

VU Research Portal

Early-life endocrine regulation and neurodevelopmental outcomes

Hollanders, J.J.

2020

document version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

citation for published version (APA)

Hollanders, J. J. (2020). *Early-life endocrine regulation and neurodevelopmental outcomes*. [PhD-Thesis - Research and graduation internal, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam].

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

E-mail address:

vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl

10

Is HPA-axis reactivity in childhood
gender-specific? A systematic
review

Jonneke J. Hollanders*,
Bibian van der Voorn*,
Joost Rotteveel,
Martijn J.J. Finken

* Authors contributed equally to this manuscript

Biology of Sex Differences. 2017; 8: 23.

ABSTRACT

Background

In adults, hypothalamus–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis activity shows sexual dimorphism, and this is thought to be a mechanism underlying sex-specific disease incidence. Evidence is scarce on whether these sex differences are also present in childhood. In a meta-analysis, we recently found that basal (non-stimulated) cortisol in saliva and free cortisol in 24-h urine follow sex-specific patterns. We explored whether these findings could be extended with sex differences in HPA axis reactivity.

Methods

From inception to January 2016, PubMed and EMBASE.com were searched for studies that assessed HPA axis reactivity in healthy girls and boys aged ≤ 18 years. Articles were systematically assessed and reported in the categories: (1) diurnal rhythm, (2) cortisol awakening response (CAR), (3) protocolled social stress tests similar or equal to the Trier Social Stress Test for children (TSST-C), (4) pharmacological (ACTH and CRH) stress tests, and (5) miscellaneous stress tests.

Results

Two independent assessors selected 109 out of 6158 records for full-text screening, of which 81 studies (with a total of 14,591 subjects) were included. Studies showed that girls had a tendency towards a more variable diurnal rhythm (12 out of 29 studies), a higher CAR (8 out of 18 studies), and a stronger cortisol response to social stress tests (9 out of 21 studies). We found no evidence for sex differences in cortisol response after a pharmacological challenge or to miscellaneous stress tests.

Discussion

Sex differences in HPA axis reactivity appear to be present in childhood, although evidence is not unequivocal. For a better evaluation of sex differences in HPA axis reactivity, standardization of protocols and reports of stress tests is warranted.

BACKGROUND

Marked gender differences exist in the incidence of several diseases. While men are more prone to obesity, cardiovascular disease, and infectious diseases, women are more susceptible to anxiety, depression, and autoimmune diseases. Sex-specific risks for chronic, non-communicable diseases are thought to result from a combination of genotype, phenotype, and environmental influences during life. Whereas adjustment to environmental challenges is healthy in the short term, developmental plasticity can cause sex-specific adverse effects in the long term.¹

One of the possible explanations for this sexual dimorphism in disease is a sex-specific reactivity of the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis. HPA-axis functioning can be distinguished by on the one hand the maintenance of homeostasis by controlling basal activity as well as the sensitivity to stressors and, on the other hand, coping with, adapting to, and recovery from reactions to stressors. These processes are controlled by mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid receptors (MRs and GRs). MRs are mainly involved with basal HPA-axis activity, whereas GRs predominantly regulate HPA-axis reactivity.² In animals, receptor expression patterns appear to develop in a sex-specific manner, with sex differences already present at birth.³ In humans, sexually dimorphic HPA-axis reactivity has also been reported in adulthood: men showed a greater cortisol response to acute real-life or controlled laboratory psychological stress compared to women.⁴ Additionally, cortisol responses increased with age in both men and women, but the effect was three-fold stronger in women compared to men, which could possibly be attributed to menopause.⁵ These patterns closely resemble those of cardiovascular disease mortality and morbidity.⁶ While the setting of HPA-axis functioning results from the balance between MR and GR expression,² interactions with the hypothalamus-pituitary-gonadal (HPG) axis are thought to mediate sex-specific stress reactions as well as pathophysiology.⁷

It has previously been hypothesized that disease susceptibility can originate in childhood, possibly through permanent alterations in HPA-axis activity to environmental challenges.¹ We recently showed that basal HPA-axis activity, represented by non-stimulated cortisol concentrations in saliva and free cortisol in 24-h urine, show sexual dimorphism, with a sex-specific change induced by puberty.⁸ In addition, gender differences in the reactivity of the HPA-axis have also been described in children,^{4,9,10} although evidence is scarce and not systematically reviewed. Therefore, we aimed to examine whether sex-specific differences in HPA-axis reactivity are present in childhood.

To study this sex-specific reactivity of the HPA-axis, we performed a systematic review of the literature. The reactivity of the HPA-axis was defined as the response to either exogenous (e.g., pharmacological, physical, or social) or endogenous (e.g., cortisol awakening response (CAR)) stimuli. In addition, we included diurnal rhythm as a marker of the responsiveness of the HPA-axis, although it functions differently from reactions of

the HPA-axis to stressors. We hypothesized that sex-specific HPA-axis reactivity is already present early in life.

METHODS

Search strategy

PubMed and Embase.com were searched from inception up to January 14, 2016 for studies addressing HPA-axis reactivity in serum or saliva in boys and girls aged ≤ 18 years by reports of either absolute cortisol values, slopes, AUCs, and/or through visualization of the data in figures. The full search strategy is detailed in Appendix 1 and was based on the index terms or free-text words 'cortisol' or 'glucocorticoid', and 'sex difference' or 'sexual characteristics', and 'child' or 'adolescent'. We excluded studies on children with (psycho)pathology, on synthetic glucocorticoids or with a risk of abnormal HPA axis reactivity (e.g., maltreatment). We did not impose restrictions on the year of publication or study design, apart from reviews and case reports, but we did apply an English language restriction. The review protocol was based on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) statement.

Data collection

Two independent assessors (BvdV and JJH) screened 6,158 titles and abstracts for assessment of sex-specific HPA-axis reactivity. Studies were not assessed blindly. Disagreement between assessors was discussed until consensus was reached. One hundred nine were eligible for full-text screening, of which 81 studies were included in the systematic review.

Figure 1 shows the flowchart of the search. When reports of results were unclear, the authors were contacted ($n=4$); two authors responded. One author did not reply and one replied but could not provide sufficient data, resulting in exclusion of these studies. Additionally, articles were excluded when 1) no statistical analysis of reactivity was performed ($n=9$), 2) pharmacological stress tests did not use corticotropin releasing hormone (CRH) and/or ACTH ($n=2$), 3) HPA-axis reactivity was presented stratified by gender, without analyzing gender differences ($n=6$), 4) gender was analyzed only as a confounder or effect modifier ($n=3$), 5) analyses of sex differences were performed with cases and controls combined ($n=2$) or 5) cortisol reactivity was defined as the variability of cortisol concentrations over several days to months ($n=3$). Several articles reported on the same cohort. Provided that extra information was presented, all articles were included in the review. Two articles were excluded as no new information was provided compared to other articles describing the same cohort. With respect to case-control studies, we included only the control group.




Figure 1: This flowchart presents the different phases of the systematic review, conform the PRISMA-statement. (www.prisma-statement.org)

Data analysis

HPA axis reactivity was classified as follows: 1) diurnal rhythm, 2) CAR, 3) protocolled social stress tests similar or equal to the Trier Social Stress Test for children (TSST-C), 4) pharmacological (ACTH and/or CRH) tests, or 5) miscellaneous stress tests. One assessor (JJH) assessed all the articles and sorted them according to the categories above. Data were extracted from the articles and systematically summarized. If more than one type of reactivity was assessed within one article, the data were included in all applicable categories.

RESULTS

A short overview of all articles is presented in Tables 1 through 5. For a more in-depth summary of the articles, see Online Supplementary File 1. Data on 14,591 subjects were included in this review, with an age range of 31 hours to 18 years.

Table 1: Summary of articles describing sex differences in diurnal rhythmicity

Author (year)	Sample size	Age	Sampling points	Medium	Results
Adam (2010)	230	17.04±0.36 yrs	6x/day on 3 days	Saliva	Lower diurnal cortisol curves in boys
Bae (2015)	138 (70 controls)	10.7±1.7 yrs	3x/day on 3 days	Saliva	Higher levels at awakening, 30 minutes after awakening and higher total daily output in girls; levels in the evening and diurnal slope: no sex differences
Barbosa (2012)	145	8-10yr group: 9.0±0.8 yrs; 11-14yr group: 11.9±1.0 yrs	2x	Saliva	No sex differences, higher diurnal decline in children aged 11-14 yrs old
Bartels (2003)	360	12 yrs	4x/day on 2 days	Saliva	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed
Carrion (2002)	31	Mean: 10.9 yrs	4x/day on 3 days	Saliva	No sex differences; pubertal status not associated with reactivity
Doom (2013)	110	9.42±0.88 yrs	3x/day on 5 days	Saliva	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed
Fransson (2014)	157	14-16 yrs	4x (including CAR)	Saliva	Steeper decline in girls
Garcia (1990)	76 (21 controls)	11.2±0.37 yrs	3-hourly during 24 hours	Blood	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed
Haen (1984)	64	1 mo. to 15 yrs	6 hourly (4x)	Blood	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed
Jones (2006)	140	7-9 yrs	5x	Saliva	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed
Kelly (2008)	2995	15.4±0.32 yrs	2x, 30min apart in the morning	Saliva	Steeper decline in girls
Kjølhede (2014)	342	9.5±1.9 yrs	3x/day on 4 days	Saliva	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed
Knutsson (1997)	235	2.2-18.5 yrs	7x	Blood	No sex differences, except for higher values in girls at pubertal stage 2
Kuhlman (2015)	121	12.8±2.3 yrs	4x/day on 2 days	Saliva	No impact of sex on cortisol at awakening or linear decline, but boys showed less deceleration of the diurnal decline between dinner and bed-time.
Lumeng (2014)	331	3-4 yrs	3x/day on 3 days	Saliva	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed
Martikainen (2013)	252	8.1±0.3 yrs	7x	Saliva	Higher morning cortisol in girls, no sex difference in nadir

Table 1: Summary of articles describing sex differences in diurnal rhythmicity (continued)

