* 2019; 59: 394–402.