Author (year)	Sample size	Age	Sampling points	Medium	Results
Matchock (2007)	120	Boys: 9, 11 or 13 yrs; girls: 8, 10 or 12 yrs	6x (including CAR)	Saliva	Cortisol peak occurred later in boys than girls during later puberty. Higher morning cortisol in boys at pubertal stage 2. AUCg: no effect of sex, but significant pubertal stage effect
Michels (2012)	385	5-10 yrs	4x (including CAR)	Saliva	No sex differences except somewhat steeper decline in girls ($p=0.30$)
Morin-Major (2016)	88	14.5±1.8 yrs	4x/day on 2 days	Saliva	Higher AUC in girls
Netherton (2004)	129	12.8±0.19 yrs	2x/day on 4 days	Saliva	Mid-post pubertal girls higher morning cortisol than boys. No sex differences in variance across the four days
Osika (2007)	84	9.9±0.55 yrs	5x (including CAR)	Saliva	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed
Rosmalen (2005)	1768	11.08±0.55 yrs	3x (including CAR)	Saliva	Higher morning cortisol levels in girls, no sex differences in evening cortisol, already present in pre-pubertal children. Age or pubertal status not associated with cortisol levels.
Ruttle (2013)	346	11, 13 and 15 yrs	3x/day on 3 days	Saliva	Steeper slope in girls at ages 11 and 13, and in longitudinal analyses; higher cortisol levels in girls throughout the day at age 15
Shirtcliff (2012)	357	9, 11, 13 and 15 yrs	3x/day on 3 days	Saliva	Steeper slopes, more curvature in girls. Advancement through puberty: rhythm becomes flatter, especially in girls
Susman (2007)	111	Boys: 9, 11 or 13 yrs; girls: 8, 10 or 12 yrs	6x (including CAR)	Saliva	No sex differences; pubertal status not associated with reactivity
Tzortzi (2009)	21	10-14 yrs	20x (including CAR)	Saliva	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed
Vaillancourt (2008)	154	147±9.07 mo.	2x/day on 3 days	Saliva	Higher morning levels in girls on Saturday, multilevel regression: consistently higher production in girls
Vanaelst (2013)	355	5-10 yrs	4x/day on 2 days (including CAR)	Saliva	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed
Williams (2013)	27	9.13±1.41 yrs	3x/day on 2 days (including CAR)	Saliva	Boys exhibited flatter slopes than girls

Table 2: Summary of articles describing sex differences in cortisol awakening response (CAR)

Author (year)	Sample size	Age	Sampling points	Medium	Results
Adam (2010)	230	17.04 ± 0.36 yrs	0 and 40 min after awakening	Saliva	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed
Bae (2015)	138 (70 controls)	10.7±1.7 yrs	0 and 30 min after awakening	Saliva	Higher levels in girls at awakening and 30 min after awakening, no sex differences in awakening response
Bouma (2009)	644	16.13±0.59 yrs	0 and 30 min after awakening	Saliva	Higher basal levels in girls, no difference in awakening responses
Bright (2014)	47	12-24 months	0 and 30 min after awakening	Saliva	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed
Dietrich (2013)	1604	11.1±0.55 yrs	0 and 30 min after awakening	Saliva	AUCg and absolute cortisol values higher in girls, AUCi no sex differences
Fransson (2014)	157	14-16 yrs	0, 30 and 60 min after awakening	Saliva	Higher CAR in girls
Hatzinger (2007)	102	4.91±0.44 yrs	0, 10, 20 and 30 min after awakening	Saliva	Higher CAR in girls
Jones (2006)	140	7-9 yrs	0 and 30 min after awakening	Saliva	CAR present in boys, not girls
Kuhlman (2015)	121	12.8±2.3 yrs	0 and 45 min after awakening	Saliva	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed
Martikainen (2013)	252	8.1±0.3 yrs	0, 15 and 30 min after awakening	Saliva	Higher AUCg in girls, same increase and AUCi
Michels (2012)	385	5-10 yrs	0, 30 and 60 min after awakening	Saliva	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed
Morin-Major (2016)	88	14.5±1.8 yrs	0 and 30 min after awakening	Saliva	Correlated to sex, higher CAR in girls
Osika (2007)	84	9.9±0.55 yrs	0 and 15 min after awakening	Saliva	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed
Pruessner (1997)	42	11.16±1.99 yrs	On 3 days: 0, 10, 20 and 30 min after awakening	Saliva	Marginal differences: higher in girls
Susman (2007)	111	Boys: 9, 11 or 13 yrs; girls: 8, 10 or 12 yrs	0, 20 and 40 min after awakening	Saliva	No sex differences; pubertal status not associated with reactivity
Tzortzi (2009)	21	10-14 yrs	From waking: every 20 min until 3 hours after awakening	Saliva	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed
Vanaelst (2013)	355	5-10 yrs	0, 30 and 60 min after awakening	Saliva	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed
Williams (2013)	27	9.13±1.41 yrs	0 and 30 min after awakening	Saliva	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed

Table 3: Summary of articles describing sex differences in protocolled social stress test similar or equal to the TSST-C

Author (year)	Sample size	Age	Sampling points	Medium	Results
Bae (2015)	169 (81 controls)	10.8±1.8 yrs	8x (3 before, 5 after)	Saliva	No sex differences; pubertal status not associated with reactivity
Bouma (2009)	644	16.13±0.59 yrs	5x (2 before, 3 after) (Groningen Social Stress test)	Saliva	Cortisol responses were stronger in boys
Bouma (2011)	553	16.07±0.90 yrs	4x (1 before, 3 after) (Groningen Social Stress Test)	Saliva	Boys had higher cortisol levels on sample 2
De Veld (2012)	158	10.61±0.52 yrs	7x (2 before, 5 after)	Saliva	Cortisol response stronger in girls
Dockray (2009)	111	Boys: 9, 11 or 13 yrs; girls: 8, 10 or 12 yrs	5x, 2 before, 3 after	Saliva	No sex differences; age but not pubertal stage associated with reactivity in girls, no associations in boys.
Evans (2013)	707	13.77±3.56 yrs	After each period/ task, at the middle of the documentary and at the end of it (in fig. 2: 6 samples, 2 before, 4 during/ after) (Social stress tests based on TSST)	Saliva	In children (7-12): lower cortisol reactivity in boys experiencing less emotional warmth Adolescents (13-20): no sex differences
Gunnar (2009)	82	4 ages groups: 9 (9.79±0.16), 11 (11.57±0.15), 13 (13.55±0.46) 15 (15.55±0.47)	10x, 3 before, 7 after	Saliva	No sex differences, except higher cortisol reactivity in girls at age 13
Hostinar (2014)	191	14.4±1.93 yrs	6x (2 before, 4 after) (TSST for groups)	Saliva	No sex differences; higher intercepts and greater anticipatory responses with increasing age, pubertal status not assessed
Hostinar (2015)	81 (40 children, 41 adolescents)	Children: 9.97±0.52 yrs, adolescents: 16.05±0.39 yrs	4x (1 before, 3 after)	Saliva	Stronger response in 9-10 year old girls, no sex differences among adolescents
Ji (2016)	135	Boys: 9, 11 or 13 yrs; girls: 8, 10 or 12 yrs	5x (2 before, 3 after)	Saliva	At wave 3 (each waves separated by 6 months): girls stronger reaction to stressor, no sex differences in recovery
Jones (2006)	140	7-9 yrs	7x (3 before, 4 after)	Saliva	Anticipatory rise in both, further increment in girls
Kudielka (2004)	31	12.1±0.3 yrs	5x, 1 before, 4 after	Saliva	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed

Table 3: Summary of articles describing sex differences in protocolled social stress test similar or equal to the TSST-C (continued)

Author (year)	Sample size	Age	Sampling points	Medium	Results
Lu (2014)	87	12.7±0.3 yrs	9x, not specified when	Saliva	More negative logAUCi in girls (less increase)
Martikainen (2013)	252	8.1±0.3 yrs	7x (2 before, 5 after)	Saliva	Higher peak, AUCg and AUCi in girls
Martin (2011)	40	16-18 yrs	7x (1 before, 6 after)	Saliva	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed
Mrug (2016)	84	13.36±0.95 yrs	3x, 1 before, 2 after	Saliva	Higher post-test cortisol and AUCi in girls
Peckins (2012)	124	10.49±1.68 yrs; boys: 9, 11 or 13 yrs; girls: 8, 10 or 12 yrs	5x, 2 before, 3 after	Saliva	No sex differences; pubertal status not associated with reactivity
Portnoy (2015)	446	11.92±0.59 yrs	4x, 1 before, 3 after	Saliva	No sex differences in AUCg; pubertal status not associated with reactivity
Raikkonen (2010)	292	8.1±0.3 yrs	7x (2 before, 5 after)	Saliva	Boys lower than girls
Strahler (2010)	62	6-10 yrs	4x, 1 before, 3 after	Saliva	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed
Trickett (2014)	303 maltreated, 151 control	Maltreated: 10.84±1.16 yrs; comparison: 11.11±1.15 yrs	6x (2 before, 4 after)	Saliva	Cortisol response blunted in girls compared to boys

Table 4: Summary of articles describing sex differences in pharmacological stress tests

Author (year)	Sample size	Age	Study protocol	Sampling points	Sampling medium	Results
Dahl (1992)	25	10.3±1.6 yrs	CRH challenge: 1µg/kg iv in the late afternoon	9x, 3 before, 6 after	Blood	Greater peak in boys
Dorn (1996)	20 control subjects	15.1±1.0 yrs	CRH challenge: 1µg/kg iv in the evening	12x, 6 before, 6 after	Blood	No sex differences; groups matched for pubertal status, effect not analyzed
Forest (1978)	20 infants, 35 prepubertal children	Infants: 5-365 days, children: 1-12.6 yrs	ACTH test: 500µg/m ² im at 8:00 and 20:00 on 3 days)	2x, 1 before, 1 after	Blood	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed
Lashansky (1991)	102	2 months – 17 yrs	ACTH test: 0.25mg iv in the morning	2x, 1 before, 1 after	Blood	No sex differences; decrease in stimulated cortisol levels with puberty, more pronounced in boys
Ross (1986)	21	6-15 yrs	CRH challenge: 1µg/kg iv in the evening	7x, 2 before, 5 after	Blood	No sex differences; pubertal status not associated with reactivity
Stroud (2011)	68	11.6±1.9 yrs	CRH challenge: 1µg/kg iv in the late afternoon	9-10x, 3 before, 6-7 after	Blood	Sex by Tanner differences: girls increases and boys decreases in cortisol with pubertal maturation, girls decreases and boys stable in reactivity. Boys larger peak change.
Tsvetkova (1977)	31	4-14 yrs	ACTH test: 0.5mg im in the morning	2x, 1 before, 1 after	Blood	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed

Table 5: Summary of articles describing sex differences in miscellaneous stress tests

Author (year)	Sample size	Age	Study protocol	Sampling points	Medium	Results
0-1 year old						
Davis (1995)	36	30.99±8.09 hours	Neonatal Behavior Assessment Scale	5x, 1 before, 4 after test	Saliva	Higher reactivity in boys
Eiden (2015)	217	9 months	Laboratory Temperament Assessment Battery	4x, 1 before, 3 after test	Saliva	Cortisol increase in boys; not in girls
Grunau (2010)	32	4.2±1.0 months	Cortisol response after vaccination	3x, 1 before, 2 after	Saliva	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed
De Weerth (2013)	42	68.0±4.3 months	CREST paradigm	6x (2 before, 4 after)	Saliva	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed
Gunnar (2010)	151	3.81±0.23 years	Daycare attendance	2x/day on 2 days	Saliva	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed
Hatzinger (2007)	102	4.91±0.44 years	MSSB	5x (2 before, 3 after)	Saliva	Higher reactivity in girls
Kryski (2013)	409	40.72±3.51 months	Matching task	6x (1 before, 5 after)	Saliva	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed
Mills (2008)	214	4.14±0.24 years	Easy and difficult matching tasks	6x, 1 before, 5 after	Saliva	Further decreases in boys after initial decrease for both sexes
Plusquellec (2011)	376	18.85±0.74 months	Two unfamiliar situations (clown and robot)	2x, 1 before, 1 after	Saliva	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed
Spinrad (2009)	84	54.07±0.97 months	Preschool Laboratory Assessment Battery	3x, 1 before, 2 after	Saliva	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed
Yong Ping (2014)	94	29.9±1.1 months	Maternal separation	4x (2 before, 2 after)	Saliva	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed
1-7 years old						

Author(s)	Sample Size (n)	Age (years)	Stressor	Measurement	Timing	Findings
Psychological stress						
Daughters (2013)	132	16.1±1.0 years	Behavioral Indicator of Resiliency to Distress	Saliva	4x, 1 before, 3 after	Boys: higher baseline, greater peak. No sex differences in AUCg.
Hackman (2012)	180	12-14 years	Parent-Adolescent Conflict Discussion	Saliva	3x (2 before, 1 after)	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed
Minkley (2012)	93	17.86±0.096 years	Examination challenge (reproduction of knowledge, or transfer and problem-solving)	Saliva	2x, 1 before, 1 after	Not statistically significant, but higher increases in boys. More in reproduction of knowledge group, but also greater in transfer and problem-solving group.
Zijlmans (2013)	52	12.5±1.21 years	Social Evaluative Stress Test	Saliva	7x, 1 before, 6 after	Higher reactivity in boys
Physical stress						
Allen (2009)	235	12.7±2.9 years	Laboratory Pain Tasks	Saliva / blood	Saliva: 3x, 1 before, 2 after Blood: 2x (after)	No sex differences; pubertal status not associated with reactivity
Chiodo (2011)	16	Boys: 14±0 years, girls: 13±1 years	Taekwondo competition	Saliva	5x (2 before, 3 after)	Lower overall values in girls, but higher peak.
Covelli (2012)	106	15.3±1.1 years	Cold water hand immersion	Saliva	2x, 1 before, 1 after	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed
Frias (2000)	48	13-17 years	Acute alcohol intoxication	Blood	1x (after); controls as reference	More pronounced increase in girls
Geggelen (2012)	40	10.9-14.7 years	Rapid maxillary expansion	Saliva	13x, 1 before, 3 after, and 9 during a period of treatment	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed
Khilnani (1993)	98	2-20 years	Elective surgery	Blood	2x, 1 before, 1 after	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed
Kuhlman (2015)	121	12.8±2.3 years	Socially evaluated cold pressor test	Saliva	7x (2 before, 5 after)	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed
Lopez-Duran (2015)	115	12.79±2.26 years	Socially evaluated cold pressor test	Saliva	8x (2 before, 6 after)	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed
Stupnicki (1995)	29	Boys: 17.3±0.8, girls 16.4±0.6 years	Exercise	Blood	2x, 1 before, 1 after	Boys decrease in cortisol, girls increase in cortisol after exercise
Yfanti (2014)	97	89.73±15 months	Dental treatment	Saliva	5x, 1 before, 4 after	No sex differences; pubertal status not assessed

≥7 years old

Diurnal rhythm

Twenty-nine studies (with the data of 8,971 subjects) described diurnal rhythmicity and/or decline of cortisol throughout the day in children, of which 15 studies reported no significant sex differences.¹¹⁻²⁵ Fourteen studies reported significant sex differences, of which 12 reported higher cortisol levels and/or a steeper decline over the day in girls. Both Adam et al.²⁶ (n=230, age: 17.04±0.36 years) and Williams et al.²⁷ (n=27, age: 9.13±1.41 years) reported a steeper diurnal cortisol curve in girls. Morin-Major et al.²⁸ (n=88, age: 14.5±1.8 years) found a higher area under the curve as measured from the ground (AUCg) in girls. Martikainen et al.²⁹ (n=252, age: 8.1±0.3 years) reported a higher cortisol level at awakening in girls, while there was no difference between sexes at nadir, suggesting a steeper cortisol decline over the day in girls compared to boys. This was also found by Rosmalen et al.³⁰ (n=1768, age: 11.08±0.55 years), who found this to be already present pre-pubertally, while age and pubertal status were not associated with diurnal rhythm. Fransson et al.³¹ (n=157, age: 14-16 years) found a higher cortisol level at awakening and a steeper diurnal decline in girls. Kelly et al.³² (n=2,995, age: 15.4±0.3 years) found a greater decrease in cortisol concentration in girls as compared to boys between +/- 9 a.m. and 9:30 a.m. Ruttle et al.³³ (n=346, age: 11, 13 and 15 years) and Shirtcliff et al.³⁴ (n=357, age: 9, 11, 13 and 15 years) examined the same cohort. Ruttle et al. found a significantly steeper diurnal decline in girls aged 11 and 13 years. At age 15, gender differences in cortisol slope had disappeared, although girls had higher cortisol levels throughout the day. Shirtcliff et al. found similar differences, with higher cortisol and steeper slopes, as well as more curvature, in girls. Moreover, the circadian rhythm became flatter with advancing puberty, particularly among girls. Vaillancourt et al.³⁵ (n=154, age: 147±9.1 months) examined morning and evening cortisol levels on Monday, Thursday and Saturday. They only found a higher cortisol concentration in girls on Saturday morning. Moreover, after modeling the circadian pattern, they found that girls consistently had higher cortisol levels than boys throughout the day. Bae et al.³⁶ (n=138, age: 10.7±1.7 years) found higher cortisol levels in girls at awakening and 30 min after awakening, as well as a higher total daily output. However, no sex differences were found with regard to diurnal slope or evening levels. Netherton et al.³⁷ (n=129, age: 12.8±0.19 years) found higher morning cortisol levels in mid- to post-pubertal girls compared to boys, but no sex differences were found in evening cortisol levels. In pre- to early- pubertal children, no sex differences were found in either morning or evening cortisol levels. Contrastingly, Kuhlman et al.³⁸ (n=121, age: 12.8±2.3 years) reported no sex differences in cortisol levels at awakening or in linear decline, although girls showed more deceleration of the diurnal decline between dinner and bedtime than boys. Matchock et al.³⁹ (n=120, age: boys: 9, 11 or 13 years; girls: 8, 10 or 12 years) found an earlier cortisol peak in the morning in girls, and at pubertal stage 2 a lower morning cortisol levels in girls. However, although a pubertal stage effect was found, there were no sex differences in the AUCg.

CAR

Eighteen studies (with the data of 3,549 subjects) described the CAR in children. Nine studies did not find differences between boys and girls,^{15-18,21,26,27,38,40} although four of these¹⁵⁻¹⁸ studied the CAR as part of the diurnal rhythm, and did not perform separate analyses for the CAR, with therefore limited data available on the CAR. Additionally, Michels et al.¹⁸ (n=385, age: 5-10 years) and Vanaelst et al.²¹ (n=355, age: 5-10 years) reported on the same cohort, and Osika et al.¹⁵ (n=84, age: 9.9±0.55 years) only took samples between 0 and 15 minutes after awakening. Nine studies found significant differences in CAR between sexes, of which eight found a higher CAR in girls. Martikainen et al.²⁹ (n=252, age: 8.1±0.3 years) found a higher peak after awakening in girls, as well as a higher AUCg. However, the awakening response (i.e., the peak value after awakening minus the value immediately after awakening) as well as the AUC increase (AUCi) were not significantly different between the sexes. This was also found by Bouma et al.⁴¹ (n=644, age: 16.1±0.6 years) and Dietrich et al.⁴² (n=1604, age: 11.1±0.6 years), who reported on the same cohort (albeit at different ages) and found higher morning cortisol concentrations in girls, but a similar response to awakening in boys and girls, manifesting as a higher AUCg in girls but a similar AUCi between sexes. Additionally, Bae et al.³⁶ (n=138, 10.7±1.7 years) found higher cortisol levels in girls at awakening and 30 minutes after awakening, although they did not find sex differences in the AUCg. Fransson et al.³¹ (n=157, age: 14-16 years) and Hatzinger et al.⁴³ (n=102, age: 4.9±0.4 years) both found a higher CAR in girls, and Pruessner et al.⁴⁴ (n=42, age: 11.2±2.0 years) showed a tendency towards larger increases in girls compared to boys. Morin-Major et al.²⁸ (n=88, age: 14.5±1.8 years) found a correlation between the CAR and sex, with a higher CAR in girls. Contrastingly, Jones et al.¹⁴ (n=140, age: 7-9 years) found the CAR to be absent in girls, but present in boys.

Protocolled social stress tests similar or equal to the TSST-C

Twenty-one studies (with the data of 3,500 subjects) examined responses to standardized social stress tests. Eighteen used the TSST-C (validated in children aged ≥7 years), while three used other laboratory-based social stress tests that closely resemble the TSST-C^{41,45,46}: the Groningen Social Stress Test (GSST) which consisted of a 6-minute speech, a brief interlude and a subtracting task, and a psychosocial stress test which consisted of a mental arithmetic task, a public speaking task and a computer mathematics task. Eight studies, of which two studied the same cohort, did not find sex differences,^{36,47-53} while 13 did find sex differences. Ji et al.⁵⁴ (n=135, age: boys: 9, 11 or 13 years; girls: 8, 10 or 12 years) reported on the same cohort as Dockray et al.⁴⁸ and Peckins et al.⁵⁰, who did not find sex differences. However, Ji et al. found that at wave 3, where each wave is separated by six months, girls had a stronger cortisol response to the stressor, although they did not find sex differences with regard to cortisol recovery. Raikkonen et al.⁵⁵

($n=292$, age: 8.1 ± 0.3 years) and Martikainen et al.²⁹ ($n=252$, age: 8.1 ± 0.3 years) reported on the same cohort, and found a higher peak after stress and higher AUCs (both ground and increase) in girls, while no pre-test differences were found. De Veld⁵⁶ ($n=158$, age: 10.61 ± 0.52 years) found a stronger cortisol response in girls. Jones et al.¹⁴ ($n=140$, age: 7-9 years) found an anticipatory rise in cortisol in both sexes, but only an additional increase after the TSST-C in girls. Evans et al.⁴⁵ ($n=707$, age: 13.8 ± 3.6 years) found that girls aged ≤ 12 years displayed higher cortisol reactivity to the psychological stress test, while sex differences were not present in subjects aged 13-20 years. A similar result was found by Hostinar et al.⁵⁷ ($n=81$, age: 9.97 ± 0.52 (children) and 16.05 ± 0.39 (adolescents) years), who found a stronger cortisol response in girls at ages 9 to 10, and no sex differences among the adolescents. Gunnar et al.⁵⁸ ($n=82$, age: 9, 11, 13 and 15 years) found a significantly higher AUCi in girls in response to the TSST-C at age 13, while no sex differences were found at ages 9, 11 and 15 years. Mrug et al.⁵⁹ ($n=84$, age: 13.4 ± 1.0 years) found a higher cortisol 55 min post-test as well as a greater AUCi in girls. On the other hand, Lu et al.⁶⁰ ($n=87$, age: 12.7 ± 0.3 years) found a significantly more negative logAUCi in girls, indicative of a smaller increase in cortisol in girls compared to boys after the TSST-C, and Trickett et al.⁶¹ ($n=151$ controls, age 11.11 ± 1.15 years) found a blunted cortisol response in girls compared to boys. Additionally, Bouma et al.⁴¹ ($n=644$, age 16.1 ± 0.6 years), who used the GSST, found lower cortisol responses in girls compared to boys, which was further specified in a study published by Bouma et al. in 2011⁴⁶ ($n=553$, age: 16.07 ± 0.90 years), who found lower cortisol levels in girls on the first sample after completing the GSST.

Pharmacological stress tests

Seven studies (with the data of 322 subjects) investigated cortisol responses to pharmacological ACTH or CRH. Five studies (3 with ACTH, 2 with CRH) did not find significant sex differences⁶²⁻⁶⁶ and 2 studies found a smaller cortisol increase in girls. Stroud et al.⁶⁷ ($n=68$, age: 11.9 ± 1.9 years), who performed a CRH challenge with $1\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ human CRH, found a smaller increase from baseline in girls compared to boys for all Tanner pubertal stages. Additionally, sex-specific pubertal changes were observed, with a baseline cortisol that increased in girls and decreased in boys with advancing puberty. Moreover, girls showed decreases in reactivity/recovery rates (in $\mu\text{g}/\text{dL}/\text{min}$), as well as increases in total cortisol response (AUCg) and time to peak cortisol levels with pubertal maturation. Boys, on the other hand, showed little change in reactivity/recovery rates and no changes across puberty for the other parameters. Dahl et al.⁶⁸ ($n=25$, age: 10.3 ± 1.6 years) also performed a $1\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ human CRH challenge, and found a smaller increase in cortisol concentration in girls compared to boys.

Miscellaneous stress tests

Twenty-five studies (with the data of 3,004 subjects) performed a wide range of other stress tests.

Three studies were performed in infants aged <1 year (with the data of 285 subjects),⁶⁹⁻⁷¹ of which two found a lower cortisol reactivity in girls: Davis and Emory⁶⁹ (n=36, age: 31.0±8.1 h), who used the Neonatal Behavior Assessment Scale, and Eiden et al.⁷⁰ (n=217, age: 9 months), who used the Laboratory Temperament Assessment Battery.

Eight studies (with the data of 1,472 subjects) were performed in children aged 1-7 years, of which six⁷²⁻⁷⁷ found no sex differences. Hatzinger et al.⁴³ (n=102, age: 4.9±0.4 years) used the MacArthur Story Stem Battery and found a higher reactivity in girls. Mills et al.⁷⁸ (n=214, age: 4.1±0.2 years) used easy and difficult matching tasks with standardized failure and success. They found decreases in cortisol concentrations in both sexes up to 15 min post-stressor but only further decreases in boys.

Fourteen studies (with the data of 1,247 subjects) assessed stress in children aged ≥7 years using miscellaneous protocols. Four studies performed psychological stress tests: one found no sex differences⁷⁹, while three found lower reactivity in girls. Zijlmans et al.⁸⁰ (n=52, age: 12.5±1.2 years) used a computerized testing paradigm, the social evaluative stress test (SEST), containing elements of social evaluation, unpredictability and uncontrollability. A lower reactivity was found in girls. Daughters et al.⁸¹ (n=132, age: 16.1±1.0 years) used the Behavioral Indicator of Resiliency to Distress (BIRD) and found no cortisol increase and slower cortisol decrease in girls, while there were no sex differences in AUCg. Minkley and Kirchner⁸² (n=93, age: 17.9±0.1 years) used two knowledge tests aimed at testing “reproduction of knowledge” or “transfer and problem-solving”. A lower reactivity was found in girls, although this was not statistically significant. Ten other studies assessed cortisol reactivity to physical stressors, of which seven did not find sex differences,^{38,83-88} of which two reported on the same cohort.^{38,88} Chiodo et al.⁸⁹ (n=16, age: boys: 14±0 years, girls: 13±1 years) used a Taekwondo competitions as stressor, and found lower overall values in girls, although they did exhibit a higher peak compared to boys. Stupnicki et al.⁹⁰ (n=29, age: 16-17 years) used physical exercise and found a cortisol increase after physical exercise in girls, compared to a decrease in boys. Frias et al.⁹¹ (n=48, age: 13-17 years) assessed cortisol reactivity after acute alcohol intoxication (AAI). Both boys and girls showed an increase in cortisol concentrations after AAI compared to controls, but this increase was more pronounced in girls, although this was not statistically tested.

DISCUSSION

In this systematic review, we found that sex differences in HPA-axis reactivity are suggested to be present in childhood. In general, with regard to diurnal rhythm, the CAR and social stress tests, around 50% of the studies, notably the larger ones, found sex differences, of which approximately 80% found a more variable diurnal rhythm, a higher CAR, and/or a stronger cortisol response to social stress tests in girls, suggestive of a more variable HPA-axis. We found no evidence for a sex difference in cortisol response after a pharmacological challenge, with only two out of 7 studies reporting a higher cortisol response in boys. Findings from studies addressing sex differences in cortisol response after miscellaneous (social or physical) stress tests were inconsistent, due to different types of stressors applied.

In total, 12 out of 29 studies found a more variable diurnal rhythm in girls, while 2 found this in boys and 15 did not find sex differences. A higher CAR in girls was found in 8 out of 18 studies, although 1 study found a higher CAR in boys and 9 studies found no sex differences. Girls had a stronger cortisol response to social stress tests in 9 out of 21 studies, whereas boys had a stronger response in 4 studies and no sex differences were found in 8 studies. Therefore, although results are suggestive of a more responsive HPA-axis in girls during childhood, these results must be interpreted with caution as the evidence is not unequivocal. However, the sample sizes of the studies that found sex differences were on average larger, while the studies that did not find sex differences more often had a sample size <100.

Our results differed considerably with findings from studies in adults. Notably, psychological stress studies in adults either found no gender difference or a more pronounced cortisol response in men.⁴ This difference might be explained by gonadal hormones, more specifically estrogens. In childhood, as we have shown in this review, cortisol reactivity appears to be more pronounced in females. However, other research has shown that in adults, females were found to exhibit attenuated cortisol responses to stress, and males displayed a higher cortisol reactivity.⁴ Consequently, it could be hypothesized that post-menopausal women once again show a stronger cortisol response to stress compared to men of the same age. Otte et al.⁵, who performed a meta-analysis to evaluate and quantify age-related changes in cortisol response, found a three-fold higher increase in cortisol reactivity with aging in women compared to men. However, studies examining cortisol reactivity in elderly subjects are inconclusive with regard to gender differences.⁹²⁻⁹⁵

According to the Developmental Origins of Health and Disease (DOHaD) hypothesis, disease susceptibility arises early in development¹ and might be mediated by HPA-axis (re)activity. Dysfunctional (hypo- or hyperreactive) HPA-axis responses have previously been associated with cardiovascular disease risk.⁹⁶ In addition, more subtle differences

in early HPA-axis settings can also contribute to sex-specific disease risks throughout life.^{10,97}

Sex differences in HPA-axis reactivity might be due to interactions between the HPA- and HPG-axes, and several mechanisms have been proposed. Estradiol has been shown to enhance, while testosterone inhibited CRH gene transcription in the hypothalamus.⁹ In addition, estradiol has been found to sensitize the pituitary, thereby increasing the ACTH response, while progesterone seemed to oppose this effect.⁹ Moreover, estrogen receptors (ERs) are widely expressed throughout the brain, especially in the limbic system. Although not unequivocal, the distribution of the ER subtypes α and β , which have opposing actions on the HPA-axis,⁹⁸ is probably sex-dependent.⁹⁹ In rats, gender differences in the expression of ERs were already present early in life.¹⁰⁰ It is possible that sex differences in the balance and distribution of ER α and ER β in the brain are already present before puberty as a result of priming¹ or genetics, which subsequently change after the onset of puberty. In addition, the sensitivity of the adrenal cortex to ACTH is suggested to be increased in young women,⁹ while estrogens were found to increase the production of corticosteroid-binding globulin (CBG),¹⁰¹ decrease glucocorticoid receptor (GR) expression and activation,⁷ and lower hepatic clearance of cortisol by inhibition of A-ring reduction.¹⁰² In contrast, testosterone was found to inhibit the release of ACTH, while progesterone possibly acts as a glucocorticoid antagonist.^{9,47,103} However, estrogens seem to have different effects in (postmenopausal) women and men,¹⁰⁴⁻¹⁰⁶ and ACTH responses to a TSST after 2 weeks of DHEA or placebo treatment was found to be equal for women treated with DHEA to those of men, but increased compared to women taking placebos.⁴ These HPA-/HPG-axes interactions might explain why the sex differences in HPA-axis reactivity that we found in children are not corroborated by studies in adults. Moreover, some of the included studies in this review took pubertal status into account,^{13,24,30,33,34,36,37,39,45,48,50-52,64,65,67,83,94}. Although different (sex-specific) effects of pubertal status on cortisol reactivity were found, HPA-/HPG-axes interactions might nevertheless play a role in the possible sex-specific changes in HPA-axis reactivity throughout puberty. Furthermore, levels of estradiol and progesterone are highly variable in post-menarcheal girls and, there, HPA-HPG-axes interactions might even fluctuate across the menstrual cycle.

The different natures and effects of the applied stressors are something to take into account when assessing HPA-axis reactivity. Different types of stressors activate different levels along the HPA-axis: standard ACTH tests stimulate the adrenals directly, while psychological tests are indirect stimuli of the adrenal cortex through activation of the limbic system. Moreover, the diurnal rhythm and CAR are largely controlled by the suprachiasmatic nucleus, which influences CRH release from the paraventricular nucleus.¹⁰⁷ Additionally, males seem to have a “fight or flight” reaction, with a stronger response when confronted with an achievement challenge (in which you can succeed

or fail at a task), while women show a “tend or befriend” response, and therefore seem to be more sensitive to stress tests that incorporate social rejection or peer pressure.^{81,108,109} This might be due to the previously mentioned HPA-/HPG-axes interactions, as well as possible sexually dimorphic site-specific GR and MR expression patterns in the brain.^{2,110} Consequently, when designing a study, it is important to realize what type of stress and which level of the HPA-axis is aimed to be tested. Subsequently, the effect of gender on that specific type of stressor should be taken into account. We recommend using standardized protocols, since gender-specific effects on HPA-axis reactivity have been best described with regard to standardized stress protocols.

Additionally, comparing the results of the studies included in our systematic review was hampered by the fact that data were collected and presented in numerous ways. For the same reason, it was impossible to perform a meta-analysis. Moreover, only limited information was often provided, and it is therefore possible that (subtle) sex differences were not found. This was the case for all categories of HPA-axis reactivity discussed in this review. In order to draw more precise conclusions concerning gender differences in HPA-axis reactivity in childhood, we wish to argue using standardized protocols, as well as a standardized presentation of results for future studies on HPA-axis reactivity. Seeman and Robbins¹¹¹ have defined stress resiliency as “the overall pattern of HPA response to challenge”, which includes the rate of initial response, the magnitude of the response, and the rate of recovery of the HPA-axis. In order to be able to draw conclusions on all of these aspects, and to enable unbiased, quantitative comparisons, reporting data on HPA-axis reactivity should take all of these aspects into account. This can be done by both reporting absolute cortisol values (e.g., minimum and maximum cortisol levels) as well as derived variables (e.g., time to peak/recovery, delta cortisol, ascending/descending slopes and areas under the curve), preferably analyzing sex differences for all these parameters. This will allow a full appreciation and overview of the course followed by cortisol from pre- to post-stressor.

Our review has several strengths and limitations. Our strengths lie in the systematic and extensive search performed, which has resulted in the inclusion of 81 studies. Our review is limited not only by the previously mentioned concerns, but also by the broad range in ages as well as the lack of (reliable) establishment of pubertal stage in the majority of the included articles. Although several studies mention an effect of age or pubertal status on cortisol reactivity,^{13,22,24,30,33,34,36,37,39,45,48,50-52,54,57,58,64,65,67,83,94} findings are conflicting between the articles. Moreover, we ourselves were unable to draw any conclusions with regard to age or pubertal status, due to the heterogeneous ways of analyzing these effects as well as limited power within studies. Moreover, pubertal status was often assessed through self-report, which has poor reliability.¹¹² However, it is possible that the effect of age and/or pubertal status can partly explain our unequivocal conclusions regarding sex differences, as was previously suggested by Jessop and Turner-Cobb.¹⁰

Aside from standardizing the collection and presentation of data, we therefore urge to also always take age and pubertal status into account. This is in line with a recent study in adults, which showed that adjusting for sex hormones significantly alters sex-specific cortisol profiles.¹¹³

In conclusion, we found that gender differences in HPA-axis reactivity appear to be present in childhood, suggestive of priming of the HPA-axis during early development, although the evidence is not unequivocal. Overall, girls appear to have a more variable diurnal rhythm, a higher CAR, and a higher cortisol response to social stress tests. These differences are not in line with studies in adults, which might be due to changes in gonadal hormones during puberty impacting on HPA axis reactivity. We found various gender differences depending on the type of stressor applied, which stresses the importance of taking the nature of the stressor into account when designing a new study. Moreover, standardization of protocols and reports of results is warranted.

REFERENCES

1. Hanson MA, Gluckman PD. Early developmental conditioning of later health and disease: physiology or pathophysiology? *Physiol Rev* 2014; 94:1027-1076
2. De Kloet ER, Vreugdenhil E, Oitzl MS, Joels M. Brain corticosteroid receptor balance in health and disease. *Endocr Rev* 1998; 19:269-301
3. Slotkin TA, Seidler FJ, Wood CR, Lau C. Development of glucocorticoid receptor regulation in the rat forebrain: implications for adverse effects of glucocorticoids in preterm infants. *Brain Res Bull* 2008; 76:531-535
4. Kudielka BM, Kirschbaum C. Sex differences in HPA axis responses to stress: a review. *Biol Psychol* 2005; 69:113-132
5. Otte C, Hart S, Neylan TC, Marmar CR, Yaffe K, Mohr DC. A meta-analysis of cortisol response to challenge in human aging: importance of gender. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2005; 30:80-91
6. Lerner DJ, Kannel WB. Patterns of coronary heart disease morbidity and mortality in the sexes: a 26-year follow-up of the Framingham population. *Am Heart J* 1986; 111:383-390
7. Bourke CH, Harrell CS, Neigh GN. Stress-induced sex differences: adaptations mediated by the glucocorticoid receptor. *Horm Behav* 2012; 62:210-218
8. van der Voorn B, Hollanders JJ, Ket JC, Rotteveel J, Finken MJ. Gender-specific differences in hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal axis activity during childhood: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Biol Sex Differ* 2017; 8:3
9. Panagiotakopoulos L, Neigh GN. Development of the HPA axis: where and when do sex differences manifest? *Front Neuroendocrinol* 2014; 35:285-302
10. Jessop DS, Turner-Cobb JM. Measurement and meaning of salivary cortisol: a focus on health and disease in children. *Stress* 2008; 11:1-14
11. Haen EH, F.; Cornelissen, G. Cortisol marker rhythmometry in pediatrics and clinical pharmacology. *Annual Review of Chronopharmacology* 1984; 1:165-168
12. Garcia L, Hermida RC, Ayala DE, Lodeiro C, Iglesias T. Circadian characteristics of plasma cortisol in children with standard and short stature. *Chronobiol Int* 1990; 7:221-225
13. Knutsson U, Dahlgren J, Marcus C, Rosberg S, Bronnegard M, Stierna P, Albertsson-Wikland K. Circadian cortisol rhythms in healthy boys and girls: relationship with age, growth, body composition, and pubertal development. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1997; 82:536-540
14. Jones A, Godfrey KM, Wood P, Osmond C, Goulden P, Phillips DI. Fetal growth and the adrenocortical response to psychological stress. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2006; 91:1868-1871
15. Osika W, Friberg P, Wahrborg P. A new short self-rating questionnaire to assess stress in children. *Int J Behav Med* 2007; 14:108-117
16. Susman EJ, Dockray S, Schiefelbein VL, Herwehe S, Heaton JA, Dorn LD. Morningness/eveningness, morning-to-afternoon cortisol ratio, and antisocial behavior problems during puberty. *Dev Psychol* 2007; 43:811-822
17. Tzortzi C, Proff P, Redlich M, Aframian DJ, Palmon A, Golan I, Muessig D, Wichelhaus A, Baumert U. Cortisol daily rhythm in saliva of healthy school children. *Int Dent J* 2009; 59:12-18
18. Michels N, Sioen I, Huybrechts I, Bammann K, Vanaelst B, De Vriendt T, Iacoviello L, Konstabel K, Ahrens W, De Henauw S. Negative life events, emotions and psychological difficulties as determinants of salivary cortisol in Belgian primary school children. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2012; 37:1506-1515
19. Kjolhede EA, Gustafsson PE, Gustafsson PA, Nelson N. Overweight and obese children have lower cortisol levels than normal weight children. *Acta Paediatr* 2014; 103:295-299

20. Lumeng JC, Miller A, Peterson KE, Kaciroti N, Sturza J, Rosenblum K, Vazquez DM. Diurnal cortisol pattern, eating behaviors and overweight in low-income preschool-aged children. *Appetite* 2014; 73:65-72
21. Vanaelst B, Michels N, Clays E, Herrmann D, Huybrechts I, Sioen I, Vyncke K, De Henauw S. The association between childhood stress and body composition, and the role of stress-related lifestyle factors--cross-sectional findings from the baseline ChiBSD survey. *Int J Behav Med* 2014; 21:292-301
22. Barbosa TS, Castelo PM, Leme MS, Gaviao MB. Associations between oral health-related quality of life and emotional statuses in children and preadolescents. *Oral Dis* 2012; 18:639-647
23. Bartels M, de Geus EJ, Kirschbaum C, Sluyter F, Boomsma DI. Heritability of daytime cortisol levels in children. *Behav Genet* 2003; 33:421-433
24. Carrion VG, Weems CF, Ray RD, Glaser B, Hessel D, Reiss AL. Diurnal salivary cortisol in pediatric posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biol Psychiatry* 2002; 51:575-582
25. Doom JR, Cicchetti D, Rogosch FA, Dackis MN. Child maltreatment and gender interactions as predictors of differential neuroendocrine profiles. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2013; 38:1442-1454
26. Adam EK, Doane LD, Zinbarg RE, Mineka S, Craske MG, Griffith JW. Prospective prediction of major depressive disorder from cortisol awakening responses in adolescence. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2010; 35:921-931
27. Williams SR, Cash E, Daup M, Geronimi EM, Sephton SE, Woodruff-Borden J. Exploring patterns in cortisol synchrony among anxious and nonanxious mother and child dyads: a preliminary study. *Biol Psychol* 2013; 93:287-295
28. Morin-Major JK, Marin MF, Durand N, Wan N, Juster RP, Lupien SJ. Facebook behaviors associated with diurnal cortisol in adolescents: Is befriending stressful? *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2016; 63:238-246
29. Martikainen S, Pesonen AK, Lahti J, Heinonen K, Feldt K, Pyhala R, Tammelin T, Kajantie E, Eriksson JG, Strandberg TE, Raikonen K. Higher levels of physical activity are associated with lower hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical axis reactivity to psychosocial stress in children. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2013; 98:E619-627
30. Rosmalen JG, Oldehinkel AJ, Ormel J, de Winter AF, Buitelaar JK, Verhulst FC. Determinants of salivary cortisol levels in 10-12 year old children; a population-based study of individual differences. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2005; 30:483-495
31. Fransson E, Folkesson L, Bergstrom M, Ostberg V, Lindfors P. Exploring salivary cortisol and recurrent pain in mid-adolescents living in two homes. *BMC Psychol* 2014; 2:46
32. Kelly SJ, Young R, Sweeting H, Fischer JE, West P. Levels and confounders of morning cortisol collected from adolescents in a naturalistic (school) setting. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2008; 33:1257-1268
33. Ruttle PL, Javaras KN, Klein MH, Armstrong JM, Burk LR, Essex MJ. Concurrent and longitudinal associations between diurnal cortisol and body mass index across adolescence. *J Adolesc Health* 2013; 52:731-737
34. Shirtcliff EA, Allison AL, Armstrong JM, Slattery MJ, Kalin NH, Essex MJ. Longitudinal stability and developmental properties of salivary cortisol levels and circadian rhythms from childhood to adolescence. *Dev Psychobiol* 2012; 54:493-502
35. Vaillancourt T, Duku E, Decatanzaro D, Macmillan H, Muir C, Schmidt LA. Variation in hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis activity among bullied and non-bullied children. *Aggress Behav* 2008; 34:294-305

36. Bae YJ, Stadelmann S, Klein AM, Jaeger S, Hiemisch A, Kiess W, Ceglarek U, Gaudl A, Schaab M, von Klitzing K, Thiery J, Kratzsch J, Dohnert M. The hyporeactivity of salivary cortisol at stress test (TSST-C) in children with internalizing or externalizing disorders is contrastively associated with alpha-amylase. *J Psychiatr Res* 2015; 71:78-88
37. Netherton C, Goodyer I, Tamplin A, Herbert J. Salivary cortisol and dehydroepiandrosterone in relation to puberty and gender. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2004; 29:125-140
38. Kuhlman KR, Geiss EG, Vargas I, Lopez-Duran NL. Differential associations between childhood trauma subtypes and adolescent HPA-axis functioning. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2015; 54:103-114
39. Matchock RL, Dorn LD, Susman EJ. Diurnal and seasonal cortisol, testosterone, and DHEA rhythms in boys and girls during puberty. *Chronobiol Int* 2007; 24:969-990
40. Bright MA, Frick JE, Out D, Granger DA. Individual differences in the cortisol and salivary alpha-amylase awakening responses in early childhood: relations to age, sex, and sleep. *Dev Psychobiol* 2014; 56:1300-1315
41. Bouma EM, Riese H, Ormel J, Verhulst FC, Oldehinkel AJ. Adolescents' cortisol responses to awakening and social stress; effects of gender, menstrual phase and oral contraceptives. The TRAILS study. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2009; 34:884-893
42. Dietrich A, Ormel J, Buitelaar JK, Verhulst FC, Hoekstra PJ, Hartman CA. Cortisol in the morning and dimensions of anxiety, depression, and aggression in children from a general population and clinic-referred cohort: An integrated analysis. The TRAILS study. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2013; 38:1281-1298
43. Hatzinger M, Brand S, Perren S, von Wyl A, von Klitzing K, Holsboer-Trachsler E. Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical (HPA) activity in kindergarten children: importance of gender and associations with behavioral/emotional difficulties. *J Psychiatr Res* 2007; 41:861-870
44. Pruessner JC, Wolf OT, Hellhammer DH, Buske-Kirschbaum A, von Auer K, Jobst S, Kaspers F, Kirschbaum C. Free cortisol levels after awakening: a reliable biological marker for the assessment of adrenocortical activity. *Life Sci* 1997; 61:2539-2549
45. Evans BE, Greaves-Lord K, Euser AS, Tulen JH, Franken IH, Huizink AC. Determinants of physiological and perceived physiological stress reactivity in children and adolescents. *PLoS One* 2013; 8:e61724
46. Bouma EM, Riese H, Nolte IM, Oosterom E, Verhulst FC, Ormel J, Oldehinkel AJ. No associations between single nucleotide polymorphisms in corticoid receptor genes and heart rate and cortisol responses to a standardized social stress test in adolescents: the TRAILS study. *Behav Genet* 2011; 41:253-261
47. Kudielka BM, Buske-Kirschbaum A, Hellhammer DH, Kirschbaum C. HPA axis responses to laboratory psychosocial stress in healthy elderly adults, younger adults, and children: impact of age and gender. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2004; 29:83-98
48. Dockray S, Susman EJ, Dorn LD. Depression, cortisol reactivity, and obesity in childhood and adolescence. *J Adolesc Health* 2009; 45:344-350
49. Strahler J, Mueller A, Rosenloecher F, Kirschbaum C, Rohleder N. Salivary alpha-amylase stress reactivity across different age groups. *Psychophysiology* 2010; 47:587-595
50. Peckins MK, Dockray S, Eckenrode JL, Heaton J, Susman EJ. The longitudinal impact of exposure to violence on cortisol reactivity in adolescents. *J Adolesc Health* 2012; 51:366-372
51. Portnoy J, Raine A, Glenn AL, Chen FR, Choy O, Granger DA. Digit ratio (2D:4D) moderates the relationship between cortisol reactivity and self-reported externalizing behavior in young adolescent males. *Biol Psychol* 2015; 112:94-106

52. Hostinar CE, McQuillan MT, Mirous HJ, Grant KE, Adam EK. Cortisol responses to a group public speaking task for adolescents: variations by age, gender, and race. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2014; 50:155-166
53. Martin A, Hellhammer J, Hero T, Max H, Schult J, Terstegen L. Effective prevention of stress-induced sweating and axillary malodour formation in teenagers. *Int J Cosmet Sci* 2011; 33:90-97
54. Ji J, Negri S, Kim H, Susman EJ. A study of cortisol reactivity and recovery among young adolescents: Heterogeneity and longitudinal stability and change. *Dev Psychobiol* 2016; 58:283-302
55. Raikkonen K, Matthews KA, Pesonen AK, Pyhala R, Paavonen EJ, Feldt K, Jones A, Phillips DI, Seckl JR, Heinonen K, Lahti J, Komi N, Jarvenpaa AL, Eriksson JG, Strandberg TE, Kajantie E. Poor sleep and altered hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical and sympatho-adrenal-medullary system activity in children. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2010; 95:2254-2261
56. de Veld DM, Riksen-Walraven JM, de Weerth C. The relation between emotion regulation strategies and physiological stress responses in middle childhood. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2012; 37:1309-1319
57. Hostinar CE, Johnson AE, Gunnar MR. Parent support is less effective in buffering cortisol stress reactivity for adolescents compared to children. *Dev Sci* 2015; 18:281-297
58. Gunnar MR, Wewerka S, Frenn K, Long JD, Griggs C. Developmental changes in hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal activity over the transition to adolescence: normative changes and associations with puberty. *Dev Psychopathol* 2009; 21:69-85
59. Mrug S, Tyson A, Turan B, Granger DA. Sleep problems predict cortisol reactivity to stress in urban adolescents. *Physiol Behav* 2016; 155:95-101
60. Lu Q, Tao F, Hou F, Zhang Z, Sun Y, Xu Y, Xu S, Zhao Y. Cortisol reactivity, delay discounting and percent body fat in Chinese urban young adolescents. *Appetite* 2014; 72:13-20
61. Trickett PK, Gordis E, Peckins MK, Susman EJ. Stress reactivity in maltreated and comparison male and female young adolescents. *Child Maltreat* 2014; 19:27-37
62. Dorn LD, Burgess ES, Susman EJ, von Eye A, DeBellis MD, Gold PW, Chrousos GP. Response to oCRH in depressed and nondepressed adolescents: does gender make a difference? *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 1996; 35:764-773
63. Forest MG. Age-related response of plasma testosterone, delta 4-androstenedione, and cortisol to adrenocorticotropin in infants, children, and adults. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1978; 47:931-937
64. Lashansky G, Saenger P, Fishman K, Gautier T, Mayes D, Berg G, Di Martino-Nardi J, Reiter E. Normative data for adrenal steroidogenesis in a healthy pediatric population: age- and sex-related changes after adrenocorticotropin stimulation. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1991; 73:674-686
65. Ross JL, Schulte HM, Gallucci WT, Cutler GB, Jr., Loriaux DL, Chrousos GP. Ovine corticotropin-releasing hormone stimulation test in normal children. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1986; 62:390-392
66. Tsvetkova V. Adrenocortical function after stimulation with synthetic ACTH. *Curr Med Res Opin* 1977; 4:635-639
67. Stroud LR, Papandonatos GD, Williamson DE, Dahl RE. Sex differences in cortisol response to corticotropin releasing hormone challenge over puberty: Pittsburgh Pediatric Neurobehavioral Studies. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2011; 36:1226-1238
68. Dahl RE, Siegel SF, Williamson DE, Lee PA, Perel J, Birmaher B, Ryan ND. Corticotropin releasing hormone stimulation test and nocturnal cortisol levels in normal children. *Pediatr Res* 1992; 32:64-68
69. Davis M, Emory E. Sex differences in neonatal stress reactivity. *Child Dev* 1995; 66:14-27

70. Eiden RD, Molnar DS, Granger DA, Colder CR, Schuetze P, Huestis MA. Prenatal tobacco exposure and infant stress reactivity: role of child sex and maternal behavior. *Dev Psychobiol* 2015; 57:212-225
71. Grunau RE, Tu MT, Whitfield MF, Oberlander TF, Weinberg J, Yu W, Thiessen P, Gosse G, Scheifele D. Cortisol, behavior, and heart rate reactivity to immunization pain at 4 months corrected age in infants born very preterm. *Clin J Pain* 2010; 26:698-704
72. Gunnar MR, Kryzer E, Van Ryzin MJ, Phillips DA. The rise in cortisol in family day care: associations with aspects of care quality, child behavior, and child sex. *Child Dev* 2010; 81:851-869
73. Plusquellec P, Ouellet-Morin I, Feng B, Perusse D, Tremblay RE, Lupien SJ, Boivin M. Salivary cortisol levels are associated with resource control in a competitive situation in 19 month-old boys. *Horm Behav* 2011; 60:159-164
74. Spinrad TL, Eisenberg N, Granger DA, Eggum ND, Sallquist J, Haugen RG, Kupfer A, Hofer C. Individual differences in preschoolers' salivary cortisol and alpha-amylase reactivity: relations to temperament and maladjustment. *Horm Behav* 2009; 56:133-139
75. de Weerth C, Zijlmans MA, Mack S, Beijers R. Cortisol reactions to a social evaluative paradigm in 5- and 6-year-old children. *Stress* 2013; 16:65-72
76. Kryski KR, Smith HJ, Sheikh HI, Singh SM, Hayden EP. HPA axis reactivity in early childhood: associations with symptoms and moderation by sex. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2013; 38:2327-2336
77. Yong Ping E, Laplante DP, Elgbeili G, Hillerer KM, Brunet A, O'Hara MW, King S. Prenatal maternal stress predicts stress reactivity at 2(1/2) years of age: the Iowa Flood Study. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2015; 56:62-78
78. Mills RS, Imm GP, Walling BR, Weiler HA. Cortisol reactivity and regulation associated with shame responding in early childhood. *Dev Psychol* 2008; 44:1369-1380
79. Hackman DA, Betancourt LM, Brodsky NL, Hurt H, Farah MJ. Neighborhood disadvantage and adolescent stress reactivity. *Front Hum Neurosci* 2012; 6:277
80. Zijlmans MA, Beijers R, Mack S, Pruessner JC, de Weerth C. Cortisol responses to social evaluation in 10- to 15-year-old boys and girls. *Stress* 2013; 16:393-401
81. Daughters SB, Gorka SM, Matusiewicz A, Anderson K. Gender specific effect of psychological stress and cortisol reactivity on adolescent risk taking. *J Abnorm Child Psychol* 2013; 41:749-758
82. Minkley N, Kirchner WH. Influence of test tasks with different cognitive demands on salivary cortisol concentrations in school students. *Int J Psychophysiol* 2012; 86:245-250
83. Allen LB, Lu Q, Tsao JC, Worthman CM, Zeltzer LK. Sex differences in the association between cortisol concentrations and laboratory pain responses in healthy children. *Gend Med* 2009; 6 Suppl 2:193-207
84. Covelli MM, Wood CE, Yarandi HN. Biologic measures as epidemiological indicators of risk for the development of hypertension in an African American adolescent population. *J Cardiovasc Nurs* 2012; 27:476-484
85. Gecgelen M, Aksoy A, Kirdemir P, Doguc DK, Cesur G, Koskan O, Ozorak O. Evaluation of stress and pain during rapid maxillary expansion treatments. *J Oral Rehabil* 2012; 39:767-775
86. Khilnani P, Munoz R, Salem M, Gelb C, Todres ID, Chernow B. Hormonal responses to surgical stress in children. *J Pediatr Surg* 1993; 28:1-4
87. Yfanti K, Kitraki E, Emmanouil D, Pandis N, Papagiannoulis L. Psychometric and biohormonal indices of dental anxiety in children. A prospective cohort study. *Stress* 2014; 17:296-304
88. Lopez-Duran NL, McGinnis E, Kuhlman K, Geiss E, Vargas I, Mayer S. HPA-axis stress reactivity in youth depression: evidence of impaired regulatory processes in depressed boys. *Stress* 2015; 18:545-553

89. Chiodo S, Tessitore A, Cortis C, Cibelli G, Lupo C, Ammendolia A, De Rosas M, Capranica L. Stress-related hormonal and psychological changes to official youth Taekwondo competitions. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2011; 21:111-119
90. Stupnicki R, Obminski Z, Klusiewicz A, Viru A. Pre-exercise serum cortisol concentration and responses to laboratory exercise. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol* 1995; 71:439-443
91. Frias J, Rodriguez R, Torres JM, Ruiz E, Ortega E. Effects of acute alcohol intoxication on pituitary-gonadal axis hormones, pituitary-adrenal axis hormones, beta-endorphin and prolactin in human adolescents of both sexes. *Life Sci* 2000; 67:1081-1086
92. Kudielka BM, Hellhammer J, Hellhammer DH, Wolf OT, Pirke KM, Varadi E, Pilz J, Kirschbaum C. Sex differences in endocrine and psychological responses to psychosocial stress in healthy elderly subjects and the impact of a 2-week dehydroepiandrosterone treatment. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1998; 83:1756-1761
93. Lekakou L, Tzanela M, Lymberi M, Consoulas C, Tsagarakis S, Koutsilieris M. Effects of gender and age on hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal reactivity after pharmacological challenge with low-dose 1-mug ACTH test: a prospective study in healthy adults. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* 2013; 79:683-688
94. Seeman TE, Singer B, Charpentier P. Gender differences in patterns of HPA axis response to challenge: MacArthur studies of successful aging. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 1995; 20:711-725
95. Traustadottir T, Bosch PR, Matt KS. Gender differences in cardiovascular and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis responses to psychological stress in healthy older adult men and women. *Stress* 2003; 6:133-140
96. Rosmond R, Bjorntorp P. The hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis activity as a predictor of cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes and stroke. *J Intern Med* 2000; 247:188-197
97. Kajantie E, Hovi P. Is very preterm birth a risk factor for adult cardiometabolic disease? *Semin Fetal Neonatal Med* 2014; 19:112-117
98. Lund TD, Rovis T, Chung WC, Handa RJ. Novel actions of estrogen receptor-beta on anxiety-related behaviors. *Endocrinology* 2005; 146:797-807
99. Gillies GE, McArthur S. Estrogen actions in the brain and the basis for differential action in men and women: a case for sex-specific medicines. *Pharmacol Rev* 2010; 62:155-198
100. Yokosuka M, Okamura H, Hayashi S. Postnatal development and sex difference in neurons containing estrogen receptor-alpha immunoreactivity in the preoptic brain, the diencephalon, and the amygdala in the rat. *J Comp Neurol* 1997; 389:81-93
101. Moore DE, Kawagoe S, Davajan V, Mishell DR, Nakamura RM. An in vivo system in man for quantitation of estrogenicity. I. Physiologic changes in binding capacity of serum corticosteroid-binding globulin. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1978; 130:475-481
102. Finken MJ, Andrews RC, Andrew R, Walker BR. Cortisol metabolism in healthy young adults: sexual dimorphism in activities of A-ring reductases, but not 11beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenases. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1999; 84:3316-3321
103. Kajantie E, Phillips DI. The effects of sex and hormonal status on the physiological response to acute psychosocial stress. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2006; 31:151-178
104. Kirschbaum C, Schommer N, Federenko I, Gaab J, Neumann O, Oellers M, Rohleder N, Untiedt A, Hanker J, Pirke KM, Hellhammer DH. Short-term estradiol treatment enhances pituitary-adrenal axis and sympathetic responses to psychosocial stress in healthy young men. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1996; 81:3639-3643
105. Komesaroff PA, Esler MD, Sudhir K. Estrogen supplementation attenuates glucocorticoid and catecholamine responses to mental stress in perimenopausal women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1999; 84:606-610

106. Lindheim SR, Legro RS, Bernstein L, Stanczyk FZ, Vijod MA, Presser SC, Lobo RA. Behavioral stress responses in premenopausal and postmenopausal women and the effects of estrogen. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1992; 167:1831-1836
107. Clow A, Hucklebridge F, Stalder T, Evans P, Thorn L. The cortisol awakening response: more than a measure of HPA axis function. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2010; 35:97-103
108. Stroud LR, Salovey P, Epel ES. Sex differences in stress responses: social rejection versus achievement stress. *Biol Psychiatry* 2002; 52:318-327
109. Taylor SE, Klein LC, Lewis BP, Gruenewald TL, Gurung RA, Updegraff JA. Biobehavioral responses to stress in females: tend-and-befriend, not fight-or-flight. *Psychol Rev* 2000; 107:411-429
110. Kang HJ, Kawasawa YI, Cheng F, Zhu Y, Xu X, Li M, Sousa AM, Pletikos M, Meyer KA, Sedmak G, Guannel T, Shin Y, Johnson MB, Krsnik Z, Mayer S, Fertuzinhos S, Umlauf S, Lisgo SN, Vortmeyer A, Weinberger DR, Mane S, Hyde TM, Huttner A, Reimers M, Kleinman JE, Sestan N. Spatio-temporal transcriptome of the human brain. *Nature* 2011; 478:483-489
111. Seeman TE, Robbins RJ. Aging and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal response to challenge in humans. *Endocr Rev* 1994; 15:233-260
112. Rasmussen AR, Wohlfahrt-Veje C, Tefre de Renzy-Martin K, Hagen CP, Tinggaard J, Mouritsen A, Mieritz MG, Main KM. Validity of self-assessment of pubertal maturation. *Pediatrics* 2015; 135:86-93
113. Juster RP, Raymond C, Desrochers AB, Bourdon O, Durand N, Wan N, Pruessner JC, Lupien SJ. Sex hormones adjust "sex-specific" reactive and diurnal cortisol profiles. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2016; 63:282-290

APPENDIX 1

Appendix 1A: Search strategy for PubMed (14 January 2016)

Search	Query	Records (n)*
#1	"Hydrocortisone"[Mesh] OR "Glucocorticoids"[Mesh] OR "11-beta-Hydroxysteroid Dehydrogenases"[Mesh] OR "Tetrahydrocortisone"[Mesh] OR "Tetrahydrocortisol"[Mesh] OR cortisol*[tiab] OR hydrocortison*[tiab] OR epicortisol*[tiab] OR cortifair*[tiab] OR cortril*[tiab] OR glucocorticoid*[tiab] OR beta hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase*[tiab] OR 11 oxoreductase*[tiab] OR 11 oxidoreductase*[tiab] OR 11 hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase*[tiab] OR 11b hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase*[tiab] OR 11 reductase*[tiab] OR 11beta hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase*[tiab] OR tetrahydrocortiso*[tiab] OR "hsd11b2"[tiab] OR "11bhsd2"[tiab] OR "11betahsd2"[tiab] OR 11beta hsd*[tiab] OR hydroxycortisol*[tiab] OR "Circadian Rhythm"[Mesh] OR "twenty four hour"[tiab] OR circadian*[tiab] OR diurnal*[tiab] OR nyctohemeral*[tiab]	250,920
#2	child*[tw] OR schoolchild*[tw] OR infan*[tw] OR adolescen*[tw] OR pediatri*[tw] OR paediatr*[tw] OR neonat*[tw] OR boy[tw] OR boys[tw] OR boyhood[tw] OR girl[tw] OR girls[tw] OR girlhood[tw] OR youth[tw] OR youths[tw] OR baby[tw] OR babies[tw] OR toddler*[tw] OR "Mental Disorders Diagnosed in Childhood"[MeSH] OR teen[tw] OR teens[tw] OR teenager*[tw] OR newborn*[tw] OR postneonat*[tw] OR postnat*[tw] OR perinat*[tw] OR puberty[tw] OR preschool*[tw] OR suckling*[tw] OR picu[tw] OR nicu[tw] OR "Arthritis, Juvenile"[Mesh] OR "Myoclonic Epilepsy, Juvenile"[Mesh] OR "Leukemia, Myelomonocytic, Juvenile"[Mesh] OR "Xanthogranuloma, Juvenile"[Mesh] OR "Juvenile Delinquency"[Mesh] OR "Corneal Dystrophy, Juvenile Epithelial of Meesmann"[Mesh]	3,664,351
#3	"Sex Characteristics"[Mesh] OR "Sex Factors"[Mesh] OR sex characteristic*[tiab] OR sex difference*[tiab] OR sex dimorphism*[tiab] OR sexual dimorphism*[tiab] OR sexual difference*[tiab] OR sexual characteristic*[tiab] OR sex factor*[tiab] OR sexual factor*[tiab] OR sexual dimorphi*[tiab] OR sex influenc*[tiab] OR sexual influenc*[tiab] OR gender*[tiab]	451,894
#4	(#1 AND #2 AND #3)	2,643

Mesh = Medical subject headings; tiab = words in title OR abstract; tw = words in title, abstract, MeSH and other content related fields

Appendix 1B: Search strategy for Embase.com (14 January 2016)

Search	Query	Records (n)*
#1	'hydrocortisone'/exp OR 'glucocorticoid'/de OR '11beta hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase'/exp OR 'tetrahydrocortisone'/exp OR 'tetrahydrocortisol'/exp OR cortisol*:ab,ti OR hydrocortison*:ab,ti OR epicortisol*:ab,ti OR cortifair*:ab,ti OR cortril*:ab,ti OR glucocorticoid*:ab,ti OR ('beta hydroxysteroid' NEAR/3 dehydrogenase*):ab,ti OR (11 NEXT/1 oxioreductase*):ab,ti OR (11 NEXT/1 oxidoeductase*):ab,ti OR ('11 hydroxysteroid' NEXT/1 dehydrogenase*):ab,ti OR ('11b hydroxysteroid' NEXT/1 dehydrogenase*):ab,ti OR (11 NEXT/1 reductase*):ab,ti OR ('11beta hydroxysteroid' NEXT/1 dehydrogenase*):ab,ti OR tetrahydrocortiso*:ab,ti OR 'hsd11b2':ab,ti OR '11bhsd2':ab,ti OR '11betahsd2':ab,ti OR (11beta NEXT/1 hsd*):ab,ti OR hydroxycortisol*:ab,ti OR ('trier social stress' NEXT/1 test*):ab,ti OR tsst:ab,ti OR (stress NEAR/3 hormone*):ab,ti OR (stress NEAR/3 marker*):ab,ti	235,221
#2	adolescen*:ab,ti OR 'adolescence'/exp OR 'adolescent coping orientation for problem experiences'/exp OR 'adolescent development'/exp OR 'adolescent disease'/exp OR 'adolescent health'/exp OR 'adolescent parent'/exp OR 'adolescent pregnancy'/exp OR 'adolescent smoking'/exp OR 'adolescent'/exp OR 'adolescent-family inventory of life events and changes'/exp OR babies:ab,ti OR baby:ab,ti OR 'birth weight'/exp OR boy:ab,ti OR boyhood:ab,ti OR boys:ab,ti OR 'brazelton neonatal behavioral assessment scale'/exp OR 'child abuse'/exp OR 'child advocacy'/exp OR 'child behavior checklist'/exp OR 'child behavior'/exp OR 'child care'/exp OR 'child death'/exp OR 'child health care'/exp OR 'child health'/exp OR 'child nutrition'/exp OR 'child parent relation'/exp OR 'child psychology'/exp OR 'child restraint system'/exp OR 'child safety'/exp OR 'child welfare'/exp OR child*:ab,ti OR 'child'/exp OR 'childhood disease'/exp OR 'childhood mortality'/exp OR 'childhood'/exp OR girl:ab,ti OR girlhood:ab,ti OR girls:ab,ti OR 'high risk infant'/exp OR infan*:ab,ti OR 'infant disease'/exp OR 'infant mortality'/exp OR 'infant nutrition'/exp OR 'infant welfare'/exp OR 'infanticide'/exp OR 'infantile diarrhea'/exp OR 'infantile hypotonia'/exp OR 'juvenile delinquency'/exp OR neonat*:ab,ti OR 'neonatal weight loss'/exp OR 'newborn disease'/exp OR 'newborn morbidity'/exp OR 'newborn period'/exp OR newborn*:ab,ti OR 'newborn'/exp OR nicu:ab,ti OR 'only child'/exp OR paediatr*:ab,ti OR pediatri*:de,ab,ti OR 'pediatric advanced life support'/exp OR 'pediatric anesthesia'/exp OR 'pediatric cardiology'/exp OR 'pediatric hospital'/exp OR 'pediatric intensive care nursing'/exp OR 'pediatric nurse practitioner'/exp OR 'pediatric nursing'/exp OR 'pediatric rehabilitation'/exp OR 'pediatric surgery'/exp OR 'newborn hypoxia'/exp OR 'pediatric ward'/exp OR 'pediatrics'/exp OR perinat*:ab,ti OR 'perinatal development'/exp OR 'perinatal period'/exp OR 'persistent hyperinsulinemic hypoglycemia of infancy'/exp OR picu:ab,ti OR postnat*:ab,ti OR 'postnatal care'/exp OR 'postnatal development'/exp OR 'postnatal growth'/exp OR postneonat*:ab,ti OR preschool*:ab,ti OR puberty:ab,ti OR 'runaway behavior'/exp OR 'school child':ab,ti OR schoolchild*:ab,ti OR 'severe myoclonic epilepsy in infancy'/exp OR suckling*:ab,ti OR teen:ab,ti OR teenager*:ab,ti OR teens:ab,ti OR toddler*:ab,ti OR 'transient hypogammaglobulinemia of infancy'/exp OR youth:ab,ti OR youths:ab,ti	4,477,134
#3	'sex difference'/exp OR 'sex ratio'/exp OR ('boy'/exp AND 'girl'/exp) OR (sex NEAR/3 characteristic*):ab,ti OR (sex NEAR/3 difference*):ab,ti OR (sex NEAR/3 dimorphism*):ab,ti OR (sexual NEAR/3 dimorphism*):ab,ti OR (sexual NEAR/3 difference*):ab,ti OR (sexual NEAR/3 characteristic*):ab,ti OR (sex NEAR/3 factor*):ab,ti OR (sexual NEAR/3 factor*):ab,ti OR (sexual NEAR/3 influenc*):ab,ti OR (sexual NEAR/3 influenc*):ab,ti OR gender*:ab,ti OR (boy*:ab,ti AND girl*:ab,ti) OR sex:ab,ti	1,014,014
#4	(#1 AND #2 AND #3)	4,280

/exp = EMtree keyword with explosion; /de = EMtree keyword without explosion; :ab,ti = words in title or abstract; NEXT/x = words in that order next to each other, x places apart; NEAR/x = words near to each other, x places apart

ONLINE SUPPLEMENTARY FILE

1. Extracted data of the studies included in the systematic review (<https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.11014775.v1>)